

A SIMPLE MODEL FOR TWISTED ARROW ∞ -CATEGORIES

TAKESHI TORII

ABSTRACT. Twisted arrow ∞ -categories of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories were introduced by Lurie, and they have various applications in higher category theory. Abellán García and Stern gave a generalization to twisted arrow ∞ -categories of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. In this paper we introduce another simple model for twisted arrow ∞ -categories of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Yoneda embedding is a fundamental tool in category theory. In higher category theory the $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical Yoneda embedding was proved by Lurie [12, Proposition 5.1.3.1]. There is a fully faithful functor $C \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ from an ∞ -category C to the ∞ -category of presheaves on C with values in the ∞ -category \mathcal{S} of spaces. The ∞ -category \mathcal{S} is a full subcategory of the ∞ -category of (small) $(\infty, 1)$ -categories $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, 1)}$. In general, it is difficult to work with functors into \mathcal{S} or $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, 1)}$. The $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical Grothendieck construction overcomes this difficulty. It gives an equivalence between the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(C^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ of presheaves on C and the ∞ -category of right fibrations over C ([12, Theorem 2.2.1.2]). It also gives an equivalence between the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(C^{\text{op}}, \text{Cat}_{(\infty, 1)})$ of functors into $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, 1)}$ and the ∞ -category of Cartesian fibrations over C ([12, Theorem 3.2.0.1]). It is easier to handle right fibrations or Cartesian fibrations than functors into \mathcal{S} or $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, 1)}$.

The twisted arrow ∞ -category of an $(\infty, 1)$ -category is a right fibration associated to the mapping space functor. For an ∞ -category C , the twisted arrow ∞ -category $\text{Tw}^r(C)$ was introduced by Lurie in [13, §5.2.1]. It comes equipped with a map $\text{Tw}^r(C) \rightarrow C \times C^{\text{op}}$ of ∞ -categories which is a right fibration classified by the mapping space functor $\text{Map}_C(-, -) : C^{\text{op}} \times C \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

Twisted arrow ∞ -categories of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories play a fundamental role in higher category theory. They are used to show the existence of a dual equivalence between two $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. A pairing of ∞ -categories between C and D is a functor $C \times D \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. As mentioned above, it is convenient to identify it with a right fibration over $C^{\text{op}} \times D^{\text{op}}$. A pairing is said to be perfect if it is equivalent to a twisted arrow ∞ -category as right fibrations. If the pairing is perfect, then it gives a dual equivalence between C and D . This technique is used to study iterated bar constructions and Koszul duality for \mathbb{E}_k -algebras in \mathbb{E}_k -monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories in [13, §5.2]. It is also used in [16] to construct a dual equivalence between the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories and left adjoint oplax monoidal functors and that of monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories and right adjoint lax monoidal functors.

Twisted arrow ∞ -categories are also used for other constructions in the setting of higher category theory. The $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical (co)ends ([6]) and weighted (co)limits ([5]) are constructed as some (co)limits indexed by twisted arrow ∞ -categories. For an ∞ -category C , the ∞ -category of spans in C is constructed from some functors from the twisted arrow ∞ -categories of the ordinary categories

Date: May 11, 2024 (version 1.0).

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 18N60(primary), 18N65, 55U40(secondary).

Key words and phrases. Twisted arrow category, ∞ -category, ∞ -bicategory, scaled simplicial set, complete Segal space.

$[n]$ into C . The construction of ∞ -categories of spans was introduced in [2]. It is shown that the construction of twisted arrow ∞ -categories is a left adjoint to the construction of ∞ -categories of spans in [7, Theorem A].

A generalization to the construction of ∞ -categories of twisted arrows in $(\infty, 2)$ -categories was introduced by Abellán García and Stern in [1]. For an ∞ -bicategory Z , they constructed an ∞ -category $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$ equipped with a map $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow u_1Z \times u_1Z^{\mathrm{op}}$ of ∞ -categories which is a Cartesian fibration classified by the restricted mapping ∞ -category functor $\mathrm{Map}_Z(-, -) : u_1Z^{\mathrm{op}} \times u_1Z \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_{(\infty, 1)}$, where u_1Z is the underlying ∞ -category of Z .

The goal of this paper is to give another simple model for twisted arrow ∞ -categories of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. We first construct a complete Segal space $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ which is a lifting of the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$ with respect to the Quillen equivalence $i_1^* : \mathrm{CSS} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}_\Delta^{\mathrm{Joyal}}$ ([11]) from the category of bisimplicial sets equipped with complete Segal space model structure CSS ([15]) to the category of simplicial sets equipped with Joyal model structure $\mathrm{Set}_\Delta^{\mathrm{Joyal}}$ ([10, 12]). Although it is known that there is a lifting of $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$ to a complete Segal space by [7, Corollary 7.17], we construct $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ by making use of combinatorial structure on scaled simplicial sets.

The construction of $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$ in [1] uses a cosimplicial scaled simplicial sets $Q(\bullet)$, where the underlying simplicial set of the n th scaled simplicial set $Q(n)$ is isomorphic to the $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional simplex Δ^{2n+1} . We introduce a cosimplicial scaled simplicial set $T(\bullet)$, where $T(n)$ is a subcomplex of $Q(n)$ of dimension $(n + 1)$. The bisimplicial set $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ is constructed in the same way as $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ by using $T(\bullet)$ instead of $Q(\bullet)$. We show that $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ is a complete Segal space by comparing it with $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$. Using this result, we denote by $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$ the ∞ -category obtained by applying the right Quillen equivalence i_1^* to $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$. As in $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$, the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$ comes equipped with a Cartesian fibration $p : \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow u_1Z \times u_1Z^{\mathrm{op}}$.

The following result is the main theorem of this paper which means the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$ with the Cartesian fibration p as a model of twisted arrow ∞ -category of Z .

Theorem 1.1 (cf. Theorem 5.13). *For any ∞ -bicategory Z , there is a natural equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$$

which makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z) \\ & \searrow p & \swarrow p \\ & u_1Z \times u_1Z^{\mathrm{op}} & \end{array}$$

We will discuss an application of the result of this paper in [17]. We will introduce a notion of map monoidales in monoidal $(\infty, 2)$ -categories, and show that the endomorphism ∞ -category of a map monoidale admits the structure of a duoidal ∞ -category. We will use the main theorem in this paper to show that one of the two monoidal structures on the duoidal ∞ -category is equivalent to convolution product.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In §3 we review the theory of scaled simplicial sets. We recall the notion of scaled anodyne maps and the model structure on the category of scaled simplicial sets. We prove a lemma which gives a sufficient condition for a map of scaled simplicial sets being scaled anodyne for later use. In §4 we study the twisted arrow ∞ -categories of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. We construct a functor from the category of fibrant scaled simplicial sets to the category of complete Segal spaces, which is a lifting of the construction of twisted arrow ∞ -categories in [1]. In §5 we construct another model of the twisted arrow ∞ -category of an $(\infty, 2)$ -category. First, we introduce the cosimplicial scaled simplicial set $T(\bullet)$. For a fibrant scaled simplicial set Z , we define

the bisimplicial set $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ by using $T(\bullet)$, and show that it is a complete Segal space. Next, we construct a Cartesian fibration \mathfrak{p} and finally prove the main theorem (Theorem 5.13).

2. NOTATION

For a nonempty finite totally ordered set S , we denote by Δ^S the $(|S| - 1)$ -dimensional simplex with S as the set of vertices. For $s \in S$, $\Delta^{S - \{s\}}$ is the codimension 1 face of Δ^S opposite to the vertex s . For a subset $\emptyset \neq N \subsetneq S$, we set

$$\Lambda_N^S = \bigcup_{s \in S - N} \Delta^{S - \{s\}}.$$

For $[r] = \{0 < 1 < \dots < r\}$, we write Δ^r and Λ_i^r for $\Delta^{[r]}$ and $\Lambda_{\{i\}}^{[r]}$, respectively, as usual.

We denote by Set_Δ the category of simplicial sets. We can consider several model structures on Set_Δ and its variants. We denote by $\mathrm{Set}_\Delta^{\mathrm{Kan}}$ and $\mathrm{Set}_\Delta^{\mathrm{Joyal}}$ the category of simplicial sets equipped with the Kan and Joyal model structures, respectively. We denote by Set_Δ^+ the category of marked simplicial sets equipped with the Cartesian model structure ([12, §3.1.3]). We denote by $\mathrm{Set}_\Delta^{\mathrm{sc}}$ the category of scaled simplicial sets equipped with the model structure given by [14, Theorem 4.2.7].

For a model category M , we denote by M° the full subcategory of M spanned by those objects which are both fibrant and cofibrant.

3. SCALED SIMPLICIAL SETS AND ∞ -BICATEGORIES

In this section we review scaled simplicial sets and ∞ -bicategories. In §3.1 we recall the theory of scaled simplicial sets, which is a model of the theory of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. We describe the model structure on the category of scaled simplicial sets introduced in [14]. In §3.2 we study scaled anodyne maps. We prove a lemma which gives a sufficient condition on maps of scaled simplicial sets to be scaled anodyne.

3.1. Scaled simplicial sets. Scaled simplicial sets are models for $(\infty, 2)$ -categories which were introduced by Lurie in [14]. It was shown that there exists a model structure on the category of scaled simplicial sets whose underlying homotopy theory is equivalent to the theory of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. In this subsection we recall the model structure on the category of scaled simplicial sets.

First, we recall the definition for scaled simplicial sets. A scaled simplicial set X is a pair (\overline{X}, T_X) of simplicial set \overline{X} and a set T_X of 2-dimensional simplices of \overline{X} that contains all degenerate ones. We say that a 2-dimensional simplex of \overline{X} is thin if it belongs to T_X . A map of scaled simplicial sets $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map of simplicial sets $\overline{f} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$ that satisfies $\overline{f}(T_X) \subset T_Y$. We denote by $\mathrm{Set}_\Delta^{\mathrm{sc}}$ the category of scaled simplicial sets.

For a simplicial set S , we have two canonical scaled simplicial sets S_\sharp and S_\flat . The scaled simplicial set S_\sharp has the underlying simplicial set S equipped with all 2-dimensional simplices as thin triangles. On the other hand, the scaled simplicial set S_\flat has the underlying simplicial set S equipped with degenerate 2-dimensional simplices as thin triangles.

Now, we recall scaled anodyne maps of scaled simplicial sets which characterize fibrant objects in $\mathrm{Set}_\Delta^{\mathrm{sc}}$.

Definition 3.1 ([14, Definition 3.1.3]). A collection of scaled anodyne maps is the weakly saturated class of morphisms of scaled simplicial sets generated by the following maps:

An1: the inclusion maps

$$(\Lambda_i^n, \{\Delta^{\{i-1, i, i+1\}}\})_{\Lambda_i^n} \cup \{\text{degenerate}\} \rightarrow (\Delta^n, \{\Delta^{\{i-1, i, i+1\}}\}) \cup \{\text{degenerate}\}$$

for $n \geq 2$ and $0 < i < n$,

An2: the inclusion map

$$(\Delta^4, T) \rightarrow (\Delta^4, T \cup \{\Delta^{\{0,3,4\}}, \Delta^{\{0,1,4\}}\}),$$

where

$$T = \{\Delta^{\{0,2,4\}}, \Delta^{\{1,2,3\}}, \Delta^{\{0,1,3\}}, \Delta^{\{1,3,4\}}, \Delta^{\{0,1,2\}}, \} \cup \{\text{degenerate}\},$$

An3: the inclusion maps

$$(\Lambda_0^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0,1\}}} \Delta^0, T|_{\Lambda_0^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0,1\}}} \Delta^0}) \rightarrow (\Delta^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0,1\}}} \Delta^0, T)$$

for $n \geq 3$, where

$$T = \{\Delta^{\{0,1,n\}}\}|_{\Delta^n \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0,1\}}} \Delta^0} \cup \{\text{degenerate}\}.$$

Definition 3.2 (cf. [14, Theorem 4.2.7]). A scaled simplicial set is said to be ∞ -bicategory if it admits extensions along every scaled anodyne map.

Remark 3.3. In [14, Definition 4.1.1] scaled simplicial sets in Definition 3.2 are referred to as weak ∞ -bicategories, and the term ∞ -bicategory was reserved for fibrant objects in $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$. However, it is shown that these two notions coincide in [3, Theorem 5.1].

The model structure on the category $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$ of scaled simplicial sets is given as follows:

Definition 3.4 (cf. [14, Theorem 4.2.7], [3, Theorem 5.1]). There exists a model structure on the category $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$ of scaled simplicial sets whose cofibrations are the monomorphisms and whose fibrant objects are the ∞ -bicategories. We call a weak equivalence of scaled simplicial sets a bicategorical equivalence. This model structure is left proper and combinatorial.

Now, we study mapping spaces of $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$. For this purpose, we recall relationships between $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$ and other model categories. There is a diagram

$$\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{Kan}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{(-)^\sharp} \\ \xrightarrow[u_0]{} \end{array} \text{Set}_\Delta^+ \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{(-)^b} \\ \xrightarrow[U]{} \end{array} \text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{Joy}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{(-)_\sharp} \\ \xrightarrow[u_1]{} \end{array} \text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$$

of Quillen adjunctions between model categories, where the upper arrows are left adjoint and the lower arrows are right adjoint. The functor $(-)^{\sharp} : \text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{Kan}} \rightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta^+$ assigns to a simplicial set X the marked simplicial set $X^{\sharp} = (X, X_1)$. Its right adjoint $u_0 : \text{Set}_\Delta^+ \rightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{Kan}}$ assigns to a marked simplicial set (X, S) the subcomplex of X spanned by those simplices whose edges are all marked. The functor $(-)^b : \text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{Joy}} \rightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta^+$ assigns to a simplicial set X the marked simplicial set $X^b = (X, s_0(X_0))$. Its right adjoint U is the forgetful functor. The functor $(-)_\sharp : \text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{Joy}} \rightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$ is given by $X \mapsto X_\sharp$. Its right adjoint $u_1 : \text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{Joy}}$ assigns to a scaled simplicial set $X = (\overline{X}, T)$ the subcomplex of \overline{X} spanned by those simplices whose 2-dimensional faces are all thin.

The model structure on $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$ is cartesian closed by [14, Proposition 3.1.8 and Lemma 4.2.6]. (see, also, [3, Remark 1.31] or [4, the paragraph before Lemma 1.24]). Thus, we have a function object $\text{FUN}(A, X)$ in $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$ for scaled simplicial sets A and X , and if X is an ∞ -bicategory, then $\text{FUN}(A, X)$ is also an ∞ -bicategory. We denote by $\text{Fun}(A, X)$ its underlying ∞ -category $u_1 \text{FUN}(A, X)$.

For an ∞ -category Y , we denote by Y^\natural the fibrant marked simplicial set whose underlying simplicial set Y equipped with equivalences as marked edges. In particular, $\text{Fun}(A, X)^\natural$ is a fibrant marked simplicial set for an ∞ -bicategory X . By applying the functor u_0 , we obtain a Kan complex

$$\text{Map}^{\text{sc}}(A, X) = u_0 \text{Fun}(A, X)^\natural.$$

Note that $\text{Map}^{\text{sc}}(A, X)$ is the underlying ∞ -groupoid of the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(A, X)$ since $u_0 Y^\natural = Y^{\simeq}$ for any ∞ -category Y , where Y^{\simeq} is the underlying ∞ -groupoid of Y .

We need the following proposition in §4.2 below.

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be an ∞ -bicategory and let $A \rightarrow B$ be a cofibration in $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$. The induced map $\text{Map}^{\text{sc}}(B, X) \rightarrow \text{Map}^{\text{sc}}(A, X)$ is a fibration of Kan complexes in $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{Kan}}$.*

In order to prove Proposition 3.5, we need a preliminary lemma. The construction $Y \mapsto Y^\natural$ determines a functor

$$(-)^\natural : (\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{Joy}})^\circ \longrightarrow (\text{Set}_\Delta^+)^\circ.$$

By [12, Proposition 3.1.3.5], the functor $(-)^{\natural}$ preserves and reflects weak equivalences. We show that $(-)^{\natural}$ also preserves fibrations.

Lemma 3.6. *For a categorical fibration $p : X \rightarrow Y$ of ∞ -categories, the induced map $p^\natural : X^\natural \rightarrow Y^\natural$ is a fibration of fibrant objects in Set_Δ^+ .*

Proof. Let $i : A \hookrightarrow B$ be a trivial cofibration in Set_Δ^+ . We consider a commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X^\natural \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow h & \downarrow p^\natural \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y^\natural \end{array}$$

We need to show that there is a dotted arrow $h : B \rightarrow X^\natural$ which makes the whole diagram commute.

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}^\sharp(B, X^\natural) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}^\sharp(B, Y^\natural) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}^\sharp(A, X^\natural) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}^\sharp(A, Y^\natural) \end{array}$$

of Kan complexes. The vertical arrows are trivial Kan fibrations by [12, Lemma 3.1.3.6] and the definition of weak equivalences in Set_Δ^+ (cf. [12, Proposition 3.1.3.3]). Thus, we obtain a weak homotopy equivalence

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Map}^\sharp(B, X^\natural) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Map}^\sharp(A, X^\natural) \times_{\text{Map}^\sharp(A, Y^\natural)} \text{Map}^\sharp(B, Y^\natural).$$

Commutative diagram (3.1) determines a vertex on the right hand side of (3.2). By weak homotopy equivalence (3.2), we obtain a commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \longrightarrow & X^\natural \\ \downarrow & \nearrow H & \downarrow p^\natural \\ B \times (\Delta^1)^\sharp & \longrightarrow & Y^\natural, \end{array}$$

where M is a subobject of $B \times (\Delta^1)^\sharp$ given by $(A \times (\Delta^1)^\sharp) \cup (B \times \Delta^{\{0\}})$. By using the fact that $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is a categorical fibration of ∞ -categories, [12, Proposition 1.2.4.3], and the dual of [12, Remark 2.4.1.4], we see that there is a lifting $H : B \times (\Delta^1)^\sharp \rightarrow X^\natural$ which makes the whole diagram commute. Then $h = H|_{B \times \Delta^{\{1\}}}$ gives the desired lifting of commutative diagram (3.1). \square

Proof of Proposition 3.5. The induced map $\text{FUN}(B, X) \rightarrow \text{FUN}(A, X)$ is a fibration of fibrant objects in $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$ since the model category $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$ is Cartesian closed. The fact that u_1 is a right Quillen functor implies that $\text{Fun}(B, X) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(A, X)$ is a fibration of fibrant objects in $\text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{Joy}}$. The lemma follows from Lemma 3.6. \square

3.2. A lemma on scaled anodyne maps. In this subsection we prove a lemma which gives a sufficient condition for an inclusion map of scaled simplicial sets into a simplex being scaled anodyne.

First, we recall the notation of simplicial sets. For a nonempty finite totally ordered set S , we denote by Δ^S the $(|S| - 1)$ -dimensional simplex with S as the set of vertices. For $s \in S$, $\Delta^{S - \{s\}}$ is the codimension 1 face of Δ^S opposite to the vertex s . For a subset $\emptyset \neq N \subsetneq S$, we set

$$\Lambda_N^S = \bigcup_{s \in S - N} \Delta^{S - \{s\}}.$$

For $[r] = \{0 < 1 < \dots < r\}$, we write Δ^r and Λ_i^r for $\Delta^{[r]}$ and $\Lambda_{\{i\}}^{[r]}$, respectively, as usual.

Let $\Delta_{\dagger}^r = (\Delta^r, T_{\Delta_{\dagger}^r})$ be a scaled simplicial set whose underlying simplicial set is Δ^r . For a subcomplex L of Δ^r , we denote by L_{\dagger} the scaled simplicial set whose underlying simplicial set is L equipped with the induced scaling.

We extensively use the following lemma, which is a special case of [1, Lemma 1.18].

Lemma 3.7 (cf. [1, Lemma 1.18]). *Let $r \geq 3$ and let M be a nonempty subset of $\{0, 1, \dots, r - 1\}$. We assume that there exists an integer s with $0 \leq s < t$ such that $s \notin M$ and $i \in M$ for all $s < i \leq t$, where t is the largest number of M . Furthermore, we assume that $|M| \leq r - 2$ and that the triangle $\Delta^{[r] - M}$ is not thin in Δ_{\dagger}^r when $|M| = r - 2$. If triangles $\Delta^{\{i, t, t+1\}}$ are thin in Δ_{\dagger}^r for all $s \leq i < t$, then the inclusion map $\Lambda_{M, \dagger}^r \hookrightarrow \Delta_{\dagger}^r$ is scaled anodyne.*

Proof. We notice that all thin triangles in Δ_{\dagger}^r are contained in $\Lambda_{M, \dagger}^r$ by the assumption that $|M| \leq r - 2$ and $\Delta^{[r] - M}$ is not thin when $|M| = r - 2$. We prove the lemma by induction on the cardinality c of M .

First, we consider the case $c = 1$. We write $M = \{m\}$. In this case there is a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Lambda_m^r, \{\Delta^{\{m-1, m, m+1\}}\}) & \longrightarrow & (\Delta^r, \{\Delta^{\{m-1, m, m+1\}}\}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Lambda_{m, \dagger}^r & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{\dagger}^r \end{array}$$

of scaled simplicial sets. Since the top horizontal arrow is a scaled anodyne map, so is the bottom horizontal arrow.

Next, we suppose that $c > 1$. We let $m = \min M$ and set $M' = M - \{m\}$. By the hypothesis of induction, it suffices to show that the inclusion map $\Lambda_{M', \dagger}^{[r]} \rightarrow \Lambda_{M', \dagger}^{[r]}$ is scaled anodyne. There is a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{M', \dagger}^{[r] - \{m\}} & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{\dagger}^{[r] - \{m\}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Lambda_{M', \dagger}^{[r]} & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{M', \dagger}^{[r]} \end{array}$$

of scaled simplicial sets. Since the top horizontal arrow is scaled anodyne by the hypothesis of induction, so is the bottom horizontal arrow. \square

Remark 3.8. The dual statement of Lemma 3.7 also holds: Let $r \geq 3$ and let M be a nonempty subset of $\{1, \dots, r\}$. We assume that there exists an integer s with $t < s \leq r$ such that $s \notin M$ and $i \in M$ for all $t < i < s$, where t is the least number of M . Furthermore, we assume that $|M| \leq r - 2$ and that the triangle $\Delta^{[r] - M}$ is not thin in Δ_{\dagger}^r when $|M| = r - 2$. If triangles $\Delta^{\{t-1, t, i\}}$ are thin in Δ_{\dagger}^r for all $t < i \leq s$, then the inclusion map $\Lambda_{M, \dagger}^r \rightarrow \Delta_{\dagger}^r$ is scaled anodyne.

4. TWISTED ARROW ∞ -CATEGORIES

In this section we study the twisted arrow ∞ -categories for ∞ -bicategories. In §4.1 we review the construction of twisted arrow ∞ -category introduced in [1]. The construction of twisted arrow ∞ -categories determines a functor from the category of ∞ -bicategories to the category of ∞ -categories. Haugseng-Hebestreit-Linskens-Nuiten [7] showed that this functor can be lifted to a functor with values in the category of complete Segal spaces. We give another combinatorial proof of this fact. In §4.2 we construct a functor to the category of Segal spaces. In §4.3 we show that it lands in the category of complete Segal spaces.

4.1. Twisted arrow ∞ -categories. In this subsection we recall the construction of twisted arrow ∞ -category introduced in [1]. For $n \geq 0$, we consider the ordinary category $[n] \star [n]^{\text{op}}$, where $(-) \star (-)$ is the join of ordinary categories (cf. [12, §1.2.8]). By applying the nerve functor to $[n] \star [n]^{\text{op}}$, we obtain the simplicial set $\Delta^n \star \Delta^{n,\text{op}}$, which is isomorphic to Δ^{2n+1} . We represent it as the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longleftarrow & 11 & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & 1n. \end{array}$$

Definition 4.1. We recall the scaled simplicial set $Q(n) = (\Delta^n \star \Delta^{n,\text{op}}, T_{Q(n)})$ in [1, Definition 2.2]. The underlying simplicial set of $Q(n)$ is $\Delta^n \star \Delta^{n,\text{op}}$ and the set of thin triangles $T_{Q(n)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{Q(n)} = & \quad \{ \Delta^{\{ik, ik', ik''\}} \mid i = 0, 1, 0 \leq k < k' < k'' \leq n \} \\ & \cup \quad \{ \Delta^{\{0k, 0k', 1k''\}} \mid 0 \leq k < k' \leq k'' \leq n \} \\ & \cup \quad \{ \Delta^{\{1k, 1k', 0k''\}} \mid 0 \leq k < k' \leq k'' \leq n \} \\ & \cup \quad \{ \text{degenerate} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.2. For a subcomplex K of $\Delta^n \star \Delta^{n,\text{op}}$, we denote by K_{\dagger} the scaled simplicial set whose underlying simplicial set is K equipped with the induced scaling from $Q(n)$.

We notice that the collection $Q(\bullet) = \{Q(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ forms a cosimplicial object of scaled simplicial sets. Furthermore, the inclusion maps $\Delta_{\sharp}^n \rightarrow Q(n)$ and $\Delta_{\sharp}^{n,\text{op}} \rightarrow Q(n)$ induce maps of cosimplicial object $\Delta_{\sharp}^{\bullet} = \{\Delta_{\sharp}^n\}_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow Q(\bullet)$ and $\Delta_{\sharp}^{\bullet,\text{op}} = \{\Delta_{\sharp}^{n,\text{op}}\}_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow Q(\bullet)$ of scaled simplicial sets.

Now, we recall the construction of twisted arrow ∞ -categories.

Definition 4.3 ([1, Definition 2.4]). Let $Z = (\overline{Z}, T_Z)$ be an ∞ -bicategory. For $n \geq 0$, we consider the set $\text{Hom}_{\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{\text{sc}}}(Q(n), Z)$ of morphisms of scaled simplicial sets from $Q(n)$ to Z . This determines a simplicial set

$$\text{Tw}^r(Z) = \{\text{Hom}_{\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{\text{sc}}}(Q(n), Z)\}_{n \geq 0}.$$

The morphisms $\Delta_{\sharp}^{\bullet} \rightarrow Q(\bullet)$ and $\Delta_{\sharp}^{\bullet,\text{op}} \rightarrow Q(\bullet)$ induce a morphism of simplicial sets

$$p : \text{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow u_1 Z \times u_1 Z^{\text{op}}.$$

which is a Cartesian fibration by [1, Theorem 0.1]. In particular, $\text{Tw}^r(Z)$ is an ∞ -category since $u_1 Z \times u_1 Z^{\text{op}}$ is an ∞ -category. The Cartesian fibration p is classified by the restricted mapping category functor

$$\text{Map}_Z(-, -) : u_1 Z^{\text{op}} \times u_1 Z \longrightarrow \text{Cat}_{(\infty, 1)}$$

by [1, Theorem 0.1].

4.2. Liftings to Segal spaces for twisted arrow ∞ -categories. The construction $Z \mapsto \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$ determines a functor $\mathrm{Tw}^r(-) : (\mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{sc}})^{\circ} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{Joy}})^{\circ}$. Haugseng-Hebestreit-Linskens-Nuiten [7] showed that this functor can be lifted to a functor with values in the category of complete Segal spaces. We give another combinatorial proof of this fact. In this subsection we show that the functor $\mathrm{Tw}^r(-)$ lifts to the category of Segal spaces. In the next section we show that it takes values in the category of complete Segal spaces.

Definition 4.4. Let Z be an ∞ -bicategory. For $n \geq 0$, we set

$$\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_n = \mathrm{Map}^{\mathrm{sc}}(Q(n), Z).$$

The collection $\{\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ forms a bisimplicial set $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$. This construction determines a functor

$$\mathrm{Tw}^r(-)_{\bullet} : (\mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{sc}})^{\circ} \longrightarrow \mathrm{bSet}_{\Delta},$$

where bSet_{Δ} is the category of bisimplicial sets.

The goal of this subsection is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5. *The bisimplicial set $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$ is a Segal space for any ∞ -bicategory Z .*

In order to prove Proposition 4.5, we make some preliminary results. For the model category $\mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of scaled simplicial sets, we can consider the Reedy model structure on the category of cosimplicial objects of $\mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ (see, for example, [8, 9] for the Reedy model structure). Let A^{\bullet} be a cosimplicial object of scaled simplicial sets. We assume that A^{\bullet} is cofibrant in the Reedy model structure on the category of cosimplicial objects of $\mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{sc}}$. In other words, the map $L_n A^{\bullet} \rightarrow A^n$ is a monomorphism for all $n \geq 0$, where $L_n A^{\bullet}$ is the n th latching object of A^{\bullet} . For $n \geq 0$, we recall that the co-Segal map

$$(4.1) \quad \overbrace{A^1 \prod_{A^0} \cdots \prod_{A^0} A^1}^n \rightarrow A^n$$

is induced by the inclusion maps $[i] \rightarrow [n]$ for $i = 0, 1$. We say that A^{\bullet} satisfies the co-Segal condition if map (4.1) is a weak equivalence for each $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let A^{\bullet} be a Reedy cofibrant cosimplicial object of $\mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, and let X be an ∞ -bicategory. If A^{\bullet} satisfies the co-Segal condition, then the bisimplicial set $\mathrm{Map}^{\mathrm{sc}}(A^{\bullet}, X)$ is a Segal space.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, $\mathrm{Map}^{\mathrm{sc}}(A^{\bullet}, X)$ is a Reedy fibrant simplicial object of $\mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{Kan}}$. Since A^{\bullet} satisfies the co-Segal condition, we see that $\mathrm{Map}^{\mathrm{sc}}(A^{\bullet}, X)$ satisfies the Segal condition. \square

Lemma 4.7. *The bisimplicial set $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$ is Reedy fibrant.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $Q(\bullet)$ is Reedy cofibrant in the category of cosimplicial objects of scaled simplicial sets. This follows by observing that the n th latching object of $Q(\bullet)$ is isomorphic to the subcomplex $\bigcup_{i=0}^n (\Delta^{[n]-\{i\}} \star \Delta^{[n]-\{i\}, \mathrm{op}})_{\dagger}$ of $Q(n)$. \square

By Lemma 4.7, it suffices to show that $Q(\bullet)$ satisfies the co-Segal condition in order to prove Proposition 4.5. For this purpose, we introduce a subcomplex of $Q(n)$. For $0 \leq i \leq n$, we define $\Lambda_i^n Q$ to be the subcomplex $\bigcup_{k \neq i} (\Delta^{[n]-\{k\}} \star \Delta^{[n]-\{k\}, \mathrm{op}})_{\dagger}$ of $Q(n)$.

Lemma 4.8. *The inclusion map $\Lambda_i^n Q \rightarrow Q(n)$ is scaled anodyne for any $0 < i < n$.*

Proof. Let $(K_i^n)_{\dagger}$ be a subcomplex of $Q(n)$ defined in [1, Construction 2.7], that is, $(K_i^n)_{\dagger} = \Lambda_i^n Q \cup \Delta_{\#}^n \cup \Delta_{\#}^{n, \mathrm{op}}$. By [1, Lemmas 2.10.1 and 2.12.1], it suffices to show that $\Lambda_i^n Q \rightarrow (K_i^n)_{\dagger}$ is scaled anodyne. This follows by observing that $\Lambda_i^n Q \cap (\Delta_{\#}^n \cup \Delta_{\#}^{n, \mathrm{op}}) = \Lambda_{i, \#}^n \cup \Lambda_{i, \#}^{n, \mathrm{op}}$ and $\Lambda_{i, \#}^n \cup \Lambda_{i, \#}^{n, \mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \Delta_{\#}^n \cup \Delta_{\#}^{n, \mathrm{op}}$ is scaled anodyne. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.5. It suffices to show that $Q(\bullet)$ satisfies the co-Segal condition. We set

$$\mathrm{Sp}_n(Q) = Q(\{0, 1\}) \prod_{Q(\{1\})} \cdots \prod_{Q(\{n-1\})} Q(\{n-1, n\}).$$

We show that the co-Segal map $\mathrm{Sp}_n(Q) \rightarrow Q(n)$ is scaled anodyne by induction on n . When $n = 0, 1$, it is trivial. We suppose $n \geq 2$ and fix i with $0 < i < n$. There is a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{j \neq i} \mathrm{Sp}_{n-1}(Q) & \xrightarrow{\amalg^{d^j}} & \mathrm{Sp}_n(Q) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{j \neq i} Q(n-1) & \xrightarrow{\amalg^{d^j}} & \Lambda_i^n Q \end{array}$$

of scaled simplicial sets. Since the left vertical arrow is scaled anodyne by the hypothesis of induction, so is the right vertical arrow. The claim follows by Lemma 4.8. \square

4.3. Completeness of the Segal space $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$. We recall that a Segal space W_\bullet is complete if the map $s_0 : W_0 \rightarrow W_1^{\mathrm{eq}}$ is an equivalence, where W_1^{eq} is the full subspace of W_1 spanned by equivalence morphisms. The goal of this subsection is to show that the Segal space $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ is complete.

Theorem 4.9. *For any ∞ -bicategory Z , the Segal space $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ is complete.*

The inclusion maps $\Delta_\#^n \amalg \Delta_\#^{n,\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow Q(n)$ for $n \geq 0$ induce a map of Segal spaces

$$p_\bullet : \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet \longrightarrow u_1 Z_\bullet \times u_1 Z_\bullet^{\mathrm{rev}},$$

where $u_1 Z_\bullet = \mathrm{Map}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\Delta_\#^\bullet, Z)$ and $u_1 Z_\bullet^{\mathrm{rev}} = \mathrm{Map}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\Delta_\#^{\bullet,\mathrm{op}}, Z)$. We notice that $u_1 Z_\bullet$ and $u_1 Z_\bullet^{\mathrm{rev}}$ are complete Segal spaces corresponding to the ∞ -categories $u_1 Z$ and $u_1 Z^{\mathrm{op}}$, respectively. The map p_\bullet induces a commutative diagram

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_1^{\mathrm{eq}} \\ p_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1^{\mathrm{eq}} \\ u_1 Z_0 \times u_1 Z_0^{\mathrm{rev}} & \xrightarrow{s_0 \times s_0} & u_1 Z_1^{\mathrm{eq}} \times u_1 Z_1^{\mathrm{rev},\mathrm{eq}}. \end{array}$$

We notice that the bottom horizontal arrow is an equivalence since the Segal spaces $u_1 Z_\bullet$ and $u_1 Z_\bullet^{\mathrm{rev}}$ are complete. Hence, in order to prove that the top horizontal arrow is an equivalence, it suffices to show that (4.2) is a pullback diagram in the ∞ -category of spaces.

We take a morphism $M \in \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_1$ that is represented by a map $M : Q(1) \rightarrow Z$ of scaled simplicial sets. We put $N_0 = d_1(M)$ and $N_1 = d_0(M)$. We would like to have a necessary and sufficient condition for M being an equivalence.

Proposition 4.10. *A morphism $M \in \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_1$ is an equivalence if and only if M extends to a map from $(\Delta^1 \star \Delta^{1,\mathrm{op}})_\#$ and the edges $M(\Delta^{\{00,01\}})$ and $M(\Delta^{\{11,10\}})$ are equivalence 1-morphisms in Z .*

First, we show the “if” direction of Proposition 4.10.

Lemma 4.11. *If $M \in \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_1$ is an equivalence, then M extends to a map from $(\Delta^1 \star \Delta^{1,\mathrm{op}})_\#$ and the edges $M(\Delta^{\{00,01\}})$ and $M(\Delta^{\{11,10\}})$ are equivalence 1-morphisms in Z .*

Proof. Suppose that $M \in \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_1^{\mathrm{eq}}$. By applying p_1^{eq} to M , we see that $M(\Delta^{\{00,01\}})$ and $M(\Delta^{\{11,10\}})$ are equivalence 1-morphisms in Z .

We shall show that M extends to a map from $(\Delta^1 \star \Delta^{1,\mathrm{op}})_\#$. For this purpose, it suffices to show that $M(\Delta^{\{00,10,11\}})$ is thin by [14, Remark 3.1.4]. By the assumption, there are $L, R \in \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_2$

such that $d_0(L) = M, d_1(L) = s_0(N_1)$ and $d_1(R) = s_0(N_0), d_2(R) = M$. By applying Lemma 4.8, we obtain $A \in \text{Tw}^r(Z)_3$ such that $d_0(A) = R, d_2(A) = L$, which is given by a map $A : Q(3) \rightarrow Z$ of scaled simplicial sets. We would like to show that $M(\Delta^{\{00,10,11\}}) = A(\Delta^{\{01,11,12\}})$ is thin. We consider the restriction of A to the 3-simplex $\Delta^{\{00,01,11,12\}}$. In order to show that $A(\Delta^{\{01,11,12\}})$ is thin, it suffices to show that $A(\Delta^{\{00,11,12\}})$ is thin by [3, Proposition 3.4(1)] since the edge $A(\Delta^{\{00,01\}})$ is an equivalence.

We consider the restriction of A to the 4-simplex $\Delta^{\{00,10,11,12,13\}}$. We notice that the trivial cofibrations are invariant under taking opposites of simplicial sets since the bicategorical equivalences are invariant (cf. [3, Remark 1.32]). A lifting against the opposite of An2, which is a trivial cofibration, implies that $A(\Delta^{\{00,10,11\}})$ is thin. We consider the restriction of A to the 3-simplex $\Delta^{\{00,10,11,12\}}$. Since the edge $A(\Delta^{\{10,11\}})$ is an equivalence, $A(\Delta^{\{00,11,12\}})$ is thin by [3, Proposition 3.4(2)]. \square

Next, we show the ‘‘only if’’ direction of Proposition 4.10.

Lemma 4.12. *If $M \in \text{Tw}^r(Z)_1$ extends to a map from $(\Delta^1 \star \Delta^{1,\text{op}})_\#$ and the edges $M(\Delta^{\{00,01\}})$ and $M(\Delta^{\{11,10\}})$ are equivalence 1-morphisms in Z , then M is an equivalence.*

Proof. It suffices to construct $L, R \in \text{Tw}^r(Z)_2$ such that $d_0(L) = M, d_1(L) = s_0(N_1)$ and $d_1(R) = s_0(N_0), d_2(R) = M$. We recall that we have a Cartesian fibration $p : \text{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow u_1 Z \times u_1 Z^{\text{op}}$ of ∞ -categories by [1, Theorem 0.1]. Furthermore, a morphism in $\text{Tw}^r(Z)$ is p -Cartesian if and only if it extends to a map from $(\Delta^1 \star \Delta^{1,\text{op}})_\#$ by [1, Theorem 2.6]. This implies that $M \in \text{Tw}^r(Z)_1$ determines a p -Cartesian edge \overline{M} in the ∞ -category $\text{Tw}^r(Z)$. The assumption that $M(\Delta^{\{00,01\}})$ and $M(\Delta^{\{11,10\}})$ are equivalence 1-morphisms in Z implies that \overline{M} is an equivalence in $\text{Tw}^r(Z)$ by [12, Proposition 2.4.1.5]. This implies that there exist $L, R \in \text{Tw}^r(Z)_2$ such that $d_0(L) = M, d_1(L) = s_0(N_1)$ and $d_1(R) = s_0(N_0), d_2(R) = M$. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.10. The proposition follows from Lemmas 4.11 and 4.12. \square

Now, we turn to the proof of Theorem 4.9. For this purpose, we make some preliminary results. We set

$$\begin{aligned} P(1) &= (\Delta^1 \star \Delta^{1,\text{op}})_\#, \\ \text{Sp}_1(P) &= \Delta_\#^{\{00,01\}} \amalg_{\Delta_\#^{\{01\}}} \Delta_\#^{\{01,11\}} \amalg_{\Delta_\#^{\{11\}}} \Delta_\#^{\{11,10\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.13. *The inclusion map $\text{Sp}_1(P) \rightarrow P(1)$ is scaled anodyne.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that it is obtained by iterated pushouts along scaled anodyne maps of type An1. \square

We set

$$\tilde{P}(1) = \Delta_\#^0 \amalg_{\Delta_\#^{\{00,01\}}} P(1) \amalg_{\Delta_\#^{\{10,11\}}} \Delta_\#^0.$$

The map $s^0 : Q(1) \rightarrow Q(0)$ extends to a map $\tilde{s}^0 : \tilde{P}(1) \rightarrow Q(0)$ of scaled simplicial sets. We show that it is a bicategorical equivalence.

Lemma 4.14. *The map $\tilde{s}^0 : \tilde{P}(1) \rightarrow Q(0)$ is a bicategorical equivalence.*

Proof. There are canonical maps $Q(1) \rightarrow P(1) \rightarrow \tilde{P}(1)$. We denote by $\tilde{d}^0 : Q(0) \rightarrow \tilde{P}(1)$ the composite of these maps with $d^0 : Q(0) \rightarrow Q(1)$. In order to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that \tilde{d}^0 is a trivial cofibration since the composite $\tilde{s}^0 \tilde{d}^0$ is the identity of $Q(0)$.

We set

$$\mathrm{Sp}_1(\tilde{P}) = \Delta_{\sharp}^0 \prod_{\Delta_{\sharp}^{\{00,01\}}} \mathrm{Sp}_1(P) \prod_{\Delta_{\sharp}^{\{10,11\}}} \Delta_{\sharp}^0.$$

By Lemma 4.13, we obtain a scaled anodyne map $\mathrm{Sp}_1(\tilde{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{P}(1)$. The desired result follows from the fact that the map d^0 induces an isomorphism $Q(0) \cong \mathrm{Sp}_1(\tilde{P})$ of scaled simplicial sets. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.9. We would like to show that (4.2) is a pullback diagram in the ∞ -category of spaces. We shall show that the induced map $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_{0,(x,y)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_{1,(s_0(x),s_0(y))}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ on fibers is an equivalence for any $(x,y) \in u_1 Z_0 \times u_1 Z_0^{\mathrm{rev}}$.

The map $\tilde{s}^0 : \tilde{P}(1) \rightarrow Q(0)$ induces a map $s_0 : \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\tilde{P}(1), Z)$, which is an equivalence by Lemma 4.14. By composition with the inclusion map $\Delta_{\sharp}^0 \prod \Delta_{\sharp}^0 \rightarrow \tilde{P}(1)$, we obtain a map $q : \mathrm{Map}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\tilde{P}(1), Z) \rightarrow u_1 Z_0 \times u_1 Z_0^{\mathrm{rev}}$, which makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & \mathrm{Map}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\tilde{P}(1), Z) \\ & \searrow p_0 & \swarrow q \\ & & u_1 Z_0 \times u_1 Z_0^{\mathrm{rev}}. \end{array}$$

By taking fibers over $(x,y) \in u_1 Z_0 \times u_1 Z_0^{\mathrm{rev}}$, we obtain the desired equivalence. \square

Remark 4.15. By [11], there is a right Quillen equivalence $\mathrm{CSS} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{Joyal}}$, where CSS is the category of bisimplicial sets equipped with complete Segal space model structure. The equivalence assigns to a complete Segal space C_{\bullet} the simplicial set whose set of n -simplices is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}}(\Delta^0, C_n)$. Under this equivalence, the complete Segal space $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$ corresponds to the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$.

5. ANOTHER MODEL OF TWISTED ARROW ∞ -CATEGORIES

In this section we give another simple model of twisted arrow ∞ -categories for an ∞ -bicategory. In §5.1 we introduce a cosimplicial scaled simplicial set $T(\bullet)$, where the scaled simplicial set $T(n)$ is a subcomplex of $Q(n)$ for all $n \geq 0$. In §5.2 we define a bisimplicial set $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$ to be $\mathrm{Map}^{\mathrm{sc}}(T(\bullet), Z)$ for an ∞ -bicategory Z . We show that the bisimplicial set $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$ is a Segal space. In §5.3 we show that the cosimplicial objects $T(\bullet)$ and $Q(\bullet)$ are equivalent in the Reedy model structure on the category of cosimplicial scaled simplicial sets. By using this result, we show that the Segal space $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$ is complete. There is a right Quillen equivalence from the category of bisimplicial sets equipped with complete Segal space model structure to the category of simplicial sets equipped with Joyal model structure (cf. Remark 4.15). By using this equivalence, we obtain an ∞ -category $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$ which is equipped with a map $\mathfrak{p} : \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow u_1 Z \times u_1 Z^{\mathrm{op}}$. In §5.4 we show that \mathfrak{p} is a Cartesian fibration equivalent to $p : \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow u_1 Z \times u_1 Z^{\mathrm{op}}$. In particular, \mathfrak{p} is classified by the restricted mapping ∞ -category functor.

5.1. The cosimplicial scaled simplicial set $T(\bullet)$. In this subsection we introduce a cosimplicial object $T(\bullet)$ of scaled simplicial sets.

Definition 5.1. For $n \geq 0$, we consider the simplicial set $\Delta^n \star \Delta^{n,\mathrm{op}}$. We recall that we represent it as the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longleftarrow & 11 & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & 1n. \end{array}$$

For a vertex $v = (i, k)$ of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n$, we set $\hat{v} = ik$. For a simplex σ of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n$, we denote by $\hat{\sigma}$ the simplex of $\Delta^n \star \Delta^{n, \text{op}}$ spanned by \hat{v} for vertices v of σ . For a subcomplex K of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n$, we denote by $\Omega(K)$ the subcomplex of $\Delta^n \star \Delta^{n, \text{op}}$ spanned by $\hat{\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in K$. We write Ω^n for $\Omega(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n)$ for simplicity. We notice that Ω^n is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional subcomplex of $\Delta^n \star \Delta^{n, \text{op}}$. The collection $\Omega^\bullet = \{\Omega^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ forms a cosimplicial object of simplicial sets.

We define a scaled simplicial set $T(n)$. The underlying simplicial set of $T(n)$ is Ω^n . In other words, it is a full subcomplex of $\Delta^n \star \Delta^{n, \text{op}}$ spanned by $(n+1)$ -dimensional simplices

$$\sigma(k) = \Delta^{\{00, \dots, 0k, 1k, \dots, 1n\}}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$. The scaling of $T(n)$ is induced by $Q(n)$. Concretely, the set $T_{T(n)}$ of thin triangles is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{T(n)} &= \{ \Delta^{\{ik, ik', ik''\}} \mid i = 0, 1, 0 \leq k < k' < k'' \leq n \} \\ &\cup \{ \Delta^{\{0k, 0k', 1k''\}} \mid 0 \leq k < k' \leq k'' \leq n \} \\ &\cup \{ \text{degenerate} \}. \end{aligned}$$

We can verify that the collection $T(\bullet) = \{T(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ forms a cosimplicial object in the category of scaled simplicial sets.

5.2. The Segal space $\text{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$. In this subsection we introduce a bisimplicial set $\text{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ for an ∞ -bicategory Z , and show that it is a Segal space. We will show that it is a complete Segal space in §5.3 below.

Definition 5.2. Let Z be an ∞ -bicategory. We set

$$\text{Tw}^r(Z)_n = \text{Map}^{\text{sc}}(T(n), Z).$$

The collection $\text{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet = \{\text{Tw}^r(Z)_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ forms a bisimplicial set.

The goal of this subsection is to show the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *For any ∞ -bicategory Z , the bisimplicial set $\text{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ is a Segal space.*

In order to prove Proposition 5.3, we make preliminary results. First, we show that $T(\bullet)$ is Reedy cofibrant.

Lemma 5.4. *The cosimplicial object $T(\bullet)$ in $\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{\text{sc}}$ is Reedy cofibrant.*

Proof. This follows by observing that the n th latching object is isomorphic to $\Omega(\Delta^1 \times \partial\Delta^n)_\dagger$. \square

For $0 \leq i \leq n$, we define subcomplexes $\Lambda_i^n T$ and $\overline{\Lambda}_i^n T$ of $T(n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_i^n T &= \Omega(\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_i^n)_\dagger, \\ \overline{\Lambda}_i^n T &= \Omega(\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_i^n \cup \partial\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n)_\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.5. *For any $0 < i < n$, the inclusion map $\Lambda_i^n T \rightarrow T(n)$ is scaled anodyne.*

Proof. First, we show that the inclusion map $\Lambda_i^n T \rightarrow \overline{\Lambda}_i^n T$ is scaled anodyne. There is a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega(\partial\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_i^n)_\dagger & \longrightarrow & \Omega(\partial\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n)_\dagger \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Lambda_i^n T & \longrightarrow & \overline{\Lambda}_i^n T \end{array}$$

of scaled simplicial sets. Since the top horizontal arrow is scaled anodyne, so is the bottom horizontal arrow.

Next, we show that the inclusion map $\overline{\Lambda}_i^n T \rightarrow T(n)$ is scaled anodyne. For $0 \leq k \leq n$, we recall that $\sigma(k)$ is the $(n+1)$ -dimensional simplex $\Delta^{\{00, \dots, 0k, 1k, \dots, 1n\}}$ of $\Delta^n \star \Delta^{n, \text{op}}$. For $0 \leq s \leq n$, we set

$$X(s) = \overline{\Lambda}_i^n T \cup \bigcup_{s \leq k \leq n} \sigma(k)_{\dagger}.$$

Then, we obtain a filtration

$$\overline{\Lambda}_i^n T = X(n+1) \rightarrow X(n) \rightarrow X(n-1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X(0) = T(n).$$

It suffices to show that the inclusion map $X(s+1) \rightarrow X(s)$ is scaled anodyne for any $0 \leq s \leq n$.

We fix s with $0 \leq s \leq n$ and show that $X(s+1) \rightarrow X(s)$ is scaled anodyne. We identify $\sigma(s)$ with a map $\sigma(s) : \Delta_{\dagger}^{n+1} \rightarrow X(s)$, where Δ_{\dagger}^{n+1} is Δ^{n+1} equipped with the induced scaling. There is a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{M(s), \dagger}^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{\dagger}^{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma(s) \\ X(s+1) & \longrightarrow & X(s), \end{array}$$

where

$$M(s) = \begin{cases} \{1i\} & (s=0), \\ \{0s, 1i\} & (0 < s < i), \\ \{0i\} & (s=i), \\ \{0i, 0s\} & (i < s \leq n). \end{cases}$$

Thus, it suffices to show that the inclusion map $\Lambda_{M(s), \dagger}^{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta_{\dagger}^{n+1}$ is scaled anodyne. This follows from Lemma 3.7. \square

Next, we show that $T(\bullet)$ satisfies the co-Segal condition.

Lemma 5.6. *The cosimplicial scaled simplicial set $T(\bullet)$ satisfies the co-Segal condition.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the co-Segal map

$$T(1) \prod_{T(0)} \cdots \prod_{T(0)} T(1) \longrightarrow T(n)$$

is scaled anodyne for all $n \geq 0$. This follows by induction on n together with Lemma 5.5. \square

Proposition 5.7. *For any ∞ -bicategory Z , the bisimplicial set $\text{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$ is a Segal space.*

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 4.6, 5.4, and 5.6. \square

5.3. Completeness of the Segal space $\text{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$. The goal of this subsection is to show that the Segal space $\text{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$ is complete. For this purpose, we prove that $T(\bullet)$ and $Q(\bullet)$ are equivalent in the Reedy model structure on the category of cosimplicial scaled simplicial sets.

Proposition 5.8. *The inclusion map $T(\bullet) \rightarrow Q(\bullet)$ is a weak equivalence in the Reedy model structure on the category of cosimplicial objects in $\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{\text{sc}}$, that is, it is a levelwise bicategorical equivalence.*

Using Proposition 5.8, we can show that the Segal space $\text{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$ is complete.

Theorem 5.9. *For any ∞ -bicategory Z , $\text{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$ is a complete Segal space.*

Proof. The map $T(\bullet) \rightarrow Q(\bullet)$ of cosimplicial scaled simplicial sets induces a map of Segal spaces $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$, which is a levelwise weak equivalence by Proposition 5.8. The theorem follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ is a complete Segal space by Theorem 4.9. \square

Now, we turn to the proof of Proposition 5.8. For $0 \leq k < l \leq n+1$, we denote by $\tau(k, l)$ the simplex of $\Delta^n \star \Delta^{n, \mathrm{op}}$ given by

$$\tau(k, l) = \Delta^{\{00, \dots, 0k, 0l, \dots, 0n, 1k, \dots, 1n\}}.$$

We understand $\tau(k, n+1) = \Delta^{\{00, \dots, 0k, 1k, \dots, 1n\}}$.

Proof of Proposition 5.8. By setting

$$X(k) = T(n) \cup \bigcup_{k \leq i \leq n} \tau(i, i+1)_\dagger,$$

we obtain a filtration $T(n) = X(n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X(0) = Q(n)$. It suffices to show that $X(k+1) \rightarrow X(k)$ is scaled anodyne for $0 \leq k < n$. For this purpose, we introduce a further filtration. By setting

$$Y(k, l) = X(k+1) \cup \bigcup_{l \leq j \leq n+1} \tau(k, j)_\dagger,$$

we obtain a filtration $X(k+1) = Y(k, n+1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y(k, k+1) = X(k)$. It suffices to show that $Y(k, j+1) \rightarrow Y(k, j)$ is scaled anodyne for $k+1 \leq j \leq n$.

There is a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{M(k, j), \dagger}^{2n-j+2} & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{\dagger}^{2n-j+2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y(k, j+1) & \longrightarrow & Y(k, j), \end{array}$$

where

$$M(k, j) = \begin{cases} \{00, \dots, 0k, 1(k+1), \dots, 1n\} & (j = n) \\ \{00, \dots, 0k, 1(k+1), \dots, 1n, 0(j+1), \dots, 0n\} & (k+1 \leq j < n). \end{cases}$$

Since the top horizontal arrow is scaled anodyne by Lemma 3.7, so is the bottom horizontal arrow. \square

5.4. A model for twisted arrow ∞ -categories. By Theorem 5.9, $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ is a complete Segal space and hence we obtain an ∞ -category $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$ whose set of n -simplices is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(T(n), Z)$ by applying the right Quillen equivalence $\mathrm{CSS} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{Joy}}$ (cf. Remark 4.15). The inclusion maps $\Delta_{\sharp}^n \coprod \Delta_{\sharp}^{n, \mathrm{op}} \rightarrow T(n)$ for $n \geq 0$ induce a map of ∞ -categories

$$\mathbf{p} : \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z) \longrightarrow u_1 Z \times u_1 Z^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

The goal of this subsection is to show that \mathbf{p} is a Cartesian fibration classified by the restricted mapping ∞ -category functor, and hence $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)$ is a model of twisted arrow ∞ -category.

First, we show that \mathbf{p} is a Cartesian fibration.

Proposition 5.10. *The map $\mathbf{p} : \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow u_1 Z \times u_1 Z^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a Cartesian fibration.*

In order to prove Proposition 5.10, we give a characterization of \mathbf{p} -Cartesian edges. We define a scaled simplicial set $T(n)_{\mathrm{cart}}$ for $n \geq 0$. The underlying simplicial set of $T(n)_{\mathrm{cart}}$ is Ω^n and the set $T_{T(n)_{\mathrm{cart}}}$ of thin triangles is given by

$$T_{T(n)_{\mathrm{cart}}} = T_{T(n)} \cup \{\Delta^{\{0i, 1(n-1), 1n\}} \mid 0 \leq i < n\}.$$

For a subcomplex K of Ω^n , we denote by K_{cart} the scaled simplicial set whose underlying simplicial set is K equipped with the induced scaling from $T(n)_{\text{cart}}$. We denote by $\overline{\Lambda}_n^n T_{\text{cart}}$ the subcomplex of $T(n)_{\text{cart}}$ given by $\Omega(\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_n^n \cup \partial\Delta^1 \times \Delta^n)_{\text{cart}}$. We show that a morphism $T(1) \rightarrow Z$ is \mathfrak{p} -Cartesian if it factors through the map $T(1) \rightarrow T(1)_{\text{cart}}$.

Lemma 5.11. *The map $\overline{\Lambda}_n^n T_{\text{cart}} \rightarrow T(n)_{\text{cart}}$ is scaled anodyne for $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. By setting

$$X(k) = \overline{\Lambda}_n^n T(n)_{\text{cart}} \cup \bigcup_{k \leq i \leq n} \sigma(i)_{\text{cart}},$$

we obtain a filtration $\overline{\Lambda}_n^n T(n)_{\text{cart}} = X(n+1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X(0) = T(n)_{\text{cart}}$. It suffices to show that $X(k+1) \rightarrow X(k)$ is scaled anodyne for $0 \leq k \leq n$.

There is a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{M(k), \text{cart}}^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{\text{cart}}^{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma^{(k)}_{\text{cart}} \\ X(k+1) & \longrightarrow & X(k) \end{array}$$

of scaled simplicial sets, where

$$M(k) = \begin{cases} \{1n\} & (k = 0), \\ \{0k, 1n\} & (0 < k < n), \\ \{0n\} & (k = n). \end{cases}$$

By using the fact that $\Delta^{\{0(n-1), 0n, 1n\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{0i, 1(n-1), 1n\}}$ are thin for $0 \leq i < n$, we see that the top horizontal arrow is scaled anodyne by Lemma 3.7. Hence, so is the bottom horizontal arrow. \square

Corollary 5.12. *A morphism $T(1) \rightarrow Z$ in $\text{Tw}^r(\mathcal{Z})$ is \mathfrak{p} -Cartesian if it factors through the map $T(1) \rightarrow T(1)_{\text{cart}}$.*

Proof. This follows from [12, Remark 2.4.1.4] and Lemma 5.11 for $n \geq 2$. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.10. Suppose that we have a morphism $(f, g) : (a_0, b_0) \rightarrow (a_1, b_1)$ in $u_1 Z_1 \times u_1 Z_1^{\text{op}}$ and an object $h : a_1 \rightarrow b_1$ in $\text{Tw}^r(Z)$. By Lemma 5.11 for $n = 1$, there exists a lifting $M : T(1)_{\text{cart}} \rightarrow Z$ of (f, g) with $d_0(M) = h$, where M is \mathfrak{p} -Cartesian by Corollary 5.12. \square

Next, we show that \mathfrak{p} is classified by the restricted mapping ∞ -category functor. For this, it suffices to show that \mathfrak{p} is equivalent to the Cartesian fibration $p : \text{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow u_1 Z \times u_1 Z^{\text{op}}$.

Theorem 5.13. *There is an equivalence of ∞ -categories $\text{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow \text{Tw}^r(Z)$ which makes the following diagram commute*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tw}^r(Z) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Tw}^r(Z) \\ & \searrow p & \swarrow \mathfrak{p} \\ & u_1 Z \times u_1 Z^{\text{op}}. & \end{array}$$

Proof. The commutative diagram is obtained from the inclusion maps $\Delta_{\sharp}^{\bullet} \amalg \Delta_{\sharp}^{\bullet, \text{op}} \rightarrow T(\bullet) \rightarrow Q(\bullet)$ of cosimplicial scaled simplicial sets by applying $\text{Hom}_{\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{\text{sc}}}(-, Z)$. Proposition 5.8 induces an equivalence of complete Segal spaces $\text{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet} \rightarrow \text{Tw}^r(Z)_{\bullet}$. By applying the right Quillen functor $\text{CSS} \rightarrow \text{Set}_{\Delta}^{\text{Joy}}$, we obtain an equivalence $\text{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow \text{Tw}^r(Z)$ of ∞ -categories. \square

Corollary 5.14. *The Cartesian fibration $\mathbf{p} : \mathrm{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow u_1Z \times u_1Z^{\mathrm{op}}$ is classified by the restricted mapping ∞ -category functor*

$$\mathrm{Map}_Z(-, -) : u_1Z^{\mathrm{op}} \times u_1Z \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_{(\infty,1)}.$$

Remark 5.15. There is an isomorphism $\overline{(-)} : Q(n)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow Q(n)$ of scaled simplicial sets given by $\overline{0i} = 1i$ and $\overline{1i} = 0i$. We denote by $\overline{T}(n)$ the subcomplex of $Q(n)$ given by the image of $T(n)^{\mathrm{op}}$ under the isomorphism $\overline{(-)}$. We notice that the underlying simplicial set of $\overline{T}(n)$ is spanned by $(n+1)$ -dimensional simplices

$$\Delta^{\{10, \dots, 1k, 0k, \dots, 0n\}}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$. The collection $\overline{T}(\bullet) = \{\overline{T}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ forms a cosimplicial scaled simplicial set.

For an ∞ -bicategory Z , we define $\overline{\mathrm{Tw}}^r(Z)_\bullet$ to be the bisimplicial set given by $\mathrm{Map}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\overline{T}(\bullet), Z)$. In the same way as $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet$ we can show that $\overline{\mathrm{Tw}}^r(Z)_\bullet$ is a complete Segal space. We denote by $\overline{\mathrm{Tw}}^r(Z)$ the corresponding ∞ -category. Then, $\overline{\mathrm{Tw}}^r(Z)$ is equipped with a Cartesian fibration $\overline{\mathbf{p}} : \overline{\mathrm{Tw}}^r(Z) \rightarrow u_1Z \times u_1Z^{\mathrm{op}}$. We can show that the inclusion map $\overline{T}(\bullet) \rightarrow Q(\bullet)$ of cosimplicial scaled simplicial sets induces an equivalence $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z)_\bullet \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Tw}}^r(Z)_\bullet$ of complete Segal spaces. This implies an equivalence $\mathrm{Tw}^r(Z) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Tw}}^r(Z)$ of ∞ -categories equipped with Cartesian fibration over $u_1Z \times u_1Z^{\mathrm{op}}$. Hence, we can also regard $\overline{\mathrm{Tw}}^r(Z)_\bullet$ as another model of the twisted arrow ∞ -category.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Abellán García, and W. H. Stern, Enhanced twisted arrow categories, *Theory Appl. Categ.* 39 (2023), Paper No. 4, 98–149.
- [2] C. Barwick, Spectral Mackey functors and equivariant algebraic K -theory (I), *Adv. Math.* 304 (2017), 646–727.
- [3] A. Gagna, Y. Harpaz, and E. Lanari, On the equivalence of all models for $(\infty, 2)$ -categories, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) 106 (2022), no.3, 1920–1982.
- [4] A. Gagna, Y. Harpaz, and E. Lanari, Gray tensor products and Lax functors of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories, *Adv. Math.* 391 (2021), Paper No. 107986, 32 pp.
- [5] D. Gepner, R. Haugseng, and T. Nikolaus, Lax colimits and free fibrations in ∞ -categories. *Doc. Math.* 22 (2017), 1225–1266.
- [6] S. Glasman, A spectrum-level Hodge filtration on topological Hochschild homology. *Selecta Math.* (N.S.) 22 (2016), no. 3, 1583–1612.
- [7] R. Haugseng, F. Hebestreit, S. Linskens, and J. Nuiten, Two-variable fibrations, factorisation systems and ∞ -categories of spans, *Forum Math. Sigma* 11 (2023), Paper No. e111, 70 pp.
- [8] P. S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, 99 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [9] M. Hovey, *Model categories*, *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, 63 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [10] A. Joyal, *The Theory of Quasi-Categories and its Applications*, *Lectures at: Advanced Course on Simplicial Methods in Higher Categories*, CRM 2008.
- [11] A. Joyal, and M. Tierney, *Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces*, *Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics*, 277–326. *Contemp. Math.*, 431 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [12] J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 170. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [13] J. Lurie, *Higher algebra*, available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/>.
- [14] J. Lurie, $(\infty, 2)$ -categories and the Goodwillie calculus I, available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/>.
- [15] C. Rezk, A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353 (2001), no.3, 973–1007.
- [16] T. Torii, A perfect pairing for monoidal adjunctions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 151 (2023), no.12, 5069–5080.
- [17] T. Torii, *Map monoidales and duoidal ∞ -categories*, in preparation.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA 700–8530, JAPAN
Email address: torii@math.okayama-u.ac.jp