

On the Paley RIP and Paley graph extractor

Shohei Satake *

Abstract

Constructing explicit RIP matrices is an open problem in compressed sensing theory. In particular, it is quite challenging to construct explicit RIP matrices that break the square-root bottleneck. On the other hand, providing explicit 2-source extractors is a fundamental problem in theoretical computer science, cryptography and combinatorics. Nowadays, there are only a few known constructions for explicit 2-source extractors (with negligible errors) that break the half barrier for min-entropy.

In this paper, we establish a new connection between RIP matrices breaking the square-root bottleneck and 2-source extractors breaking the half barrier for min-entropy. Here we focus on an RIP matrix (called the Paley ETF) and a 2-source extractor (called the Paley graph extractor), where both are defined from quadratic residues over the finite field of odd prime order $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. As a main result, we prove that if the Paley ETF breaks the square-root bottleneck, then the Paley graph extractor breaks the half barrier for min-entropy as well. Since it is widely believed that the Paley ETF breaks the square-root bottleneck, our result accordingly provides a new affirmative intuition on the conjecture for the Paley graph extractor by Benny Chor and Oded Goldreich.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we establish a new relation between two major conjectures (Conjectures 4 and 9 below) in compressed sensing and theoretical computer science, which both are regarding structures defined from *quadratic residues* over finite fields.

1.1 RIP matrices

Matrices with *restricted isometry property* (RIP) defined below have fundamental applications to signal processing since, by adopting them, it is possible to measure and recover sparse signals using significantly fewer measurements than the dimension of the signals [6].

Definition 1 (Restricted isometry property, RIP). *Let Φ be a complex $M \times N$ matrix. Suppose that $K \leq M \leq N$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Then Φ is said to have the (K, δ) -restricted isometry property (RIP) if*

$$(1 - \delta)\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \leq \|\Phi\mathbf{x}\|^2 \leq (1 + \delta)\|\mathbf{x}\|^2$$

for every N -dimensional complex vector \mathbf{x} with at most K non-zero entries. Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the ℓ_2 norm.

According to Definition 1, the following RIP constant is an important constant showing the trade-off of K and δ .

Definition 2 (RIP constant). *For each $K \geq 1$ and an $M \times N$ matrix, the RIP constant $\delta_K = \delta_K(\Phi)$ is the minimum real number $\delta \geq 0$ that Φ has the (K, δ) -RIP.*

*Research and Education Institute for Semiconductors and Informatics, Kumamoto University
2-39-1, Kurokami, Chuo, Kumamoto, 860-8555, Japan.
E-mail: shohei-satake@kumamoto-u.ac.jp

On the other hand, it is known that the problem checking whether a given matrix has RIP is NP-hard [1]. Thus many publications have attempted to give *explicit* constructions of matrices having RIP. However, for most of known such constructions, it is only proved that (K, δ) -RIP with $K = O(\sqrt{M})$, following from the RIP evaluation via the mutual coherence and the Welch bound [23] (see also [5]). This barrier for the magnitude of the order of K is called the *square-root bottleneck* or *quadratic bottleneck*. From this situation, the following problem arises.

Problem 3 ([5]). *Construct an explicit $M \times N$ matrix Φ having the (K, δ) -RIP with $K = \Omega(M^\gamma)$ for some $\gamma > 1/2$.*

To our best knowledge, the first (unconditional) solution to this problem was given by Bourgain, Dilworth, Ford, Konyagin and Kutzarova [5]. By applying recent progresses on additive combinatorics, the authors of [11] provided the state-of-the-art construction for explicit $M \times N$ matrices having $(M^{1/2+\kappa}, M^{-\mu})$ -RIP with $\kappa = 0.815 \times 10^{-7}$ and some $\mu > 0$.

On the other hand, there is another good candidate for RIP matrix, namely, the *Paley ETF* Φ_p which is a $(p+1)/2 \times (p+1)$ matrix defined by quadratic residues modulo a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (see Definition 11). Indeed, it was conjectured in [2] (see also [3], [22]) that the RIP of Φ_p would be superior than the state-of-the-art construction.

Conjecture 4 ([2], see also Conjecture 29 in Section 5). *For any sufficiently large prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the Paley ETF Φ_p has the (K, δ) -RIP with*

$$K = \frac{c_1 p}{\log^{c_2} p}$$

for constant numbers $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $0 < \delta < \sqrt{2} - 1$.

1.2 Randomness extractors

A *randomness extractor* is a fundamental object in theoretical computer science and cryptography. Informally, a randomness extractor is a deterministic function which takes inputs from an unknown source (with sufficient min-entropy, defined below) and outputs bits over nearly-uniform distribution. Hereafter let Ω be a non-empty finite set and $n = \lceil \log_2 |\Omega| \rceil$ (that is, n is the least number of bits to express the size of Ω).

Definition 5 (Min-entropy). *For a source X on Ω , its min-entropy $H_\infty(X)$ is defined as*

$$H_\infty(X) := \min_{x \in \Omega} (-\log \Pr[X = x]).$$

If $H_\infty(X)$ is small, then the corresponding distribution is “far” from the uniform distribution, which is typical in the scenario of computer science and cryptography. This is why we want to deal with sources having *small* min-entropy.

One of well-studied randomness extractors is a *2-source extractor* which takes inputs from two independent sources. Towards the precise definition of 2-source extractors, we also introduce the statistical distance which measures how two probability distributions are close.

Definition 6 (Statistical distance). *Let \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 be two probability distributions over Ω . Then the statistical distance between \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 is defined as*

$$|\mathcal{D}_1 - \mathcal{D}_2| := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \in \Omega} |\Pr[\mathcal{D}_1 = d] - \Pr[\mathcal{D}_2 = d]|.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, we write $\mathcal{D}_1 \approx_\epsilon \mathcal{D}_2$ if $|\mathcal{D}_1 - \mathcal{D}_2| \leq \epsilon$.

Now we introduce the precise definition of 2-source extractors. In this paper we focus on 2-source extractors with 1-bit outputs.

Definition 7 (2-source extractors with 1-bit outputs). *A function $\text{Ext} : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is a 2-source extractor for min-entropy k and error ϵ if for any two independent sources X and Y on Ω with $H_\infty(X) \geq k$ and $H_\infty(Y) \geq k$, we have*

$$\text{Ext}(X, Y) \approx_\epsilon \mathcal{U}$$

where \mathcal{U} denotes the uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}$. Here we say Ext is a 2-source (k, ϵ) -extractor.

Note that for applications to computer science and cryptography, it is desired that the error ϵ is *negligible*, that is $\epsilon < \exp(-cn)$ for some $c > 0$. Whereas it is well-known that a probabilistic argument shows the existence of 2-source (k, ϵ) -extractors for $k \geq \log n + 2 \log(1/\epsilon) + 1$, it is open and quite challenging to provide *explicit* 2-source (k, ϵ) -extractors for small k , and, hopefully, negligible ϵ . In [9], Chor and Goldreich constructed explicit 2-source (k, ϵ) -extractors for $k > n/2$ and negligible ϵ , and since then there was no constructions for $k \leq n/2$ for two decades. This barrier for k is referred as the *half barrier*, and the following problem arises.

Problem 8 (e.g. [4], [8], [9]). *Provide explicit 2-source (k, ϵ) -extractors for $k < n/2$ and negligible ϵ .*

The first affirmative solution was provided by Bourgain [4] based on additive combinatorics, where he constructed explicit 2-source $((1/2 - \sigma)n, \epsilon)$ -extractors for some small constant $\sigma > 0$ and negligible ϵ (see also [20]). In [15] Lewko presented explicit 2-source $(4n/9, \epsilon)$ -extractors for negligible ϵ (see also [16]). On the other hand, if one allows *non-negligible* error ϵ (i.e. $\epsilon < n^{-c}$ for some $c > 0$, even or $\epsilon < 1$ is a constant), then there are constructions for much smaller min-entropy, which provide the best known construction for Ramsey graphs (e.g. [8]). A significant breakthrough was obtained by Chattopadhyay and Zuckerman [8] who constructed explicit 2-source (k, ϵ) -extractors for $k = \log^{56}(n/\epsilon)$, where ϵ is non-negligible. Recently Li [17] constructed explicit 2-source (k, ϵ) -extractors for $k > c \log n$ for some $c > 1$ and any constant $\epsilon > 0$.

Now let Ω be the field \mathbb{F}_p of odd prime order p (and hence $n = \lceil \log_2 p \rceil$). Then the *Paley graph extractor* Ext_p is a 2-source extractor defined by the quadratic multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_p (see Definition 13). This extractor was introduced by Chor and Goldreich [9] who made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 9 ([9]). *The Paley graph extractor Ext_p is a 2-source $(\alpha n, \epsilon)$ -extractor for any $0 < \alpha < 1$ and some negligible ϵ*

Although this conjecture is affirmatively confirmed only for $\alpha > 1/2$ ([9]), it is even believed (e.g. [19]) in number theory that Ext_p is a $(\text{poly } \log n, \epsilon)$ -extractor where $\epsilon = o(1)$. Hence, even after the state-of-the-art constructions (e.g. [8], [17]), investigating the Paley graph extractor is still very interesting and quite challenging.

1.3 Main results

In this paper, we focus on the Paley ETF and Paley graph extractor, and establish a new relationship between Conjectures 4 and 9 which are seemingly unrelated and have been investigated independently. The main results of this paper are summarized as follows.

- *If the Paley ETF Φ_p is an RIP matrix breaking the square-root bottleneck, then the Paley graph extractor Ext_p is a 2-source extractor breaking the half barrier, having a negligible error (see Theorem 18, Corollary 21).*
- *Furthermore, Conjecture 4 (more precisely, Conjecture 29 in Section 5) implies Conjecture 9 (see Corollary 31).*

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review some necessary mathematical notations as well as the precise definitions for the Paley ETF and Paley graph extractor. Sections 3, 4 and 5 consists of the main body of this paper. Section 3 presents the first main result (Theorem 18) and its corollaries, and Section 4 is devoted for the proof of Theorem 18. Finally, Section 5 presents the second main result (Corollary 31).

2 Preliminaries

Let p denote an odd prime number. Let \mathbb{F}_p be the finite field with p elements which can be identified to the residue ring $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. It is well-known that the multiplicative group of \mathbb{F}_p , denoted by \mathbb{F}_p^* , is a cyclic group of order $p - 1$, consisting of all non-zero elements of \mathbb{F}_p . A non-zero element $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is called a *quadratic residue modulo p* if the equation $X^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ has non-zero solutions. Note that there exist exactly $(p - 1)/2$ quadratic residues modulo p . The *canonical additive character* ψ of \mathbb{F}_p is a map from \mathbb{F}_p to the unit circle in \mathbb{C} such that $\psi(x) := \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{p} \cdot x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Notice that for every pair of $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have $\psi(x + y) = \psi(x)\psi(y)$. A *quadratic multiplicative character* χ of \mathbb{F}_p is a map from \mathbb{F}_p to $\{0, \pm 1\}$ defined as

$$\chi(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & x = 0; \\ 1 & x \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } p; \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that $\chi(xy) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$ for every pair of $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

Also we introduce the following evaluation for the quadratic Gauss sum.

Lemma 10 ([18]). *For a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$,*

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \psi(ax^2) = \chi(a)\sqrt{p}.$$

Now we are ready to define the Paley ETF.

Definition 11 (Paley ETF, [3], [21], [24]). *For a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ let Q_p be the set of quadratic residues modulo p . Suppose that elements of \mathbb{F}_p and Q_p are labelled as $\mathbb{F}_p = \{0 = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$ and $Q_p = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{(p-1)/2}\}$, respectively. Then the Paley ETF Φ_p is a $(p + 1)/2 \times (p + 1)$ complex matrix of the following form.*

$$\Phi_p := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} & \dots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} & 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{p}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{p}}\psi(b_1 a_2) & \dots & \sqrt{\frac{2}{p}}\psi(b_1 a_p) & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{p}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{p}}\psi(b_2 a_2) & \dots & \sqrt{\frac{2}{p}}\psi(b_2 a_p) & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{p}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{p}}\psi(b_{\frac{p-1}{2}} a_2) & \dots & \sqrt{\frac{2}{p}}\psi(b_{\frac{p-1}{2}} a_p) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

As shown in [21], Φ_p is an equiangular tight frame (ETF).

Lemma 12. *Let ϕ_i be the i -th column of Φ_p . Then, for each $1 \leq i, j \leq p$,*

$$\langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \cdot \chi(a_i - a_j) & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since it is obvious when $i = j$, suppose that $i \neq j$. For every $1 \leq k \leq (p-1)/2$, the equation $X^2 \equiv b_k \pmod{p}$ has exactly two distinct non-zero solutions by the definition of b_k . Then it follows from Lemma 10 that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle &= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \psi((a_i - a_j)b_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \psi((a_i - a_j)x^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \psi((a_i - a_j)x^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \cdot \chi(a_i - a_j)\sqrt{p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \cdot \chi(a_i - a_j). \end{aligned}$$

□

The next definition is for the Paley graph extractor.

Definition 13 (Paley graph extractor, [9]). *For an odd prime p , the Paley graph extractor $\text{Ext}_p : \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is a function defined as*

$$\text{Ext}_p(x, y) := \begin{cases} 1 & x = y; \\ \frac{1}{2}(\chi(x - y) + 1) & x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

The following property is convenient for investigating the Paley graph extractor.

Definition 14. *Let p be an odd prime. For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta > 0$, we say that the property $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ holds if for every pair of $S, T \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|S|, |T| > p^\alpha$,*

$$\left| \sum_{s \in S, t \in T} \chi(s - t) \right| \leq Cp^{-\beta}|S||T|$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

The following proposition proved in [9] plays a key role for investigating the Paley graph extractor.

Proposition 15 (Lemma 5, Corollary 11 in [9]). *Let $n = \lceil \log_2 p \rceil$. If the property $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ holds for an odd prime p , then Ext_p is a 2 -source $(\alpha n, p^{-\beta})$ -extractors.*

Remark 16. *The well-known Paley graph conjecture tells that for each $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and any sufficiently large prime p , there exists a constant $\beta = \beta(\alpha) > 0$ such that $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ holds; see e.g. [7], [9], [12]. Chor and Goldreich established Conjecture 9 based on the Paley graph conjecture.*

Remark 17. *For a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the Paley graph G_p with p vertices is defined as an undirected graph with vertex set \mathbb{F}_p in which two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $\chi(x - y) = 1$. The clique number (i.e. the size of the maximum cliques), denoted by $\omega(G_p)$, of G_p has been extensively studied in graph theory and additive combinatorics, and it is conjectured that in fact $\omega(G_p) = O(\text{poly } \log p)$. The best known upper bound in [13] shows $\omega(G_p) \leq \sqrt{p/2} + 1$. Notice that the property $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha < 1/2$ implies a better bound that $\omega(G_p) \leq p^\alpha = o(\sqrt{p})$.*

3 The first main result

Here is the first main result of this paper.

Theorem 18. *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be a prime number and $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrarily small fixed constant. Suppose that the matrix Φ_p has the $(p^{1/2+\varepsilon}, p^{-\tau})$ -RIP for some constant $\tau > 0$. Let γ be any real number such that $0 < \gamma < \tau$. Then there exists a constant $\beta = \beta(\varepsilon, \gamma) > 0$ such that the property $\mathcal{P}(1/2 - \tau + \gamma, \beta)$ holds. In particular, according to the notion of Definition 14, the real number β can be taken as*

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \gamma & p^{1/2-\tau+\gamma} < |S|, |T| \leq p^{1/2+\varepsilon}; \\ 0.05\varepsilon^2 & \text{either } |S| > p^{1/2+\varepsilon} \text{ or } |T| > p^{1/2+\varepsilon}. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Remark 19. *It holds that $0 < 1/2 - \tau + \gamma < 1/2$. Hence Theorem 18 significantly improved the state-of-the-art result (see Theorem 24 below) under the assumption for the RIP.*

Remark 20. *Although it is still not known whether the assumption for the RIP in Theorem 18 is true, this is broadly believed to be true. Indeed, as pointed out in [2], it matches both of theoretical and numerical results for pseudo-randomness of quadratic residues and estimating the clique number of Paley graphs; see also Section 5.*

Keeping Remark 19 in mind, we present several corollaries. The following corollary is immediately obtained by Proposition 15.

Corollary 21. *Let $n = \lceil \log_2 p \rceil$. Then, under the assumption of Theorem 18, the Paley graph extractor Ext_p is a 2-source extractors breaking the min-entropy $1/2$ barrier with exponentially small error. Precisely, Ext_p is a 2-source $(\alpha n, p^{-\beta})$ -extractor for some $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ and $\beta > 0$.*

Remark 22. *Note that $p^{-\beta}$ is a negligible error since it is exponentially small as a function of $n = \lceil \log_2 p \rceil$.*

The next one follows from Remark 17, which shows that Theorem 18 is an extension of the main theorem in [3].

Corollary 23. *Under the assumption of Theorem 18, we have $\omega(G_p) = o(\sqrt{p})$.*

4 Proof of Theorem 18

To prove Theorem 18, we introduce the following two theorems. The first theorem is due to Karatsuba [14] and is well-known in number theory and computer science.

Theorem 24 ([14]). *Let p be an odd prime and $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrarily small constant. Then for any subsets $S, T \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ such that one has size more than p^ε and another has size more than $p^{1/2+\varepsilon}$, we have*

$$\left| \sum_{s \in S, t \in T} \chi(s-t) \right| \leq p^{-0.05\varepsilon^2} |S||T|.$$

The next theorem is our contribution, and crucially relies on the RIP of Φ_p .

Theorem 25. *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be a prime number and $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrarily small fixed constant. Suppose that the matrix Φ_p has the $(p^{1/2+\varepsilon}, p^{-\tau})$ -RIP for some constant $\tau > 0$. Let γ be any real number such that $0 < \gamma < \tau$. Then for any subsets $S, T \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $p^{1/2-\tau+\gamma} < |S|, |T| \leq p^{1/2+\varepsilon}$, we have*

$$\left| \sum_{s \in S, t \in T} \chi(s-t) \right| \leq 4p^{-\gamma} |S||T|.$$

Assuming Theorems 24 and 25, we present the proof of Theorem 18. The proof of Theorem 25 is presented in the next section.

Proof of Theorem 18. The property $\mathcal{P}(1/2 + \varepsilon, \beta)$ follows from Theorem 24 by taking $\beta = 0.05\varepsilon^2$. Since Theorem 25 covers any subsets $S, T \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $p^{1/2-\tau+\gamma} < |S|, |T| \leq p^{1/2+\varepsilon}$, the property $\mathcal{P}(1/2 - \tau + \gamma, \beta)$ follows, completing the proof. \square

Now all that left is to do is to prove Theorem 25. To this end, we show the following lemmas for computing the Rayleigh quotient for Φ_p .

Lemma 26. *For a subset $U \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, let $\mathbf{1}_U \in \{0, 1\}^{p+1}$ be the indicator vector of U . Then for any subset $U \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ we have*

$$\mathbf{1}_U^T (\Phi_p^T \overline{\Phi_p}) \mathbf{1}_U = |U| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{u, v \in U} \chi(u - v).$$

Proof. Since $\Phi_p^T \overline{\Phi_p}$ is a Gram matrix, the lemma is straightforward by Lemma 12. Note that since $\chi(0) = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{u, v \in U \\ u \neq v}} \chi(u - v) = \sum_{u, v \in U} \chi(u - v).$$

\square

Lemma 27. *Suppose that Φ_p has the (K, δ) -RIP. Then for any subset $U \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|U| \leq K$, we have*

$$(1 - \delta)|U| \leq \mathbf{1}_U^T (\Phi_p^T \overline{\Phi_p}) \mathbf{1}_U \leq (1 + \delta)|U|.$$

Proof. The lemma immediately follows from the assumption and a simple fact that $\mathbf{1}_U^T (\Phi_p^T \overline{\Phi_p}) \mathbf{1}_U = (\Phi_p \mathbf{1}_U)^T (\overline{\Phi_p \mathbf{1}_U}) = \|\Phi_p \mathbf{1}_U\|^2$. \square

Lemmas 26 and 27 straightforwardly yield the following lemma which plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 25.

Lemma 28. *Suppose that Φ_p has the (K, δ) -RIP. Then for any subset $U \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|U| \leq K$, we have*

$$\left| \sum_{u, v \in U} \chi(u - v) \right| \leq \delta p^{\frac{1}{2}} |U|$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 25.

Proof of Theorem 25. Suppose that the matrix Φ_p has the $(p^{1/2+\varepsilon}, p^{-\tau})$ -RIP for some constant $\tau > 0$. Let γ be any real number such that $0 < \gamma < \tau$. Let $S, T \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ be any subsets with $p^{1/2-\tau+\gamma} < |S|, |T| \leq p^{1/2+\varepsilon}$. First observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \in S, t \in T} \chi(s - t) &= \sum_{u, v \in S \cup T} \chi(u - v) - \sum_{u, v \in S \setminus T} \chi(u - v) \\ &\quad - \sum_{u, v \in T \setminus S} \chi(u - v) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Here remark that the second or third term in the right-hand side of (4.1) does not contribute to the sum if $S \subset T$ or $T \subset S$, respectively. By applying Lemma 28 for $U = S \cup T$, $U = S \setminus T$ and $U = T \setminus S$, the equation (4.1) implies that

$$\left| \sum_{s \in S, t \in T} \chi(s - t) \right| \leq p^{\frac{1}{2}-\tau} \cdot (|S \cup T| + |S \setminus T| + |T \setminus S|). \tag{4.2}$$

Since $|S \cup T| \leq |S| + |T|$, $|S \setminus T| \leq |S|$ and $|T \setminus S| \leq |T|$, the inequality (4.2) yields

$$\left| \sum_{s \in S, t \in T} \chi(s-t) \right| \leq 2p^{\frac{1}{2}-\tau} \cdot (|S| + |T|). \quad (4.3)$$

By (4.3), it suffices to show that

$$2p^{\frac{1}{2}-\tau} \cdot (|S| + |T|) \leq 4p^{-\gamma}|S||T|,$$

which immediately follows from the assumption that $|S|, |T| > p^{1/2-\tau+\gamma}$. This completes the proof. \square

5 The RIP constant of Φ_p and the second main result

In this section we briefly discuss a conjectured behavior of the RIP constant δ_K of the Paley ETF Φ_p as well as the behavior of the constant $\tau = \tau(K)$ in Theorem 18. In [2], the following conjecture was proposed based on the model that the set of quadratic residues behaves like a random subsets of \mathbb{F}_p , supported by an estimation for the RIP constant of random partial (normalized) Fourier matrices, together with the fact that the Fourier portion of Φ_p is close to random partial (normalized) Fourier matrices with high probability (as $p \rightarrow \infty$); for details, see Section 5.3 in [2].

Conjecture 29 (Conjecture 25 in [2]). *For a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $K \geq 1$ the RIP constant δ_K of Φ_p satisfies that*

$$\delta_K = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{K}{p}} \cdot \log K \cdot \log p\right).$$

Accordingly, Φ_p has the $(\frac{c_1 p}{\log^{c_2} p}, \delta)$ -RIP for constant numbers $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $0 < \delta < \sqrt{2} - 1$.

Although this conjecture is still open (and seems to be very hard to prove), it is broadly believed and agrees a widely-believed conjecture for the clique number $\omega(G_p)$ of the Paley graph G_p , stating that $\omega(G_p) = \text{poly} \log p$.

For $K = \Theta(p^\theta)$, $\theta > 0$, Conjecture 29 immediately implies

$$\delta_K = O\left(\theta p^{\frac{\theta-1}{2}} \log^2 p\right).$$

Hence, if Conjecture 29 holds, then for any sufficiently large $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $K = \Theta(p^\theta)$, $\theta > 0$, it is possible to take the real number $\tau = \tau(K)$ (in Theorem 18) so that

$$\tau \leq \frac{1-\theta}{2} + o(1). \quad (5.1)$$

Assuming that Conjecture 29 holds, then Theorem 18 and (5.1) yield the property $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ for any $\alpha > 0$ and some $\beta > 0$. Thus we have the following corollary which is closely related the Paley graph conjecture (see Remark 16)

Corollary 30. *Suppose that Conjecture 29 holds for sufficiently large primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then the property $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ holds for any $\alpha > 0$ and some $\beta > 0$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha > 0$ be given. Since the case for $\alpha > 1/2 + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrarily small fixed constant, was covered in Theorem 24, we shall assume that $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2 + \varepsilon$. By (5.1) it is possible to take θ, τ, γ with

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \theta < \alpha \\ \tau = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4}\theta > 0 \\ 0 < \gamma < \tau \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{2} - \tau + \gamma = \frac{3}{4}\theta + \gamma < \theta < \alpha. \quad (5.3)$$

Notice that Conjecture 29 now implies that the Paley ETF Φ_p has the $(\Theta(p^\theta), p^{-\tau})$ for each $\theta > 0$ and $\tau = 1/2 - 3\theta/4$. Hence the same line of the proof of Theorem 25 yields that for each $\theta > 0$ and any subsets $S, T \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $p^{1/2-\tau+\gamma} < |S|, |T| \leq K = \Theta(p^\theta)$ (which is valid thanks to (5.3)),

$$\left| \sum_{s \in S, t \in T} \chi(s-t) \right| \leq 4p^{-\gamma}|S||T|.$$

Since $p^{1/2-\tau+\gamma} < p^\alpha$ by (5.3), the same line of the proof of Theorem 18 yields the property $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ where $\beta > 0$ can be chosen as (3.1) (and γ is chosen so that (5.2) and (5.3)). \square

Finally, Proposition 15 yields the following corollary, which is the second main result of this paper.

Corollary 31. *Suppose that Conjecture 29 holds for sufficiently large primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let $n = \lceil \log_2 p \rceil$. Then the Paley graph extractor Ext_p is a 2-source $(\alpha n, p^{-\beta})$ -extractor for any $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ and some $\beta > 0$, which is the statement of Conjecture 9.*

As a byproduct of Corollary 31, Conjecture 29 also implies an upper bound for the clique number $\omega(G_p)$, say, $\omega(G_p) = o(p^\alpha)$ for any $\alpha > 0$; recall Remark 17.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by JST, ACT-X Grant Number JPMJAX2109, Japan. This paper was written during an academic visit to Department of Mathematics, Emory University. We appreciate Ayush Basu, Yujie Gu, Vojtěch Rödl, Tsuyoshi Takagi and Kenji Yasunaga for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] A. S. Bandeira, E. Dobriban, D. G. Mixon, and W. F. Sawin, “Certifying the restricted isometry property is hard,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, pp. 3448–3450, 2013.
- [2] A. S. Bandeira, M. Fickus, D. G. Mixon, and P. Wong, “The road to deterministic matrices with the restricted isometry property,” *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, vol. 19, pp. 1123–1149, 2013.
- [3] A. S. Bandeira, D. G. Mixon, and J. Moreira, “A conditional construction of restricted isometries,” *Int. Math. Res. Not.* vol. 2017, pp. 372–381, 2017.
- [4] J. Bourgain, “More on the sum-product phenomenon in prime fields and its applications,” *Int. J. Number Theory*, vol. 1, pp.1–32, 2005.
- [5] J. Bourgain, S. Dilworth, K. Ford, S. Konyagin, and D. Kutzarova, “Explicit constructions of RIP matrices and related problems,” *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 159, pp. 145–185, 2011.
- [6] E. Candès, “The restricted isometry property and its implications for compressed sensing,” *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, vol. 346, pp. 589–592, 2008.
- [7] M.-C. Chang, “On a question of Davenport and Lewis and new character sum bounds in finite fields,” *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 145, pp. 409–442, 2008.
- [8] E. Chattopadhyay and D. Zuckerman, “Explicit two-source extractors and resilient functions,” *Ann. Math.*, vol. 189, pp. 653–705, 2019.

- [9] B. Chor and O. Goldreich, “Unbiased bits from sources of weak randomness and probabilistic communication complexity,” *SIAM J. Comput.* vol. 17, pp. 230–261, 1988.
- [10] F. Chung, “Several generalizations of Weil’s sums,” *J. Number Theory*, vol. 49, pp. 95–106, 1994.
- [11] K. Ford, D. Kutzarova, and G. Shakan, “Explicit RIP matrices: an update,” *Acta Math. Hungar.*, vol. 168, pp. 509–515, 2022.
- [12] A. M. Güloğlu and M. R. Murty, “The Paley graph conjecture and Diophantine m -tuples,” *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, vol. 170, 105155, 2020.
- [13] B. Hanson and G. Petridis, “Refined estimates concerning sumsets contained in the roots of unity,” *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, vol. 122, pp. 353–358, 2021.
- [14] A. A. Karatsuba, “Arithmetic problems in the theory of Dirichlet characters,” *Russian Math. Surveys*, vol. 63, pp. 641–690, 2008.
- [15] M. Lewko, “An explicit two-source extractor with min-entropy rate near $4/9$,” *Mathematika*, vol. 65, pp. 950–957, 2019.
- [16] M. Lewko, “Counting rectangles and an improved restriction estimate for the paraboloid in F_p^3 ,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 148, pp. 1535–1543, 2020.
- [17] X. Li, “Two source extractors for asymptotically optimal entropy, and (many) more,” 2023 IEEE 64th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS). IEEE, pp. 1271–1281, 2023.
- [18] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, “Introduction to Finite Fields and Their Applications,” Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [19] R. Mrazović, “Extractors in Paley graphs: A random model,” *Eur. J. Comb.*, vol. 54, pp. 154–162, 2016.
- [20] A. Rao, “An exposition of Bourgain’s 2-source extractor,” *Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity (ECCC)*, vol. 14, pp. 1–16, 2007.
- [21] J. M. Renes, “Equiangular tight frames from Paley tournaments,” *Linear Algebra Appl.*, vol. 426, pp. 497–501, 2007.
- [22] S. Satake, “On the restricted isometry property of the Paley matrix,” *Linear Algebra Appl.*, vol. 631, pp. 35–47, 2021.
- [23] L. R. Welch, “Lower bounds on the maximum cross correlation of signals,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 20, pp. 397–399, 1974.
- [24] G. Zauner, “Quantum Designs - Foundations of a Non-Commutative Theory of Designs,” Ph.D. thesis, University of Vienna, 1999.