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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a complete discrete valuation ring, $k(\eta)$ its fraction field, $S=\operatorname{Spec} R,\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$ a polarized abelian variety over $k(\eta)$ with $\mathcal{L}_{\eta}$ symmetric ample cubical and $\mathcal{G}$ the Néron model of $G_{\eta}$ over $S$. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ is semiabelian over $S$. Then there exists a unique relative compactification $(P, \mathcal{N})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ such that $(\alpha) P$ is Cohen-Macaulay with $\operatorname{codim}_{P}(P \backslash \mathcal{G})=2$ and $(\beta) \mathcal{N}$ is ample invertible with $\mathcal{N}_{\mid \mathcal{G}}$ cubical and $\mathcal{N}_{\eta}=\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes n}$ for some positive integer $n$. The totally degenerate case has been studied in MN24. We discuss here first the partially degenerate case and then the case where $R$ is a Dedekind domain.
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## 1. Introduction

This is a continuation of [MN24]. Let $R$ be a complete discrete valuation ring (abbr. CDVR), $I$ the maximal ideal of $R, k(\eta)$ (resp. $k(0)$ ) the fraction (resp. residue) field of $R, S:=\operatorname{Spec} R$ and $\eta$ (resp. 0) the generic (resp. closed) point of $S$. Let ( $G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}$ ) be a polarized abelian variety over $k(\eta)$ with $\mathcal{L}_{\eta}$ symmetric ample cubical, $\mathcal{G}$ the Néron model of $G_{\eta}$ and $G:=\mathcal{G}^{0}$ the identity component of $\mathcal{G}$. A triple $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ is called a relative compactification of $\mathcal{G}$ (abbr. compactification of $\mathcal{G}$ ) (extending $\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$ ) if
(rc1) $P$ is an irreducible proper flat $S$-scheme;
(rc2) $i: \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow P$ is an open immersion with $P_{\eta}=i\left(\mathcal{G}_{\eta}\right)=i\left(G_{\eta}\right)$;
(rc3) $\mathcal{N}$ is an ample invertible $\mathcal{O}_{P}$-module with $i^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes l}$ for some $l \geq 1$.
The purpose of this article is to prove the following theorems.
Theorem 1.1. If $\mathcal{G}$ is semiabelian over $S$, then there exists a relative compactification $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ such that
(a) $P$ is Cohen-Macaulay;
(b) $i(\mathcal{G})=P \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(P_{0}\right)$ with $\operatorname{codim}_{P} \operatorname{Sing}\left(P_{0}\right) \geq 2$ where $\operatorname{Sing}\left(P_{0}\right)$ denotes the singular locus of $P_{0}$;
(c) $i^{*} \mathcal{N}$ is cubical with $i_{*} i^{*} \mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}$;
(d) $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $P$ so that $i$ is $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant.

Theorem 1.2. If $\left(P^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$ is another relative compactification of $\mathcal{G}$ subject to (a)-(c), then $P \simeq P^{\prime}$.

Theorem 1.1 (resp. Theorem (1.2) is proved in $\S \S$ 7] 8 in the partially degenerate case (resp. § 11 in any case). See [MN24 for Theorem 1.1 in the totally degenerate case. We call $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ in Theorem 1.1 (as well as Theorem 12.1) a cubical compactification of $\mathcal{G}$.

The article is organized as follows: In $\S \S$ 2/3, we recall basic notions and theorems mainly from [FC90. In § 4 , we study central extensions of a finite group $S$-sceme by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. In $\S$, we construct degeneration data of the Néron model $\left(\mathcal{G}, i^{*} \mathcal{N}\right)$ after a suitable base change. In § 6, we construct a compactification $P_{l}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ by using the degeneration data of $\left(\mathcal{G}, i^{*} \mathcal{N}\right)$. In § 7, we study the structure of the compactification $P_{l}$. In § 园, we prove Theorem 1.1 in the partially degenerate case. In $\S \S 9-10$ we compute the cohomology groups of $P$. In §11, we prove Theorem 11.2 ( $=$ Theorem 1.2 in any case). In § 12, we prove Theorem 12.1 (=Theorems 1.1,1.2 over a Dedekind domain) using $\S 10$, In $\S 13$, we explain the complex case. In Appendix we prove algebraizability of the abelian part of the formal completion of $G$. In Appendix B we extend the degeneration data of ( $G, \mathcal{L}$ ) from $(X, Y)$ to $(X, X)$. Throughout this article, we use the notation in MN24] unless otherwise mentioned. See [MN24, §§ 2.3/4.1/10.3/Notation 5.7]. See also Notation 6.2,6.27.

Acknowledgement. We are very grateful to Professor K. Mitsui for his collaboration in MN24.

## 2. The partially degenerate case

Let $R, I, k(\eta), k(0)$ and $S$ be the same as in $\S$,
Throughout this article, let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a semiabelian $S$-scheme with $\mathcal{L}$ symmetric ample cubical invertible sheaf and $G_{0}:=G \times_{S} 0$. By definition, $G_{0}$ is an extension of an abelian variety $A_{0}$ by a $k(0)$-torus $T_{0}$ and $\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$ is a polarized abelian variety over $k(\eta)$. In this section we will discuss the partially degenerate case where the abelian part $A_{0}$ of $G_{0}$ is nontrivial. §§ 2.1. 2.3 are based on [FC90, II, 5]. For an affine $S$-scheme $W$ of finite type, we denote by $T_{X, W}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbf{G}_{m, W}\right)$ a split $W$-torus $\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)\left[w^{x} ; x \in X\right]$ for some lattice $X\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)\left[w^{x} ; x \in \mathbf{Z}\right]\right)$. Let $T$ be any $W$-torus. Then the pullback $T_{U}$ is a split $U$-torus for some finite étale cover $U$ of $W$ SGA3, X, § 1]. We write $\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathbf{Z}}$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ if no confusion is possible.
2.1. Raynaud extensions. Let $S_{n}:=\operatorname{Spec} R / I^{n+1}, G_{n}:=G \times_{S} S_{n}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n}:=\mathcal{L} \times{ }_{S} S_{n}$. Hence associated to $(G, \mathcal{L})$ are the group $S^{\wedge}$-scheme $G^{\wedge}=$ proj $\lim G_{n}$ and an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge}=\operatorname{proj} \lim \mathcal{L} \otimes_{R} R / I^{n+1}$. Since $T_{0}$ is a $k(0)$-torus, $T_{0}$ lifts to a unique multiplicative closed subgroup scheme $T_{n}$ of $G_{n}$ flat over $S_{n}$ for every $n$ by [FC90, I, 2.2]/[SGA3, IX, 3.6], and hence $\hat{T}=\operatorname{proj} \lim T_{n}$ is a formal $S^{\wedge}$-torus. Since $T_{n}$ is a closed subgroup scheme of $G_{n}$ flat over $S_{n}$, we have a quotient group scheme $A_{n}:=G_{n} / T_{n}$ flat over $S_{n}$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_{n} \xrightarrow{\iota_{n}} G_{n} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n}} A_{n} \rightarrow 0$ by [SGA3, $\left.\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{V}_{\text {new }}, 10.1 .2 / 10.1 .3\right]$. Since $A_{0}$ is an abelian $k(0)$-scheme by assumption, $A_{n}$ is a group $S_{n}$-scheme flat smooth and proper over $S_{n}$. Hence $A_{n}$ is an abelian $S_{n}$-scheme. Let $\hat{A}:=\operatorname{proj} \lim A_{n}$. Then $\hat{A}$ is algebraizable: $]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { there exists an abelian } S \text {-scheme } A \text { such that } A^{\wedge} \simeq \hat{A} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formal $S^{\wedge}$-torus $\hat{T}$ is also algebraizable by $T:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\underline{X}(T), \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$, where $\underline{X}(T):=\underline{X}(\hat{T})$ is the unique lifting of $\underline{X}\left(T_{0}\right)$ as a locally constant étale sheaf on $S^{\wedge}$. Namely $T$ is an $S$-torus such that $T^{\wedge} \simeq \hat{T}$.

The group $S^{\wedge}$-scheme $G^{\wedge}$ fits into an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow T^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\hat{\iota}} G^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} A^{\wedge} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A^{t}:=\operatorname{Pic}_{A / S}^{0}$ be the dual abelian $S$-scheme. By SGA7, VIII, 1.6], there exist isomorphisms:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}\left(A^{\wedge}, T^{\wedge}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(\underline{X}\left(T^{\wedge}\right), A^{t, \wedge}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(\underline{X}(T), A^{t}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}(A, T) .
$$

Hence the sequence (2) is algebraizable by [FC90, p. 34], that is, there exist a semiabelian $S$-scheme $\tilde{G}$ with $\tilde{G}^{\wedge} \simeq G^{\wedge}$ and a closed immersion $\iota_{T}: T \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ fitting into an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow T \xrightarrow{\iota_{T}} \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]such that $\iota_{T}=\hat{\imath}$ and $\pi^{\wedge}=\hat{\pi}$. Namely (2) is the $I$-adic completion of (3). We call $\tilde{G}$ (or Eq. (3)) the Raynaud extension of $G$. The Raynaud extension Eq. (3) is functorial in $G$. See [FC90, p. 35].

Let $\lambda\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right): G_{\eta} \rightarrow G_{\eta}^{t}$ be the polarization morphism of $\mathcal{L}_{\eta}, K\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right):=$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)\right)$ and $K(\mathcal{L})$ the closure of $K\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$ in $G$. Since $K(\mathcal{L})$ is flat quasifinite over $S$, we have by An73, IV, 4.C] the quotient $G / K(\mathcal{L})$ denoted by $G^{t}$, which is a semiabelian $S$-scheme whose closed fiber $G_{0}^{t}$ is an extension of an abelian $k(0)$-variety $A_{0}^{t}$ by a $k(0)$-torus $T_{0}^{t}$. Moreover $G^{t}$ is the connected Néron model of $G_{\eta}^{t}$. Hence $\lambda\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$ extends to a morphism $\lambda(\mathcal{L}): G \rightarrow G^{t}$. In the same manner as Eqs. (22)/(3), we have another exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow T^{t, \wedge} \xrightarrow{\hat{i}^{t}} G^{t, \wedge} \rightarrow A^{t, \wedge} \rightarrow 0, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is uniquely algebraized into

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow T^{t} \xrightarrow{\iota_{T}^{t}} \tilde{G}^{t} \xrightarrow{\pi^{t}} A^{t} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

See Eq. (77). We call $\tilde{G}^{t}$ the Raynaud extension of $G^{t}$.
The quasi-finite morphism $\lambda(\mathcal{L}): G \rightarrow G^{t}$ induces an $S_{n}$-morphism $G_{n} \rightarrow$ $G_{n}^{t}$ and hence an $S_{n}$-morphism $T_{n} \rightarrow A_{n}^{t}$, which turns out to be trivial because an abelian scheme $A_{n}^{t}$ over $S_{n}$ has no rational curves in it. Hence $\lambda(\mathcal{L})$ induces morphisms $\lambda_{A_{n}}: A_{n} \rightarrow A_{n}^{t}$ and $\lambda_{T_{n}}: T_{n} \rightarrow T_{n}^{t}$. Thus we eventually obtain a commutative diagram of exact sequences:


Since Eqs. (21) /(4) are uniquely algebraizable, we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences which algebraizes Eq. (6):


Now we have two extensions of $A$ by $T^{t}$ arising from Eq. (77):
the pushout $\tilde{G}^{\prime}:=\tilde{G} \times_{S} T^{t} /\left(\iota_{T}, \lambda_{T}\right)(T)$ of Eq. (3) by $\lambda_{T}: T \rightarrow T^{t}$; the pullback $\tilde{G}^{\prime \prime}:=\tilde{G}^{t} \times{ }_{A^{t}} A$ of Eq. (5) by $\lambda_{A}: A^{\rightarrow} A^{t}$.
where the quotient $\tilde{G}^{\prime}$ is an $S$-scheme by [An73, IV, 4.C] because $\left(\iota_{T}, \lambda_{T}\right)(T)$ is a closed $S$-flat subgroup scheme of $\tilde{G} \times{ }_{S} T^{t}$. We have a natural morphism $\Lambda: \tilde{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{G}^{\prime \prime}$ sending $(g, v) \mapsto\left(\lambda_{\tilde{G}}(g), \pi(g)\right)$ for functorial points $g \in \tilde{G}$ and
$v \in T^{t}$. Hence we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences:

where $e_{\tilde{G}}$ (resp. $e_{A}$ ) is the identity element of $\tilde{G}$ (resp. $A$ ). Since $\lambda(\mathcal{L})$ is quasi-finite surjective, so are $\lambda_{G^{\wedge}}, \lambda_{T}$ and $\lambda_{A}$. Hence $\Lambda$ is quasi-finite surjective and hence bijective by Eq. (8). It follows that $\Lambda$ is an isomorphism:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda: \tilde{G} \times_{S} T^{t} /\left(\iota_{T}, \lambda_{T}\right)(T)=\tilde{G}^{\prime} \simeq \tilde{G}^{\prime \prime}=\tilde{G}^{t} \times_{A^{t}} A . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Raynaud extensions in the split case. By taking a finite étale Galois cover $f: S^{*} \rightarrow S$ of $S$ if necessary, we may assume $T_{0} \times{ }_{S_{0}} 0^{*}$ is a split $k\left(0^{*}\right)$-torus, where $0^{*}$ is the closed point of $S^{*}$. In what follows by choosing $S^{*}$ as $S$, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0} \text { is a split } k(0) \text {-torus. } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every $T_{n}$ is a split $S_{n}$-torus and hence $T^{\wedge}$ is a split $S^{\wedge}$-torus. Therefore there exists a lattice $X$ such that $T^{\wedge}=\operatorname{Spf} R[X]^{\wedge}$ such that $T^{\wedge}$ is algebraizable by a split $S$-torus $T:=\operatorname{Spec} R[X]$.

By [MN24, 2.13 (2)]/MB85, II, 1.1 (ii)] there exists a unique cubical structure of $\mathcal{L}$, which induces a cubical structure of $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge}$. Since $T^{\wedge}$ is a split torus, $\mathcal{L}_{\mid T^{\wedge}}^{\wedge}$ is trivial, so that $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge}$ descends by [MB85, I, 7.2.2] to a unique ample cubical invertible sheaf $\mathcal{M}^{\wedge}$ on $A^{\wedge}$, that is, $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge} \simeq \pi^{\wedge, *}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\wedge}\right)$. Hence there exists an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on the abelian $S$-scheme $A$ whose $I$-adic completion is $\mathcal{M}^{\wedge}$. Moreover $\underline{X}(T)=X_{S}$ and $\operatorname{Ext}(A, T) \simeq$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{S}, A^{t}\right)$. Hence attached to the sequence (3), we have a classifying morphism (= extension class) $c \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{S}, A^{t}\right)$ which induces a classifying morphism $c^{\wedge}$ of the sequence (2) via the $I$-adic completion $\tilde{G}^{\wedge} \simeq G^{\wedge}$.

Let $x \in X$. Since $X=\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {gr.sch. }}\left(T, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$, we have the pushout (pushforward) torsor $\mathbf{F}_{x}^{\times}:=\mathbf{G}_{m, S} \times_{S} \tilde{G} /\left(x, \iota_{T}\right)(T)$ of the diagram (3) by $x$, that is, the quotient of $\mathbf{G}_{m, S} \times{ }_{S} \tilde{G}$ by the $S$-flat closed subgroup scheme $\left(x, \iota_{T}\right)(T)(\simeq T)$. Hence we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences:


Let $\mathbf{F}_{x}$ be the line bundle on $A$ associated with $\mathbf{F}_{x}^{\times}$. Since the classifying morphism of the $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$-torsor $\mathbf{F}_{x}^{\times}$is $c(x)$, we have $\mathbf{F}_{x}=c(x) \in A^{t}(S)$ as a line bundle on $A$. By the diagram (11), we have an isomorphism of $A$-schemes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G} \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathbf{F}_{x}^{\times} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T_{0}$ is a split $k(0)$-torus, so is $T_{0}^{t}$ and hence $T^{t}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.T^{t, \wedge}\right)$ is a split $S$-torus (resp. a split $S^{\wedge}$-torus). Therefore there exists a lattice $Y$ such that $T^{t}=\operatorname{Spec} R[Y]$ and $T^{t, \wedge}=\operatorname{Spf} R[Y]^{I \text {-adic }}$. Hence $\underline{X}\left(T^{t}\right)=Y_{S}$ and $\operatorname{Ext}\left(A^{t}, T^{t}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(Y_{S}, A\right)$. Thus we have a classifying morphism (= extension class) $c^{t} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(Y_{S}, A\right)$ of Eq. (5), whose $I$-adic completion is a classifying morphism $c^{t, \wedge}$ of Eq. (4).

There is a canonical morphism $\lambda(\mathcal{L}): G \rightarrow G^{t}$ extending $\lambda\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$, which eventually induces morphisms $\lambda_{A}=\lambda_{A}(\mathcal{M}): A \rightarrow A^{t}$ and $\lambda_{T}: T \rightarrow T^{t}$, each being finite flat surjective. Then $\lambda_{T}$ induces an injective homomorphism $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ with finite cokernel. Now we recall the isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\mathrm{ext}} \in \operatorname{Ext}(A, T) & \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{S}, \mathcal{E} x t^{1}\left(A, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{S}, A^{t}\right) \ni c,  \tag{13}\\
c_{\mathrm{ext}}^{t} \in \operatorname{Ext}\left(A^{t}, T^{t}\right) & \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(Y_{S}, \mathcal{E} x t^{1}\left(A^{t}, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(Y_{S}, A\right) \ni c^{t}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{\text {ext }}\left(\right.$ resp, $\left.c_{\text {ext }}^{t}\right)$ is the class corresponding to $c\left(\operatorname{resp} c^{t}\right)$ under the isomorphism. Note that $\mathcal{E} x t^{1}\left(A, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{E} x t^{1}\left(A^{t}, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)\right)$ is an fppf sheaf over $S$ representable by the $S$-scheme $A^{t}$ (resp. $A$ ). ${ }^{2}$ The group $\operatorname{Ext}(A, T)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of commutative group $S$-schemes which are extensions of $A$ by $T$. The extension $c_{\text {ext }} \in \operatorname{Ext}(A, T)$ induces, as a pushout (11), a commutative group $S$-scheme $c(x)=\mathbf{F}_{x}^{\times} \in \mathcal{E} x t^{1}\left(A, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)$ $(\forall x \in X(T))$ which is an extension of $A$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Since $\mathbf{F}_{x}^{\times}$determines a unique line bundle $\mathbf{F}_{x} \in A^{t}(S)$ on $A$, we may view $c(x) \in A^{t}(S)$. This is what Eq. (13) means. By Eq. (9), we have $\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, \lambda_{T}\right)\left(c_{\text {ext }}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\lambda_{A}, T^{t}\right)\left(c_{\text {ext }}^{t}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Ext}\left(A, T^{t}\right)$, which is translated into

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \circ \phi=\lambda_{A} \circ c^{t} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(Y_{S}, A^{t}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.3. Fourier series. The ample cubical sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on $G$ induces a sheaf $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge}$ on $G^{\wedge}$, which descends to an ample cubical sheaf $\mathcal{M}^{\wedge}$ on $A^{\wedge}$. Then $\left(A^{\wedge}, \mathcal{M}^{\wedge}\right)$ is algebraized into a polarized abelian $S$-scheme $(A, \mathcal{M})$ with $\mathcal{M}$ cubical. Hence $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge} \simeq\left(\pi^{\wedge}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\wedge}=\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{M}\right)^{\wedge}$ via the isomorphism $G^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}^{\wedge}$. Since $T \subset \tilde{G}, T$ acts on $\tilde{G}$ keeping $\pi$ invariant. Let $x \in X$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}^{x}:=\left\{h \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}} ; \tilde{T}_{t}^{*} h=x(t) h \text { for any functorial point } t \text { of } T\right\}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{T}_{t}$ is translation of $\tilde{G}$ by $t$. Since $\mathcal{O}^{x}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-invertible by $\mathcal{O}_{A}=\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}^{T}$-inv , there exists an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-module $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ such that $\pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{x}=\mathcal{O}^{x}$. Since $T$ acts on $\mathbf{F}_{x}$ by $t \cdot f=x(t)^{-1} f$ for any functorial point $t$ of $T$, we have $O_{-x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A}\left(\mathbf{F}_{x}\right)(\forall x \in X)$, so that $\pi_{*}\left(O_{\tilde{G}}\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x}$ by Eq. (12). By the sign change of $c$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x)=\mathcal{O}_{x}=\mathcal{O}_{A}\left(-\mathbf{F}_{x}\right) \in A^{t}(S), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose a local trivialization of $\tilde{G}$ as a split $U$-torus over an affine open subscheme $U$ of $A$ such that $\tilde{G}_{U}=\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\left[w_{U}^{x} ; x \in X\right]\left(w_{U}^{x} w_{U}^{y}=\right.$ $\left.w_{U}^{x+y}, \forall x, y \in X\right)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{x, U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U}^{x}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}_{U}}$ generated by $w_{U}^{x}$. By the sign change of $c^{t}$, we have $\lambda_{A} \circ c^{t}=c \circ \phi$.

[^1]Via the isomorphism $G^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}^{\wedge}$, we have $\left(\pi^{\wedge}\right)_{*} \mathcal{O}_{G^{\wedge}} \simeq\left(\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}\right)^{I \text {-adic } \simeq}$ $\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x}\right)^{I-\text { adic }}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\wedge}-\text { modules. Let }} \mathcal{M}_{x}:=\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{x}(x \in X)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\pi^{\wedge}\right)_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\wedge} \simeq\left(\pi_{*} \pi^{*} \mathcal{M}\right)^{I \text {-adic }}=\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}\right)^{I \text {-adic }} \simeq\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_{x}\right)^{I \text {-adic }} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which induces the composite homomorphism

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}) & \hookrightarrow \Gamma\left(G^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{\wedge}\right)=\Gamma\left(A^{\wedge},\left(\pi^{\wedge}\right)_{*} \mathcal{M}^{\wedge}\right) \\
& =\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}_{x}\right)\right)^{I-\text { adic }} \subset \prod_{x \in X} \Gamma\left(A^{\wedge}, \mathcal{M}_{x}^{\wedge}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

whose $x$-th projection induces an $R$-homomorphism

$$
\sigma_{x}: \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma\left(G^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{\wedge}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(A^{\wedge}, \mathcal{M}_{x}^{\wedge}\right) \xlongequal{\simeq} \Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}_{x}\right) .
$$

. Every $\theta \in \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})$ is uniquely expressed as $\theta=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}(\theta)$ for some $\sigma_{x}(\theta) \in \Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}_{x}\right)$.

Definition 2.1. We define the $X$-weight of $\sigma_{x}$ by $\operatorname{wt}\left(\sigma_{x}\right)=x$. Hence $\mathrm{wt}\left(\mathcal{O}_{x}^{\times}\right)=\mathrm{wt}\left(\mathcal{M}_{x}^{\times}\right)=\mathrm{wt}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m}\right)_{x}^{\times}\right)=x$, while $\mathrm{wt}(0)=0$.

Let $T_{\alpha}: A \rightarrow A$ be the translation of $A$ by $\alpha \in A(S)$ and $y \in Y$. By Eq. (14), $T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{\phi(y)}$, while $T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{x}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{x} \in A^{t}(S)$. Hence as rigidified sheaves,

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}(\mathcal{M}) & =\mathcal{M}_{\phi(y)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{M}\left(c^{t}(y)\right), \\
T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{x}\right) & =\mathcal{O}_{x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{x}\left(c^{t}(y)\right),  \tag{19}\\
T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\mathcal{M}_{x}\right) & =\mathcal{M}_{x+\phi(y)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{M}_{x}\left(c^{t}(y)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_{\eta}$ is a cubical $\mathbf{G}_{m, \eta}$-torsor on $A$, so is the pullback $\left(c^{t}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\eta}$ on $Y$. A trivial cubic $\mathbf{G}_{m, \eta}$-torsor on $Y$ (resp. a trivial biextension of $Y \times X$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, \eta}$ ) is defined to be $1_{Y}=Y \times \mathbf{G}_{m, \eta}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.1_{Y \times X}=Y \times X \times \mathbf{G}_{m, \eta}\right)$. The Poincarè sheaf $\mathcal{P}$ of $A$ is by definition the universal invertible sheaf on $A^{t} \times A$ for the functor $\mathrm{Pic}_{A}^{0}$ rigidified along $\left(e_{A^{t}} \times{ }_{S} A\right) \cup\left(A^{t} \times_{S} e_{A}\right)$ where $e_{A}$ and $e_{A^{t}}$ are the identity elements of $A$ and $A^{t}$ respectively. It is an invertible sheaf on $A^{t} \times_{S} A$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{a \times A} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)=A^{t}$ corresponds to $a \in A^{t}$. The $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsor associated with $\mathcal{P}$ is also a biextension of $A^{t} \times A$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$, so that $\left(c^{t} \times c\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}$ is a biextension of $Y \times X$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, \eta}$.

In what follows, for simplicity, we often identify an invertible sheaf with the $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsor associated with it.

## 3. Degeneration data

§§ 3.1] 3.2 of this section is based on [FC90, II-III]. See also [R70].

### 3.1. Degeneration data of a semiabelian scheme.

Theorem 3.1. [FC90, II, 5.1, p. 44] The R-module homomorphisms $\sigma_{x}$ : $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}_{x}\right)(x \in X)$ have the following properties:
(1) $\sigma_{x} \neq 0 \quad(\forall x \in X)$;
(2) there exist a cubic trivialization $\psi: 1_{Y} \rightarrow\left(c^{t}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}$ and a trivialization of biextension $\tau: 1_{Y \times X} \rightarrow\left(c^{t} \times c\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}$ such that
$\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(\theta)=\psi(y) \tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\sigma_{x}(\theta)\right)(\forall \theta \in \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}), \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y) ;$
in particular, $\psi(0)=\tau(0, x)=\tau(y, 0)=1$;
(3) $\tau(y, x)$ is bilinear in $y$ and $x$;
(4) $\tau(y, \phi(z))=\tau(z, \phi(y))=\psi(y+z) \psi(y)^{-1} \psi(z)^{-1}(\forall y, z \in Y)$;
(5) $\tau(y, \phi(y)) \in I(\forall y \in Y \backslash\{0\})$;
(6) for every $n \geq 0, \psi(y) \in I^{n}$ for all but finitely many $y \in Y$;
(7) $\Gamma\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$ is identified via Eq. (18) with the $k(\eta)$-vector subspace consisting of Fourier series $\theta=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}(\theta)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(\theta)=\psi(y) \tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\sigma_{x}(\theta)\right) \quad(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\sigma_{x}(\theta) \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_{x}\right) \otimes_{R} k(\eta)$.
Definition 3.2. For $m \geq 1$, we consider a semiabelian $S$-schme $\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right)$. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{(m)}: \Gamma\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{x}\right), \phi^{(m)}=m \phi: Y \rightarrow X \\
\psi^{(m)}: 1_{Y} \rightarrow\left(c^{t}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-m)}, \tau^{(m)}: 1_{Y \times X} \rightarrow\left(c^{t}\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}
\end{gathered}
$$

such that $(\sigma, \psi, \phi, \tau)=\left(\sigma^{(1)}, \psi^{(1)}, \phi^{(1)}, \tau^{(1)}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x+m \phi(y)}^{(m)}(\theta)=\psi^{(m)}(y) \tau^{(m)}(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\sigma_{x}^{(m)}(\theta)\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\forall \theta \in \Gamma\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right) \otimes_{R} k(\eta), \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y\right)$.
We will give $\sigma^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}$ and $\tau^{(m)}$ explicitly in Corollary 3.9,

### 3.2. Split objects in $\mathrm{DD}_{\text {ample }}$ •

Definition 3.3. A split semiabelian $S$-scheme is a pair $(G, \mathcal{L})$ of a semiabelian $S$-scheme $G$ and a symmetric ample cubical invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on $G$ such that $G_{0}$ is an extension of an abelian variety $A_{0}$ by a split torus $T_{0}$. Let $G_{\eta}^{t}$ be the dual abelian variety of $G_{\eta}, \lambda\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right): G_{\eta} \rightarrow G_{\eta}^{t}$ the polarization (homo)morphism, $K\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right):=\operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)\right), K(\mathcal{L}):=$ the closure of $K\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$ in $G$ and $G^{t}:=G / K(\mathcal{L})$. By [FC90, p. 35, line 5]/ An73, IV, 4.C], $G^{t}$ is a semiabelian $S$-scheme and we have a surjective morphism $\lambda_{G}: G \rightarrow G^{t}$. By [BLR90, 7.4/3], $G^{t}$ is a connected Néron model of $G_{\eta}^{t}$.

To avoid repeating long definitions, let $\mathrm{DD}_{\text {ample }}$ be the category of data consisting of [FC90, III, § 2, (1)-(7), p. 57] satisfying a certain condition (= Definition $3.4(7))$. Here we only recall those split objects in $\mathrm{DD}_{\text {ample }}$.

Definition 3.4. A split object $\zeta$ over $S$ or $R$ in $\mathrm{DD}_{\text {ample }}$ is a set of data

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\left(\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t}, \iota, \lambda, \phi, \tau, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \psi, \mathcal{M}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that
(1) $A$ is an abelian $S$-scheme, $T$ is a split $S$-torus and $\tilde{G}$ is an extension of $A$ by $T$ with $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow A$ the natural projection; the extension $\tilde{G}$ determines and is determined by a homomorphism $c: X \rightarrow A^{t}$ via the negative of push-out where $X=\operatorname{Hom}\left(T, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ is the character group of $T$ with $T=\operatorname{Spec} R[X]$ and $A^{t}$ is the dual abelian scheme of $A$;
(2) $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a monomorphism of free abelian groups of equal rank;
(3) $c^{t}: Y \rightarrow A$ is a homomorphism which determines and is determined by an extension $\tilde{G}^{t}$ of $A^{t}$ by $T^{t}$ via push-out where $T^{t}:=\operatorname{Spec} R[Y]$;
(4) $\mathcal{M}$ is a cubical ample invertible sheaf on $A$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}=\pi^{*} \mathcal{M}$ and $\lambda \circ c^{t}=c \circ \phi$ for its polarization morphism $\lambda:=\lambda(\mathcal{M}): A \rightarrow A^{t} ;$
(5) $\iota: Y \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{\eta}$ is a homomorphism lifting $c_{\eta}^{t} ; \iota$ determines and is determined by a trivialization of biextension $\tau: 1_{Y \times X} \simeq\left(c^{t} \times c\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{A, \eta}^{\otimes(-1)}$;
(6) $Y$ acts on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\eta}$ over $\iota$ in the way compatible with $\phi$ the action of $Y$ determines and is determined by a cubic trivialization $\psi: 1_{Y} \simeq$ $\iota^{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}$ compatible with $\tau \circ(\operatorname{id} \times \phi): 1_{Y \times Y} \simeq\left(c^{t} \times c \circ \phi\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{A, \eta}^{\otimes(-1)}$;
(7) $\tau(y, \phi(y)) \in I(\forall y \in Y \backslash\{0\})$ (positivity condition).

Definition 3.5. A cubic trivialization $\psi: 1_{Y} \simeq \iota^{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\eta}$ is called symmetric if $\psi(-y)=\psi(y)(\forall y \in Y)$. If $\psi$ is symmetric, then $\psi(y)^{2}=\tau(y, \phi(y))$ $(\forall y \in Y)$. We say $\zeta$ is symmetric if $\mathcal{M}$ is symmetric and $\psi$ is symmetric. Note that $\mathcal{M}$ is symmetric iff $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is symmetric because $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}=\pi^{*} \mathcal{M}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\wedge} \simeq$ $\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_{x}\right)^{I-\text { adic }}$ with $\left[-\operatorname{id}_{A^{\wedge}}\right]^{*} \mathcal{M}_{x}^{\wedge}=\mathcal{M}_{-x}^{\wedge}$. If $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is the Raynaud extension of a semiabelian scheme $(G, \mathcal{L})$ with $\mathcal{L}$ is symmetric, then $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge} \simeq$ $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are symmetric.

Definition 3.6. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a split semiabelian $S$-scheme. Then we obtain a split object $\zeta$ over $S$ in $\mathrm{DD}_{\text {ample }}$ by $\S \S[2.2+2.3$, where $\mathcal{M}$ can be chosen symmetric by choosing $\mathcal{L} \otimes\left(-\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{G}}\right)^{*} \mathcal{L}$ for $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M} \otimes\left(-\operatorname{id}_{A}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}$ for $\mathcal{M}$ if necessary. Similarly by Theorem 3.1, we define $\zeta_{m}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{m}:=\left(\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t}, \iota, \lambda^{(m)}, \phi^{(m)}, \tau^{(m)}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes m}, \psi^{(m)}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes m}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta_{1}=\zeta$. It is also a split object over $S$ in $\mathrm{DD}_{\text {ample }}$, which encodes the data of $\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right)$. We denote $\zeta_{m}$ by $\operatorname{FC}\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right)$ and call it the $F C$ datum of $\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right)$. In what follows, we assume that $\mathcal{M}$ and $\zeta_{m}$ are symmetric. If $(G, \mathcal{L})$ is totally degenerate, then $\zeta_{m}$ is reduced to $\left(X, Y, \phi^{(m)}, \tau^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)}\right)$ with $A=0$. See MN24, 3.7/3.12].

[^2]3.3. More about split objects. Let $\zeta$ be the split object Eq. (23). Now we revisit Definition (3.4 (5)-(6). First we note that for $y \in Y$,
$$
\left(c^{t}(y) \times c\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}=\prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x}\left(c^{t}(y)\right)_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}=y \times X \times \mathbf{G}_{m, \eta} .
$$

Hence a trivialization of biextension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau: 1_{Y \times X}:=(Y \times X) \times \mathbf{G}_{m, \eta} \xrightarrow{\simeq}\left(c^{t} \times c\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by $\tau(y, x):=\tau(y \times x \times 1) \in \mathcal{O}_{x}\left(c^{t}(y)\right)_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}(x \in X, y \in Y)$.
Since $\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}\right)=\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x}$, we have $\tilde{G}_{\eta}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x, \eta}\right)$, so that the homomorphism $\iota: Y \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{\eta}$ lifting $c_{\eta}^{t}$ is given by $\coprod_{y \in Y} \iota(y)$ where $\iota(y)^{*} \in\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x}\left(c^{t}(y)\right)_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}:\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota(y)^{*}=\bigoplus_{x \in X} \tau(y, x) \in\left(c^{t}(y) \times c\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A$ is proper (and hence separated) over $S, c_{\eta}^{t}$ extends to $c^{t}: Y_{S} \rightarrow A$, which is uniquely determined by $c_{\eta}^{t}$ and hence by $\iota$. Let $\psi: 1_{Y}:=Y \times$ $\mathbf{G}_{m, \eta} \simeq\left(c^{t}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}$ be the cubical trivialization given by $\zeta$. Since $\iota^{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\eta}=$ $\iota^{*} \pi^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\eta}=\coprod_{y \in Y} \mathcal{M}\left(c^{t}(y)\right)_{\eta}$, we have $\psi(y) \in \mathcal{M}\left(c^{t}(y)\right)_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\iota(y))_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}$ $(y \in Y)$, so that $\psi: 1_{Y} \simeq \iota^{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}$.

By Theorem 3.1 and Eq. (19), we define an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-modules $\tilde{S}_{y}: T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_{x, \eta}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_{x, \eta}$ in terms of $\psi$ and $\tau$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{y} T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}\right)=\bigoplus_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}^{\prime}, \sigma_{x+\phi(y)}^{\prime}=\psi(y) \tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*} \sigma_{x} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{x}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{x, \eta}$. Thus $\tilde{S}_{y}$ is compatible with $\phi, \psi$ and $\tau$ over $k(\eta)$ in the sense of Eq. (27). This is essentially the same as Definition (3.4 (6). The constant $\psi(y) \tau(y, x)$ in Eq. (27) is derived from Theorem 3.1 (7) because $\sigma_{x}(s) \in \Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}_{x}\right)$ and $\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(s)=\psi(y) \tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*} \sigma_{x}(s)$ if $s \in \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})$.

Next we define an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-modules $\tilde{S}_{y}: T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}_{\eta}} \rightarrow \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}_{\eta}}$ in a similar fashion by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{y} T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(f_{x}\right)=\tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*} f_{x}\left(f_{x} \in \mathcal{O}_{x}\right), \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which makes the second equality of Eq. (19) precise. This is derived from Eq. (27) as follows. If $s \in \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})$, then Eq. (27) implies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{S}_{y} \tilde{T}_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}(s)\right)=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(s),  \tag{29}\\
\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(s)=\psi(y) \tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\sigma_{x}(s)\right) . \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume $\sigma_{z}(s) \neq 0(z=0, x)$. By Eq. (30) $\sigma_{z+\phi(y)}(s) \neq 0(z=0, x)$. Let $V\left(\sigma_{0}(s) \sigma_{\phi(y)}(s)\right)$ be the zero locus of the section $\sigma_{0}(s) \sigma_{\phi(y)}(s)$. Then on the
open subset $U:=A \backslash V\left(\sigma_{0}(s) \sigma_{\phi(y)}(s)\right)$ of $A$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}_{y} T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}(s)}{\sigma_{0}(s)}\right) & :=\frac{\tilde{S}_{y} T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*} \sigma_{x}(s)}{\tilde{S}_{y} T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*} \sigma_{0}(s)}=\frac{\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(s)}{\sigma_{\phi(y)}(s)}  \tag{31}\\
& =\tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}(s)}{\sigma_{0}(s)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is ample, $\Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}_{x}\right) \neq 0(\forall x \in X)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is torsion free, Eq. (31) implies Eq. (28) everywhere on $A$. Hence the definition of $\tilde{S}_{y}^{*}$ on $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_{x}$ by Eq. (27) is consistent with that of $\tilde{S}_{y}$ on $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x}$ by Eq. (28).

### 3.4. Split objects as degeneration data.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\left(G^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be split semiabelian $S$-schemes. If $\zeta:=\mathrm{FC}\left(G^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{FC}\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, then $\left(G^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right) \simeq\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\left(G^{(1)}, \mathcal{L}^{(1)}\right):=\left(G^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(G^{(2)}, \mathcal{L}^{(2)}\right):=\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Let $\zeta:=$ $\left(\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t}, \iota, \phi, \tau, \mathcal{L}, \psi, \mathcal{M}\right)$. Let $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow A$ be the natural projection. Then we have an isomorphism $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}} \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x}$. Since $\left(G^{(\nu)}\right)^{\wedge} \simeq$ $(\tilde{G})^{\wedge}$, we have formal morphisms $\varpi^{(\nu)}: G^{(\nu), \wedge} \rightarrow A^{\wedge}(\nu=1,2)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spf}\left(\varpi^{(\nu)}\right)_{*} \mathcal{O}_{G^{(\nu), \wedge}}=G^{(\nu), \wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}^{\wedge}=\operatorname{Spf}\left(\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}\right)^{\wedge} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\zeta=\operatorname{FC}\left(G^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{FC}\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}\right), c$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are shared by $\tilde{G}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{G}^{\prime \prime}$, so that there exists an isomorphism $\hat{\Phi}:\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{\wedge} \simeq\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\wedge}$ such that $\hat{\Phi}^{*}\left(\varpi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\wedge}=$ $\left(\varpi^{\prime}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\wedge}$ and $\hat{\Phi}^{*}\left(\varpi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{x}^{\wedge}=\left(\varpi^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{x}^{\wedge}(\forall x \in X)$.

Since $\lambda^{(m)}=\lambda_{A}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right)=m \lambda$, we have $\phi^{(m)}(y)=m \phi(y)(\forall m \geq 1, \forall y \in$ $Y$ ). By [FC90, p. 50, line 6] $\psi^{(2)}(y)=\psi(y)^{2}$ and $\tau^{(2)}(y, x)=\tau(y, x)$ because:

$$
\psi(y)^{2} \tau(y, x) \tau\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)=\psi^{(2)}(y) \tau^{(2)}\left(y, x+x^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(\forall x, x^{\prime} \in X, \forall y \in Y\right)
$$

Let $m=2^{l}(l \geq 2)$ and $V_{m}^{(\nu)}:=\Gamma\left(G_{\eta}^{(\nu)},\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{(\nu)}\right)^{\otimes m}\right)$. Then $\psi^{(m)}(y)=\psi(y)^{m}$ and $\tau^{(m)}(y, x)=\tau(y, x)$. By Theorem 3.1 (7), $V_{m}^{(\nu)}$ is identified with

Hence $\hat{\Phi}$ induces an isomorphism $\Psi_{m}: V_{m}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow V_{m}^{\prime}$ with $\sigma_{x}^{(1, m)}\left(\Psi_{m}\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=$ $\sigma_{x}^{(2, m)}\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\forall \theta^{\prime \prime} \in V_{m}^{\prime \prime}, \forall x \in X\right)$. By the same proof as [MN24, 3.14] from here, there is an isomorphism $\Phi:\left(G_{\eta}^{\prime},\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\prime}\right)^{\otimes m}\right) \simeq\left(G_{\eta}^{\prime \prime},\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\otimes m}\right)$ because $\left(\mathcal{L}^{(\nu)}\right)^{\otimes m}$ is very ample by $m \geq 4$. Since $G^{(\nu)}$ is the connected Néron model of $G_{\eta}^{(\nu)}, G^{\prime} \simeq G^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\mathcal{L}^{(\nu)}$ is a unique extension of $\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{(\nu)}$ to $G^{(\nu)}$ with $\Phi^{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\prime \prime}$, we have $\left(G^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right) \simeq\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a split semiabelian $S$-scheme and $\zeta:=\mathrm{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$ as in $E q$. (23). Then $\Gamma\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes m}\right)$ is identified with the following $k(\eta)$-vector space for any $m \geq 1$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{x+m y}^{(m)}(\theta)=\psi(y)^{m} \tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\sigma_{x}^{(m)}(\theta)\right)  \tag{33}\\
\theta=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}^{(m)}(\theta) ; \mathcal{O}_{x}^{(m)}(\theta) \in \Gamma\left(A^{\wedge},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A} \mathcal{O}_{x}\right)^{\wedge}\right) \otimes_{R} k(\eta) \\
(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y)
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Proof. This is a partially degenerate counterpart (abbr. pd-counterpart) of [MN24, 4.21]. We choose a flat projective $S$-scheme $P$ with $P \supset G$ and $P_{\eta}=G_{\eta}$ constructed by [AN99, § 3]/[N99, § 4]. Recall that for proving [MN24, 4.21], we needed [MN24, 4.16/4.19-4.21] and [N99, 3.9], all of whose pd-counterparts including [N99, 4.10] are proved in parallel to the totally degenerate case if we choose $\zeta=\operatorname{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$ for $\xi$ of MN24, 4.16]. To prove Lemma, it suffices to check the pd-counterpart of [MN24, 4.20] by applying [N99, 3.9/4.10 (3)]. Let $t$ be a uniformizer of $R$. With the notation of [MN24, 4.20], we set $\eta_{m}=\zeta_{x-m \alpha, \alpha}\left(\xi_{\alpha}^{*}\right)^{m}, \gamma_{m}(x)=t^{v_{t}\left(\eta_{m}(x)\right)}$ and $c_{x}(\theta)=$ $\sigma_{x}(\theta) / \gamma_{m}(x)$, where we regard $c_{x}(\theta)$ (resp. $\left.\gamma_{m}(x)\right)$ as an element of $X$-weight $x$ (resp. zero). Let $\bar{\psi}(y):=t^{-v_{t}(\psi(y))} \psi(y)$ and $\bar{\tau}(y, x):=t^{-v_{t}(\tau(y, x))} \tau(y, x)$. As in MN24, 4.20],

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{x+m y}^{(m)}(\theta)=\bar{\psi}(y)^{m} \bar{\tau}(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(c_{x}^{(m)}(\theta)\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow c_{x+m y}^{(m)}(\theta) \gamma_{m}(x+m y) \vartheta^{m}=T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(c_{x}^{(m)}(\theta) \gamma_{m}(x) \vartheta^{m}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \sigma_{x+m y}(\theta)=\psi(y)^{m} \tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\sigma_{x}(\theta)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By [N99, 4.19 (3)] and Nakayama's lemma, we obtain the equality $\stackrel{*}{=}$ below, so that we see via Eq. (18)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes m}\right)=\Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right) \otimes_{R} k(\eta)=\Gamma\left(P^{\wedge},\left(\mathcal{L}^{\wedge}\right)^{\otimes m}\right) \otimes_{R} k(\eta) \\
& \quad \stackrel{*}{=}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
c_{x+m y}^{(m)}(\theta)=\bar{\psi}(y)^{m} \bar{\tau}(y, x) T_{c^{t}}^{*}(y) \\
\theta=\sum_{x \in X} c_{x}^{(m)}(\theta) \eta_{m}(x) ; c_{x}^{(m)}(\theta) \in \Gamma\left(A^{\wedge},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{x}\right)^{\wedge}\right) \otimes_{R} k(\eta) \\
(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \quad=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{x+m y}^{(m)}(\theta)=\psi(y)^{m} \tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\sigma_{x}^{(m)}(\theta)\right) \\
\theta=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}^{(m)}(\theta) ; \sigma_{x}^{(m)}(\theta) \in \Gamma\left(A^{\wedge},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{x}\right)^{\wedge}\right) \otimes_{R} k(\eta) \\
(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y)
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have Lemma.
Corollary 3.9. $\psi^{(m)}=\psi^{m}, \tau^{(m)}=\tau$ and $\phi^{(m)}=m \phi(\forall m \geq 1)$.
Proof. This follows from Theorem [3.1/Definition 3.2/Lemma 3.8,

## 4. Central extensions

4.1. Extensions of a constant finite group. Let $\Lambda$ be a commutative group and $H$ be a finite commutative group acting on $\Lambda$. We denote the action of $H$ on $\Lambda$ by $\tau:(x, a) \mapsto x(a)(x \in H, a \in \Lambda)$.

Definition 4.1. Let $E$ be a (not necessarily commutative) group. Then $E$ is called an extension of $H$ by $\Lambda$ if
( $\alpha) \quad \Lambda$ is a normal subgroup of $E$ such that $E / \Lambda \simeq H$;
$(\beta)$ if $\zeta(x)$ be a lifting of $x \in H$ to $E$ with $\zeta(0)=1_{E}$ the identity element of $E$, then $\operatorname{ad}(w)=\tau$, that is, $\operatorname{ad}(w)(x, a):=\zeta(x) \cdot a \cdot \zeta(x)^{-1}=x(a)=$ $\tau(x, a)(x \in H, a \in \Lambda)$, where $a \cdot b$ is the product of $a, b \in E$.

If $E$ is an extension of $H$ by $\Lambda$, then $E \simeq H \times \Lambda$ as sets. If moreover $\Lambda$ is contained in the center of $E$, then $E$ is called a central extension of $H$ by $\Lambda$. If $H$ acts trivially on $\Lambda$, then $E$ is a central extension of $H$ by $\Lambda$ in view of $(\beta)$.

Definition 4.2. Two extensions $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ of $H$ by $\Lambda$ are isomorphic (as extensions) if there is an isomorphism $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ as groups such that $f_{\mid \Lambda}=\operatorname{id}_{\Lambda}$ and the homomorphism $\bar{f}: H \xrightarrow{\simeq} E / \Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} H$ induced from $f$ is $\operatorname{id}_{H}$. We define $\operatorname{Ext}(H, \Lambda)$ to be the set of all isomorphism classes of extensions of $H$ by $\Lambda$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $H$ be a finite commutative group and $\Lambda$ a commutative group with $H$-action on it. Then $\operatorname{Ext}(H, \Lambda) \simeq H^{2}(H, \Lambda)$ (bijective).

Proof. Here we recall how to define a map $\sigma: \operatorname{Ext}(H, \Lambda) \rightarrow H^{2}(H, \Lambda)$. See [NSW99, 1.2.4] for a complete proof of Theorem 4.3 including the case where $H$ is a possibly non-commutative group. In what follows we denote the product of $H$ additively.

Let $E$ be an extension of $H$ by $\Lambda$. Then associated with $E$, we define a $2-$ cocycle $\sigma(E)=(\phi(x, y): x, y \in H) \in H^{2}(H, \Lambda)$ with $\phi(x, y) \in \Lambda$ as follows. We choose a lifting $\zeta(x)$ to $E$ of each $x \in H$ with $\zeta(0)=1_{E}$. Then there exists $\phi(x, y) \in \Lambda$ such that $\zeta(x) \cdot \zeta(y)=\phi(x, y) \cdot \zeta(x+y)(\forall x, y \in H)$. Since the group law of $E$ is associative, we have $(\zeta(x) \cdot \zeta(y)) \cdot \zeta(z)=\zeta(x) \cdot(\zeta(y) \cdot \zeta(z))$ $(\forall x, y, z \in H)$. Hence we have by Definition $4.1(\beta)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi(x, y) \cdot \phi(x+y, z)=x(\phi(y, z)) \cdot \phi(x, y+z),  \tag{34}\\
\phi(x, 0)=\phi(0, x)=1 \quad(\forall x, y, z \in H) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence $\sigma(E)$ is a 2-cocycle in the sense that $\phi$ satisfies Eq.=(34). Let $\sigma(E):=$ $(\phi(x, y) ; x, y \in H)$. If $E$ splits, that is, $E \simeq H \times \Lambda$ as groups, then there exists a 1-cochain $\psi:=(\psi(x) ; x \in H)$ with $\psi(x) \in \Lambda$ such that $\tilde{\zeta}(x):=\psi(x) \zeta(x)$ trivializes $E$ in the sense that $\tilde{\zeta}(x) \cdot \tilde{\zeta}(y)=\tilde{\zeta}(x+y)(\forall x, y \in H)$, whence $E \simeq H \times \Lambda$ as groups. In this case, $\phi$ is a 2-coboundary:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=\psi(x+y) \cdot \psi(x)^{-1} \cdot x(\psi(y))^{-1} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second group cohomology $H^{2}(H, \Lambda)$ is defined by

$$
H^{2}(H, \Lambda)=Z^{2}(H, \Lambda) / B^{2}(H, \Lambda),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{2}(H, \Lambda) & :=\left\{(\phi(x, y) ; x, y \in H) ; \begin{array}{c}
\phi(x, y) \in \Lambda(\forall x, y \in H) \\
\text { Eq. (34) holds }
\end{array}\right\}, \\
B^{2}(H, \Lambda) & :=\left\{\binom{\psi(x+y) \cdot \psi(x)^{-1} \cdot\left(x(\psi(y))^{-1}\right.}{x, y \in H} ; \psi(x) \in \Lambda(\forall x \in H)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\phi \in Z^{2}(H, \Lambda)$, we denote the cohomology class of $\phi$ in $H^{2}(H, \Lambda)$ by $[\phi]$.
Thus we define a map $\sigma: \operatorname{Ext}(H, \Lambda) \rightarrow H^{2}(H, \Lambda)$ by

$$
\sigma(E)=(\phi(x, y) ; x, y \in H) \quad \bmod B^{2}(H, \Lambda),
$$

which is a bijection by [NSW99, 1.2.4].
Now we consider the case where $H=\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$.
Theorem 4.4. Let $H=\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$ be a cyclic group of order $n$ with $h$ generator, and $\Lambda$ a commutative group with $H$-action on it. Let $\Lambda^{H}$ be the subgroup of $\Lambda$ of all $H$-invariants of $\Lambda$, and $N_{H}(\Lambda):=\left\{\prod_{x \in H} x(\lambda) ; \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$. Then $\Omega: H^{2}(H, \Lambda) \simeq \Lambda^{H} / N_{H}(\Lambda)$, where $\Omega$ and its inverse $\Psi$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega(\phi)=\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \phi(\nu h, h), \Psi(x)(i h, j h)=\left(\left[\frac{i+j}{n}\right]-\left[\frac{i}{n}\right]-\left[\frac{j}{n}\right]\right) x \\
\left(i, j \in[0, n-1], x \in \Lambda^{H}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

See [Sa97, pp. 105-108]. See also [NSW99, Chap. I, 1.9.11].
Corollary 4.5. Let $R$ be a $C D V R$ and $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$. Let $H=\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$ and $\Lambda=\mathbf{G}_{m}(S)$. If $H$ acts on $\mathbf{G}_{m}(S)$ trivially, then
(1) $H^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m}(S)\right)=\mathbf{G}_{m}(S) / \mathbf{G}_{m}(S)^{n}$ where $\mathbf{G}_{m}(S)^{n}:=\left\{a^{n} ; a \in \mathbf{G}_{m}(S)\right\}$;
(2) let $E \in \operatorname{Ext}\left(H_{S}, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$, $\phi_{E}$ a 2-cocycle of $E$, $a:=\Omega\left(\phi_{E}\right) \in \mathbf{G}_{m}(S)$, $R_{L}:=R[x] /\left(x^{n}-a\right)$ and $W=\operatorname{Spec} R_{L}$; then the pullback $E_{W}$ of $E$ to $W$ splits, that is, $E_{W} \simeq \mathbf{G}_{m, W} \oplus H_{W}$ as group $W$-schemes.
Proof. (11) follows from Theorem4.4. We shall prove (2). Let $\zeta(i)$ be a lifting of $i \in H$ to $E$ with $\zeta(0)=1$ and $\phi_{E}(i, j):=\zeta(i) \zeta(j) / \zeta(i+j) \in \mathbf{G}_{m}(S)$. Then $\phi_{E}:=\left(\phi_{E}(i, j) ; i, j \in H\right)$ is a 2-cocycle of $E$. Since $\Phi\left(\phi_{E}\right)=a$, we may assume $\phi_{E}(i, j)=\Psi(a)(i, j)$ by Theorem 4.4. Let $\alpha \in R_{L}$ such that $\alpha^{-n}=a$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{E}(i, j) & =\Psi(a)(i, j)=a^{\left[\frac{i+j}{n}\right]-\left[\frac{i}{n}\right]-\left[\frac{j}{n}\right]} \\
& =\alpha^{n\left(\frac{i+j}{n}-\left[\frac{i+j}{n}\right]\right)} \alpha^{-n\left(\frac{i}{n}-\left[\frac{i}{n}\right]\right)} \alpha^{-n\left(\frac{j}{n}-\left[\frac{j}{n}\right]\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\tilde{\zeta}(i)=\zeta(i) \alpha^{n\left(\frac{i}{n}-\left[\frac{i}{n}\right]\right)}$. Then $\tilde{\zeta}(i) \tilde{\zeta}(j)=\tilde{\zeta}(i+j)$, whence $E_{W}$ splits.
4.2. Extensions of a finite group $S$-scheme by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Let $R$ be a CDVR and $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$. Let $H$ be a finite $S$-scheme, and $E$ a $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsor over $H$. That is, $\pi: E \rightarrow H$ is a smooth $S$-morphism such that there exists a Zariski cover $\left(U_{i} ; i \in I\right)$ of $H$ with $E_{U_{i}} \simeq U_{i} \times_{S} \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Since $H$ is finite flat over $S$, this implies $E \simeq H \times_{S} \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ as $S$-schemes. Hence we have a section
$\zeta: H \rightarrow E$ as $S$-schemes with $\zeta(0)=1_{E}$ the identity element of $E$, which we call a lifting of $H$ to $E$. Since $H$ is affine, so is $E$ because $E \simeq H \times{ }_{S} \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ as $S$-schemes. Let $D:=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)$. Then $D$ is the Hopf algebra of $H$.

Definition 4.6. Let $H$ be a finite flat commutative group $S$-scheme acting on $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$, and $\tau: H \times_{S} \mathbf{G}_{m, S} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ the action of $H$ on $\Lambda$. A $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsor $\pi: E \rightarrow H$ is called an extension of $H$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ if
(a) $E$ is a group $S$-scheme;
(b) $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ is a closed normal group $S$-subscheme of $E$ (flat over $S$ ) such that the quotient $Q:=E / \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ is the group $S$-scheme $H$,
(c) if $w: H \rightarrow E$ is a lifting of $H$ to $E$ with $\zeta(0)=1_{E}$, then $\operatorname{ad}(\zeta)=\tau$, where $\operatorname{ad}(\zeta): H \times{ }_{S} \mathbf{G}_{m, S} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ is the morphism sending $(x, a) \mapsto$ $\zeta(x) \cdot a \cdot \zeta(x)^{-1}$.
If $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ is contained in the center of $E$, then $E$ is called a central extension of $H$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Note that if $H$ acts trivially on $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$, then $E$ is a central extension of $H$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ in view of (c).
Definition 4.7. Two extensions $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ of $H$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ are isomorphic (as extensions) if there is an isomorphism $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ as group $S$-schemes such that $f_{\mid \mathbf{G}_{m, S}}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{G}_{m, S}}$ and the homomorphism $\bar{f}: H \xrightarrow{\simeq} E / \Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} H$ induced from $f$ is $\operatorname{id}_{H}$. Let $\operatorname{Ext}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of extensions of $H$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$.

Let $H^{m}:=H \times_{S} \cdots \times_{S} H$ ( $m$-times), and let $C^{n}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ be the set of all $S$-morphisms $\phi: H^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Hence $C^{n}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} D^{\times}$
Definition 4.8. Let $\phi \in\left(D \otimes_{R} D\right)^{\times}$. We call $\phi \in C^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ a 2-cocycle if $\phi$ satisfies the condition:for any $S$-scheme $T$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi(x, y) \cdot \phi(x+y, z)=x(\phi(y, z)) \cdot \phi(x, y+z), \\
\phi(x, 0)=\phi(0, x)=1 \quad(\forall x, y, z \in H(T)) . \tag{36}
\end{array}
$$

Next we call $\phi$ a 2-coboundary iff there exists $\psi \in C^{1}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ such that $\phi=d^{1} \psi$, that is, for any $S$-scheme $T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=d^{1} \psi(x, y)=\psi(x+y) \psi(x)^{-1}(x(\psi(y)))^{-1}(\forall x, y \in H(T)) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $Z^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.B^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)\right)$ to be the subset of $C^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ of all 2-cocycles (resp. 2-coboundaries). We define

$$
H^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right):=Z^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) / B^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) .
$$

For $\phi \in Z^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$, we denote the class of $\phi$ in $H^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ by [ $\phi$ ]. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R\left[w, w^{-1}\right], D\right)=D^{\times}$, we have $\phi \in$ $C^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)=\left(D \otimes_{R} D\right)^{\times}$and $\psi \in C^{1}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)=D^{\times}$.

[^3]Lemma 4.9. Let $H$ be a finite flat commutative group $S$-scheme and $E$ is a $\mathbf{G}_{m, S^{-}}$-torsor on $H, \zeta: H \rightarrow E$ a lifting of $H$ to $E$ and $\phi \in C^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)=$ $\left(D \otimes_{R} D\right)^{\times}$. Let $T$ any $S$-scheme, $x, y \in H(T), a, b \in \mathbf{G}_{m, S}(T)$ and $\phi(x, y):=(x, y)^{*} \phi \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{T}\right)^{\times}$. We define a multiplication of $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\zeta(x) \cdot a) \cdot(\zeta(y) \cdot b)=\zeta(x+y) \cdot \phi(x, y) \cdot a \cdot x(b) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(1) the following are equivalent:
(a) multiplication Eq. (38) of $E$ is associative;
(b) $\phi \in Z^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$;
(c) Eq. (36) is true for any $S$-scheme $T$;
(d) Eq. (36) is true for $T=H$ and $x=y=z=\operatorname{id}_{H}$;
(2) the following are equivalent:
(a) E splits, that is, $E \simeq H \times \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ as group $S$-schemes;
(b) $\phi \in B^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$;
(c) there exists $\psi \in C^{1}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ such that $E q$. (37) is true for any $S$-scheme $T$;
(d) there exists $\psi \in C^{1}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ such that $E q$. (37) is true for $T=H$ and $x=y=\operatorname{id}_{H}$.

Proof. Equivalence of (1a)-(1C) is clear. Let $T$ be any $S$-scheme and $x, y, z \in$ $H(T)$. The pullback of Eq. (36) for (1d) by the morphism $(x, y, z): T \rightarrow$ $H \times{ }_{S} H \times{ }_{S} H$ is Eq. (36) for (1C). Hence (1d implies (1C). Since (1c) clearly implies (1d) this proves (11). (2) is proved similarly.

Definition 4.10. Let $D=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)$ and $n=\operatorname{rank}_{R} D$. Then we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{\text {univ }}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)=\left\{\left(\phi(x, y) ; x, y \in \mathbf{Z} \text { id }_{H}\right) ; \begin{array}{l}
\phi(x, y) \in D^{\times} \\
\text {Eq. (36) holds }
\end{array}\right\}, \\
& B_{\text {univ }}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(\psi(x+y) \psi(x)^{-1}\left(x(\psi(y))^{-1} ; x, y \in \mathbf{Z i d}_{H}\right)\right. \\
\psi(x) \in D^{\times}
\end{array}\right\}, \\
& H_{\text {univ }}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)=Z_{\text {univ }}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) / B_{\text {univ }}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we note $n \operatorname{id}_{H}=0$ in $H(H)$ by Deligne's theorem OT70, p. 4].
The following is proved in the same manner as Theorem 4.3:
Theorem 4.11. Let $H$ be a finite flat commutative group $S$-scheme acting on $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Then we have a bijection by the map $E \mapsto \sigma(E)$,

$$
\left.\operatorname{Ext}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \simeq H^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \simeq H_{\mathrm{univ}}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \quad \text { (bijective }\right)
$$

Theorem 4.12. Let $K=\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$, and let $H$ be a finite commutative group $S$-scheme of rank $n$ acting on $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ with $D=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)$. Let $\operatorname{id}_{H} \in H(H)$ be the identity of $H$ and assume $n=\operatorname{ord}\left(\mathrm{id}_{E}\right)$. We define an action of $K$ on $D=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ by $K \times D \ni(x, f) \mapsto\left(x \operatorname{id}_{H}\right)^{*} f:=f \circ\left(x \mathrm{id}_{H}\right)$, and $N_{K}(f)=\prod_{x \in K}\left(x \mathrm{id}_{H}\right)^{*} f$. Moreover let $\phi \in Z^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right), E=E_{\phi} \in$ $\operatorname{Ext}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$, and $\Omega(\phi):=\prod_{x=0}^{n-1} \phi\left(x \mathrm{id}_{E}, \mathrm{id}_{E}\right) \in D^{\times}$. Then
(1) $\Omega$ induces an isomorphism $H_{\mathrm{univ}}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \simeq\left(D^{\times}\right)^{H} / N_{H} D^{\times}$;
(2) $E$ splits iff $\Omega(\phi) \in N_{H} D^{\times}$;
(3) if $a:=\Omega(\phi) \in D^{\times}, R^{*}=R[x] /\left(x^{n}-a\right)$ and $W=\operatorname{Spec} R^{*}$, then the pullback $E_{W}$ of $E$ splits.

Proof. By Corollary 4.5 and Definition 4.10 ,

$$
H_{\mathrm{univ}}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \simeq H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}, D^{\times}\right)=H^{2}\left(K, D^{\times}\right)
$$

where $K$ naturally acts on $D^{\times}$as follows: since $x \in K$ gives $x \operatorname{id}_{H} \in H(H)$, via the isomorphism $D^{\times}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$, this induces a natural action $\tau_{K}: K \times D^{\times} \mapsto D^{\times}$by $\tau_{K}(x, f)=\left(x \mathrm{id}_{H}\right)^{*} f$. By this we define $N_{K}(g):=$ $\prod_{x \in K}\left(x \mathrm{id}_{H}\right)^{*} g$ for $g \in D$. Hence $H^{2}\left(K, D^{\times}\right) \simeq\left(D^{\times}\right)^{K} / N_{K} D^{\times}$. This proves (11). (2)-(3) follows from Corollary 4.5 and Theorem 4.11.

### 4.3. Formulation in terms of Hopf algebras.

Lemma 4.13. Let $H$ be a finite flat commutative group $S$-scheme acting on $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}, \tau: H \times \mathbf{G}_{m, S} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ the action of $H$ on $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}, E=H \times \Lambda$ as $S$-schemes, and $\phi \in Z^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \subset\left(D \otimes_{R} D\right)^{\times}$. Then we define $a$ multiplication of $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, a) \cdot(y, b)=(x+y, \phi(x, y) \cdot a \cdot x(b)) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is an $S$-scheme, $x, y \in H(T)$ and $a, b \in \mathbf{G}_{m, S}(T)$ are functorial points, and $0(b)=b$. Then $E \in \operatorname{Ext}(H, \Lambda)$ with $\sigma(E)=[\phi]$, where $\sigma(E)$ is the class of the 2-cocycle associated with $E$. We denote $E$ by $E_{\phi}$.

Proof. Associativity of the group law of $E$ follows from Eq. (34). Here $(0,1)$ is the identity of $E$ because $x(1)=1(\forall x \in H(T))$, while $(x, \lambda)^{-1}=$ $\left(-x, x(a) a^{-1}\right)$. Indeed, let $b:=x(a) a^{-1}$. We compute $(-x, b) \cdot(x, a)=$ $\left(0, x(a) \cdot(-x)(a) \cdot a^{-1}\right)=\left(0, \tau(x, a) \cdot \tau(-x, a) \cdot a^{-1}\right)=\left(0, \tau(0, a) \cdot a^{-1}\right)=$ $\left(0, a \cdot a^{-1}\right)=(0,1)$. Hence $(-x, b)=(x, a)^{-1}$, and $E$ is a group $S$-scheme. This shows Definition 4.6 (a). The group $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ is identified with a normal subgroup $\left.\left\{(0, a) ; a \in \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)\right\}$ of $E$, and then $E / \Lambda \simeq H$, which shows Definition 4.6 (b). A lifting $\zeta$ of $H$ to $E$ is given by $\zeta(x)=(x, 1)$, and $\zeta(x)(0, a) \zeta(x)^{-1}=(x, 1) \cdot(0, a) \cdot(-x, 1)=(0, x(a))$, which shows Definition 4.6 (c). Hence $E \in \operatorname{Ext}(H, \Lambda)$ with $\sigma(E)=[\phi]$.

Now we describe the above group $S$-scheme $E:=E_{\phi}$ via Hopf algebras.
Lemma 4.14. Let $D=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right), A:=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{E}\right)=D\left[w, w^{-1}\right], \Delta_{H}$ (resp. $\iota_{H}$, $\epsilon_{H}$ ) comultiplication (resp. coinverse, counit) of $H$ and $\iota_{\mathbf{G}_{m, S}}$ coinverse of $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Then comultiplication $\Delta$ (resp. coinverse $\iota$, counit $\epsilon$ ) of $E:=E_{\phi}$ is given via Lemma 4.13 as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta(w)=\phi \cdot w \otimes \tau^{*}(w), \iota(w)=\left(\tau^{*} \cdot\left(1 \otimes \iota_{\mathbf{G}_{m, S}}\right)\right)(w), \epsilon(w)=1 \\
\Delta(\lambda)=\Delta_{H}(\lambda), \iota(\lambda)=\iota_{H}(\lambda), \epsilon(\lambda)=\epsilon_{H}(\lambda)(\lambda \in D)
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. This is clear from Lemma 4.13.

Let $H^{m}:=H \times_{S} \cdots \times_{S} H$ ( $m$-times), and let $\mathrm{pr}_{i j}: H^{3} \rightarrow H^{2}$ be the projection to the $(i, j)$-component. Let $w_{i}:=w, \tau_{i}:=\tau$ and $\phi_{i j}:=\operatorname{pr}_{i j}^{*} \phi$. By Lemma 4.14, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Delta \otimes 1) \Delta(w) & =(\Delta \otimes 1)\left(\phi_{23} w_{2} \otimes \tau_{2}^{*} w_{3}\right) \\
& =\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes 1_{D}\right)\left(\phi_{23}\right)\left(\Delta\left(w_{2}\right) \otimes\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes 1_{R\left[w^{ \pm}\right]}\right) \tau_{2}^{*} w_{3}\right) \\
& \left.=\left(\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes 1_{D}\right) \phi_{23}\right) \phi_{12}\right)\left(w_{1} \otimes \tau_{1}^{*} w_{2} \otimes \tau_{1}^{*} \tau_{2}^{*} w_{3}\right), \\
(1 \otimes \Delta) \Delta(w) & =(1 \otimes \Delta)\left(\phi_{12} w_{1} \otimes \tau_{1}^{*} w_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(1_{D} \otimes \Delta_{H}\right)\left(\phi_{12}\right)\left(w_{1} \otimes\left(\tau_{1}^{*} \otimes \tau_{1}^{*}\right)\left(\Delta\left(w_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\left(1_{D} \otimes \Delta_{H}\right)\left(\phi_{12}\right)\left(w_{1} \otimes\left(\tau_{1}^{*} \otimes \tau_{1}^{*}\right)\left(\phi_{23} w_{2} \otimes \tau_{2}^{*} w_{3}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\left(1_{D} \otimes \Delta_{H}\right)\left(\phi_{12}\right) \tau_{1}^{*} \phi_{23}\right)\left(w_{1} \otimes \tau_{1}^{*} w_{2} \otimes \tau_{1}^{*} \tau_{2}^{*} w_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note $\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes 1_{R\left[w^{ \pm}\right]}\right) \tau_{2}^{*}=\tau_{1}^{*} \tau_{2}^{*}$. It follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes 1_{D}\right) \phi_{23}\right) \phi_{12}=\left(\left(1_{D} \otimes \Delta_{H}\right) \phi_{12}\right) \tau_{1}^{*} \phi_{23} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which coincides with Eq. (36). Similarly Eq. (37) is translated into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=d^{1} \psi=\left(\Delta_{H} \psi\right) \psi_{1}^{-1} \tau_{1}^{*} \psi_{2}^{-1} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{i}=p_{i}^{*} \psi$ and $p_{i}: H^{2} \rightarrow H$ is the $i$-th projection.
4.4. Central extensions of a finite group $S$-scheme. In what follows we consider the case where a finite flat commutative group $S$-scheme $H$ acts trivialy on $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Hence as is mentioned in Definition 4.6, any $E \in$ $\operatorname{Ext}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ is a central extension of $H$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$, that is, $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ is central. Each $E$ is of the form $E_{\phi}$ for some $\phi \in H^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$.

First we define:
Definition 4.15. Let $R$ be a CDVR, $k(\eta)$ its fraction field and $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$. Let $R^{*}$ be a CDVR, $K^{*}$ the fraction field of $R^{*}$ and $W:=\operatorname{Spec} R^{*}$. If $K^{*}$ is the splitting field in the algebraic closure $\Omega$ of $k(\eta)$ of a polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^{q}\left(x^{n_{i}}-a_{i}\right)$ for some $\left(n_{i}, a_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{N} \times R^{\times}(i \in[0, q-1])$, then we say that $K^{*}$ is a finite radical normal extension of $k(\eta)$ and $\pi: W \rightarrow S$ is a finite radical normal cover of $S$, or $W$ is finite radical normal over $S$. Note that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(K^{*} / k(\eta)\right)=\operatorname{Aut}(W / S) \simeq Z_{S}^{\prime} \oplus D\left(Z_{S}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ where $Z^{\prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}$ are some finite commutative groups and $D\left(Z_{S}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the Cartier dual of $Z_{S}^{\prime \prime}$.
Lemma 4.16. Let $H=(\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z})_{S}$ and $E \in \operatorname{Ext}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$. Then there exists a finite radical normal cover $W$ of $S$ such that the pullback $E_{W}$ splits.

Proof. Let $D=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)$. By Theorem 4.12, there exists $\phi \in Z^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ such that $E=E_{\phi}$. Let $a:=\Omega(\phi) \in D^{\times}$. By definition, $H$ is a disjoint union of $n$ copies of $S$, say, $H=\coprod_{i \in[0, n-1]} S_{i}$ as $S$-schemes where $S_{i}$ is the $i$-th copy of $S$ and $S_{0}$ the identity component of $H$. Hence $D=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} R \delta_{i}$ where $\delta_{i}$ is the function on $H$ such that $\delta_{i}$ on $S_{j}$ equals $\delta_{i, j}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}_{m}(D)=D^{\times}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} R^{\times} \delta_{i}, \delta_{i} \delta_{j}=\delta_{i, j} \delta_{i}(\forall i, j \in[0, n-1]) . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $a \in D^{\times}$is written uniquely as $a=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} \delta_{i}$ with $a_{i} \in R^{\times}$. Let $K$ be the splitting field in $\Omega$ of the polynomial:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(x^{n}-a_{i}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $R_{K}$ be the integral closure of $R$ in $K$ and $W=\operatorname{Spec} R_{K}$. Since $a_{i} \in R^{\times}$, there exists $\left(\alpha_{i} ; i \in[1, n]\right)$ such that $\alpha_{i} \in R_{K}^{\times}$and $\alpha_{i}^{n}=a_{i}$. Let $\alpha:=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \delta_{i} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{H_{W}}\right)^{\times}=\left(D \otimes_{R} R_{K}\right)^{\times}$. Then $\alpha^{n}=a$, so that the pullback $E_{W}$ of $E$ splits by Theorem 4.12 (2).

Remark 4.17. Let $H=\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$. Then $H^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m}(S)\right)=\mathbf{G}_{m}(S) / \mathbf{G}_{m}(S)^{n}$ can be different from $H_{\text {univ }}^{2}\left(H_{S}, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)=\mathbf{G}_{m}(D) / \mathbf{G}_{m}(D)^{n}$ by Eq. (42).

Next we consider the case $H=\mu_{n, S}$. We prove:
Lemma 4.18. Let $H=\mu_{n, S}$ and $E \in \operatorname{Ext}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$. Then there exists a finite radical normal cover $W$ of $S$ such that the pullback $E_{W}$ splits.

Proof. Our proof of Lemma 4.18 consists of 4 steps:
Step 1. By applying Theorem4.12 to the case $H=\mu_{n, S}$ and $K=\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$, we have $H^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)=D^{\times} /\left(D^{\times}\right)^{n}$. If char $k(0)=0$ or $n$ is prime to char $k(0)$, then Lemma 4.18 follows from Lemma 4.16 because $\mu_{n, S} \simeq(\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z})_{S}$ by taking a finite radical normal cover of $S$ for $S$ if necessary.
Step 2. Next consider the case where $p:=\operatorname{char} k(0)>0$. Let $q$ be the maximal power of $p$ which divides $n$, and $r:=n / q$. There are two cases:

$$
\text { (i) } \operatorname{char} R=0, \quad \text { (ii) } \operatorname{char} R=p
$$

Step 3. Now we consider Case (i). Let $D_{m, R}:=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mu_{m, S}}\right)$ for $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $D_{n, R}=D_{r, R} \otimes_{R} D_{q, R}$. We first compute $D_{r, R}$. Since $r$ is prime to char $k(0)$ and hence char $R$, we may assume that $R$ contains a primitive $n$-th root of unity by taking a finite radical normal cover $S^{\prime}$ of $S$ for $S$ if necessary. Then $\mu_{r, S}=\coprod_{i \in \mathbf{Z} / r \mathbf{Z}} S_{i}$ as $S$-schemes where $S_{i}$ is the $i$-th copy of $S$. By Eq. (42), $\mu_{r, S} \simeq(\mathbf{Z} / r \mathbf{Z})_{S}$ over $S$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{r, R}^{\times}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} R^{\times} \delta_{i}, \delta_{i} \delta_{j}=\delta_{i, j} \delta_{i}(i, j \in[0, r-1]) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we shall describe $D_{q, S}$ explicitly. Let $\zeta_{q}$ be a primitive $q$-th root of unity of $1, R^{\prime}:=R\left[\zeta_{q}\right]$ and $S^{\prime}:=\operatorname{Spec} R^{\prime}$. Since the finite cover $S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ is radical normal, we assume $S^{\prime}=S$ by taking $S^{\prime}$ for $S$. The $S$-scheme $\mu_{q, S}=\operatorname{Spec} R[x] /\left(x^{q}-1\right)$ has $q$-sections $s_{k}: S \rightarrow \mu_{q, S}$ defined by $s_{k}^{*} x=\zeta_{q}^{k}$ $(k \in[0, q-1])$. Let $\pi: \tilde{\mu}_{q, S} \rightarrow \mu_{q, S}$ be the normalization of the $S$-scheme $\mu_{q, S}, S_{k}$ the $k$-th irreducible component of $\tilde{\mu}_{q, S}$ which is a copy of $S$ with $s_{k}(S)=\pi\left(S_{k}\right)$, and $P:=\operatorname{Spec} k(0)[x] /(x-1)$ the unique closed point of $\mu_{q, S}$.

Then $\tilde{\mu}_{q, S} \simeq \coprod_{k=1}^{q} S_{k}$ and we have an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\mu_{q, S}}$-modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow D_{q, S} \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mu}_{q, S}}\right)=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{q-1} R \sigma_{k} \xrightarrow{\oplus_{k=0}^{q-1} \lambda_{k}} \bigoplus_{k \in[2, q]} k(0) \rightarrow 0, \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{k} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mu}_{q, S}}\right)$ with $\sigma_{k \mid S_{j}}=\delta_{k, j}, \sigma_{k} \sigma_{l}=\delta_{k, l} \sigma_{k}(k, l \in[0, q-1])$ and $\lambda_{l}\left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{k} \delta_{k}\right)=a_{l}(P)-a_{l-1}(P)(l \in[1, q-1])$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n, S}^{\times}=\left(D_{r, S} \otimes_{R} D_{q, S}\right)^{\times}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} D_{q, S}^{\times} \delta_{i} . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E:=E_{\phi}$ for some $\phi \in Z^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ and $\left.\Omega(\phi)\right)=a \in D_{n, S}^{\times}$. Then $a$ is uniquely written as $a=\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_{i} \delta_{i}$ with $a_{i} \in D_{q, S}^{\times}$. By Eq. (45), each $a_{i}$ is uniquely written as $a_{i}=\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{i, k} \sigma_{k}$ for some $a_{i, k} \in R^{\times}$such that $a_{i, 1}(P)=a_{i, l}(P)(\forall l \in[1, q-1])$.

By (the proof of) Lemma 4.16 there exists a finite radical normal cover $W$ of $S$ with $R^{*}:=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)$ and $\alpha_{i, k} \in\left(R^{*}\right)^{\times}(k \in[0, q-1])$ such that $\alpha_{i, k}^{n}=a_{i, k}$. Since $\alpha_{i, 1}\left(P^{*}\right)^{n}=\alpha_{i, k}\left(P^{*}\right)^{n}$, whence $\alpha_{i, 1}\left(P^{*}\right)^{r}=\alpha_{i, k}\left(P^{*}\right)^{r}$ where $P^{*}$ is the unique closed point of $W$ lying over $P$. Since we have assumed $\mu_{r} \subset R$, we may assume $\alpha_{i, 1}\left(P^{*}\right)=\alpha_{i, k}\left(P^{*}\right)(\forall k \in[1, q-1])$ by multiplying $\alpha_{i, k}$ by some $r$-th root of unity. Hence $\alpha_{i}:=\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \alpha_{i, k} \sigma_{k} \in\left(D_{q, S} \otimes_{R} R^{*}\right)^{\times}=D_{q, W}^{\times}$ $(\forall i \in[0, r-1])$. Let $\alpha=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \alpha_{i} \delta_{i} \in D_{n, W}^{\times}$. Then $a=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \alpha_{i}^{n} \delta_{i}=\alpha^{n}$. Hence $E_{W}$ splits by Theorem 4.12 (2). This proves Lemma in Case (i).
Step 4. In Case (ii), by taking a finite radical normal cover of $S$ if necessary, we have $S=\operatorname{Spec} R, R=k(0)[[t]]$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{q, R}=k(0)[[t]][x] /\left(x^{q}-1\right)=k(0)[[t]][\epsilon] /\left(\epsilon^{q}\right)(\epsilon:=x-1), \\
D_{q, R}^{\times}=(k(0)[[t]])^{\times} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{q-1} k[[t]] \epsilon^{k}, \\
D_{n, R}^{\times}=\left(D_{r, R} \otimes_{R} D_{q, S}\right)^{\times}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} D_{q, R}^{\times} \delta_{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $Z^{\prime}=\left(\mu_{n, S}\right)_{\mathrm{red}} \simeq \mu_{r, S}$ and $Z:=\left(Z^{\prime}\right)^{0}$ : the identity component of $Z^{\prime}$. Then $Z \simeq S, \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)=R$ and $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}}\right)=D_{r, R}$. Let $E=E_{\phi}$ and $a:=\Omega(\phi)$. Then we write $a=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} \delta_{i}$ and $a_{i}=\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{i, k} \epsilon^{k}$. Let $K^{*}$ be the splitting field of $\prod_{i \in[1, r]}\left(x^{n}-a_{i, 0}\right), R^{*}$ the integral closure of $R$ in $K^{*}$ and $W:=\operatorname{Spec} R^{*}$. Hence $W$ is naturally a reduced irreducible $S=Z$-scheme which is finite radical normal and flat over $S$. Since $H_{W} \simeq \mu_{r, W}$, we obtain $H^{2}\left(H_{W}, \mathbf{G}_{m, W}\right)=D_{r, R^{*}} / D_{r, R^{*}}^{n}$. Let $\alpha_{i, 0} \in R^{*}$ with $\alpha_{i, 0}^{n}=a_{i}(i \in[1, r])$ and $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{i, 0} \delta_{i} \in D_{r, R^{*}}$. Then $E_{W}=E_{\phi \otimes R^{*}}$ and $\Omega\left(\sigma\left(E_{W}\right)\right)=a \otimes 1_{R^{*}}=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{i, 0} \delta_{i} \in D_{r, R^{*}}^{\times}$. It follows $a \otimes 1_{R^{*}}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{i, 0}^{n} \delta_{i}=\alpha^{n} \in D_{r, R^{*}}^{n}$. Hence $E_{W}$ splits. This completes the proof in Case (ii), and hence of Lemma.
4.5. Commutative extensions. Let $H$ be a finite flat commutative group $S$-scheme acting trivially on $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Let $\phi \in Z^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ and $E:=E_{\phi}$.

Definition 4.19. We define a commutator form $e_{E}$ of $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{E}(x, y)=\phi(x, y) / \phi(y, x) \quad(x, y \in H(T)) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $S$-scheme $T$. We call $\phi$ symmetric if $e_{E}$ is trivial. Note that $e_{E}$ is trivial, that is, $e_{E}$ is identically equal to 1 , iff $E$ is commutative. Let $Z_{\text {symm }}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ be the subset of $Z^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ of all symmetric 2-cocycles. Since $B^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ is a submodule of $Z_{\text {symm }}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$, we set

$$
H_{\mathrm{symm}}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right):=Z_{\mathrm{symm}}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) / B^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)
$$

Hence we have $H_{\text {symm }}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \subset H^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$.
The following is obvious:
Lemma 4.20. Let $H$ be a finite flat commutative group $S$-scheme, and $\operatorname{Ext}_{\text {comm }}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ the subset of $\operatorname{Ext}\left(\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)\right.$ of all commutative extensions of $H$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Then

$$
\left.\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{comm}}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \simeq H_{\mathrm{symm}}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \quad \text { (bijective }\right)
$$

Lemma 4.21. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be finite flat commutative group $S$-schemes. Then

$$
\left.H_{\mathrm{symm}}^{2}\left(\bigoplus_{i \in[1,2]} H_{i}, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in[1,2]} H_{\mathrm{symm}}^{2}\left(H_{i}, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \quad \text { (bijective }\right)
$$

Proof. We define a bijection $\tau: H_{\text {symm }}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in[1,2]} H_{\text {symm }}^{2}\left(H_{i}, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$. Let $H=H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$. Let $T$ be any $S$-scheme, $x_{1}, y_{1} \in H_{1}(T), x_{2}, y_{2} \in H_{2}(T)$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in H(T)$.

Let $\phi \in Z^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$. Then we set $E:=E_{\phi}, \zeta$ a lifting of $H$ to $E$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right):=\phi\left(x_{1}, 0 ; y_{1}, 0\right), \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right):=\phi\left(0, x_{2} ; 0, y_{2}\right) \\
\phi_{\text {new }}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; y_{1}, y_{2}\right):=\phi_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \\
\zeta_{1}\left(x_{1}\right):=\zeta\left(x_{1}, 0\right), \zeta_{2}\left(x_{2}\right):=\zeta\left(0, x_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

We define a new lifting $\zeta_{\text {new }}$ of $H$ to $E$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\zeta_{\text {new }}\left(x_{1}, 0\right):=\zeta\left(x_{1}, 0\right), \quad \zeta_{\text {new }}\left(0, x_{2}\right):=\zeta\left(0, x_{2}\right) \\
\zeta_{\text {new }}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=\zeta\left(x_{1}, 0\right) \cdot \zeta\left(0, x_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \zeta_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=\phi\left(x_{1}, 0 ; y_{1}, 0\right) \zeta\left(x_{1}+y_{1}, 0\right)=\phi_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \zeta_{1}\left(x_{1}+y_{1}\right) \\
& \zeta_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \zeta_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=\phi\left(0, x_{2} ; 0, y_{2}\right) \zeta\left(0, x_{2}+y_{2}\right)=\phi_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \zeta_{2}\left(x_{2}+y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover since $E$ is commutative, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\text {new }}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \zeta_{\text {new }}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\zeta_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \zeta_{2}\left(y_{1}\right) \zeta_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) \zeta_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
& =\phi_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \zeta_{1}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \zeta_{2}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right) \\
& =\phi_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \zeta_{\text {new }}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The cohomology class $[\phi]$ does not depend on the choice of a lifting $\zeta$. Hence $[\phi]=\left[\phi_{\text {new }}\right] \in H^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$. Now we define $\tau([\phi])=\left(\left[\phi_{1}\right],\left[\phi_{2}\right]\right)$.

Conversely, let $\phi_{1} \in Z_{\text {symm }}^{2}\left(H_{1}, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right), \phi_{2} \in Z_{\text {symm }}^{2}\left(H_{2}, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ and $\phi=$ $\phi_{1} \phi_{2}$. Then $\phi \in Z_{\text {symm }}^{2}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$ by Eq. (36) and the map $\left(\left[\phi_{1}\right],\left[\phi_{2}\right]\right) \mapsto[\phi]$ is the inverse of $\tau$. This completes the proof.
Theorem 4.22. Let $H^{\prime}, H^{\prime \prime}$ be finite commutative groups, $H:=H_{S}^{\prime} \oplus D\left(H_{S}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $E \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\text {comm }}\left(H, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$. Then there exists a finite radical normal cover $W$ of $S$ such that the pullback $E_{W}$ splits.

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 4.16,4.18,4.21.
Example 4.23. Let $A$ be an abelian $S$-scheme and $E \in \operatorname{Ext}\left(A, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)$. Since $A$ is proper over $S, A$ acts trivially on $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Then there exists an open covering $\left(U_{\lambda} ; \lambda \in \Lambda\right)$ of $A$ such that $E_{U_{\lambda}} \simeq U_{\lambda} \times_{S} \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Let $U:=$ $\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. Let $x, y \in U(T)$ for an $S$-scheme $T$. Then we have liftings $\zeta_{U}(x)$ and $\zeta(y)$ of $x$ and $y$ to $E_{U}$, so that we obtain $\phi_{U} \in Z^{2}\left(A_{U}, \mathbf{G}_{m, U}\right)$ such that $E_{U}=E_{\phi_{U}}$, and $\zeta_{U}(x) \zeta_{U}(y)=\phi_{U}(x, y) \zeta_{U}(x+y)$. We define $e_{E}(x, y)=$ $\phi_{U}(x, y) / \phi_{U}(y, x)$. This is well-defined as a morphism of fppf sheaves $e_{E}$ : $A \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$, which is therefore a morphism of $S$-schemes. See $\S$ B. 1 for fppf sheaves. Since $A$ is proper over $S, e_{E}$ is a constant morphism, and hence identically equal to 1 . This implies that $\phi$ is symmetric, and $E$ is a commutative extension of $A$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. Therefore the pushout $\mathbf{F}_{x}^{\times}$ $\left(x \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}\right)\right)$ in $\S 2.2$ is a commutative extension.

## 5. Degeneration data of the Néron model

5.1. Extension of $\zeta$. We return to $\S$ 3.2. Let $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$ with $t$ uniformizer of $R$. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a split semiabelian $S$-scheme, $\zeta_{l}:=\operatorname{FC}\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}\right)$ and $\zeta=\zeta_{1}$. The split object $\zeta_{l}$ is assumed to be symmetric.

Definition 5.1. Let $v_{t}$ be a valuation of $R$ with $v_{t}(t)=1$ and $B_{\tau}:=v_{t}(\tau)$. We define $\beta:=\beta_{\tau} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(Y, X^{\vee}\right)$ by $\beta_{\tau}(y)(x)=B_{\tau}(y, x)(y \in Y, x \in X)$, $N:=N_{\tau}:=\left|X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)\right|$ and $\mu:=\phi \circ \beta^{-1} \circ\left(N \operatorname{id}_{X \vee}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X^{\vee}, X\right)$. Let $\Omega:=\overline{k(\eta)}$ be an algebraic closure of $k(\eta), K$ an algebraic extension of $k(\eta)$ and $R_{K}$ the integral closure of $R$ in $K$.

The following is a pd-counterpart of [MN24, 5.5].
Lemma 5.2. By taking a finite radical normal extension $K$ of $k(\eta)$ possibly ramified over the maximal ideal $I$ of $R$, we can find
(i) $c^{t, e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X^{\vee}, A\left(R_{K}\right)\right)$ such that $c^{t}=c^{t, e} \circ \beta$ and $c \circ \mu=N \lambda \circ c^{t, e}$;
(ii) a trivialization of biextension $\tau^{e}: 1_{X^{\vee} \times X} \simeq\left(c^{t, e} \times c\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\otimes(-1)}$, which is a bilinear form $\tau^{e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X^{\vee} \times X, K^{\times}\right)$such that $\tau=\tau^{e} \circ$ $\left(\beta \times \operatorname{id}_{X}\right), v_{t} \tau^{e}(u, x)=u(x)$ and $\tau^{e}(u, \mu(v))=\tau^{e}(v, \mu(u)) \quad(\forall u, v \in$ $\left.X^{\vee}, \forall x \in X\right)$;
(iii) $\iota^{e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X^{\vee}, \tilde{G}(K)\right)$ such that $\iota=\iota^{e} \circ \beta$ and $c^{t, e}=\pi \circ \iota^{e}$, which is given by $\iota^{e}(u)=\bigoplus_{x \in X} \tau^{e}(u, x)\left(u \in X^{\vee}\right)$;
(iv) a cubical trivialization $\psi^{e}: 1_{X^{\vee}} \simeq\left(c^{t, e}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}_{K}^{\otimes(-2)}$ compatible with $\tau^{e} \circ\left(\operatorname{id}_{X^{\vee}} \times(2 \mu)\right): 1_{X^{\vee} \times X^{\vee}} \simeq\left(c^{t, e} \times(c \circ(2 \mu))\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\otimes(-1)}$ in the sense that

$$
\psi^{e}(u)=\tau^{e}(u, \mu(u)) \quad\left(\forall u \in X^{\vee}\right)
$$

is a $K^{\times}$-valued function on $X^{\vee}$ such that $\psi^{2 N}=\psi^{e} \circ \beta$ and

$$
\psi^{e}(u+v)=\psi^{e}(u) \psi^{e}(v) \tau^{e}(u, 2 \mu(v))\left(\forall u, v \in X^{\vee}\right) .
$$

Every quadruple ( $\left.c^{t, e}, \tau^{e}, \iota^{e}, \psi^{e}\right)$ is conjugate to each other under $\operatorname{Aut}(K / k(\eta))$.
Proof. Let $r=\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}} X$. We choose elementary divisors $e_{j}$ of $X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)$ :

$$
X^{\vee} / \beta(Y) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}, H_{i}=\mathbf{Z} / e_{i} \mathbf{Z}, e_{i} \mid e_{i+1}, e_{i} \geq 1 \quad(i \in[1, r-1]) .
$$

Note that $N=\prod_{i=1}^{r} e_{i}$. Let $x \in X^{\vee}$ and $\bar{x}$ the class of $x$ in $X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)$. Let $\left(u_{i} \in X ; i \in[1, r]\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left(y_{i} \in Y ; i \in[1, r]\right)\right)$ be a Z-basis of $X^{\vee}($ resp. $Y)$ such that $\bar{u}_{i} \in H_{i}$ is a generator of $H_{i}$ and $e_{i} u_{i}=\beta\left(y_{i}\right)(\forall i \in[1, r])$.

Since $e_{i} \mathrm{id}_{A}: A \rightarrow A$ is surjective, we have $\alpha_{i} \in A\left(R_{\Omega}\right)$ such that $e_{i} \alpha_{i}=$ $c^{t}\left(y_{i}\right) \in A\left(R_{\Omega}\right)$. Since $e_{i} u_{i}=\beta\left(y_{i}\right)$, we have $\mu\left(u_{i}\right)=\left(N / e_{i}\right) \phi\left(y_{i}\right)$. Hence

$$
N \lambda\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\left(N / e_{i}\right) \lambda\left(c^{t}\left(y_{i}\right)\right)=\left(N / e_{i}\right) c\left(\phi\left(y_{i}\right)\right)=c\left(\left(N / e_{i}\right) \phi\left(y_{i}\right)\right)=c\left(\mu\left(u_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

We define $c^{t, e}\left(u_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}$ and extend $c^{t, e}$ to $X^{\vee}$ additively. Thus we have $c^{t, e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X^{\vee}, A\left(R_{\Omega}\right)\right)$ with $N \lambda \circ c^{t, e}=c \circ \mu$. Moreover

$$
c^{t, e}\left(\beta\left(y_{i}\right)\right)=c^{t, e}\left(e_{i} u_{i}\right)=e_{i} \alpha_{i}=c^{t}\left(y_{i}\right),
$$

which shows $c^{t, e} \circ \beta=c^{t}$ on $Y$. This proves (i).
Let $\bar{\tau}^{e}(u, x):=t^{-u(x)} \tau^{e}(u, x)$ and $\bar{\tau}(y, x):=t^{-B_{\tau}(y, x)} \tau(y, x)$. To construct $\tau^{e}$ satisfying (ii), we first construct $\bar{\tau}^{e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X^{\vee} \times X, \Omega^{\times}\right)$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\tau}^{e}(\beta(y), x)=\bar{\tau}(y, x) \quad(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y) . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e_{i} u_{i}=\beta\left(y_{i}\right)(\forall i \in[1, r])$, Eq. (50) is equivalent to the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\tau}^{e}\left(u_{i}, x_{k}\right)^{e_{i}}=\bar{\tau}\left(y_{i}, x_{k}\right) \quad(\forall k \in[1, r]) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each fixed $i \in[1, r]$. It is clear that Eq. (51) has a solution $\bar{\tau}^{e}\left(u_{i}, x_{k}\right) \in$ $R_{\Omega}^{\times}$. Then we extend $\bar{\tau}^{e}$ (and hence $\tau^{e}$ ) to $X^{\vee} \times X$ additively. If $r=1$, this proves (ii). Next we assume $r \geq 2$. For $i \neq j$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\tau}^{e}\left(u_{i}, \mu\left(u_{j}\right)\right)=\bar{\tau}^{e}\left(u_{i}, \phi\left(y_{j}\right)\right)^{N / e_{j}}=\bar{\tau}^{e}\left(e_{i} u_{i}, \phi\left(y_{j}\right)\right)^{N / e_{i} e_{j}} \\
& =\bar{\tau}\left(y_{i}, \phi\left(y_{j}\right)\right)^{N / e_{i} e_{j}}=\bar{\tau}^{e}\left(y_{j}, \phi\left(y_{i}\right)\right)^{N / e_{i} e_{j}}=\bar{\tau}^{e}\left(u_{j}, \mu\left(u_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorem 3.1 (4). This proves (ii).
Next we define $\iota^{e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X^{\vee}, \tilde{G}(\Omega)\right)$ by $\iota^{e}(u)^{*}:=\bigoplus_{x \in X} \tau^{e}(u, x) \quad(u \in$ $\left.X^{\vee}\right)$. This implies $\iota^{e}(\beta(y))^{*}=\bigoplus_{x \in X} \tau(y, x)=\iota(y)^{*}(y \in Y)$ by Eq. (26). Since $\tau^{e}(u, x)$ is additive in $u$, so is $\iota^{e}$, which lifts $c^{t, e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X^{\vee}, A(\Omega)\right)$ to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X^{\vee}, \tilde{G}(\Omega)\right)$. This proves (iii).

Finally we define $\psi^{e}(u):=\tau^{e}(u, \mu(u))\left(u \in X^{\vee}\right)$. By (ii), $\psi^{e}(\beta(y))=$ $\tau(y, \mu(\beta(y)))=\psi(y)^{2 N}$. Eq. (49) is clear, 5 which shows that $\psi^{e}$ is (viewed as) a cubical trivialization $\psi^{e}: 1_{X^{\vee}} \simeq\left(\iota^{e}\right)^{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\Omega}^{\otimes(-2)} \simeq\left(c^{t, e}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}^{\otimes(-2)}$ compatible with $\tau^{e} \circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{X^{\vee}} \times(2 \mu)\right)$. This proves (iv). The rest is clear.
Definition 5.3. Let $k\left(\eta^{e}\right)$ be the minimal normal extension of $k(\eta)$ among those fields $K$ for which we can find (i)-(iv) of Lemma 5.2, Let $R^{e}$ be the integral closure of $R$ in $k\left(\eta^{e}\right), S^{e}:=\operatorname{Spec} R^{e}, \eta^{e}$ the generic point of $S^{e}$ and $0^{e}$ the closed point of $S^{e}$. By Eq. (51), a primitive $e_{r}$-th root $\zeta_{e_{r}}$ of unity belongs to $R^{e}$ where $e_{r}$ is the maximal elementary divisor of $X / \beta(Y)$. We denote $S^{e}$ (resp. $R^{e}, k\left(\eta^{e}\right)$ ) by $B$ (resp. $R_{K}, K$ ) if no confusion is possible. We sometimes write $R=R(B)$ and $K=k(B)$ if necessary.

Lemma 5.4. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a split symmetric semiabelian $B$-scheme and $\mathcal{G}$ the Néron model of $G_{\eta}$. The $K$-valued point $\iota^{e}(u) \in \tilde{G}(K)\left(u \in X^{\vee}\right)$ induces the $R_{K}$-valued point $\tau^{e}(u) \in \mathcal{G}\left(R_{K}\right)$. Let $H:=\left\{\tau^{e}(u) ; u \in X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)\right\}$. Then $H$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}\left(R_{K}\right)$ such that $\Phi(\mathcal{G} / G)=H \simeq X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}^{b}$ be the Néron model of $G_{K}, \tilde{P}$ a relatively complete model of $G$ and $P$ the algebraization of $\tilde{P}^{\wedge} / \iota(Y)$. There do exist $\tilde{P}$ and $P$, for instance by AN99, 3.24], in which case $\Sigma=\{0\}$. The condition FC90, $\left(^{*}\right)$, p. 62, line 6] hereby turns out to be trivially true: $2 v_{t}\left(I_{y, \alpha} I_{y}\right) \geq 2 v_{t}(\tau(y, \alpha) \psi(y))=$ $2 B_{\tau}(y, \alpha)+B_{\tau}(y, \phi(y))=B_{\tau}(y, \phi(y)) \geq 0(\forall y \in Y, \forall \alpha \in \Sigma=\{0\})$ where $I_{y, \alpha}=\tau(y, \alpha) R, I_{y}=\psi(y) R$ and $\psi(y)^{2}=\tau(y, \phi(y))$. Hence $\tilde{P}$ is indeed a relatively complete model by [FC90, III, 3.3].

By Lemma 5.2 and [FC90, III, 3.3], $\iota^{e}\left(X^{\vee}\right) \subset \tilde{G}(K) \subset \tilde{P}\left(R_{K}\right)$. Each $\iota^{e}(u)\left(u \in X^{\vee}\right)$ gives rise to an $R_{K}$-valued point $\tau^{e}(u) \in \mathcal{G}^{b}\left(R_{K}\right)$ because $\tilde{P}\left(R_{K}\right) / \iota(Y)=\tilde{P}^{\wedge}\left(R_{K}\right) / \iota(Y)=P^{\wedge}\left(R_{K}\right)=P\left(R_{K}\right)=P(K)=G(K)=$ $\mathcal{G}^{b}\left(R_{K}\right)$ by [FC90, III, 8.1]. Since $v_{t}\left(\tau^{e}(u, x)\right)=u(x) \in \mathbf{Z}(\forall x \in X)$, we have $t^{e}(u) \in \mathcal{G}\left(R_{K}\right)$, so that $H$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}\left(R_{K}\right)$.

We define a homomorphism $\delta_{t}: \tilde{G}(\Omega) \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\vee}$ by $\delta_{t}(Q)(x)=v_{t}\left(w^{x}(Q)\right)$ $(Q \in \tilde{G}(\Omega), x \in X)$. Let $\tilde{G}(\Omega)^{0}:=\left\{Q \in \tilde{G}(\Omega) ; \delta_{t}(Q) \in X^{\vee}\right\}$ and $\tilde{G}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)^{0}:=$ $\tilde{G}\left(R_{\Omega}\right) \cap \tilde{G}(\Omega)^{0}$. By [FC90, III, line 11, p.78], $\Phi(\mathcal{G} / G)=\mathcal{G}\left(R^{\mathrm{unr}}\right) / G\left(R^{\mathrm{unr}}\right)=$ $\tilde{G}\left(k(\eta)^{\mathrm{unr}}\right) / \tilde{G}\left(R^{\mathrm{unr}}\right) \cdot \iota(Y) \stackrel{\delta_{t}}{=} X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)$. Similarly $\Phi(\mathcal{G} / G)=\mathcal{G}\left(R_{\Omega}\right) / G\left(R_{\Omega}\right)=$ $\tilde{G}(\Omega)^{0} / \tilde{G}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)^{0} \cdot \iota(Y) \stackrel{\delta_{t}}{\sim} X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)$. Since $\delta_{t}\left(\iota^{e}(u)\right)=v_{t}\left(\tau^{e}(u, \bullet)\right)=u(\forall u \in$ $\left.X^{\vee}\right), H\left(\subset \mathcal{G}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)\right)$ is mapped onto $X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)$. Hence $\Phi(\mathcal{G} / G) \simeq H$.
Lemma 5.5. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the unique cubical invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{G}$ extending the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes 2 N}$ on $G_{\eta}$. Then $T_{a}^{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{B}^{\otimes N}\right) \simeq \mathcal{N}_{B}^{\otimes N}(\forall a \in H)$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is cubical, we have an isomorphism on $\mathcal{G}^{3}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(\mathcal{N})=\bigotimes_{\emptyset \neq I \subset\{1,2,3\}} m_{I}^{*}(\mathcal{N})^{(-1)^{|I|+1}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}^{3}} . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]We define a map $\phi: H \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}\right)$ by $\phi(a):=T_{a}^{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{B}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{G}_{B}} \mathcal{N}_{B}^{\otimes(-1)}$. Let $a, b \in H_{B}(B)$ and $c=\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{G}_{B}} \in \mathcal{G}_{B}\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}\right)$. By the pullback of (52) by the morphism $(a, b, c): \mathcal{G}_{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{B}^{3}$, we have $T_{a+b}^{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{B}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_{B}} \mathcal{N}_{B} \simeq T_{a}^{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{B}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_{B}}$ $T_{b}^{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{B}\right)$ on $\mathcal{G}_{B}$. See [M12, § 6, Cor. 4, p. 57]. Hence $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(H, \operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}\right)\right)$, so that $N \phi(a)=\phi(N a)=0$, i.e., $T_{a}^{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{B}^{\otimes N}\right) \simeq \mathcal{N}_{B}^{\otimes N}$.

Let $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}:=\mathcal{N}_{B}^{\otimes N}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{\mid G_{B}}^{\dagger}$ is cubical on $G_{B}$, it is the unique cubical extension of $\mathcal{L}_{K}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}$ to $G_{B}$ by [MN24, 2.13 (2)], so that $\mathcal{N}_{\mid G_{B}}^{\dagger}=\mathcal{L}_{B}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}$. Hence $\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mid G_{B}}^{\dagger}\right)^{\wedge}=\left(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{B}^{\wedge} \simeq\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{B}$ where $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow A$ is the morphism in Eq. (3). By Lemma [5.5, $\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mid T_{a}\left(G_{B}\right)}^{\dagger}\right)^{\wedge}=\left(T_{a}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\mid G_{B}}^{\dagger}\right)^{\wedge} \simeq\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{B}(\forall a \in$ $H)$. Since $\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}\right)^{\wedge}=\coprod_{a \in H}\left(a \times G_{B}^{\wedge}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}^{\dagger, \wedge}:=\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\wedge}=H \times\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x, B}\right)^{I \text {-adic }} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have a natural homomorphisms

$$
\left.\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) \hookrightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}^{\wedge}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger, \wedge}\right) \hookrightarrow H \times \prod_{x \in X} \Gamma\left(A_{B},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x, B}\right)\right)
$$

because $\Gamma\left(A_{B},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x, B}\right)^{\wedge} \simeq \Gamma\left(A_{B},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x, B}\right)$. Let

$$
V_{x}:=\Gamma\left(A_{B},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x, B}\right) .
$$

Definition 5.6. Let $\sigma_{x}: \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) \rightarrow V_{x}$ be the natural projection.

### 5.2. Theta groups of $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}$.

Definition 5.7. Let $\mathcal{G}^{t}$ be the Néron model of $G^{t}, \lambda\left(\mathcal{N}_{\eta}\right): G_{\eta} \rightarrow G_{\eta}^{t}$ the polarization morphism of $\mathcal{N}_{\eta}$ and $\lambda(\mathcal{N}): \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{t}$ the extension of $\lambda\left(\mathcal{N}_{\eta}\right)$ to $\mathcal{G}$ by the universal property of the Néron model $\mathcal{G}^{t}$ and $\lambda\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right):=\lambda(\mathcal{N} \otimes N)_{B}$. Hence $\lambda\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)$ extends $\lambda\left(\mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)=\lambda\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{K}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{B}$. Let

$$
K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right):=\operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)\right), \quad K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right):=K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) \cap G_{B} .
$$

Let $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ (resp. $\left.K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}\right)$ be the finite part of $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)$ (resp. $\left.K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)\right)$ and $e^{\sharp}$ the bilinear form on $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)$ extending the Weil pairing $e^{\mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger}}$ of $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)$. See MB85, IV, 2.4 (ii)]. Finally we set $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}:=K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} \cap T$ by abuse of notation. To be more precise, $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ is defined as follows: Since $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ is a $B$-finite subscheme of $G$, so is $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f, \wedge}$ of $G^{\wedge}=\tilde{G}^{\wedge}$. Hence $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f, \wedge} \cap T^{\wedge}$ is viewed as a closed $B^{\wedge}(=B)$-finite formal subscheme of $G^{\wedge}$. By EGA, III, 5.4.5], there exists a closed $B$-finite subscheme $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ of $G$ such that $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m, \wedge} \simeq K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f, \wedge} \cap T^{\wedge}$. This is what we mean by $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}:=K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} \cap T$. Let $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}:=K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$.

Remark 5.8. By MB85, IV, 3.4] $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}, K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ and $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ are flat finite over $B$, while $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)$ and $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)$ are flat quasi-finite over $B$.

By MB85, IV, 2.4 (iv)-(v)], ${ }^{6} K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ is a closed subgroup $B$-scheme of $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ such that
(a) $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ is $e^{\sharp}$-orthogonal to $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}: e^{\sharp}\left(K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}, K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}\right)=1$;
(b) $e^{\sharp}$ induces a perfect dual pairing $e^{\mathrm{ab}}$ on $K^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right):=K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} / K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$;
(c) $\left(K^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right), e^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \simeq\left(K\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right), e^{\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}}\right)$ as $R_{K}$-modules with bilinear form where $e^{\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}}$ is the Weil pairing of $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}$.

Definition 5.9. We define non-commutative group $B$-schemes by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right):= & \left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)}^{\times}, \quad \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right):=\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)}^{\times}, \\
\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}:= & \left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) f}^{\times}, \quad \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}:=\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) f}^{\times}, \\
& \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}:=\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m} .}^{\times} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Each non-commutative group $B$-scheme is a central extension of a finite group scheme (say $\mathcal{K}$ ) by the center $\mathbf{G}_{m, B}$ whose commutator form equals (the restriction of) $e^{\sharp}$ by MB85, IV, 2.4 (iii)], which we call the theta group of $\mathcal{K}$ where $\mathcal{K}$ is one of $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\alpha}$ or $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\alpha}(\alpha \in\{\emptyset, f, m\})$ with $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}:=$ $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$. Let $\varpi^{\sharp}: \mathcal{G}^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) \rightarrow K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)$ (resp. $\varpi: \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) \rightarrow K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)$ ) be the natural projection.

Let $\tilde{G}$ be the Raynaud extension of $G$, and $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow A$ the morphism in Eq. (3). Let $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ be an ample invertible sheaf on $A, \lambda\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right):=\lambda_{A}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right): A \rightarrow$ $A^{t}$ the polarization morphism $K\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right):=K\left(A, \mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right):=\operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right): A \rightarrow A^{t}\right)$ and $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right):=\mathcal{G}\left(A, \mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right):=\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)_{K\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)}^{\times}$. Hence $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)$ is a central extension of $K\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, B}$, which we call the theta group of $K\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)$, or the theta group of of $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ if no confusion is possible. See [M12, § 23].

Definition 5.10. A level subgroup $B$-scheme $H^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ is defined to be a closed subgroup $B$-scheme of $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ finite flat over $B$ such that $H^{\prime} \cap \mathbf{G}_{m, B}=\{1\}$. By definition, $\varpi\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ is a closed subgroup $B$-scheme of $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ such that $H^{\prime} \simeq \varpi^{\sharp}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, in which case we say that $H^{\prime}$ lifts $\varpi^{\sharp}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, or $\varpi^{\sharp}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ is liftable to $H^{\prime}$. See [M66, I, p.291].

Lemma 5.11. By taking a finite radical normal cover $B$ of $S$ (Definition 4.15) if necessary, we have the following:
(1) $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}=D\left(X / 2 N^{2} \phi(Y)\right)_{B}$;
(2) $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ is liftable to a level subgroup $B$-scheme $K^{b}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$;
(3) $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m} \simeq \mathbf{G}_{m, B} \oplus K^{b}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ as commutative group $B$-schemes;
(4) $K^{b}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ is contained in the center of $\mathcal{G}\left(N^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$.

Proof. Let $K^{m}:=K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ and $K^{f}:=K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$. Since $K^{m}$ is $B$-finite flat, so is $K^{m, \wedge}$. Since $G^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}^{\wedge}$ and $\tilde{G}$ is of finite type, there exists by

[^5][EGA, III, 5.4.5] a closed $B$-subscheme $K^{\natural}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ of $\tilde{G} B$-finite flat such that $K^{m, \wedge} \simeq\left(K^{\natural}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}\right)^{\wedge}$. Hence by [EGA, III, 5.4.1]
$$
D\left(K^{m}\right) \simeq D\left(\left(K^{m}\right)^{\wedge}\right) \simeq D\left(\left(K^{\natural}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}\right)^{\wedge}\right) \simeq D\left(K^{\natural}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}\right) .
$$

It follows from $\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{\mid \tilde{G}^{\wedge}}^{\wedge}=\left(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{\mid \tilde{G}^{\wedge}}^{\wedge}$ that $K^{m} \simeq K^{\natural}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}=\operatorname{ker}\left(2 N^{2} \lambda_{T}\right)=$ $D\left(X / 2 N^{2} \phi(Y)\right)_{B}$. This proves (1). See [N99, p. 667].

Since $K^{m} \subset K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}, K^{m}$ is totally $e^{\sharp}$-isotropic by Remark 5.8 (a). It follows that $\mathcal{G}\left(N^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ is a commutative extension of $K^{m}$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$. By Theorem 4.22, there exists a finite radical normal cover $\pi: W \rightarrow S$ of $S$ such that $\mathcal{G}\left(N^{\dagger}\right)_{W}^{m}$ splits, that is, $\mathcal{G}\left(N^{\dagger}\right)_{W}^{m}=K_{W}^{m} \oplus \mathbf{G}_{m, W}$. Therefore by taking $W$ as $B$ if necessary, we have a level subgroup scheme $K^{b}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ lifting $K^{m}$. This proves (2). By (2), $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m} \simeq \mathbf{G}_{m, B} \oplus K^{b}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ as commutative group schemes because $e^{\sharp}$ is trivial on $K^{m} \times K^{m}$. This proves (3). Since $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$, so is $K^{b}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$. Since $K^{m}$ is $e^{\sharp}$-orthogonal to $K^{f}$ by Remark 5.8 (a), $K^{b}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ is in the center of $\mathcal{G}\left(N^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$. This proves (4).

By Remark 5.8 (c)/ MB85, IV, 2.4 (iv)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} / \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m} \simeq K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} / K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m} \simeq K\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma [5.5, $H_{B}$ is a closed subgroup $B$-scheme of $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ such that $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}=H_{B} \oplus K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} / \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} \simeq K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} / K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} \simeq H_{B} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.12. The pairing $e^{\sharp}$ is given on $H_{B} \times K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ by

$$
e^{\sharp}\left(\breve{\iota}^{e}(u), \alpha\right)=\mu(u)(\alpha)^{-2 N}
$$

where $u \in X^{\vee}$ and $\alpha$ is any functorial point of $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$. In particular, $e^{\sharp}$ induces the monomorphism $2 N \mu: H \rightarrow D\left(K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}\right)(B)\left(=X / 2 N^{2} \phi(Y)\right)$ and hence the epimorphism $D(2 N \mu): K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}(B) \rightarrow D(H)$.

Proof. Let $u \in X^{\vee}$. By Lemma 5.5, there exists a $B$-isomorphism $d_{u}: \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} \rightarrow$ $T_{\bar{L}^{e}(u)}^{*}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)$ whose $I$-adic completion lifts the translation $T_{\bar{L}^{e}(u)}^{\wedge}$ of $\mathcal{G}^{\wedge}$. Then $d_{u}^{\wedge}$ is decomposed via Eq. (53) into $d_{u}^{\wedge}=\coprod_{b \in H}\left(\prod_{x \in X} d_{u, x}^{b, \wedge}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{u, x}^{b, \wedge}: b \times\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x} & \rightarrow\left(\iota^{e}(u)+b\right) \times T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right) \\
& \cong\left(\iota^{e}(u)+b\right) \times\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x+2 N \mu(u)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

sending $f_{x}^{b} \in\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}$ to $T_{c}^{*}, e(u) f_{x}^{b} \in\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x+2 N \mu(u)}$ by $c \circ(2 N \mu)=$ $2 N^{2} \lambda \circ c^{t, e}$. Since any functorial point $\alpha \in K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ acts on $f \in \mathcal{O}_{x}$ by $t \cdot f=x(\alpha) f$ by definition, it acts on $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger, \wedge}$ by:

$$
\rho(\alpha) \cdot\left(b \times \prod_{x \in X} f_{x}^{b}\right):=\left(b \times \prod_{x \in X} x(\alpha) f_{x}^{b}\right) .
$$

By $T_{-c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} f_{x}^{b} \in\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x-2 N \mu(u)}$, we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{\sharp}\left(\iota^{e}(u), \alpha\right)\left(b \times f_{x}^{b}\right) & =d_{u}^{\wedge} \circ \rho(\alpha) \circ\left(d_{u}^{\wedge}\right)^{-1} \circ(\rho(\alpha))^{-1}\left(b \times f_{x}^{b}\right) \\
& =x(\alpha)^{-1} d_{u}^{\wedge} \circ \rho(\alpha)\left(\left(b-\iota^{e}(u)\right) \times T_{-c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} f_{x}^{b}\right) \\
& =x(\alpha)^{-1}(x-2 N \mu(u))(\alpha)\left(b \times f_{x}^{b}\right) \\
& =\mu(u)(\alpha)^{-2 N}\left(b \times f_{x}^{b}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

whence $e^{\sharp}\left(c^{t, e}(u), \alpha\right)=\mu(u)(\alpha)^{-2 N}$.
Lemma 5.13. There exists a totally $e^{\sharp}$-isotropic subgroup $H^{\sharp}$ of $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}(B)$ such that $H^{\sharp} \simeq H$ and $K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}=H_{B}^{\sharp} \oplus K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$.

Proof. Let $K^{m}:=K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}, K^{f}:=K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ and $K^{\sharp, f}:=K^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ for now. We have an exact sequence of commutative group schemes: $0 \rightarrow K^{f} \rightarrow$ $K^{\sharp, f} \rightarrow H_{B} \rightarrow 0$, and hence an exact sequence of fppf cohomology groups:

$$
0 \rightarrow K^{f}(B) \rightarrow K^{\sharp, f}(B) \xrightarrow{j(B)} H_{B}(B)=H^{\delta(B)} H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} B, K^{f}\right) .
$$

Since $K^{f}$ is finite flat over $B, H_{\mathrm{Zar}}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} B, K^{f}\right)=0$ by Bh12, 3.2], and $a$ fortiori $H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} B, K^{f}\right)=0$. Hence $j(B)$ is surjective.

Let $\left(h_{i} ; i \in[1, r]\right)$ be a minimal set of generators of $H$. Since $j(B)$ is surjective, there exist elements $\tilde{h}_{i} \in K^{\sharp, f}(B)(i \in[1, r])$ such that $j(B)\left(\tilde{h}_{i}\right)=$ $h_{i}$. We shall prove that we can rechoose $\tilde{h}_{i}$ by induction so that $e^{\sharp}\left(\tilde{h}_{i}, \widetilde{h}_{j}\right)=1$ $(\forall i, j \in[1, r])$. First we set $\tilde{h}_{1}^{\prime}:=\tilde{h}_{1}$ because $e^{\sharp}$ is alternating: $e^{\sharp}\left(\tilde{h}_{1}^{\prime}, \tilde{h}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1$.

Next assume that we have chosen $\tilde{h}_{i}^{\prime}(i \in[1, s-1])$ such that $\tilde{h}_{i}^{\prime} \in \tilde{h}_{i}+$ $K^{m}(B)$ and $e^{\sharp}\left(\tilde{h}_{i}^{\prime}, \tilde{h}_{j}^{\prime}\right)=1(\forall i, j \in[1, s-1])$. Let $H_{s-1}^{\sharp}$ be the subgroup of $K^{\sharp, f}(B)$ generated by $\tilde{h}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{h}_{j}(i \in[1, s-1], j \in[s, r])$. Then $H_{s-1}^{\sharp} \simeq H$, whence $D\left(H_{s-1}^{\sharp}\right) \simeq D(H)$. By Lemma 5.12, we can choose $\epsilon_{s} \in K^{m}(B)$ such that $e^{\sharp}\left(\epsilon_{s}, h\right)=e^{\sharp}\left(\tilde{h}_{s}, h\right)\left(\forall h \in H_{s-1}^{\sharp}\right)$. We set $\tilde{h}_{s}^{\prime}:=\tilde{h}_{s}-\epsilon_{s}$. Then $e^{\sharp}\left(\tilde{h}_{s}^{\prime}, \tilde{h}_{j}^{\prime}\right)=e^{\sharp}\left(\tilde{h}_{s}^{\prime}, \tilde{h}_{s}^{\prime}\right)=1(\forall j \in[1, s-1])$ by the anti-symmetry of $e^{\sharp}$. Let $H^{\sharp}:=H_{r}^{\sharp} \simeq H$. Then $H^{\sharp}$ is totally $e^{\sharp}$-isotropic and $K^{\sharp, f}=H_{B}^{\sharp} \oplus K^{f}$.

Lemma 5.14. $H_{B}^{\sharp}$ is liftable to a level subgroup $B$-scheme $H_{B}^{b}$ of $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ such that $H_{B}^{\dagger} \cap \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}=\{1\}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}=H_{B}^{b} \cdot \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\sharp}:=\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} \times_{K^{\sharp, f}} H_{B}^{\sharp}$. Since $H_{B}^{\sharp}$ is totally $e^{\sharp}$-isotropic by Lemma 5.13, $\mathcal{H}^{\sharp}$ is a commutative central extension of $H_{B}^{\sharp}$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, B}$. By Lemma 4.16, there exists a finite radical normal cover $W$ of $B$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{W}^{\sharp}$ splits. By taking $W$ as $B$ if necessary, $H_{B}^{\sharp}$ is liftable to a level subgroup $B$-scheme $H_{B}^{\mathrm{b}}$ of $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$. The rest is clear from Lemma 5.13,

Remark 5.15. $H_{B}^{\text {b }}$ does not commute with $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ by Lemma 5.12, Hence $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} \neq H_{B}^{b} \times{ }_{B} \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ as group schemes.
5.3. $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$-modules. In this subsection we basically follow [FC90, p.47]. In general, let $M$ be a $B$-module with $\mu:=K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}\left(=D\left(\left(X / 2 N^{2} \phi(Y)\right)_{B}\right)\right)$ action $\rho_{M}$. Then $M=\bigoplus_{\chi \in D(\mu)} M^{\chi}$ where $M^{\chi}:=\{m \in M ; \rho(\alpha)(m)=$ $\chi(\alpha) m$ for any functorial point $\alpha$ of $\mu\}$. By Lemma 5.11, $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ acts on $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}$ via $K^{b}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$, so that $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(G_{B}, \mathcal{N}_{\mid G_{B}}^{\dagger}\right)=\Gamma\left(G_{B}, \mathcal{L}_{B}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)$ are $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$-modules, which are decomposed respectively into

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) & =\bigoplus_{\bar{x} \in X / 2 N^{2} \phi(Y)} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}} \\
\Gamma\left(G_{B}, \mathcal{L}_{B}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)= & \bigoplus_{\bar{x} \in X / 2 N^{2} \phi(Y)} \Gamma\left(G_{B}, \mathcal{L}_{B}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)^{\bar{x}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}}=\Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{L}_{K}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)^{\bar{x}}$.
By Lemma 5.11(2), every $\bar{x} \in X / 2 N^{2} \phi(Y)$ defines a homomorphism $h_{\bar{x}} \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}, \mathbf{G}_{m, B}\right)$ such that $h_{\bar{x}}=\mathrm{id}$ on $\mathbf{G}_{m, B}$ and $\bar{x}$ on $K^{b}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$. Since $\operatorname{ker}\left(h_{\bar{x}}\right)\left(\simeq K^{b}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}\right)$ is a closed subgroup $B$-scheme of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} B$-finite flat, so that we have a quotient $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L})_{\bar{x}}^{f}:=\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} / \operatorname{ker}\left(h_{\bar{x}}\right)$ flat over $B$, which is a central extension of $K\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)_{B}$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, B}$. Let $\eta_{\bar{x}}: \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L})_{\bar{x}}^{f}$ be the natural morphism. Since $K\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)=K\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)$, in view of Eq. (54), we obtain a commutative diagram of exact sequences:


Meanwhile we have an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m, B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)_{B} \rightarrow K\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)_{B} \rightarrow 0 \quad(x \in X) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}$ is cubical on $\mathcal{G}$, the biextension $\Lambda\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} \times \mathcal{G}}$ is trivial by MB85, IV, 3.3.2-3.3.3]. Therefore we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_{x}^{\dagger} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{y}^{\dagger} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{x+y}^{\dagger}$ where $x \in K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}, y \in \mathcal{G}$ are functorial points, so that by MB85, I, 3.1, p. 23] we have an action $\rho$ of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}=\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}}^{\times}$on $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}}^{\times} \times{ }_{B} \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}, \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

which induces an action of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\rho): \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f} \times_{B} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $K^{b}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{m}$ is contained in the center of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ by Lemma 5.11 (4), $\Gamma(\rho)$ is decomposed into $\bigoplus_{\bar{x} \in X / 2 N^{2} \phi(Y)} \Gamma(\rho)^{\bar{x}}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\rho)^{\bar{x}}: \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{\bar{x}}^{f} \times_{B} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain the following compatible data below:
(i) the group structure of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}=\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)}^{\times}$by restricting $\rho$ to

$$
\left(\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}}^{\times}\right)^{2}\left(\subset\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}}^{\times} \times{ }_{B} \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)
$$

(ii) (in view of Eq. (53)) a formal morphism
from $\left(\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}}^{\times}\right)^{\wedge}$ to $\left(\bar{\iota}^{e}(0) \times\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)_{K\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)}^{\times}\right)_{B} ;$
(iii) a morphism of formal group $B$-schemes induced from (ii) via Eq. (56):

$$
\gamma_{x}^{\wedge}:\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{\bar{x}}^{\frac{f}{x}}\right)^{\wedge} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)_{B}\right)^{\wedge}
$$

which is an isomorphism by Eq. (54);
(iv) an action $\rho_{\bar{x}}:=\Gamma(\rho)^{\bar{x}}$ defined by Eq. (60);
(v) a formal action $\rho_{A, x}^{\wedge}$ of $\left(\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}^{\times}\right)_{K\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)}\right)^{\wedge}$
on $\Gamma\left(A^{\wedge},\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)^{\wedge}\right)$, which is algebraizable because $A$ is projective.
Since $K\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{f}$ and $K\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)$ are $B$-finite, the formal morphism in (iii) is algebraizable. Therefore we have a commutative diagram of algebraic/formal actions and morphisms:

where $s_{x}:=\left(\sigma_{x}\right)_{\mid \Gamma\left(G, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}}}$ by Definition 5.6.
Let $\gamma_{x}$ be the algebraization of $\gamma_{x}^{\wedge}$. By Eqs. (56)-(61), we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences:


Since the central extensions in Eq. (62) are Zariski-locally products of $\mathbf{G}_{m, B}$ and $K\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)_{B}, \gamma_{x}$ is an isomorphism.

Let $d_{a}^{\prime}:=\operatorname{rank}_{R} \Gamma\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)$ and $d_{t}^{\prime}:=\left|X / 2 N^{2} \phi(Y)\right|$. By MB85, V, 2.4 .2 (iii)], the rank of any nonzero $\mathcal{G}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x, K}\right)$-module of weight one is equal to an integral multiple of $d_{a}^{\prime}$, so at least $d_{a}^{\prime}$. Since $\gamma_{x}$ is an isomorphism by Eq. (62), any nonzero $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{\bar{x}}^{f}$-module of weight one has rank at least $d_{a}^{\prime}$.

Since $\sigma_{x}$ is nonzero by Theorem 3.1 (1), we have $\Gamma\left(G_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}} \neq 0$, whence $\operatorname{rank}_{B} \Gamma\left(G_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}} \geq d_{a}^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
d_{a}^{\prime} d_{t}^{\prime} \leq \operatorname{rank}_{B} \Gamma\left(G_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)=\operatorname{rank}_{K} \Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{L}_{K}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)=d_{a}^{\prime} d_{t}^{\prime} .
$$

It follows that $\Gamma\left(G_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}}$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{\bar{x}}^{f}$-module of rank $d_{a}^{\prime}(\forall x \in$ $\left.X / 2 N^{2} \phi(Y)\right)$. Moreover since $s_{x}=\left(\sigma_{x}\right)_{\mid \Gamma\left(G_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}}}$ is nonzero, $s_{x} \otimes_{B} K$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{\bar{x}, K^{-}}^{f}$-modules via $\gamma_{x} \otimes_{B} K$ by [MB85, V, 2.4 .2 (iii)].

Definition 5.16. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a group $B$-scheme and $M$ an $B$-module on which $\mathcal{H}$ acts. We call $M$ an irreducible $\mathcal{H}$-module if any $\mathcal{H}$ and $B$-submodule $N$ of $M$ is of the form $N=J M$ for some ideal $J$ of $B$. See [MB85, V, 2.3].

Summarizing the above, we obtain by [MB85, V, 2.4 .2 (ii)]:
Lemma 5.17. The following are true:
(1) $\gamma_{x}: \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{\bar{x}}^{f} \simeq \mathcal{G}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)$;
(2) $\Gamma\left(G_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Gamma\left(A,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)\right)$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{\bar{x}}^{f}$-module (resp. an irreducible $\mathcal{G}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)$-module) of weight one;
(3) $s_{x}: \Gamma\left(G, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}} \simeq \Gamma\left(A,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right)$ as $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{\bar{x}}^{f}$-modules via $\gamma_{x}$;
(4) $s_{x}$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)_{\bar{x}}^{\frac{f}{x}}$-modules:
$\Gamma\left(A,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x}\right) \simeq \Gamma\left(A,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x+2 N^{2} \phi(y)}\right)(\forall y \in Y)$.
Proof. (11)-(3) have been proved above. (4) follows from (1)-(3).
5.4. Degeneration data of $\mathcal{G}_{B}$. Now we shall construct degeneration data of the pullback $\mathcal{G}_{B}$ of the Néron model $\mathcal{G}$. Note $\mathcal{G}_{K}=G_{K}$.

Lemma 5.18. There exist nonzero constants $m^{\sharp}(u, x) \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{x+2 N \mu(u)} \circ T_{\tilde{\tau}^{e}(u)}^{*}=m^{\sharp}(u, x) T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \circ \sigma_{x}, \\
m^{\sharp}(\beta(y), x)=m(y, x):=\psi(y)^{2 N^{2}} \tau(y, x) \quad\left(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y, \forall u \in X^{\vee}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Since $T_{\bar{\tau}^{e}(u)}^{*} \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} \simeq \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\left(\forall u \in X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)\right)$, we have by Lemma 5.12, $T_{\bar{\tau}^{e}(u)}^{*} \Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}} \simeq \Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)^{\overline{x+2 N \mu(u)}}$. By Lemma 5.17 (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \Gamma\left(A_{K},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x, K}\right) \simeq T_{\bar{t}^{e}(u)}^{*} \Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}} \simeq \Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)^{\overline{x+2 N \mu(u)}} \\
& \simeq \Gamma\left(A_{K},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x+2 N \mu(u), K}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) \frac{f}{x+2 N \mu(u),}, K^{-m o d u l e s . ~ H e n c e ~} \sigma_{x+2 N \mu(u)} \circ T_{\tau^{\ell}(u)}^{*}$ and $T_{c^{t},(u)}^{*} \circ \sigma_{x}$ are equivalent isomorphisms from $\Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)^{\bar{x}}$ to $\Gamma\left(A_{K},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x+2 N \mu(u), K}\right)$. It follows from Lemma 5.17(2) that there exists a nonzero constant $m^{\sharp}(u, x) \in$ $K$ such that $\sigma_{x+2 N \mu(u)}=m^{\sharp}(u, x) T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \sigma_{x}\left(\forall x \in X, \forall u \in X^{\vee}\right)$, where $m^{\sharp}(\beta(y), x)=m(y, x):=\psi(y)^{2 N^{2}} \tau(y, x)(\forall y \in Y)$ by Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 5.19. Let $\sigma_{x}^{(l)}: \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B},\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes l}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(A_{B},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2} l}\right)_{x, B}\right)(x \in X)$ be the natural projection. Then there exists $m^{\sharp, l}(u, x) \in K^{\times}$such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{x+2 N l \mu(u)}^{(l)} \circ T_{\tau^{e}(u)}^{*}=m^{\sharp, l}(u, x) T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \circ \sigma_{x}^{(l)}, \\
m^{\sharp, l}(\beta(y), x)=\psi(y)^{2 N^{2} l} \tau(y, x) \quad\left(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y, \forall u \in X^{\vee}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 5.20. We define

$$
\psi^{\sharp, l}(u):=m^{\sharp, l}(u, 0), \tau^{\sharp, l}(u, x):=m^{\sharp, l}(u, x) / \psi^{\sharp, l}(u)\left(x \in X, u \in X^{\vee}\right) .
$$

By definition, $\psi^{\sharp, l}(0)=\tau^{\sharp, l}(u, 0)=\tau^{\sharp, l}(0, x)=1$.
Theorem 5.21. The B-module homomorphisms

$$
\sigma_{x}: \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(A_{B},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x, B}\right) \quad(x \in X)
$$

have the following properties:
(1) $\sigma_{x} \neq 0 \quad(\forall x \in X)$;
(2) there exist a cubic trivialization $\psi^{\sharp}: 1_{X^{\vee}} \rightarrow\left(c^{t, e}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}_{K}^{\otimes\left(-2 N^{2}\right)}$ and a trivialization of biextension $\tau^{\sharp}: 1_{X^{\vee} \times X} \rightarrow\left(c^{t, e} \times c\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\otimes(-1)}$ such that $\sigma_{x+2 N \mu(u)}\left(T_{\tau^{e}(u)}^{*} \theta\right)=\psi^{\sharp}(u) \tau^{\sharp}(u, x) T_{c^{t}, e}^{*}(u)\left(\sigma_{x}(\theta)\right) \quad\left(\forall \theta \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right), \forall x \in\right.$ $\left.X, \forall u \in X^{\vee}\right)$; in particular, $\psi^{\sharp}(0)=\tau^{\sharp}(0, x)=\tau^{\sharp}(u, 0)=1$;
(3) $\tau^{\sharp}(u, x)$ is bilinear in $u$ and $x$;
(4) $\tau^{\sharp}(u, 2 N \mu(v))=\psi^{\sharp}(u+v) / \psi^{\sharp}(u) \psi^{\sharp}(v)\left(\forall u, v \in X^{\vee}\right)$;
(5) $\tau^{\sharp}(\beta(y), x)=\tau(y, x), \psi^{\sharp}(\beta(y))=\psi(y)^{2 N^{2}}(\forall x \in X, y \in Y)$;
(6) $\tau^{\sharp}(u, 2 N \mu(u)) \in I^{2}\left(\forall u \in X^{\vee} \backslash\{0\}\right)$;
(7) for every $n \geq 0, \psi^{\sharp}(u) \in I^{n}$ for all but finitely many $u \in X^{\vee}$;
(8) $\Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger}\right)$ is identified with the $K$-vector subspace of Fourier series $\theta=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}(\theta)$ satisfying $\sigma_{x+2 N^{2} \phi(y)}(\theta)=\psi(y)^{2 N^{2}} \tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\sigma_{x}(\theta)\right)$ with $\sigma_{x}(\theta) \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{K},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2 N^{2}}\right)_{x, K}\right)(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y)$.

Proof. See [FC90, II, 5.4]. First note that (1) follows from Theorem 3.1 (1), while (2) follows from Definition 5.20. (3) and (4) which we prove below.

Now we shall prove (3) and (4). First we prove that $\tau^{\sharp}(u, x)$ is linear in $x$. Let $\Phi: G_{K} \times_{K} G_{K} \rightarrow G_{K} \times_{K} G_{K}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Phi_{A}: A_{K} \times_{K} A_{K} \rightarrow A_{K} \times_{K} A_{K}\right)$ be the isogeny $(z, w) \mapsto(z+w, z-w)$. Let $u, u^{\prime} \in X^{\vee}$ and $x \in X$. By [FC90, (AF'), p. 48] and Theorem 3.1 (applied to $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}$ symmetric)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{A}^{*} \circ\left(\sigma_{x+2 N \mu(u)} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x^{\prime}+2 N \mu(u)}\right)=\left(\sigma_{x+x^{\prime}+4 N \mu(u)}^{(2)} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x-x^{\prime}}^{(2)}\right) \circ \Phi^{*},  \tag{63}\\
\Phi_{A}^{*} \circ\left(\sigma_{x} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\sigma_{x+x^{\prime}}^{(2)} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x-x^{\prime}}^{(2)}\right) \circ \Phi^{*} . \tag{64}
\end{gather*}
$$

We compute Eqs. (63) and (64):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{A}^{*} \circ\left(\sigma_{x+2 N \mu(u)} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x^{\prime}+2 N \mu(u)}\right) \\
& =\psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2} \tau^{\sharp}(u, x) \tau^{\sharp}\left(u, x^{\prime}\right)\left(\Phi_{A}^{*} \circ\left(T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \sigma_{x} \otimes_{K} T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \sigma_{x^{\prime}}\right)\right) \\
& =\psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2} \tau^{\sharp}(u, x) \tau^{\sharp}\left(u, x^{\prime}\right)\left(T_{c^{t, e}(2 u)}^{*} \sigma_{x+x^{\prime}}^{(2)} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x-x^{\prime}}^{(2)}\right) \circ \Phi^{*}, \\
& \left(\sigma_{x+x^{\prime}+4 N \mu(u)}^{(2)} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x-x^{\prime}}^{(2)}\right) \circ \Phi^{*} \\
& =m^{\sharp, 2}\left(u, x+x^{\prime}\right)\left(T_{c^{t}, e}^{*}(2 u)_{x+x^{\prime}}^{*} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x-x^{\prime}}^{(2)}\right) \circ \Phi^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

whence we have $\psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2} \tau^{\sharp}(u, x) \tau^{\sharp}\left(u, x^{\prime}\right)=m^{\sharp, 2}\left(u, x+x^{\prime}\right)$. By substituting $x+x^{\prime}$ for $x$ and 0 for $x^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2} \tau^{\sharp}\left(u, x+x^{\prime}\right) \tau^{\sharp}(u, 0)=m^{\sharp, 2}\left(u, x+x^{\prime}\right) . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\tau^{\sharp}(u, 0)=1, \tau^{\sharp}(u, x) \tau^{\sharp}\left(u, x^{\prime}\right)=\tau^{\sharp}\left(u, x+x^{\prime}\right): \tau^{\sharp}(u, x)$ is linear in $x$.
By definition,

$$
\begin{align*}
m^{\sharp}\left(u+u^{\prime}, x\right) & =m^{\sharp}(u, x) m^{\sharp}\left(u^{\prime}, x+2 N \mu(u)\right), \\
\psi^{\sharp}\left(u+u^{\prime}\right) & =m^{\sharp}\left(u+u^{\prime}, 0\right)=\psi^{\sharp}(u) m^{\sharp}\left(u^{\prime}, 2 N \mu(u)\right)  \tag{66}\\
& =\psi^{\sharp}(u) \psi^{\sharp}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \tau^{\sharp}\left(u^{\prime}, 2 N \mu(u)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

which proves (4). Since $\tau^{\sharp}(u, x)$ is linear in $x$, we obtain by Eq. (66)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau^{\sharp}\left(u+u^{\prime}, x\right)=m^{\sharp}(u, x) m^{\sharp}\left(u^{\prime}, x+2 N \mu(u)\right) / \psi^{\sharp}\left(u+u^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\psi^{\sharp}(u) \psi^{\sharp}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \tau^{\sharp}(u, x) \tau^{\sharp}\left(u^{\prime}, x+2 N \mu(u)\right) / \psi^{\sharp}\left(u+u^{\prime}\right)=\tau^{\sharp}(u, x) \tau^{\sharp}\left(u^{\prime}, x\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

whence $\tau^{\sharp}(u, x)$ is linear in $u$. This proves (3) and hence (2) by (4).
For $u \in X^{\vee}$, there exists $y \in Y$ with $\beta(y)=N u$. Then (6) follows from (3) and Theorem 3.1(5) because $\left.\tau^{\sharp}(u, 2 N \mu(u))^{N}=\tau(y, 2 N \phi(y))\right) \in I^{2 N}$. Since $\psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2 N^{2}}=\tau^{\sharp}(u, 2 N \mu(u))^{N^{2}}=\tau\left(y, 2 N^{2} \phi(y)\right)=\psi(y)^{4 N^{2}}$, (77) follows from Theorem 3.1 (6). Finally (8) follows from Theorem (3.1 (7) and Lemma 5.18, This completes the proof.

Corollary 5.22. The following are true:
(1) $\tau^{\sharp}=\tau^{e}$ on $X^{\vee} \times X$;
(2) $\psi^{\sharp}(u)=\psi^{\sharp}(-u)\left(\forall u \in X^{\vee}\right)$;
(3) $\left(\psi^{\sharp}\right)^{2}(u)=\left(\psi^{e}\right)^{2 N}(u)=\tau^{\sharp}(u, 2 N \mu(u))\left(\forall u \in X^{\vee}\right)$.

Proof. As in Eq. (28), the translation $T_{L^{e}(u)}$ of $\tilde{G}_{K}\left(u \in X^{\vee}\right)$ induces a homomorphism $\tilde{\delta}_{u}: T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{x} \otimes_{R} K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{x} \otimes_{R} K$ by

$$
\tilde{\delta}_{u}\left(T_{c^{t}, e}^{*}(u)\left(f_{x}\right)\right)=\tau^{e}(u, x) T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} f_{x} .
$$

Moreover $T_{L^{e}(u)}$ also induces a homomorphism

$$
\tilde{\delta}_{u}: \tilde{T}_{\tau^{e}(u)}^{*} \Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{\mid G_{K}}^{\dagger}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{\mid G_{K}}^{\dagger}\right)
$$

as follows. Let $s=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}(s) \in \Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{\mid G_{K}}^{\dagger}\right)$. By Theorem [5.21 (22)

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{\delta}_{u}\left(\tilde{T}_{\bar{L}^{e}(u)}^{*}(s)\right):=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x+2 N \mu(u)}\left(\tilde{T}_{\bar{L}^{e}(u)}^{*}(s)\right), \\
\sigma_{x+2 N \mu(u)}\left(\tilde{T}_{\tau^{e}(u)}^{*}(s)\right)=\psi^{\sharp}(u) \tau^{\sharp}(u, x) T_{c^{t}, e}^{*}(u)
\end{array}\right) \sigma_{x}(s)\right) .
$$

Now choose $s \in \Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{\mid G_{K}}^{\dagger}\right)$ with $\sigma_{x}(s) \neq 0$ and $\sigma_{0}(s) \neq 0$. Then

$$
\sigma_{z+2 N \mu(u)}\left(\tilde{T}_{\tau^{e}(u)}^{*} s\right)=\psi^{\sharp}(u) \tau^{\sharp}(u, x) T_{c^{t}, e}^{*}(u)\left(\sigma_{z}(s)\right) \neq 0
$$

for $z=0, x$. It follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau^{e}(u, x) T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}(s)}{\sigma_{0}(s)}\right)=\tilde{\delta}_{u} T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}(s)}{\sigma_{0}(s)}\right):=\frac{\tilde{\delta}_{u} T_{c^{t}, e}^{*}(u)}{\tilde{\delta}_{u} T_{c^{t}, e}^{*}(u)} \sigma_{x}(s)  \tag{67}\\
\left.=\frac{\sigma_{x+2 N \mu(u))}(s)}{\sigma_{2 N \mu(u)}\left(\tilde{T}_{\tilde{L}^{e}(u)}^{*}(s)\right)}(s)\right) \\
\sigma_{t^{e}(u)}^{*}(s) \\
\tau^{\sharp}(u, x) T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}(s)}{\sigma_{0}(s)}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence $\tau^{\sharp}(u, x)=\tau^{e}(u, x)$. This proves (11). Let $s \in \Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{\mid G_{K}}^{\dagger}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}$ are symmetric, we have $\sigma_{x+2 N \mu(u)} \circ T_{\bar{L}^{e}(u)}^{*}(s)=\sigma_{-x-2 N \mu(u)} \circ$ $T_{\bar{\tau}^{e}(-u)}^{*}(s)$ and $\psi^{\sharp}(u) \tau^{\sharp}(u, x)=\psi^{\sharp}(-u) \tau^{\sharp}(-u,-x)=\psi^{\sharp}(-u) \tau^{\sharp}(u, x)$. This proves (2). By Theorem 5.21 (4), $\psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2}=\tau^{\sharp}(u, 2 N \mu(u))$, while $\psi^{e}(u)^{2}=$ $\tau^{e}(u, 2 \mu(u))$ by Lemma 5.2 (iv). Hence we have (3) by (11).

By Theorem 5.21, we obtain a similar result for $\left(\mathcal{G}_{B},\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes l}\right)$. Morover in view of Corollary 5.22, we can derive a similar result for $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes 2 N l}$ over $S^{e}$ which can be expressed in terms of $\psi^{e}$ and $\tau^{e}$.

Theorem 5.23. Let $l \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $R^{e}$ the integral closure of $R$ in $k\left(\eta^{e}\right)$, $S^{e}=$ Spec $R^{e}$ (Definition 5.3) and $\mathcal{N}^{\natural}:=\mathcal{N}_{S^{e}}^{\otimes N}$. The $R^{e}$-module homomorphisms

$$
\sigma_{x}^{(2 l)}: \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{S^{e}},\left(\mathcal{N}^{\natural}\right)^{\otimes 2 l}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(A_{S^{e}},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)_{x, S^{e}}\right)(x \in X)
$$

have the following properties:
(1) $\sigma_{x}^{(2 l)} \neq 0 \quad(\forall x \in X)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x+4 N l \mu(u)}^{(2 l)}\left(T_{\tau^{e}(u)}^{*} \theta\right)=\psi^{e}(u)^{2 N l} \tau^{e}(u, x) T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*}\left(\sigma_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta)\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\forall \theta \in \Gamma\left(G,\left(\mathcal{N}^{\natural}\right)^{\otimes 2 l}\right), \forall x \in X, \forall u \in X^{\vee}\right) ;$
(2) $\Gamma\left(G_{\eta^{e}},\left(\mathcal{N}_{\eta^{e}}^{\natural}\right)^{\otimes 2 l}\right)$ is identified with the $k\left(\eta^{e}\right)$-vector subspace of Fourier series $\theta=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x+4 N^{2} l \phi(y)}^{(2 l l}(\theta)=\psi(y)^{4 N^{2} l} \tau(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\sigma_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta)\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\sigma_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta) \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{\eta^{e}},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)_{x, \eta^{e}}\right)(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y)$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 and Corollary 5.22, all the extensions $c^{t, e}, \iota^{e}, \psi^{e}$ and $\tau^{e}$ are defined over $k\left(\eta^{e}\right)$. The finite cover $B \rightarrow S^{e}$ is radical normal such that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(B / S^{e}\right)=\mu:=D(F)$ : the Cartier dual of a finite commutative constant group $S^{e}$-scheme $F$. Since $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}=\mathcal{N}_{B}^{\natural}$, by [MN24, 11.3/11.9]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(G_{Z},\left(\mathcal{N}_{Z}^{\natural}\right)^{\otimes 2 l}\right) & =\Gamma\left(G_{W},\left(\mathcal{N}_{W}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes 2 l}\right)^{\mu}, \\
\Gamma\left(A_{Z},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)_{x, Z}\right) & =\Gamma\left(A_{W},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)_{x, W}\right)^{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(Z, W)=\left(S^{e}, B\right),\left(\eta^{e}, K\right)$. Hence we obtain Corollary.
Remark 5.24. Eq. (69) is a particular case of Eq. (68) under $S_{y}^{*}(\theta)=\theta$.

## 6. Twisted Mumford families

6.1. The eFC data of a semiabelian scheme. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a split semiabelian $S$-scheme and $\zeta:=\mathrm{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$.
Definition 6.1. By Lemma 5.2, we define $K:=K_{\min }(\zeta)$ to be the minimal normal extension of $k(\eta)$ such that all the extensions $c^{t, e}, \iota^{e}, \psi^{e}$ and $\tau^{e}$ are defined over $K$. Let $R_{\min }(\zeta)$ be the integral closure of $R$ in $K_{\min }(\zeta)$, $S_{\min }(\zeta):=\operatorname{Spec} R_{\min }(\zeta)$ and $\eta_{\min }(\zeta)$ the generic point of $S_{\min }(\zeta)$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{t, e} \circ \beta=c^{t}, \iota^{e} \circ \beta=\iota, \tau^{e} \circ(\beta \times \mathrm{id})=\tau, \psi^{e} \circ \beta=\psi^{2 N} . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notation 6.2. In what follows, let $R_{\text {in }}$ be a CDVR with $t:=s_{\text {in }}$ uniformizer, $v_{t}$ the valuation of $k\left(\eta_{\text {in }}\right)$ with $v_{t}(t)=1, S_{\text {in }}:=\operatorname{Spec} R_{\text {in }}, \eta_{\text {in }}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.0_{\text {in }}\right)$ the generic point (resp. the closed point) of $S_{\text {in }}, k\left(\eta_{\text {in }}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.k\left(0_{\text {in }}\right)\right)$ the fraction field (resp. the residue field) of $R_{\mathrm{in}},(G, \mathcal{L})$ a split semiabelian $S_{\mathrm{in}}$-scheme and $\zeta=\mathrm{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$ the symmetric split object over $S_{\text {in }}$ given by Eq. (23). Let $k(\eta):=K_{\min }(\zeta), R:=R_{\min }(\zeta), S:=S_{\min }(\zeta)=\operatorname{Spec} R, \eta$ the generic point of $S, s$ a uniformizer of $R$ and $e(\zeta)$ the ramification index of $k(\eta) / k\left(\eta_{\text {in }}\right)$, i.e., $v_{s}(t)=e(\zeta)$. We extend $v_{t}$ to $R$ by $v_{t}=v_{s} / e(\zeta)$.

Remark 6.3. Let $\zeta_{l}:=\mathrm{FC}\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}\right)$. Then $K_{\min }\left(\zeta_{l}\right)=K_{\min }(\zeta), R_{\min }\left(\zeta_{l}\right)=$ $R_{\min }(\zeta)$ and $\eta_{\min }\left(\zeta_{l}\right)=\eta_{\min }(\zeta)(\forall l \in \mathbf{N})$ by Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 5.2 Note that $K_{\min }(\zeta)=k\left(\eta^{e}\right)$ and Theorem 5.23 is true over $k\left(\eta^{e}\right)$. Hence we can set $B=S_{\min }(\zeta)=S=S^{e}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\natural}=\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}$ in Theorem 5.23,
Definition 6.4. We define the $4 N^{2} l$-th eFC datum of $(G, \mathcal{L})$ extending $\zeta$ (or the $4 N^{2} l$-th extension of $\zeta$ ) by

$$
\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}=\left(\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t, e}, \iota^{e}, 4 N^{2} l \lambda, 4 N^{2} l \phi, \tau^{e}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l},\left(\psi^{e}\right)^{2 N l}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right) .
$$

Recall that $\psi^{e}(u):=\tau^{e}(u, \mu(u))\left(u \in X^{\vee}\right)$. Then $\psi^{e}$ is compatible with
$\tau^{e}$ in the sense that Eq. (49) is true.
Under Notation 6.2, every eFC datum of $(G, \mathcal{L})$ extending $\zeta$ is conjugate to each other under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(k(\eta) / k\left(\eta_{\text {in }}\right)\right)$ by Lemma 5.2,

Definition 6.5. Let $B_{\tau}, \beta_{\tau}$ and $\mu$ be the same as Definition 5.1. Let $B_{\tau^{e}}:=$ $v_{t}\left(\tau^{e}\right)$. Note that $B_{\tau^{e}}(u, x)=u(x)\left(\forall u \in X^{\vee}, \forall x \in X\right)$ by [MN24, 5.10].

Let $\epsilon^{\dagger}(u):=\tau^{e}(u, 2 N \mu(u))=\psi^{e}(u)^{2 N}$ and $E^{\dagger}(u):=v_{t} \epsilon^{\dagger}(u)=2 N u(\mu(u))$ $\left(u \in X^{\vee}\right)$. For $l \in \mathbf{N}$, we define $\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}=\left(\epsilon^{\dagger}\right)^{l}=\left(\psi^{e}\right)^{2 N l}, E_{l}^{\dagger}=l E^{\dagger}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)=\left\{x \in X_{\mathbf{R}} ; E_{l}^{\dagger}(u)+u(x) \geq 0\left(\forall u \in X^{\vee}\right)\right\}, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}=\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0) \cap X, \\
\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger, \alpha}=\left(\alpha+4 N l \mu\left(X^{\vee}\right)\right) \cap \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 6.6. By MN24, 6.3], $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}+4 N l \mu\left(X^{\vee}\right)=X$. For $c \in X^{\vee}$ we define $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(c):=\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)+4 N l \mu(c)$. The set $\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}$ consisting of all $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(c)\left(c \in X^{\vee}\right)$ and their closed faces forms a polyhedral decomposition of $X_{\mathbf{R}}$ :

$$
X_{\mathbf{R}}=\bigcup_{c \in X^{\vee}} \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(c), \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(c)^{0} \cap \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{0}=\emptyset\left(c \neq c^{\prime}\right) .
$$

We can identify under the notation of [MN24, 5.21]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{l}^{\dagger}=E_{2 N l}, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)=\Sigma_{2 N l}(0), \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}=\Sigma_{2 N l}, \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}=\operatorname{Vor}_{2 N l} . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.7. The following are true:
(1) $\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(\beta(y))=\psi(y)^{4 N^{2} l}$ and $\tau^{e}(\beta(y), x)=\tau(y, x)$;
(2) $\tau^{e}(u, \mu(v))=\tau^{e}(v, \mu(u))$;
(3) $\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u+v)=\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u) \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(v) \tau^{e}(u, \mu(v))^{4 N l}$;
(4) $\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u+\beta(y))=\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u) \psi(y)^{4 N^{2} l} \tau(y, \mu(u))^{4 N l}$;
(5) $\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u) \tau^{e}(u, \alpha) \in R$
where $x \in X, y \in Y, u, v \in X^{\vee}$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}$.
Proof. (11) follows from $\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(\beta(y))=\tau\left(y, 2 N^{2} l \phi(y)\right)=\psi(y)^{4 N^{2} l}$. (2)- (5) are clear from Lemma 5.2 and Definitions 6.1,6.5.

### 6.2. The Mumford construction associated with a NéFC kit.

Definition 6.8. Let $l \in \mathbf{N}$. We define an $l$-th NéFC kit of $\zeta_{4 N^{2}}^{e}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{l}^{\dagger}=\xi^{\dagger}\left(\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}\right)=\left(X, Y, \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}, \tau^{e}, E_{l}^{\dagger}, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}\right), \quad \xi^{\dagger}:=\xi_{1}^{\dagger} . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $\operatorname{NéFC}\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)=\left(\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}, \xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$ which we call the NéFC pair of $\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)$. Note that $\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}$ and $\xi_{l}^{\dagger}$ are defined over $S_{\min }(\zeta)$.

We start the Mumford construction associated with $\xi_{l}^{\dagger}$ :
Definition 6.9. For $l \in \mathbf{N}$, we define fractional ideals of $k(\eta)$ as follows:

$$
J_{u}:=R \epsilon^{\dagger}(u), J_{u, x}:=R \tau^{e}(u, x), J_{l, u}:=J_{u}^{l}\left(x \in X, u \in X^{\vee}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 6.7.

$$
J_{l, u+v}=J_{l, u} \cdot J_{l, v} \cdot J_{u, \mu(v)}^{4 N l}, J_{u, \mu(v)}=J_{v, \mu(u)} .
$$

Definition 6.10. ([FC90, III, 3.1]) We define two graded algebras $\mathcal{S}_{l, i}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right):=$ $\mathcal{S}_{l, i}$ and their graded subalgebras $\mathcal{R}_{i, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right):=\mathcal{R}_{i, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}(i=1,2)$ by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{S}_{l, 1} & =\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}\right) \theta_{l}^{n}\right) \otimes_{R} k(\eta)=\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0}\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x}\right) \theta_{l}^{n}\right) \otimes_{R} k(\eta), \\
\mathcal{S}_{l, 2} & =\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0}\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{x}\right) \theta_{l}^{n}\right) \otimes_{R} k(\eta),  \tag{73}\\
\mathcal{R}_{1, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}} & =\mathcal{O}_{A}\left[\left(J_{l, v} \cdot J_{v, \beta} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\beta+4 N l \mu(v)}\right) \theta_{l} ; v \in X^{\vee}, \beta \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}\right], \\
\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}} & =\mathcal{O}_{A}\left[\left(J_{l, v} \cdot J_{v, \beta} \cdot \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{\beta+4 N l \mu(v)}\right) \theta_{l} ; v \in X^{\vee}, \beta \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta+4 N l \mu(v) \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}+4 N l \mu\left(X^{\vee}\right)=X$ by [MN24, 6.3]. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{l, 2}=\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \pi_{*}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l n}\right) \theta_{l}^{n}\right) \otimes_{R} k(\eta) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-module, we have $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{1, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}=\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}$, which we denote by $\tilde{Q}_{l}$. The $S$-scheme $\tilde{Q}_{l}$ is endowed with a $\pi_{l}^{Q}$-ample tautological invertible sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{l}^{Q}$ as $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{1, \Sigma_{l}^{+}}$, while it is endowed with a $\pi_{l}^{Q}$-ample tautological invertible sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{Q}:=\mathcal{N}_{l}^{Q} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}}\left(\pi_{l}^{Q}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}$ as $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}$ where $\pi_{l}^{Q}: \tilde{Q}_{l} \rightarrow A$ is the natural projection.
Definition 6.11. Let $\tilde{T}_{\iota^{e}(u)}$ be the translation of $\tilde{G}$ by $\iota^{e}(u)\left(u \in X^{\vee}\right)$ and set $\delta_{l, u}:=\tilde{T}_{\iota^{e}(u)}$. Let $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{x}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{x, \eta}$. Then we define a $k(\eta)$-isomorphism $\tilde{\delta}_{l, u}: \delta_{l, u}^{*} \mathcal{S}_{l, 2} \otimes_{R} k(\eta) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{l, 2} \otimes_{R} k(\eta)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\delta}_{l, u} \delta_{l, u}^{*}\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \sigma_{x} \theta_{l}\right)=\bigoplus_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}^{\prime} \theta_{l}, \sigma_{x+4 N l \mu(u)}^{\prime}=\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u) \tau^{e}(u, x) T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \sigma_{x} . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sheaf automorphism $\tilde{\delta}_{l, u}$ lies over the identity of the base $A$.
Let $S_{l, y}:=\delta_{l, \beta(y)}=\tilde{T}_{l(y)}$ and $\tilde{S}_{l, y}:=\tilde{\delta}_{l, \beta(y)}(y \in Y)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{l, y} S_{l, y}^{*}\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \sigma_{x} \theta_{l}\right)=\bigoplus_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime} \theta_{l}, \sigma_{x+4 N^{2} l \phi(y)}^{\prime \prime}=\psi(y)^{4 N^{2} l} \tau(y, x) T_{c^{ \pm}(y)}^{*} \sigma_{x} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\theta_{l} \in \mathcal{O}_{0} \theta_{l}=\mathcal{O}_{A} \theta_{l}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\delta}_{l, u} \delta_{l, u}^{*}\left(\theta_{l}\right) & =\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u) \theta_{l} \in \mathcal{O}_{4 N l \mu(u)} \theta_{l} \\
\tilde{S}_{l, y} S_{l, y}^{*}\left(\theta_{l}\right) & =\psi(y)^{4 N^{2} l} \theta_{l} \in \mathcal{O}_{4 N^{2} l \phi(y)} \theta_{l} . \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 6.12. $\tilde{\delta}_{l, u}$ (resp. $\tilde{S}_{l, y}$ ) is an isomorphism $\delta_{u}^{*} \mathcal{S}_{l, 2} \otimes_{R} k(\eta) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{l, 2} \otimes_{R}$ $k(\eta)$ (resp. $S_{y}^{*} \mathcal{S}_{l, 2} \otimes_{R} k(\eta) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{l, 2} \otimes_{R} k(\eta)$ ), which keeps the $R$-subalgebra $\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{l, 2}$ stable: $\tilde{\delta}_{u} \delta_{u}^{*} \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}=\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}$, (resp. $\tilde{S}_{y} S_{y}^{*} \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}=\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}$ ).

Proof. By Lemma 6.7 (3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\delta}_{l, u} \delta_{u, \eta}^{*}\left(J_{l, v} \cdot J_{v, \alpha} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\alpha+4 N l \mu(v)}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l} \theta_{l}\right) \\
& =\epsilon_{l}(u) \tau^{e}(u, \alpha+4 N l \mu(v)) \cdot T_{c^{t, e}(u)_{\eta}}^{*}\left(J_{l, v} \cdot J_{v, \alpha} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\alpha+4 N l \mu(v)}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l} \theta_{l}\right) \\
& =J_{l, u} \cdot J_{l, v} \cdot J_{v, \alpha} \cdot \tau^{e}(u, \alpha+4 N l \mu(v)) \cdot T_{c^{t, e}(u)_{\eta}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha+4 N l \mu(v)}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right) \theta_{l} \\
& =J_{l, u+v} \cdot J_{u+v, \alpha} \cdot T_{c^{t, e}(u)_{\eta}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha+4 N l \mu(v)}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right) \theta_{l} \\
& =J_{l, u+v} \cdot J_{u+v, \alpha} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\alpha+4 N l \mu(u)+4 N l \mu(v)}^{\otimes 4 N N^{2} l} \theta_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Lemma. See [FC90, III, 3.3].
Remark 6.13. The condition [FC90, (*), p. 62] is Lemma 6.7 (5), which ensures that $\tilde{G}$ is an open subscheme of $\tilde{P}_{l}$. See Lemma 7.4, By [FC90, III, 3.3], $\tilde{Q}_{l}$ is a relatively complete model $\tilde{P}_{\left(4 N^{2} l \phi, \psi^{4 N^{2} l}\right), \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}$ associated with a symmetric split object $\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}$.

Definition 6.14. Let $\tilde{P}_{l}$ be the normalization of the $S$-scheme $\tilde{Q}_{l}$ locally of finite type, and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{l}\right)$ the pullback to $\tilde{P}_{l}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{Q}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{l}^{Q}\right)$ on $\tilde{Q}_{l}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}=\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l}}} \tilde{\pi}_{l}^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}$ where $\tilde{\pi}_{l}: \tilde{P}_{l} \rightarrow A$ is the natural projection. The actions $\left(S_{y}, \tilde{S}_{l, y}\right)$ and $\left(\delta_{u}, \tilde{\delta}_{l, u}\right)$ on $\left(\tilde{Q}_{l}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{Q}\right)$ lift to those on $\left(\tilde{P}_{l}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}\right)$, which we denote by the same letters $\left(S_{l, y}, \tilde{S}_{l, y}\right)$ and $\left(\delta_{l, u}, \tilde{\delta}_{l, u}\right)$ respectively.

Let $\left(\tilde{P}_{l}^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\wedge}\right)$ be the $I$-adic completion of $\left(\tilde{P}_{l}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}\right)$ and $\left(S_{l, y}^{\wedge}, \tilde{S}_{l, y}^{\wedge}\right)$ the $I$ adic completion of $\left(S_{l, y}, \tilde{S}_{l, y}\right)(y \in Y)$. Since the action of $Y$ on $\left(\tilde{P}_{l}^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\wedge}\right)$ via $\left(\left(S_{l, y}^{\wedge}, \tilde{S}_{l, y}^{\wedge}\right) ; y \in Y\right)$ is properly discontinuous, there exists a formal quotient $\left(\tilde{P}_{l}^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\wedge}\right) / Y$ of $\left(\tilde{P}_{l}^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\wedge}\right)$ by $Y$, which is a formal projective $S^{\wedge}$-scheme with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\wedge}$ relatively ample. By [EGA, $\left.\mathrm{III}_{1}, 5.4 .5\right]$, there exists an algebraization $\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}\right)$ of $\left(\tilde{P}_{l}^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\wedge}\right) / Y$.

Definition 6.15. We call $\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}\right)$ the $l$-th twisted Mumford family associated with $\xi^{\dagger}$ (or the twisted Mumford family associated with $\xi_{l}^{\dagger}$ ), which we denote by $\left(P_{l}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right), \mathcal{L}_{l}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)\right)$ if necessary. We also denote $\mathcal{L}_{l}$ by $\mathcal{L}_{l}^{P}$ if necessary. Let $\varpi_{l}: P_{l} \rightarrow S$ and $\pi_{l}: \tilde{P}_{l, 0} \rightarrow P_{l, 0}$ be the natural projections respectively.

Notation 6.16. For any (general) graded algebra $\mathcal{D}$, we denote the degree zero part of $\mathcal{D}$ by $\mathcal{D}(0)$.

Definition 6.17. Let $u \in X^{\vee}, \alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}$ and let $\xi_{l, \alpha, u, \mathcal{M}}$ be a (local) generator of the $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-module $J_{l, u} \cdot J_{u, \alpha} \cdot \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{\alpha+4 N l \mu(u)}$ and

$$
\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, \alpha, u}:=\left(\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}\left[1 /\left(\xi_{l, \alpha, u, \mathcal{M}} \theta_{l}\right)\right]\right)(0) .
$$

This definition makes sense because $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{x}(x \in X)$ are invertible and $R$ is a UFD. Then we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{l, \alpha, u}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, \alpha, u}, \\
W_{l, \alpha, u}=\text { the normalization of } U_{l, \alpha, u} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For any affine open subset $V$ of $A, U_{l, u, \alpha} \times_{A} V$ (resp. $W_{l, \alpha, u} \times_{A} V$ ) is an affine open $S$-subscheme of $\tilde{Q}_{l}$ (resp. $\left.\tilde{P}_{l}\right)$ and $\mathcal{W}:=\left(W_{l, \alpha, u} ; \alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee}\right)$ is an open covering of $\tilde{P}_{l}$.

Remark 6.18. Since $A$ is proper over $S$, by [MN24, 7.6], $\tilde{P}_{l}\left(K^{\prime}\right)=\tilde{P}_{l}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ for any finite extension $K^{\prime}$ of $k(\eta)$ and the integral closure $R^{\prime}$ of $R$ in $K^{\prime}$.
6.3. Relation with torus embeddings. Let $\mathbf{Z} m_{0}(\simeq \mathbf{Z})$ be a rank one $\mathbf{Z}$-module, $\left(\mathbf{Z} m_{0}\right)^{\vee}=\mathbf{Z} f_{0}$ with $f_{0}\left(m_{0}\right)=1, \tilde{X}=\mathbf{Z} m_{0} \oplus X, \tilde{X}^{\vee}=\mathbf{Z} f_{0} \oplus X^{\vee}$ and $t$ a uniformizer of $R$ identified with $w^{m_{0}}$.

Definition 6.19. Let $\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}$, $E_{l}^{\dagger}$ and $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}$ be the same as Definition 6.5. Let $\gamma \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, z \in X^{\vee}$ and $x=\gamma+4 N l \mu(z)$. Then we define $D_{l}^{\dagger}(x)=E_{l}^{\dagger}(z)+z(\gamma)$. This is well-defined as is shown in the same manner as in [MN24, 6.6/6.7].

Definition 6.20. Let $\xi_{l}^{\dagger}$ be the NéFC kit of $\zeta_{2 N l}^{e}$ in Definition 6.8, We define a fan (in $X^{\vee}$ ) associated with $\xi_{l}^{\dagger}$ by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right):=\left\{\tau_{l, \alpha, u}^{\dagger} \text { and their faces; } \alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee}\right\}, \\
\tau_{l, \alpha, u}^{\dagger}:=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
v_{0} f_{0}+v \in \mathbf{R} f_{0} \oplus X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee} ; v_{0} \geq 0, v \in X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}, \forall y \in X \\
e(\zeta)\left(D_{l}^{\dagger}(y)-D_{l}^{\dagger}(\alpha+4 N l \mu(u))\right) v_{0}+v(y-(\alpha+4 N l \mu(u))) \geq 0
\end{array}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 6.21. We set $\xi_{2 N l}^{\natural}:=\left(X, Y, t^{E_{l}^{\dagger}}, t^{B_{\tau^{e}}}, E_{l}^{\dagger}, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$. Then $\xi_{2 N l}^{\natural}$ is an eFC datum, which is the $l$-th NéFC datum induced from $\xi_{2 N}^{\natural}$ [MN24, 5.21]. Note that $\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)=\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{2 N l}^{\natural}\right)$ by MN24, 7.4] because $E_{l}^{\dagger}(u)=2 N l u \mu(u)=$ $E_{2 N l}(u)$ and hence $D_{l}^{\dagger}=D_{2 N l}$ by MN24, 5.24].

Let $U\left(\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)\right)$ be the torus $T_{X}$-embedding over $S$ associated with $\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$. Let $l_{1}: T \times_{S} \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ (resp. $l_{2}: T \times{ }_{S} U\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, u}^{\dagger}\right) \rightarrow U\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, u}^{\dagger}\right)$ ) be the left action of $T$ via $\iota_{T}$ (resp. via the isomorphism $T \simeq T_{X, S}$ ). See Eq. (3) for $\iota_{T}$. Let $\varphi:=\left(l_{1} \times{ }_{S} l_{2}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{id}_{T} \times \operatorname{inv}_{T}\right)$ where $\operatorname{inv}_{T}$ is the inverse morphism of $T$. We define the contraction product of $U\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, u}^{\dagger}\right)$ and $\tilde{G}$ by $T$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, u}^{\dagger}\right) \times{ }_{S} \tilde{G} / \varphi(T) . \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tilde{G}$ is a Zariski $T$-bundle, the quotient (78) is an $S$-scheme. As is suggested by [K98, 3.5], we have an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{l, \alpha, u} \simeq U\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, u}^{\dagger}\right) \times{ }_{S}^{T} \tilde{G}:=U\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, u}^{\dagger}\right) \times_{S} \tilde{G} / \varphi(T) . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi_{l, \alpha, v}:=\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(v) \tau^{e}(v, \alpha) w^{\alpha+4 N l \mu(v)}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\prime}$ be the invertible sheaf on $U\left(\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)\right)$ defined by a one cocyle $f_{l, \alpha, u ; \beta, v}:=\xi_{l, \alpha, u} / \xi_{l, \beta, v}$ with respect to the covering $\mathcal{W}$. By [MN24, 7.5] and Eq. (79), we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}_{l} \simeq U\left(\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)\right) \times \times_{S}^{T} \tilde{G}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\prime} \otimes_{S} \pi^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l} . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since both $U\left(\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)\right)$ and $\tilde{G}$ are irreducible, so is $\tilde{P}_{l}$.
6.4. A down-to-earth description of $\tilde{P}_{l}$. This subsection is a presheaf version of $\S 6.2$, In terms of local torus coordinates $w_{U}^{x}$, the description of $\tilde{P}_{l}$ becomes very similar to MN24, 7.1-7.3].

First we choose an affine covering $\mathcal{F}^{0}$ of $A$ such that $\tilde{G}_{U} \simeq T_{U}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mid U} \simeq$ $\mathcal{O}_{U}\left(\forall U \in \mathcal{F}^{0}\right)$. Let $v \in X^{\vee}, U \in \mathcal{F}^{0} . U^{v}:=T_{-c^{t}, e(v)}(U), \mathcal{F}^{v}:=\left\{U^{v} ; U \in\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{F}^{0}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{F}:=\coprod_{v \in X^{\vee}} \mathcal{F}^{v}$. By the assumption on $\mathcal{F}$, there exists a generator $w_{U}^{x}$ of $\left(\mathcal{O}^{x}\right)_{U}(\forall x \in X, \forall U \in \mathcal{F})$ such that $\tilde{G}_{U} \simeq \operatorname{Spec} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\left[w_{U}^{x} ; x \in X\right]$ with $w_{U}^{x} \cdot w_{U}^{x^{\prime}}=w_{U}^{x+x^{\prime}}\left(\forall x, x^{\prime} \in X\right)$. We set $g_{U V}^{x}=w_{V}^{x} / w_{U}^{x}$. By Eq. (16), $\left(g_{U V}^{x} ; U, V \in \mathcal{F}\right)$ is a one-cocycle representing $\mathcal{O}^{x}\left(\simeq \mathcal{O}_{x}\right)$. Let $\left(\mu_{U V} ; U, V \in\right.$ $\mathcal{F}$ ) be a one-cocycle representing $\mathcal{M} \in H^{1}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}^{*}\right)$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $l \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\left(\pi^{-1} \mathcal{M}\right)^{x}:=\left(\pi^{-1} \mathcal{M}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}^{x}$. Then as in §2.3, we obtain a homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G},\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes 2 l}\right) \hookrightarrow \Gamma\left(G^{\wedge},\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes 2 l, \wedge}\right) \simeq \Gamma\left(\tilde{G}^{\wedge},\left(\pi^{\wedge}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l, \wedge}\right) \\
& \simeq\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \Gamma\left(\tilde{G},\left(\pi^{-1} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)^{x}\right)\right)^{I \text {-adic }} \hookrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} \Gamma\left(\tilde{G},\left(\pi^{-1} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)^{x}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

whose $x$-th projection sending $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G},\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes 2 l}\right)$ to $\Gamma\left(\tilde{G},\left(\pi^{-1} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)^{x}\right)$ we denote by $s_{x}^{(2 l)}$. Let $\nu_{x}: \Gamma\left(A,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)_{x, B}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\tilde{G},\left(\pi^{-1} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)^{x}\right)$ be the natural isomorphism. By Theorem 5.23, we have $s_{x}^{(2 l)}=\nu_{x} \circ \sigma_{x}^{(2 l)}$, so that $\theta \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G},\left(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes(2 l)}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
\theta=\sum_{x \in X} s_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta), s_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta) \in \Gamma\left(\tilde{G},\left(\pi^{-1} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)^{x}\right) .
$$

Definition 6.22. Let $u, v \in X^{\vee}$ and $U, V \in \mathcal{F}$. We write $s_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta)=$ $\left(\rho_{x, U}^{(2 l)}(\theta) w_{U}^{x} ; U \in \mathcal{F}\right)$ with $\rho_{x, U}^{(2 l)}(\theta) \in \Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)$ subject to $\rho_{x, U}^{(2 l)}(\theta)=$ $\mu_{U V}^{4 N^{2} l} \rho_{x, V}^{(2 l)}(\theta)$, and let $\rho_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta):=\left(\rho_{x, U}^{(2 l)}(\theta) ; U \in \mathcal{F}\right) \in \Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)$. Let $\theta_{l, U}$ be an indeterminate of degree one subject to $\theta_{l, U}=\mu_{U V}^{-4 N^{2} l} \theta_{l, V}$. By definition, $\rho_{x, U}^{(2 l)}(\theta) \theta_{l, U}=\rho_{x, V}^{(2 l)}(\theta) \theta_{l, V}$.
Definition 6.23. For $U \in \mathcal{F}$, we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, U}^{\dagger}=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\left[\rho_{U} \xi_{l, \alpha, v, U} \theta_{l, U} ; \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rho=\left(\rho_{V} ; V \in \mathcal{F}\right) \in \Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right) \\
v \in X^{\vee}, \alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right], \\
\xi_{l, \alpha, v, U}=\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(v) \tau^{e}(v, \alpha) w_{U}^{\alpha+4 N l \mu(v)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)$ is base point free, $\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, U}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)} \mathcal{O}_{U}=\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{U}$.
Definition 6.24. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee}$ and $U \in \mathcal{F}$. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{l, \alpha, u, U}:=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\left[\xi_{l, \gamma, v, U} / \xi_{l, \alpha, u, U} ; \gamma \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, v \in X^{\vee}\right] \\
& B_{l, \alpha, u, U}:=\text { the normalization of } A_{l, \alpha, u, U}, \\
& U_{l, \alpha, u, U}:=\operatorname{Spec} A_{l, \alpha, u, U}, W_{l, \alpha, u, U}:=\operatorname{Spec} B_{l, \alpha, u, U} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $U_{l, \alpha, u, U} \simeq U_{l, \alpha, u} \times{ }_{A} U$ and $W_{l, \alpha, u, U} \simeq W_{l, \alpha, u} \times{ }_{A} U$. Let $\tilde{Q}_{l, U}:=$ $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l, U}}^{\dagger}$ and $\tilde{P}_{l, U}$ the normalization of $\tilde{Q}_{l, U}$. Then $\tilde{Q}_{l, U}$ (resp. $\tilde{P}_{l, U}$ ) is covered by $U_{l, \alpha, u, U}$ (resp. $\left.W_{l, \alpha, u, U}\right)\left(\alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee}\right)$. Note that $\tilde{Q}_{l, U} \simeq$ $\tilde{Q}_{l} \times{ }_{A} U$ and $\tilde{P}_{l, U} \simeq \tilde{P}_{l} \times{ }_{A} U$ with the notation of Definition 6.14.

Definition 6.25. The sheaf automorphisms $\tilde{\delta}_{l, u}$ and $\tilde{S}_{l, y}$ given in Definition 6.11 induce automorphisms of $\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, U}^{\dagger}$ in the natural manner:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\delta}_{l, u} \delta_{l, u}^{*}\left(\rho_{U} \xi_{l, \alpha, v, U} \theta_{l, U}\right): & =\left(T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \rho\right)_{U} \xi_{l, \alpha, v+u, U} \theta_{l, U} \\
\tilde{S}_{l, y} S_{l, y}^{*}\left(\rho_{U} \xi_{l, \alpha, v, U} \theta_{l, U}\right): & \left.=\left(T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\right)\right)_{U} \xi_{l, \alpha, v+\beta(y), U} \theta_{l, U}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho:=\left(\rho_{U} ; U \in \mathcal{F}\right) \in \Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)$. By Definition 6.22,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\delta}_{l, u} \delta_{l, u}^{*}\left(\rho_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta)_{U} \theta_{l, U}\right) & =\left(T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \rho_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta)\right)_{U} \xi_{l, 0, u, U} \theta_{l, U} \\
& =\left(T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \rho_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta)\right)_{U} \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u) w_{U}^{4 N l \mu(u)} \theta_{l, U} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\tilde{\delta}_{l, u}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\tilde{S}_{y}\right)$ lifts $\delta_{l, u}$ (resp. $S_{y}$ ) from $\tilde{P}_{l}$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}$.
Lemma 6.26. $\tilde{\delta}_{l, u}$ is well-defined.
Proof. This is clear from Eq. (75). However here we prove Lemma based on Definition 6.25. Let $\rho:=\left(\rho_{U} ; U \in \mathcal{F}\right) \in \Gamma\left(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \rho \in \Gamma\left(A,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)_{4 N l \mu(u)}\right) . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $c \circ \mu=N \lambda \circ c^{t, e}$, we have $T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes N} \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mu(u)}$, so that we may assume $T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \mu_{U V}^{N}=\mu_{U V}^{N} g_{U V}^{\mu(u)}$. Also we may assume $T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} g_{U V}^{x}=g_{U V}^{x}$ by $T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{x}$. Hence $\left(T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \rho\right)_{U}=\mu_{U V}^{4 N^{2} l} \cdot g_{U V}^{4 N l \mu(u)} \cdot\left(T_{c^{t}, e(u)}^{*} \rho\right)_{V}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{U}:=\rho_{U} \cdot \xi_{l, \alpha, v, U} \cdot \theta_{l, U} \in \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, U}, \\
& \Theta_{V}:=\rho_{V} \cdot \xi_{l, \alpha, v, V} \cdot \theta_{l, V} \in \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, V} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore by $\xi_{l, \alpha, v, U}=g_{U V}^{-\alpha-4 N l \mu(v)} \xi_{l, \alpha, v, V}$ and $\rho_{U} \cdot \theta_{l, U}=\rho_{V} \cdot \theta_{l, V}$.
$\Theta_{U}$ and $\Theta_{V}$ are identified iff $\Theta_{U}=g_{U V}^{-\alpha-4 N l \mu(v)} \Theta_{V}$.

Then we obtain $\tilde{\delta}_{l, u} \delta_{l, u}^{*}\left(\Theta_{U}\right)=g_{U V}^{-\alpha-4 N l \mu(v)} \tilde{\delta}_{l, u} \delta_{l, u}^{*}\left(\Theta_{V}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\delta}_{l, u} \delta_{l, u}^{*}\left(\Theta_{U}\right)=\left(T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \rho\right)_{U} \cdot \xi_{l, \alpha, u+v, U} \theta_{l, U} \\
& =\mu_{U V}^{4 N^{2} l} \cdot g_{U V}^{4 N l \mu(u)} \cdot\left(T_{c^{t}, e(u)}^{*} \rho\right)_{V} \cdot g_{U V}^{-\alpha-4 N l \mu(u+v)} \cdot \xi_{l, \alpha, u+v, V} \cdot \mu_{U V}^{-4 N^{2} l} \cdot \theta_{l, V} \\
& =g_{U V}^{-\alpha-4 N l \mu(v)}\left(T_{c^{t, e}(u)}^{*} \rho\right)_{V} \cdot \xi_{l, \alpha, u+v, V} \theta_{l, V}=g_{U V}^{-\alpha-4 N l \mu(v)} \tilde{\delta}_{l, u} \delta_{l, u}^{*}\left(\Theta_{V}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $\tilde{\delta}_{l, u} \delta_{l, u}^{*}$, or rather, $\tilde{\delta}_{l, u}$ is well-defined.
Notation 6.27. Our notation in Definitions 6.10 6.11 can be sometimes confusing because it is vague to which direct factor $\sigma_{x}$ may belong. To remedy this, we introduce the following notation by taking $\S 6.4$ into account. Let $\theta_{l}$ be an indeterminate and $\theta \in \Gamma\left(P_{l}^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\wedge}\right)$. Then we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\sum_{x \in X} \rho_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta) w^{x} \theta_{l} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\rho_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta) \in \Gamma\left(A^{\wedge},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)_{x}^{\wedge}\right)$. By Eq. (82), we mean the following:

$$
\theta_{U}=\sum_{x \in X}\left(\rho_{x}^{(2 l)}(\theta)\right)_{U} w_{U}^{x} \theta_{l, U} \quad\left(U \in \mathcal{F}_{0}\right) .
$$

Under Eq. (82), we understand Eq. (77) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\delta}_{u} \delta_{u}^{*}\left(\theta_{l}\right) & =\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u) w^{4 N l \mu(u)} \theta_{l}, \\
\tilde{S}_{y} S_{y}^{*}\left(\theta_{l}\right) & =\psi(y)^{4 N^{2} l} w^{4 N^{2} l \phi(y)} \theta_{l} . \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

## 7. The relative compactification $P_{l}$

7.1. The NéFC kit $\xi^{\dagger}$ over $S_{\text {min }}$. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ a split partially degenerate semiabelian $R_{\mathrm{in}}$-scheme with $\mathcal{L}$ ample symmetric cubic (and hence rigidified), and $\mathcal{G}$ the Néron model of $G_{\eta_{\text {in }}}$. Let $\zeta:=\operatorname{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$ and $\left(\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}, \xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right):=$ $\operatorname{NéFC}\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)$ (Definitions 6.4 6.8). Then $\zeta$ is a split object over $S$ in $\mathrm{DD}_{\text {ample }}$. The data $\zeta, \zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}$ and $\xi_{l}^{\dagger}$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\zeta=\left(\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t}, \iota, \lambda, \phi, \tau, \tilde{c}, \psi, \mathcal{M}\right), \\
\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}=\left(\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t, e}, \iota^{e}, 4 N^{2} l \lambda, 4 N^{2} l \phi, \tau^{e}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l},\left(\psi^{e}\right)^{2 N l}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right), \\
\xi_{l}^{\dagger}=\xi^{\dagger}\left(\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}\right)=\left(X, Y, \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}, \tau^{e}, E_{l}^{\dagger}, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}\right), \quad \xi^{\dagger}=\xi_{1}^{\dagger} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\left(\tilde{P}_{l}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}\right)$ the normalization of a relatively complete model $\left(\tilde{Q}_{l}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}\right)$ associated with $\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}(\operatorname{Remark} 6.13)$ and $\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}\right)$ the $l$-th twisted Mumford family associated with $\xi^{\dagger}$ (Definition 6.15). We study the structures of $\left(\tilde{P}_{l}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}\right)$ and $\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}\right)$. In § 7 , we follow Notation 6.2, and hence $k(\eta)=K_{\min }(\zeta)$, $R=R_{\min }(\zeta)$ and $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$.
7.2. The structure of $\tilde{P}_{l}$ and $\tilde{P}_{l, 0}$. Let $E_{l}^{\dagger}(u)=v_{t}\left(\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$ and let $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)$ and $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}$ (resp. Fan $\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$ and $\tau_{l, \alpha, u}$ ) be the same as in Definition 6.5 (resp. Definition (6.20). By [MN24, 6.5], there exists a positive integer $l_{0}$ such that $\Sigma_{l_{0}}^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral, and so is $\Sigma_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}(0)(\forall l \in \mathbf{N})$. In what follows we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0) \text { is integral. } \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7.1. Let $N:=\left|B_{\tau}\right|$. There exists $m_{0}$ such that for any $m \geq m_{0}$, $\Gamma\left(P_{l, \eta}, \mathcal{L}_{l, \eta}^{\otimes m}\right)$ is identified with the following $k(\eta)$-vector space:

Proof. Lemma is proved in parallel to [MN24, 7.12] by Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.8 instead of [MN24, 3.13/4.21]. The pd-counterpart of MN24, 4.16 (3)] applied in [MN24, 4.21] is true by [M72, 4.2]/[FC90, III, § 4].

Theorem 7.2. The following are true:
(1) there exists an $S$-isomorphism $\tilde{\psi}_{l, l l^{\prime}}:\left(\tilde{P}_{l}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\otimes l^{\prime}}\right) \simeq\left(\tilde{P}_{l l^{\prime}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l l^{\prime}}\right)$ such that $\tilde{\psi}_{l, l l^{\prime}}\left(W_{l, \alpha, u}\right)=W_{l l^{\prime}, l^{\prime} \alpha, u}\left(\forall \alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}\right), \forall u \in X^{\vee}\right)$;
(2) $\tilde{\psi}_{l, l l^{\prime}}$ induces an $S$-isomorphism $\psi_{l, l^{\prime}}:\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes l^{\prime}}\right) \simeq\left(P_{l l^{\prime}}, \mathcal{L}_{l l^{\prime}}\right)$.

Proof. This is proved in parallel to [MN24, 7.25].
The following describes the local structure of $\tilde{P}_{l}$, which is a corollary of Eq. (79) and [MN24, 8.12]:
Theorem 7.3. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee}$ and $U \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $B_{l, \alpha, u, U} \otimes_{R} k(0)$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_{A \cap U}$-algebra given by

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{O}_{A_{0} \cap U}\left[s^{e(\xi) u(x)} w_{U}^{x} ; x \in X\right] & \text { if } \alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)^{0}, \\
\mathcal{O}_{A_{0} \cap U}\left[\begin{array}{c}
s^{e(\xi)\left(v_{\gamma}+u\right)(x)} w_{U}^{x} ; \\
\gamma \in\left(\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}\right)^{\alpha}, x \in \operatorname{Cone}\left(C_{l}^{\gamma}\right) \cap X
\end{array}\right] & \text { if } \alpha \in \partial \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0),\end{cases}
$$

with fundamental relations in the second case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{e(\xi)\left(v_{\gamma^{\prime}}+u\right)(x)} w_{U}^{x} \cdot s^{e(\xi)\left(v_{\gamma^{\prime \prime}}+u\right)(y)} w_{U}^{y} \\
& = \begin{cases}s^{e(\xi)\left(v_{\gamma}+u\right)(x+y)} w_{U}^{x+y} & \text { if } x, y \in \operatorname{Cone}\left(C_{l}^{\gamma}\right) \cap X\left(\exists \gamma \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger, \alpha}\right), \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime} \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger, \alpha}, x \in \operatorname{Cone}\left(C_{l}^{\gamma^{\prime}}\right) \cap X$ and $y \in \operatorname{Cone}\left(C_{l}^{\gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right) \cap X$.
The following is a corollary of Eq. (79) and [MN24, 8.12 (1)]:
Lemma 7.4. $\tilde{G} \simeq W_{l, \alpha, u}\left(\forall \alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)^{0}\right)$. To be more precise,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{G}_{U} \simeq W_{l, \alpha, u, U}=\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\left[\tau^{e}(u, x) w_{U}^{x} ; x \in X\right], \\
\tilde{G}_{U^{v}} \simeq W_{l, \alpha, u+v, U^{v}}=\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U^{v}}\right)\left[\tau^{e}(u+v, x) w_{U^{v}}^{x} ; x \in X\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

where $v \in X^{\vee}$ and $U \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover $\delta_{l, v}\left(W_{l, \alpha, u+v, U^{v}}\right)=W_{l, \alpha, u, U}$.
Remark 7.5. Since $v_{s}\left(\tau^{e}(u, x)\right)=B^{e}(u, x)=e(\xi) u(x)\left(u \in X^{\vee}, x \in X\right)$, Theorem 7.3]is the same as MN24, 8.12] if $A_{0}=0$. Since $v_{t}\left(\tau^{e}(u, x)\right)=u(x)$, we have in Lemma 7.4.

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{l, \alpha, u, U}=\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\left[t^{u(x)} w_{U}^{x} ; x \in X\right], \\
W_{l, \alpha, u+v, U^{v}}=\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\left[t^{(u+v)(x)} w_{U^{v}}^{x} ; x \in X\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 7.6. $\tilde{P}_{l, 0}:=\tilde{P}_{l} \times{ }_{S} 0$ is reduced and Cohen-Macaulay.
Definition 7.7. Let $\overline{\operatorname{Vor}}_{l}^{\dagger}:=\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger} / 4 N l \mu\left(X^{\vee}\right)$. Let $\overline{\operatorname{Vor}}_{l, l l^{\prime}}^{\dagger}: \overline{\operatorname{Vor}}_{l}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \overline{\operatorname{Vor}}_{l l^{\prime}}^{\dagger}$ be multiplication by $l^{\prime}$.
Definition 7.8. Let $W_{l, \Delta}:=\bigcap_{a \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Delta)} W_{l, a}$ for $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}$. See MN24, §§ 8.2-8.3] for more details. Let $Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ be the unique reduced closed $G_{0}$-orbit of $\left(W_{l, \Delta}\right)_{0}$ and $\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ the closure of $Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ in $\tilde{P}_{l, 0}$ (with reduced structure).

The following is proved in parallel to [MN24, 8.31]:
Theorem 7.9. Let $\pi_{l}: \tilde{P}_{l, 0} \rightarrow P_{l, 0}$ be the natural morphism and $\overline{\operatorname{Orb}}\left(P_{l, 0}\right)$ the set of closures in $P_{l, 0}$ of $G_{0}$-orbits of $P_{l, 0}$. We define $\omega_{l}$ by $\omega_{l}(\Delta)=$ $\pi_{l} \bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ for $\Delta \in \overline{\operatorname{Vor}}_{l}^{\dagger}$. Then
(1) $\omega_{l}$ is a bijective correspondence between $\overline{\operatorname{Vor}}_{l}^{\dagger}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{Orb}}\left(P_{l, 0}\right)$;
(2) $\omega_{l}$ is independent of $l$ in the sense that $\psi_{l, l l^{\prime}} \circ \omega_{l}=\omega_{l l^{\prime}} \circ \overline{\operatorname{vor}}_{l, l l^{\prime}}^{\dagger}$ $\left(\forall l^{\prime} \in \mathbf{N}\right)$;
(3) the set of irreducible components of $P_{l, 0}$ consists of $\pi_{l}\left(\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(v)\right)\right)$ $\left(v \in X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)\right)$ where $Z_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(v)\right)=\left(W_{l, 0, v}\right)_{0}$.
7.3. The structure of $P_{l}$. Throughout this section, we assume that $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral. The normalization $\tilde{P}_{l}$ is covered with open affine sets $W_{l, \alpha, u}$ $\left(\alpha \in \Sigma^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee}\right)$. Let $\tilde{G}_{l}:=W_{l, 0,0}$. Since $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{1, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}} \simeq \operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}$, we have

$$
\tilde{G}_{l}=W_{l, 0,0}=\operatorname{Spec} \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x},
$$

which is independent of $l$. Since $0 \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger} \cap \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)^{0}$ by MN24, 6.2], $\tilde{G}_{l}$ is described also by Lemma 7.4, Let $\delta_{u}:=\tilde{T}_{c^{t, e}(u)}\left(u \in X^{\vee}\right)$ and

$$
\tilde{C}_{l}:=\left(\tilde{P}_{l} \backslash \bigcup_{u \in X^{\vee}} \delta_{u}\left(\tilde{G}_{l}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{red}}, \quad \tilde{D}_{l}:=\left(\tilde{P}_{l} \backslash \bigcup_{y \in Y} S_{y}\left(\tilde{G}_{l}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{red}}
$$

Let $\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}\right)$ be the algebraization of the (formal) quotient $\left(\tilde{P}_{l}^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\wedge}\right) / Y, C_{l}$ (resp. $D_{l}$ ) the algebraization of the quotient $\tilde{C}_{l}^{\wedge} / Y\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\tilde{D}_{l}^{\wedge} / Y\right)$ and

$$
G_{l}:=P_{l} \backslash D_{l}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{l}:=P_{l} \backslash C_{l}=\bigcup_{u \in X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)} \delta_{u}\left(G_{l}\right),
$$

where $G_{l}$ is semiabelian with $G_{\hat{l}}^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}_{l}^{\wedge}$ by [FC90, III, 5.7-5.8].

Theorem 7.10. The following are true:
(1) $P_{l}$ is an irreducible flat projective normal Cohen-Macaulay $S$-scheme;
(2) $G_{l}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{l}$ are open subschemes of $P_{l}$ and semiabelian group $S$ schemes such that $P_{l, \eta}=G_{l, \eta}=\mathcal{G}_{l, \eta}$ is an abelian variety and $G_{l}=\mathcal{G}_{l}^{0} ;$
(3) $G_{l}$ is the connected Néron model of $G_{l, \eta}$;
(4) $\left(\mathcal{G}_{l, 0} / G_{l, 0}\right)(\overline{k(0)})\left(\simeq X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)\right)$ acts on $P_{l}$;
(5) $\left(\mathcal{G}_{l, 0} / G_{l, 0}\right)(\overline{k(0)})$ is naturally a subgroup $e(\xi)\left(X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)\right)$ of the component group $X^{\vee} / e(\xi) \beta(Y)$ of the Néron model of $G_{l, \eta}$;
(6) $\operatorname{codim}_{P_{l, 0}}\left(P_{l, 0} \backslash \mathcal{G}_{l, 0}\right)=1$, and any irreducible component of $P_{l, 0}$ is the closure in $P_{l, 0}$ of an irreducible component of $\mathcal{G}_{l, 0}$;
(7) $P_{l}, G_{l}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{l}$ are independent of the choice of $l$;
(8) $\mathcal{G}_{l} \simeq \mathcal{G}_{S}$ : the pullback to $S$ of the Néron model $\mathcal{G}$ of $G_{\eta_{\text {in }}}$; the quotient of $\mathcal{G}_{l}$ by $\operatorname{Aut}\left(k(\eta) / k\left(\eta_{\text {in }}\right)\right)$ is the Néron model of $G_{\eta_{\text {in }}}$;
(9) $\mathcal{L}_{l \mid \mathcal{G}_{l}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_{l \mid G_{l}}$ ) is a unique ample cubical invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{G}_{l}$ (resp. $G_{l}$ ) with $\left(G_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l \mid G_{l}}\right) \simeq\left(G_{S}, \mathcal{L}_{S}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)$.
Proof. See MN24, 9.1]. First we note the following. By Lemmas 3.8,7.1, there exists a positive integer $m_{0}$ such that $\Gamma\left(P_{l, \eta}, \mathcal{L}_{l, \eta}^{\otimes m}\right)=\Gamma\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)$ if $m \geq m_{0}$. Hence $\operatorname{FC}\left(G_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}\right)=\mathrm{FC}\left(G_{S}, \mathcal{L}_{S}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)$. Therefore $\left(P_{l, \eta}, \mathcal{L}_{l, \eta}^{\otimes m}\right) \simeq$ $\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)$, which is a polarized abelian variety. Moreover $\left(G_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}\right)=$ $\left(G_{S}, \mathcal{L}_{S}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)$ by Theorem [3.7] By this fact, (11)-(9) are proved verbatim in the same manner as [MN24, 9.1].
Corollary 7.11. If $e(\xi)=1$, then $\mathcal{G}_{l}$ is the Néron model of $G_{\eta}=G_{\eta_{\text {in }}}$.
Proof. Clear from Theorem 7.10 (8)).
Corollary 7.12. Let $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Aut}\left(k(\eta) / k\left(\eta_{\text {in }}\right)\right)$. The Néron model $\mathcal{G}$ of $G_{\eta_{\text {in }}}$ is the quotient $\mathcal{G}_{l} / \Gamma$, which is relatively compactified by the quotient $P_{l} / \Gamma$.
Proof. Clear from Theorem 7.10 (8).
Corollary 7.13. We can choose $m_{0}=1$ in Lemma 7.1.
Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.8/Theorem 7.10 (9).
7.4. The action of $G_{l}$ on $P_{l}$. See [MN24, § 10] for a quick survey on rigid geometry. Let $\tilde{P}_{l, \eta}^{\text {an }}$ be the rigid analytification of the $k(\eta)$-scheme $\tilde{P}_{l, \eta}$ and $\tilde{P}_{l, \text { rig }}^{\wedge}$ the generic fiber (in the sense of rigid geometry [MN24, 10.5]) of the formal $R$-scheme $\tilde{P}_{l}^{\wedge}$. Since $\tilde{P}_{l}$ satisfies the completeness condition MN24, 7.6], we have $\tilde{P}_{l, \mathrm{rig}}^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{P}_{l, \eta}^{\text {an }}$ by MN24, 10.9 (3)-(4)]. Similarly let $P_{l, \eta}^{\text {an }}$ be the rigid analytification of the $k(\eta)$-scheme $P_{l, \eta}$ and $P_{l, \text { rig }}^{\wedge}$ the generic fiber (in the sense of rigid geometry) of the formal $R$-scheme $P_{l}^{\wedge}$. Then $P_{l, \text { rig }}^{\wedge} \simeq P_{l, \eta}^{\text {an }}$ as rigid $k(\eta)$-spaces. Moreover $Y$ acts on $\tilde{P}_{l, \eta}^{\text {an }}$ via $\left(S_{y}: y \in Y\right)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}_{l, \eta}^{\mathrm{an}} / Y \simeq P_{l, \eta}^{\mathrm{an}} \simeq G_{l, \eta}^{\mathrm{an}} . \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 7.14. Let $\Omega:=\overline{k(\eta)}$ be an algebraic closure of $k(\eta)$. We define an absolute value $|\cdot|$ of $k(\eta)$ by $|a|=e^{-v_{s}(a)}$ for $a \in k(\eta)$. Then $v_{s}$ and $|\cdot|$ can be uniquely extended to $\Omega$ Bo14, A, Th. 3]. Let $Q \in\left|\tilde{P}_{l, \text { rig }}^{\wedge}\right|=\tilde{P}_{l}(\Omega) / G_{\text {abs }}$ and $\tilde{Q} \in \tilde{P}_{l}(\Omega)$ a representative of $Q$. Then $\log \left|w^{x}(Q)\right|:=\log \left|\tilde{Q}^{*}\left(w_{U}^{x}\right)\right| \in \mathbf{Q}$ $\left(x \in \tilde{X}, U \in \mathcal{F}_{B}^{0}\right)$ is well-defined in the sense that it is independent of the choice of $\tilde{Q}$ and $U$ because $w_{U}^{x}(Q)=\tilde{\pi}^{*} g_{U V}^{x}(\tilde{Q}) w_{V}^{x}(Q)$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{*} g_{U V}^{x}(\tilde{Q}) \in R_{\Omega}^{\times}$ where $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{P}_{l} \rightarrow A$ is the natural projection.
Theorem 7.15. Assume $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral. Then there exists an $S$-morphism $G_{l} \times_{S} P_{l} \rightarrow P_{l}$ which extends the group law $G_{l, \eta} \times{ }_{\eta} G_{l, \eta} \rightarrow G_{l, \eta}$ of $G_{l, \eta}$.

This is proved in parallel to that of [MN24, 10.14] only by replacing [MN24, 10.12] with Definition 7.14.

## 8. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1 in the partially degenerate case. Since we have proved Theorem 7.10, it suffices to descend Theorem [7.10 to $S_{\text {in }}$ following [MN24, §§ 11-12]. This is what we do in § 8 .
8.1. Plan of the descent to $S_{\mathrm{in}}$. First we present a precise plan of the descent. Let $R$ be a CDVR, $k(\eta)$ its fraction field, $S:=\operatorname{Spec} R$ and $\eta$ (resp. 0 ) the generic (resp. closed) point of $S$. We basically follow the notation and the argument in MN24, §§ 11-12]. Therefore $k(\eta)$ (resp. $R, S, 0$ ) in Theorem 1.1 is denoted here by $k\left(\eta_{\text {in }}\right)$ (resp. $\left.R_{\text {in }}, S_{\text {in }}, 0_{\text {in }}\right)$. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a semiabelian $S_{\text {in }}$-scheme with $\mathcal{L}$ symmetric ample cubical invertible and $\mathcal{G}$ the Néron model of $G_{\eta_{\text {in }}}$. We can choose such an $\mathcal{L}$ by [MN24, 2.13 (1)]. By taking a finite étale Galois cover $S^{\prime}$ of $S_{\text {in }}$ by SGA3, X, § 1], we have a split semiabelian $S^{\prime}$-scheme $\left(G_{S^{\prime}}, \mathcal{L}_{S^{\prime}}\right)$, that is, whose closed fiber $G_{0^{\prime}}$ is an extension of an abelian $k\left(0^{\prime}\right)$-variety $A$ by a split $k\left(0^{\prime}\right)$-torus $T$ where $R^{\prime}:=$ $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime}}\right)$ is a CDVR and $0^{\prime}$ is the closed point of $S^{\prime}$. Let $k\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)$ be the fraction field of $R^{\prime}$. By Definition [3.6] we have the FC data $\zeta_{m}=\operatorname{FC}\left(G_{S^{\prime}}, \mathcal{L}_{S^{\prime}}^{\otimes m}\right)$ ( $m \geq 1$ ) and $\zeta=\zeta_{1}$ by Eq. (24) and Corollary 3.9,

$$
\zeta_{m}=\left(\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t}, \iota, \lambda^{(m)}, m \phi, \tau, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes m}, \psi^{m}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes m}\right) .
$$

Each $\zeta_{m}$ is a split object over $S^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{DD}_{\text {ample }}$ (Definitions 3.4/3.6).
By Lemma 5.2, we can find the eFC datum $\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}$ (Definition 6.4):

$$
\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}=\left(\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t, e}, \iota^{e}, 4 N^{2} l \lambda, 4 N^{2} l \phi, \tau^{e}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l},\left(\psi^{e}\right)^{2 N l}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)
$$

by enlarging $k\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)$ to a finite radical normal extension $k\left(\eta^{e}\right)$ of $k\left(\eta_{\text {in }}\right)$, where we choose the minimal such $k\left(\eta^{e}\right)$. Let $R^{e}$ be the integral closure of $R$ in $k\left(\eta^{e}\right)$ and $S^{e}:=\operatorname{Spec} R^{e}$. By Theorem 5.23 and Definition 6.8, we have the degeneration data of the pullback $\mathcal{G}_{S^{e}}$ of $\mathcal{G}$

$$
\operatorname{NéFC}\left(G_{S^{e}}, \mathcal{L}_{S^{e}}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)=\left(\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}, \xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)
$$

Note that the NéFC kit $\xi_{l}^{\dagger}$ plays the same role as $\xi_{l}^{\natural}$ in MN24, 5.21].

The rest is the same as MN24, § 11.1]. By Theorem 5.23 and Definition 6.8, let $\eta_{\min }:=\eta_{\min }(\zeta)=\eta^{e}$. By Remark 6.3, $k\left(\eta_{\min }\left(\zeta_{m}\right)\right)=k\left(\eta_{\min }(\zeta)\right)$ $(\forall m \in \mathbf{N})$. Let $k\left(\eta_{\mathrm{spl}}\right)$ be the minimal unramified Galois extension of $k\left(\eta_{\mathrm{in}}\right)$ in $k\left(\eta_{\min }\right)$ such that $G_{0_{\text {spl }}}$ is a $k\left(0_{\text {spl }}\right)$-split torus, where $R_{\text {spl }}$ is the integral closure of $R_{\text {in }}$ in $k\left(\eta_{\mathrm{spl}}\right), S_{\mathrm{spl}}:=\operatorname{Spec} R_{\mathrm{spl}}$ and $0_{\mathrm{spl}}$ is the closed point of $S_{\mathrm{spl}}$. Hence we have a sequence of extensions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k\left(\eta_{\min }\right) \supset k\left(\eta^{\prime}\right) \supset k\left(\eta_{\mathrm{spl}}\right) \supset k\left(\eta_{\text {in }}\right) \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 7.10 constructs a quadruple $\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}, G_{l}, \mathcal{G}_{l}\right)$ over $R^{e}$. To prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to descend the quadruple to $R_{\mathrm{in}}$. Therefore we suffice to consider the following two cases:

Case 1. descent from $S_{\min }=S^{e}$ to $S_{\mathrm{spl}}$ ( $\S 8.2$ );
Case 2. descent from $S_{\text {spl }}$ to $S_{\text {in }}(\S 8.3)$.
8.2. Descent from $S_{\text {min }}$ to $S_{\text {spl }}$. We follow MN24, § 11.4]. We set $k(\eta)=$ $K_{\min }(\zeta), R=R_{\min }(\zeta)$ and $S=S_{\min }(\zeta)=S^{e}$.
Step 1. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a semiabelian $S_{\text {spl- }}$-scheme with $\mathcal{L}$ symmetric ample cubical invertible and $\mathcal{G}$ the Néron model of $G_{\eta_{\mathrm{spl}}}$ with $G=\mathcal{G}^{0}$. By $\zeta=$ $\zeta_{1}=\mathrm{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$, we are given a split $S_{\text {spl }}$-torus $T$ and a $T$-bundle $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow A$ over an abelian $S_{\text {spl }}$-scheme $A$ with $\tilde{G}^{\wedge} \simeq G^{\wedge}$. By Eq. (17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\pi^{\wedge}\right)_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m, \wedge}=\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m}\right)_{x}\right)^{I-\text { adic }} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 5.2, there exist an NéFC pair $\left(\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}, \xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$ over $S=S_{\min }=S^{e}$, a finite commutative split multiplicative group $S$-scheme $\mu$ and a finite abelian group $\Gamma$ such that $\mu \oplus \Gamma_{S}$ is a commutative group $S$-scheme acting on $S$ with $S / \mu \oplus \Gamma_{S}=S_{\text {spl }}$ and $(|\Gamma|, \operatorname{char} k(\eta))=1,|\mu|=$ a power of $\operatorname{char} k(\eta)$. By Definition 6.8, the $l$-th NéFC kit $\xi_{l}^{\dagger}$ of $\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}$ is given by:

$$
\xi_{l}^{\dagger}=\left(X, Y, \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}, \tau_{l}^{e}, E_{l}^{\dagger}, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}\right), \quad \xi^{\dagger}:=\xi_{1}^{\dagger}
$$

By MN24, 6.5], there exists $l_{0} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}(0) \text { is integral for any integer } l \in \mathbf{N} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorems 7.10,7.15, we obtain
Claim 8.1. Let $S=S_{\min }(\xi)$ and $\left(P_{l_{0} l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}\right):=\left(P_{l_{0} l}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right), \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)\right)$. Then
(i') $P_{l_{0} l}$ is an irreducible flat projective Cohen-Macaulay $S$-scheme;
(ii') there exist open $S$-subschemes $G_{l_{0} l}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}$ of $P_{l_{0} l}$ such that $\left(G_{l_{0} l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}\right) \simeq$ $\left(G_{S}, \mathcal{L}_{S}^{4 N^{2} l_{0} l}\right), \mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l} \simeq \mathcal{G}_{S}$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l, 0} / G_{l_{0} l, 0}\right)(\overline{k(0)}) \simeq X^{\vee} / \beta(Y) ;$
(iii') $P_{l_{0} l} \backslash \mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}$ is of codimension two in $P_{l_{0} l}$;
(iv') $\mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}$ acts on $P_{l_{0} l}$ extending multiplication of $\mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}$;
( $\left.\mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}\right)_{\mid \mathcal{G}_{l_{0}} l}$ is ample cubical with $\mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l, \eta}=\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{4 N^{2} l_{0} l}$.

Step 2. We shall descend Claim8.1to $S_{\text {spl }}$. Let $s$ (resp. $t$ ) be a uniformizer of $R\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.R_{\text {spl }}\right)$ and $I:=t R_{\text {spl }}$. Since $A$ is an $S_{\text {spl }}$-scheme, $\mu \oplus \Gamma_{S}$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_{A}, \mathcal{O}_{x}(x \in X)$ and $\mathcal{M}$ trivially. Hence by [MN24, 11.9], $\mu \oplus \Gamma_{S}$ acts on $M_{m}:=R \otimes_{R_{\text {spl }}}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m}\right)_{x}$ by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{M_{m}}\left(c f_{x}\right)= & \rho_{R}(c) \cdot\left(1 \otimes f_{x}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mu}\right) \otimes_{R} M_{m}, \sigma\left(c f_{x}\right)=\sigma(c) f_{x} \\
& \left(\forall \sigma \in \Gamma, \forall c \in R, \forall f_{x} \in\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m}\right)_{x}, \forall x \in X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{M_{m}}$ (resp. $\rho_{R}$ ) is the coaction of $\mu$ on $M_{m}$ (resp. $R$ ) MN24, 11.8].
Let $V_{m, \mathrm{spl}}:=\Gamma\left(G_{\eta_{\mathrm{spl}}} \mathcal{L}_{\eta_{\text {spl }}}^{\otimes m}\right)$ and $V_{m}:=\Gamma\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes m}\right)=V_{m, \mathrm{spl}} \otimes_{R_{\text {spl }}} R$ $(m \geq 1)$. Then $\mu \oplus \Gamma$ acts on $V_{m}$, so that $V_{m}^{\mu \oplus \Gamma}=V_{m, \text { spl }}$ by Lemma 3.8,

Next we define the (co)action $\rho_{\mathcal{S}_{l_{0}, 2}}$ of $\mu \oplus \Gamma$ on $\mathcal{S}_{l_{0} l, 2}=\mathcal{S}_{l_{0} l, 2}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{\mathcal{S}_{l_{0}, 2}}\left(c m_{x} \theta_{l_{0} l}\right)=\rho_{M_{4 N^{2} l_{0} l}}\left(c m_{x}\right)\left(1 \otimes \theta_{l_{0} l}\right), \\
\psi_{\sigma}\left(c m_{x} \theta_{l_{0} l}\right)=\sigma(c) m_{x} \theta_{l_{0} l} \\
\left(\forall c \in R, \forall x \in X, \forall m_{x} \in\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l_{0} l}\right)_{x}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we recall

$$
\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{A_{S}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(J_{l_{0} l, v} \cdot J_{v, \beta} \cdot\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l_{0} l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{\beta+4 N l_{0} l \mu(v)}\right)\right) \theta_{l_{0} l} \\
v \in X^{\vee}, \beta \in \Sigma_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $J_{l_{0} l, v}=s^{e(\zeta) E_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}(v)} R$ and $J_{v, \beta}=s^{e(\zeta) v(\beta)} R$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)$ is an $R$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}_{l_{0} l, 2}$ stable under $\mu \oplus \Gamma$ because $v_{s} \circ \psi_{\sigma}=v_{s}$ on $R$.

Let $J_{l_{0} l, v, \mathrm{spl}}:=t^{E_{l_{0}}{ }^{\dagger}(v)} R_{\mathrm{spl}}, J_{v, \beta, \mathrm{spl}}:=t^{v(\beta)} R_{\mathrm{spl}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{l_{0} l, 2, \mathrm{spl}}:=\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0}\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l_{0} \ln } \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{x}\right) \theta_{l_{0} l}^{n}\right) \otimes_{R} k\left(\eta_{\mathrm{spl}}\right), \\
& \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}, \text { spl }}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right):=\mathcal{O}_{A}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(J_{l_{0} l, v, \mathrm{spl} l} \cdot J_{v, \beta, \mathrm{spl}} \cdot\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l_{0} l} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A} \mathcal{O}_{\beta+4 N l_{0} l \mu(v)}\right)\right) \theta_{l_{0} l} \\
v \in X^{\vee}, \beta \in \Sigma_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l_{0}}^{\dagger}, \text { spl }}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)$ is an $R_{\text {spl }}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}_{l_{0} l, 2, \text { spl }}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{0_{0} l}^{\dagger}}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{i_{0} l}^{\dagger}, \text { spl }}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right) \otimes_{R_{\mathrm{spl}}} R, \\
& \left(\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)\right)^{\mu \oplus \Gamma}=\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l_{0}}^{\dagger}, \text { spl }}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $S_{y}^{*}$ acts on $\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l_{0}}!}^{\dagger}$,spl $\left.\xi^{\dagger}\right)$ by Definition 6.11, while $\delta_{u}^{*}$ does not keep $\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l_{0}}^{\dagger}, \text { spl }}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)$ stable in general because $\tau^{e}(u, x) \notin k\left(\eta_{\text {spl }}\right)$ can happen. Let $\tilde{Q}_{l_{0} l}^{*}:=\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l_{0}} l}^{\dagger}$, spl $\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{P}_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)$ be the normalization of $\tilde{Q}_{l_{0} l}^{*}$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l_{0} l}^{*}$ the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Q}_{0_{0} l}^{*}}(1)$ to $\tilde{P}_{l_{0} l}^{*}$. Let $\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)$ be the algebraization of the (formal) quotient $\left(\tilde{P}_{l_{0} l}^{* \wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l_{0} l}^{* \wedge}\right) / Y$. By our construction we have
a natural finite surjective $S_{\text {spl }}$-morphism $f:\left(P_{l_{0} l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}\right) \rightarrow\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)$. Let $C_{l_{0} l}^{*}=f\left(C_{l_{0} l}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}, D_{l_{0} l}^{*}=f\left(D_{l_{0} l}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}, \mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}^{*}=P_{l_{0} l}^{*} \backslash C_{l_{0} l}^{*}$ and $G_{l_{0} l}^{*}=P_{l_{0} l}^{*} \backslash D_{l_{0} l}^{*}$.
Claim 8.2. The following are true over $S_{\text {spl }}$ :
(i*) $P_{l_{0} l}^{*}$ is an irreducible flat projective Cohen-Macaulay $S_{\text {spl }}$-scheme;
$\left(\mathrm{ii}{ }^{*}\right)\left(G_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right) \simeq\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l_{0} l}\right)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}^{*} \simeq \mathcal{G}$;
(iii*) $P_{l_{0} l}^{*} \backslash \mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}^{*}$ is of codimension two;
(iv*) $\mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}^{*}$ acts on $P_{l_{0} l}^{*}$ extending multiplication of $\mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}^{*}$;
$\left(\mathrm{v}^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)_{\mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}^{*}}$ is ample cubical with $\mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l, \eta_{\text {spl }}}^{*}=\mathcal{L}_{\eta_{\text {spl }}}^{4 N^{2} l_{0} l}$.
Proof. This is proved in the same manner as [MN24, 11.14] here by using Claim 8.1, Theorem 7.10 (3) / (16) / (8) / (91) and Theorem 7.15 .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Case 1.
8.3. Descent from $S_{\mathrm{spl}}$ to $S_{\mathrm{in}}$. The descent of ( $P_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}^{*}, G_{l_{0} l}^{*}$ ) from $S_{\text {spl }}$ to $S_{\text {in }}$ is a standard finite Galois descent, which is carried out in the same manner as [MN24, § 12]. To do so, we prove Lemmas 8.4-8.5], which substitute [MN24, 12.2-12.3].

We have started in $\S 8.1$ with a semiabelian $S$-scheme $(G, \mathcal{L})$ such that $G_{0_{\text {in }}}$ is a torus $T_{0_{\text {in }}}$-bundle over an abelian $k\left(0_{\text {in }}\right)$-variety. Then there exists a finite étale Galois cover $\varpi: S_{\text {spl }} \rightarrow S_{\text {in }}$ of $S_{\text {in }}$ such that $T_{0_{\text {spl }}}$ is a split torus. For $Z \in\{S, R, \eta, 0\}$, we denote $Z_{\text {spl }}$ and $Z_{\text {in }}$ by $Z^{*}$ and $Z$ respectively e.g., $S^{*}=S_{\mathrm{spl}}$ and $S=S_{\mathrm{in}}$. Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}\left(S^{*} / S\right)$ and $s$ a uniformizer of $R=R_{\mathrm{in}}$. By Hensel's lemma,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Gal}\left(k\left(0^{*}\right) / k(0)\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(S^{*} / S\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(k\left(\eta^{*}\right) / k(\eta)\right) \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 8.3. Let $\sigma \in \Gamma$. We define an $R$-homomorphism $s_{\sigma}^{*}: R^{*} \rightarrow$ $R^{*}$ by $s_{\sigma}^{*}(a)=\sigma(a)\left(\forall a \in R^{*}\right)$, and let $s_{\sigma}: S^{*} \rightarrow S^{*}$ the $S$-morphism induced from $s_{\sigma}^{*}$. Since $\sigma$ acts on $S^{*}$, so does $\sigma$ on $G_{S^{*}}$ and the $I^{*}$-adic completion $G_{S^{*}}^{\wedge}$ of $G_{S^{*}}$ where $I^{*}=I R^{*}$. We define $\psi_{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{R}\left(\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{G_{S^{*}}}\right)\right)$ by $\psi_{\sigma}(z \otimes a)=z \otimes \sigma(a)\left(\forall a \in R^{*}, \forall z \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{G^{\wedge}}\right)\right)$ via the identification $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{G_{S^{*}}}\right)=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{G^{\wedge}}\right) \otimes_{R} R^{*}$ MN24, 11.1]. Then $\psi_{\sigma \tau}=\psi_{\sigma} \circ \psi_{\tau}(\forall \sigma, \tau \in \Gamma)$. Thus $\left(\psi_{\sigma} ; \sigma \in \Gamma\right)$ is a $\Gamma$-structure of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{G_{\widehat{S}^{*}}}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{G_{\widehat{S}^{*}}}\right)^{\Gamma}=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{G^{\wedge}}\right)$ ([MN24, 1.2]). Let $\mathcal{L}_{S^{*}}$ be the pullback of $\mathcal{L}$ to $G_{S^{*}}$. Then $\Gamma$ acts on $\Gamma\left(G_{S^{*}}, \mathcal{L}_{S^{*}}^{\otimes m}\right)(m \geq 1)$, where $\Gamma$ acts on $\Gamma\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right)$ trivially because $(G, \mathcal{L})$ is defined over $S$. By MN24, 11.3],

$$
\Gamma\left(G_{S^{*}}, \mathcal{L}_{S^{*}}^{\otimes m}\right)=\Gamma\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right) \otimes_{R} R^{*}, \quad \Gamma\left(G_{S^{*}}, \mathcal{L}_{S^{*}}^{\otimes m}\right)^{\Gamma}=\Gamma\left(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}\right)
$$

Lemma 8.4. The following are true:
(1) there exists $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X)\right)$ such that $\lambda_{\sigma}(Y)=Y$ and $\psi_{\sigma}\left(w^{x}\right)=$ $w^{\lambda_{\sigma}(x)}(\forall x \in X, \forall \sigma \in \Gamma)$ where $\lambda_{\sigma}:=\lambda(\sigma)$;
(2) $\Gamma$ acts effectively on $X$ via $\left(\lambda_{\sigma} ; \sigma \in \Gamma\right)$.

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rightarrow 0$ be the Raynaud extension Eq. (3) associated to $G$. There exists an open affine covering $\mathcal{F}^{0}$ of $A$ such that
$\tilde{G}_{U} \simeq T \times_{S} U\left(\forall U \in \mathcal{F}^{0}\right)$. Since $T_{0^{*}}$ is a split $k\left(0^{*}\right)$-torus, by $\S$ 2.2, $\tilde{G}_{U_{S^{*}}} \simeq$ $\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\left[w_{U}^{x} ; x \in X\right] \otimes_{R} R^{*}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{U}:=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{G_{U}}\right)^{\wedge}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{U}^{*}:=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{G_{U_{S^{*}}}}\right)^{\wedge}=$ $\mathcal{B}_{U} \otimes_{R} R^{*}$. By the proof of MN24, 12.2], there exists $\lambda_{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X)(\forall \sigma \in \Gamma)$ such that $\psi_{\sigma}\left(w^{x}\right)=w^{\lambda_{\sigma}(x)}(\forall x \in X)$ and $\lambda_{\sigma \tau}=\lambda_{\sigma} \lambda_{\tau}(\forall \sigma, \tau \in \Gamma)$. Hence $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X)\right)$. Since the natural morphism $G \times_{U} S^{*} \rightarrow G^{t} \times_{U} S^{*}$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant, $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{G_{U}^{t}}\right)$ is a $\Gamma$-submodule of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{G_{U_{S^{*}}}}\right)$, so that $\lambda_{\sigma}(Y)=Y$. This proves (1). It is obvious that $\lambda_{\sigma}$ is independent of the choice of $U \in \mathcal{F}^{0}$. (2) is proved in the same manner as [MN24, 12.2 (2)].

Lemma 8.5. Under the notation in Lemma 8.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\psi(y))= & \psi\left(\lambda_{\sigma}(y)\right), \sigma(\tau(y, x))=\tau\left(\lambda_{\sigma}(y), \lambda_{\sigma}(x)\right) \\
& (\forall y \in Y, \forall x \in X, \forall \sigma \in \Gamma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We follow the proof of MN24, 12.3]. By using Lemma 3.8 with $m=1$, we obtain $\psi\left(\lambda_{\sigma}(y)\right) \tau\left(\lambda_{\sigma}(y), \lambda_{\sigma}(x)\right)=\psi(y) \tau(y, x)(\forall y \in Y, \forall x \in X)$, from which Lemma follows.

Since $G_{0^{*}}$ is a split $k\left(0^{*}\right)$-torus, we obtain a split object $\zeta$ and NéFC pairs $\left(\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{2}, \xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$. Let $\xi^{\dagger}=\xi_{1}^{\dagger}$. By $\S 8.2$, by choosing $l_{0} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\Sigma_{l_{0}}(0)$ is integral, $\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)(l \in \mathbf{N})$ is the twisted Mumford family obtained from

$$
\mathcal{R}_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right):=\mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}, \text { spl }}^{\dagger}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)
$$

Let $\left(U ; U \in \mathcal{F}^{0}\right)$ be an affine covering of $A$. Recall that $D_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}(x)$ is welldefined for $x \in X$ by Definition 6.19, For $U \in \mathcal{F}^{0}$, we define

$$
\mathcal{R}_{l_{0} l, U}^{\dagger}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U_{S^{*}}}\right)\left[\zeta_{l_{0} l, x, U} \theta_{l_{0} l, U} ; x \in X\right], \quad \zeta_{l_{0} l, x, U}=t^{D_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}(x)} w_{U}^{x} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_{l_{0} l, U}^{\dagger}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{l_{0} l}^{\dagger}\left(\xi^{\dagger}\right) \otimes_{R} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$, which will play the same role as $R_{l_{0} l}^{*}\left(\xi^{\natural}\right)$ in [MN24, 12.3]. We can descend $\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}^{*}, G_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)$ from $S^{*}$ to $S$ in the same manner as MN24, 12.5-12.9]. Now we define

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{l_{0} l}^{b}:=P_{l_{0} l}^{*} / \Gamma, \quad \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{b}:=\text { the descent of } \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*} \text { to } P_{l_{0} l}^{b},  \tag{90}\\
G_{l_{0} l}^{b}:=G_{l_{0} l}^{*} / \Gamma, \mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}^{b}:=\mathcal{G}_{l_{0} l}^{*} / \Gamma .
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus we obtain
Claim 8.6. The group $S^{*}$-scheme $G^{*}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^{*}$ ) descends to a group $S$ scheme $G^{b}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^{b}$ ), and the following are true:
(ib) $P^{\text {b }}$ is an irreducible flat projective Cohen-Macaulay $S$-scheme;
(iib) $G^{b}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{G}^{b}\right)$ is a group $S$-scheme such that $G^{b} \simeq G\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{G}^{b} \simeq \mathcal{G}\right)$;
(iii $\left.{ }^{\text {b }}\right) P^{b} \backslash \mathcal{G}^{b}$ is of codimension two;
(iv ${ }^{b}$ ) there exists a morphism $G^{b} \times P^{b} \rightarrow P^{b}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{G}^{b} \times P^{b} \rightarrow P^{b}\right)$ extending multiplication of $G^{b}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^{b}$ );
$\left(\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)\left(\mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{b}\right)_{\mid \mathcal{G}^{b}}$ is ample cubical with $\mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l, \eta}^{b}=\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{4 N^{2} l_{0} l}$.
This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Case 2, and hence in any case.

## 9. The totally degenerate case Revisited

In this section, we revisit the totally degenerate case [MN24, § 8], where $G$ is a semiabelian $S$-scheme such that $G_{0}=T_{0}$ is a split $k(0)$-torus and $A_{0}=\{0\}$. We use the notation of [MN24, § 8] freely. We assume that $\Sigma_{l}(0)$ is integral. We prove a projective embedding theorem of toric closed subschemes of the closed fiber $P_{l, 0}$ MN24, § 9].
9.1. The Voronoi polytopes $\Sigma_{l}(v)$. Let $\xi:=\mathrm{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})=(X, Y, a, b, A, B)$, $\xi^{\natural}$ an NéFC kit over $S$ extending $\xi_{2 N}^{e}$, and $\xi_{l}^{\natural}(l \in \mathbf{N})$ the $l$-th NéFC kit over $S$ induced from $\xi^{\natural}$ in MN24, 5.21] with $\xi_{1}^{\natural}=\xi^{\natural}:$

$$
\xi_{l}^{\natural}=\left(X, Y, \epsilon_{l}, b^{e}, E_{l}, \Sigma_{l}\right)
$$

To $\xi^{\natural}$, we associate a fan $\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\natural}\right)$ MN24, 5.18/5.21] and a decomposition $\operatorname{Vor}_{l}:=\operatorname{Vor}_{l}\left(\xi^{\natural}\right)$ of $X_{\mathbf{R}}$ MN24, 6.1/6.2]:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Vor}_{l}=\left\{\Sigma_{l}(v)\left(v \in X^{\vee}\right) \text { and their faces }\right\}, \\
\Sigma_{l}(v)=\left\{x \in X_{\mathbf{R}} ; E_{l}(u)+u(x-2 l \mu(v)) \geq 0\left(\forall u \in X^{\vee}\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $E_{l}(u)=l u(\mu(u))$. For $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l}(0)$, let $\operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha):=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{l}(v)} \Sigma_{l}(v)$.
Lemma 9.1. Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$. Then
(1) $\operatorname{Cone}\left(\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, 0}\right)\right)=\left\{u \in X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee} ; u(\beta) \geq u(\alpha)\left(\forall \beta \in \Sigma_{l}\right)\right\}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(C_{l}^{\alpha}\right)^{\vee}$;
(2) $X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)} \operatorname{Cone}\left(\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, 0}\right)\right)$;
(3) $\Sigma_{l}(0)=\left\{x \in X_{\mathbf{R}} ; u(x) \geq u(\beta)\binom{\forall \beta \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)}{\forall u \in X^{\vee} \cap \operatorname{Cone}\left(\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \beta, 0}\right)\right)}\right\}$.

Proof. Lemma is [MN24, 8.28].
Definition 9.2. We define a finite fan in $X^{\vee}$ by

$$
\operatorname{Fan}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)=\left\{\operatorname{Cone}\left(\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)\right) ; \Delta \in \operatorname{Sk}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)\right\}
$$

Recall that $Z_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)=\left(W_{l, \Sigma_{l}(0)}\right)_{0} \simeq G_{0}$ by [MN24, 8.20] and the closure $\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$ of $Z_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$ is an irreducible component of $\tilde{P}_{l, 0}$. By Lemma 9.1 , $\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$ is a torus embedding associated with $\operatorname{Fan}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$. It is covered with affine open subsets $\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right) \cap W_{l, \alpha}\left(\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)\right.$. Since $\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right) \simeq$ $\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)\left(\forall v \in X^{\vee}\right), \tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)$ is covered with $\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right) \cap W_{l, a}\left(a \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)\right.$ and $Z_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)=\left(W_{l, \Sigma_{l}(v)}\right)_{0}=\operatorname{Spec} k(0)\left[t^{v(x)} w^{x} ; x \in X\right] \simeq G_{0}$.

Lemma 9.3. Let $w \in X^{\vee}, \alpha \in \Sigma_{l}(0)$ and $\mu^{*}:=2 l \mu$. Then
(1) if $\Sigma_{l}(w) \cap \Sigma_{l}(0) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mu^{*}(w) \in 2 \Sigma_{l}(0)$;
(2) $\operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha):=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{l}(v)} \Sigma_{l}(v) \subset 3 \Sigma_{l}(0)$;
(3) if $\Sigma_{l}(w) \cap \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mu^{*}(w) \in 4 \Sigma_{l}(0)$;
(4) if $\left(\mu^{*}(w)+\operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha)\right) \cap \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mu^{*}(w) \in 4 \Sigma_{l}(0)$;
(5) $\left(\mu^{*}(m w)+\operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha)\right) \cap \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for some $m \geq 4$, then $w=0$.

Proof. Recall $\Sigma_{l}(w)=\Sigma_{l}(0)+\mu^{*}(w)$. We set $\Delta:=\Sigma_{l}(0) \cap \Sigma_{l}(w) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\Delta^{\prime}:=\Delta-\mu^{*}(w)$ is a face of $\Sigma_{l}(0)$, there exists $\beta \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Delta)$ such that $\beta^{\prime}:=\beta-\mu^{*}(w) \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$. This implies $\mu^{*}(w)=\beta-\beta^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{l}(0)-\Sigma_{l}(0)=$ $2 \Sigma_{l}(0)$. Ths proves (1). If $\Sigma_{l}(w) \cap \Sigma_{l}(0) \neq \emptyset$, then $\Sigma_{l}(w) \subset 3 \Sigma_{l}(0)$ because $\Sigma_{l}(w)=\Sigma_{l}(0)+\mu^{*}(w) \subset \Sigma_{l}(0)+2 \Sigma_{l}(0)=3 \Sigma_{l}(0)$ by (1). Hence (2) follows.

Next we shall prove (3). If $\Sigma_{l}(w) \cap \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then $\Sigma_{l}(w) \cap \Sigma_{l}\left(w^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$ for some $w^{\prime} \in X^{\vee}$ such that $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l}\left(w^{\prime}\right)$, whence $\mu^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right) \in 2 \Sigma_{l}(0)$ by (1). Meanwhile $\Sigma_{l}\left(w-w^{\prime}\right) \cap \Sigma_{l}(0) \neq \emptyset$ by $\Sigma_{l}(w) \cap \Sigma_{l}\left(w^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$. By (1) $\mu^{*}\left(w-w^{\prime}\right) \in$ $2 \Sigma_{l}(0)$, so that $\mu^{*}(w) \in \mu^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right)+2 \Sigma_{l}(0) \subset 4 \Sigma_{l}(0)$. This proves (3).

Next we shall prove (4). If $\left(\mu^{*}(w)+\operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha)\right) \cap \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then $\Sigma_{l}(w+$ $\left.w^{\prime}\right) \cap \Sigma_{l}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$ for some $w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime} \in X^{\vee}$ such that $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l}\left(w^{\prime}\right) \cap \Sigma_{l}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Hence $\Sigma_{l}\left(w^{\prime}-w^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap \Sigma_{l}(0) \neq \emptyset$, whence $\mu^{*}\left(w^{\prime}-w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in 2 \Sigma_{l}(0)$ by (1). Meanwhile $\Sigma_{l}\left(w+w^{\prime}-w^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap \Sigma_{l}(0) \neq \emptyset$, so that $\mu^{*}\left(w+w^{\prime}-w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in 2 \Sigma_{l}(0)$ by (11). It follows that $\mu^{*}(w)=\mu^{*}\left(-w^{\prime}+w^{\prime \prime}\right)+\mu^{*}\left(w+w^{\prime}-w^{\prime \prime}\right) \in 2 \Sigma_{l}(0)+2 \Sigma_{l}(0) \subset$ $4 \Sigma_{l}(0)$. This proves (4). Finally we shall prove (5). If $\left(\mu^{*}(m w)+\operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha)\right) \cap$ $\operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then we have $\mu^{*}(m w) \in 4 \Sigma_{l}(0)$ by (4), whence $\mu^{*}(m w / 4) \in$ $\Sigma_{l}(0)$. If $m \geq 4$, then $\mu^{*}(w) \in \Sigma_{l}(0)$ because $\Sigma_{l}(0)$ is convex with $0 \in \Sigma_{l}(0)$. By $\mu^{*}(w) \in \Sigma_{l}(w)^{0}$, we have $\Sigma_{l}(w)=\Sigma_{l}(0)$ and $w=0$. This proves (5).

Corollary 9.4. Let $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $m \geq 4 n$. If $x \in n \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha)$ and $x+\mu^{*}(m w) \in n \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha)$, then $w=0$.

Proof. Let $z=x+\mu^{*}(m w) \in n \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha)$. Then $z=x+\mu^{*}(m w) \in\left(\mu^{*}(m w)+\right.$ $\left.n \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha)\right) \cap n \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha)$. By Lemma 9.3 (5),$w=0$.

### 9.2. The closed subscheme $\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)$.

Definition 9.5. For any $\Delta \in$ Vor $_{l}$, we define following [MN24, 8.17]

$$
\tau_{l, \Delta}=\bigcap_{a \in \mathrm{Sk}^{0}(\Delta)} \tau_{l, a}, \quad B_{l, \Delta}=R\left[\tau_{l, \Delta}^{\vee} \cap \tilde{X}\right], \quad W_{l, \Delta}=\operatorname{Spec} B_{l, \Delta} .
$$

Definition 9.6. For $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}$, let $Z_{l}(\Delta)$ be the unique reduced closed $\tilde{G}_{0}-$ orbit of $\left(W_{l, \Delta}\right)_{0}, \tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)$ the closure of $Z_{l}(\Delta)$ in $\tilde{P}_{l, 0}$ and $\bar{Z}_{l}(\Delta)$ the closure of $\pi_{l}\left(Z_{l}(\Delta)\right)$ in $P_{l, 0}$ with reduced structure.

Lemma 9.7. For $\Delta, \Delta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}$,
(1) $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta$;
(2) $\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta) \subset \tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ iff $\Delta \subset \Delta^{\prime}$;
(3) $\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Delta \cap \Delta^{\prime}\right)=\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta) \cap \tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. (11)-(3) are standard in torus embedding. See [085, 1.6, p. 10].
Definition 9.8. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Sk}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$ and let $X(\Delta)$ be the saturation in $X$ of the Z -submodule of $X$ generated by $\Delta-\Delta$. There is a natural epimorphism $j_{\Delta}: X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee} \rightarrow X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}$. For $\Delta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sk}(\Delta)$, let $D_{\Delta}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right):=j_{\Delta}\left(\operatorname{Cone}\left(\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right)$ and let $\operatorname{Fan}_{l}(\Delta)$ be a fan in $X(\Delta)^{\vee}$ consisting of $D_{\Delta}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)\left(\Delta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sk}(\Delta)\right)$. See also [D78, § 5.7].

Lemma 9.9. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Sk}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$ and $\Delta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sk}(\Delta)$. Then
(1) $X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}=\left\{x \in X_{\mathbf{R}} ; F(x)=0\left(\forall F \in \tau_{l, \Delta}\right)\right\}$;
(2) $D_{\Delta}(\Delta)=0$;
(3) $D_{\Delta}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Cone}\left(j_{\Delta}(e(\xi) v) ; e(\xi) v \in \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta^{\prime}}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)\right)$;
(4) $\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta) \simeq \tilde{Z}_{l}(2 l \mu(v)+\Delta)\left(\forall v \in X^{\vee}\right)$.

Proof. By applying [MN24, 8.21 (3)] for $f=\operatorname{id}_{X_{\mathbf{R}}}, X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the maximal linear subspace of $\operatorname{Cone}\left(B_{l, \Delta}\right)=\tau_{l, \Delta}^{\vee}$ Definition 9.5, It follows $X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}=$ $\left\{x \in X_{\mathbf{R}} ; F(x)=0\left(\forall F \in \tau_{l, \Delta}\right)\right\}$. This proves (1). (2) follows from (1). (3) follows from $\operatorname{Sk}^{0} \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta^{\prime}}\right)=\left\{e(\xi) v ; \Delta^{\prime} \subset \Sigma_{l}(-v), v \in X^{\vee}\right\}$ MN24, 8.27 (2)]. (4) is clear.

Now we describe $\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)$ as a toric variety through the polytope $\Delta$.
Definition 9.10. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}$ and $\Delta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sk}(\Delta)$. We define a cone $\sigma_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(\Delta-a ; a \in \Delta^{\prime}\right) \subset X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

and set $\operatorname{Fan}_{l}^{*}(\Delta):=\left\{\sigma_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{\vee} ; \Delta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sk}(\Delta)\right\}$.
Lemma 9.11. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}$ and $\Delta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sk}(\Delta)$, Then $D_{\Delta}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{\vee}$ and $\operatorname{Fan}_{l}^{*}(\Delta)=\operatorname{Fan}_{l}(\Delta)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\Delta \subset \Sigma_{l}(0)$. Let $\Delta^{\prime}:=$ $\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Delta)$. Then $D_{\Delta}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Cone}\left(e(\xi) v_{\mid X(\Delta)_{\mathrm{R}}} ; \alpha \in \Sigma_{l}(-v), v \in X^{\vee}\right)$. Let $e(\xi) v \in D_{\Delta}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$. We shall prove $e(\xi) v \in \sigma_{\alpha}^{\vee}$. Let $x \in \Delta$. Since $\Delta \subset \Sigma_{l}(0)$, we have $E_{l}(v)+v(x) \geq 0$. Since $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l}(-v)$, we have $E_{l}(-v)-v(\alpha+$ $2 l \mu(v)) \geq 0$. It follows $0 \leq 2 E_{l}(v)+v(x-\alpha)-2 l v \mu(v)=v(x-\alpha)$. Hence $v(x-\alpha) \geq 0(\forall x \in \Delta)$, i.e., $v \in \sigma_{\alpha}^{\vee}$. Since $\tau_{l, \Delta^{\prime}}=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Sk}^{0}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)} \tau_{l, \alpha}$, we have

$$
D_{\Delta}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Sk}^{0}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)} D_{\Delta}(\alpha)=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Sk}^{0}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)} \sigma_{\alpha}^{\vee}=\sigma_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{\vee}
$$

Since $\left|\operatorname{Fan}_{l}^{*}(\Delta)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Fan}_{l}(\Delta)\right|=X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}$, we have $\left.D_{\Delta}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)\right)=\sigma_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{\vee}\left(\forall \Delta^{\prime} \in\right.$ $\operatorname{Sk}(\Delta))$. Hence $\operatorname{Fan}_{l}^{*}(\Delta)=\operatorname{Fan}_{l}(\Delta)$.
Lemma 9.12. The closed subscheme $\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)$ of $\tilde{P}_{l, 0}$ is a complete torus embedding associated with $\operatorname{Fan}_{l}(\Delta)$ and hence $\operatorname{Fan}_{l}^{*}(\Delta)$.

Proof. This is standard in torus embedding. See [D78, §§ 5.7-5.8].
Corollary 9.13. Let $\Delta$ and $\Delta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}$. Then $\Delta^{\prime} \subset \Delta \Leftrightarrow W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}} \supset W_{l, \Delta} \Leftrightarrow$ $Z_{l}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right) \subset \tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta) \cap W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}} \Leftrightarrow Z_{l}(\Delta) \subset\left(W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}}\right)_{0}$.

Proof. By Lemma 9.7 (2), $\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right) \subset \tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta) \Rightarrow \Delta^{\prime} \subset \Delta \Rightarrow W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}} \supset W_{l, \Delta} \Rightarrow$ $Z_{l}(\Delta)\left(\subset W_{l, \Delta}\right) \subset W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}} \Rightarrow Z_{l}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right) \subset \tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta) \cap W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}} \Rightarrow Z_{l}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right) \subset \tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta) \Rightarrow$ $\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right) \subset \tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)$. Meanwhile $Z_{l}(\Delta) \subset W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}} \Leftrightarrow Z_{l}(\Delta) \subset\left(W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}}\right)_{0}$ by $Z_{l}(\Delta) \subset$ $\left(\tilde{P}_{P}\right)_{0}$. This proves Corollary.

### 9.3. Support functions.

Definition 9.14. By Lemma 9.1 (2), $X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}$ is covered with $\operatorname{Cone}\left(\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, 0}\right)\right)$ $\left(\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)\right)$. For each $v \in X^{\vee}$, we define a function $h_{v}$ on $X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{v}(u)=u(\alpha+2 l \mu(v)) \quad \text { if } u \in \operatorname{Cone}\left(\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, 0}\right)\right) . \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $h_{v}$ is a $\operatorname{Fan}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$-linear support function in the sense of [085, § 2.1], i.e., $h_{v}$ is Z-valued strictly upper convex on $X \cap\left|\operatorname{Fan}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)\right|$ and linear on each $\sigma \in \operatorname{Fan}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$.

Lemma 9.15. The function $h_{v}\left(v \in X^{\vee}\right)$ is continuous strictly upper convex on $X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}$ with respect to $\operatorname{Fan}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$.

Proof. Let $\operatorname{Star}^{\vee}(0):=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)} \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, 0}\right)$. First we prove that $h_{v}$ is continuous on $\operatorname{Star}^{\vee}(0)$. Let $\beta \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$. Any one-codimensional face $\sigma$ of the cone $\tau_{l, \beta, 0}$ is, in view of [MN24, 8.23 (5)], of the form $\sigma=\tau_{l, \beta, 0} \cap \tau_{l, \gamma, 0}$ where $\gamma \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$ with $\operatorname{Conv}(\beta, \gamma) \in \operatorname{Sk}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)$. In other words, $\sigma=$ $\left\{u \in \operatorname{Star}^{\vee}(0) ; u(\beta)=u(\gamma)\right\}$, which shows that $h_{v}$ is continuous on $\operatorname{Star}^{\vee}(0)$ and hence so is $h_{v}$ on $X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}$ by Lemma 9.1 (21). It follows from Lemma 9.1 (1) and Definition 9.14 that $h_{v}$ is strictly upper convex.

Lemma 9.16. Let $v \in X^{\vee}, \bar{Z}_{l}(v):=\bar{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)$ and $W_{l, a}(v):=W_{l, a} \cap \bar{Z}_{l}(v)$. We define an $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}_{l}(v)}$-module $\mathcal{D}_{l}(v)$ by $\mathcal{D}_{l}(v)_{\mid W_{l, a}(v)}:=t^{v(a)} w^{a} \mathcal{O}_{W_{l, a}(v)}$. Then
(1) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{P}_{l}}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}_{l}(v)} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{l}(v)$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}_{l}(v)}$-modules;
(2) $h_{v}$ is the support function of $\mathcal{D}_{l}(v)$ in the sense of [085, § 2.1, p. 65].

Proof. A one cocycle associated with the sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l} \otimes_{\tilde{P}_{l}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{l}(v)}$ is given by $\left(f_{a, b}^{*}=\xi_{l, b} / \xi_{l, a} ; a, b \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)\right)$. By [MN24, § 4.1/6.7], $\mathrm{wt}_{\tilde{X}}\left(\xi_{l, c}\right)=\left(D_{l}(c)+\right.$ $v(c)) m_{0}+v(c)$ if $c \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)$. Since $\tilde{Z}_{l}(v)$ is a complete torus embeding over $k(0)$, we have $H^{1}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}(v), k(0)^{*}\right)=\{1\}$. Hence we may replace $f_{a, b}^{*}$ by

$$
f_{a, b}=t^{D_{l}(b)+v(b)} w^{b} / t^{D_{l}(a)+v(a)} w^{a}
$$

by MN24, 6.7], that is, the one cocycle $\left(f_{a, b}^{*} / f_{a, b} ; a, b \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)\right) \in$ $H^{1}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}(v), k(0)^{*}\right)$ is trivial. Since $a, b \in \Sigma_{l}(v)$, we have $D_{l}(a)=D_{l}(b)$ by MN24, 6.7], so that $f_{a, b}=t^{v(b)} w^{b} / t^{v(a)} w^{a}$, which proves (11). Since $Z_{l}(v) \simeq \operatorname{Spec} k(0)\left[t^{v(x)} w^{x} ; x \in X\right]$ by Definition 9.2, by [085, 2.1, pp. 6869], $h_{v}$ is the support function of the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{l}(v)$, that is, $h_{v}(u)=u(a)$ if $u \in \operatorname{Cone}\left(\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, 0}\right)\right)$ where $a=\alpha+2 l \mu(v) \in \Sigma_{l}(v)$. This proves (2).
9.4. Projective embedding of $\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)$.

Definition 9.17. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}, \alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Delta)$ and $\operatorname{Cone}(\Delta-\alpha)$ the convex cone in $X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}$ generated by $\beta-\alpha\left(\forall \beta \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Delta)\right)$. Let $T$ be a closed subset of $X_{\mathbf{R}}, T^{0}$ the relative interior of $T$ and $m T:=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i} ; v_{i} \in T\right\}$.

Definition 9.18. Let $C$ be a convex cone in $X_{\mathbf{R}}$ generated by finitely many integral vectors. We say that $w \in C \cap X$ is a primitive element of $C \cap X$ or $w$ is primitive in $C \cap X$ if $w-v \notin C \cap X$ for any $v \in C \cap X \backslash\{0, w\}$.
Lemma 9.19. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}, r=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta, \alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Delta)$ and $S_{m, \Delta-\alpha}:=$ $\operatorname{Semi}(m(\Delta-\alpha) \cap X)$. Then
(1) $(m \Delta)^{0} \cap X \neq \emptyset$ if $m \geq r+1$;
(2) any primitive element belongs to $S_{r, \Delta-\alpha} ;$ any element of $S_{m, \Delta-\alpha} \backslash$ $S_{r, \Delta-\alpha}$ is a sum of two or more primitive elements if $m \geq r+1$;
(3) $S_{m, \Delta-\alpha}=\operatorname{Cone}(\Delta-\alpha) \cap X$ if $m \geq r$.

Proof. Since $\Sigma_{l}(0)$ is integral by assumption, $\Delta$ is the convex closure of $\operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Delta)$. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta=r$, there exist $\alpha_{i} \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Delta)(i \in[0, r])$ such that $D:=\operatorname{Conv}\left(\alpha_{i}, i \in[0, r]\right)$ is a subset of $\Delta$ with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{R}} D=r$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_{i} \in$ $((r+1) D)^{0} \cap X \subset((r+1) \Delta)^{0} \cap X$. Hence there exist $\epsilon>0$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{r} a_{i} \alpha_{i} \in(r+1) D$ if $\left|a_{i}-1\right|<\epsilon(\forall i \in[0, r])$. Therefore $\left(m-r-1+a_{0}\right) \alpha_{0}+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} \alpha_{i} \in m D$, whence $(m-r) \alpha_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{i} \in(m D)^{0} \cap X \subset(m \Delta)^{0} \cap X$. This proves (1). Let $C:=\operatorname{Cone}(\Delta-\alpha)$. Then $C$ is a cone of dimension $r$ with 0 vertex. Let $w \in C \cap X$ be any primitive element of $C \cap X$. Let $v_{i} \in(\Delta-\alpha) \cap X(i \in[1, r])$ such that $w$ is contained in Cone $\left(v_{i} ; i \in[1, r]\right)$. Since $w$ is primitive, we can write $w=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} v_{i}$ for some $0 \leq a_{i} \leq 1$. Hence $w \in r(\Delta-\alpha) \cap X$, so that $w \in S_{r, \Delta-\alpha}$. This proves (2). Since any $w^{\prime} \in C \cap X$ is written as $w=\sum_{i=1}^{s} w_{i}$ with $w_{i}$ primitive in $C \cap X$ and $s \in \mathbf{N}$, we have $C \cap X \subset S_{r, \Delta-\alpha} \subset S_{m, \Delta-\alpha}(\forall m \geq r)$. This proves (3) because $S_{m, \Delta-\alpha} \subset C$.
Theorem 9.20. Let $m \geq 1, \Delta \in \operatorname{Sk}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta):=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l, 0}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)}$ and $\square_{h_{v}}(\Delta):=\left\{x \in \Delta+X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}} ; u(x) \geq h_{v}(u)\left(\forall u \in X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}\right)\right\}$. Then
(1) $\square_{h_{v}}(\Delta)=\Delta$;
(2) $H^{q}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right)=0(q>0)$;
(3) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}$ is generated by global sections;
(4) $\left(t^{v(x)} w^{x} ; x \in m \Delta \cap X\right)$ is a $k(0)$-basis of $H^{0}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right)$;
(5) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}$ is very ample if $m \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta$.

Proof. Let $r=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta$. By Lemma 9.1 (3), $\square_{h_{0}}\left(\Sigma_{l}(0)\right)=\Sigma_{l}(0)$ and $\square_{h_{v}}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)=\Sigma_{l}(v)$. By [MN24, $\left.8.21(1)\right], \square_{h_{v}}(\Delta)=\left(\Delta+X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}\right) \cap$ $\square_{h_{v}}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)=\left(\Delta+X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}\right) \cap \Sigma_{l}(v)=\Delta$. This proves (11). (2) and (31) follow from Lemma 9.15 and [085, 2.7/2.9]. Since $m h_{v}$ is the support function of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{l}(v)}$ by Lemma 9.16 and $\operatorname{vor}_{l, m l}(\Delta)=m \Delta$ by [MN24, 8.15], we have $\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)=\tilde{Z}_{l m}(m \Delta)$ and $H^{0}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right)=$ $H^{0}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l m}(m \Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l m}(m \Delta)\right)$. Hence (4) follows from (11) and [085, 2.3]. The $k(0)$-scheme $\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)$ is naturally a closed subscheme of not only $\tilde{P}_{l, 0}$ but also $\tilde{P}_{l, 0} / n Y \simeq\left(\tilde{P}_{l}^{\wedge} / n Y\right)_{0}$ for a very large $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\left(n(Y \backslash\{0\})+\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)\right) \cap$ $\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta)=\emptyset$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\wedge} / n Y$ is ample on $\tilde{P}_{l}^{\wedge} / n Y$, so is $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l, 0} / n Y$ on $\tilde{P}_{l, 0} / n Y$. Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)$ is ample. Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Delta)$ and $D_{\Delta}(\alpha):=j_{\Delta}\left(\operatorname{Cone}\left(\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \alpha}\right)\right)\right)$.

Then $D_{\Delta}(\alpha)=\sigma_{\alpha}^{\vee}=\operatorname{Cone}(\Delta-\alpha)^{\vee}$. by Lemma 9.11. By Lemma 9.19 (3), we obtain $S_{m, \Delta-\alpha}=\operatorname{Cone}(\Delta-\alpha) \cap X=D_{\Delta}(\alpha)^{\vee} \cap X$ if $m \geq r$. This proves (5) by [085, $2.13(\mathrm{~b})]$.

Remark 9.21. Here is another proof of Theorem 9.20 (5). Let $\alpha \in \Delta \subset$ $\Sigma_{l}(v)$. The equality $D\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)=\operatorname{Cone}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)-\alpha\right)^{\vee}$ follows from Lemma 9.1 (1). By Lemma 9.19 (3), $S_{m, \Sigma_{l}(v)-\alpha}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)-\alpha\right) \cap X$ if $m \geq r$. Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}\left(\sum_{l}(v)\right)^{\otimes m}$ is very ample. Since the restriction map $\Gamma\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}(v), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)^{\otimes m}\right) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right)$ is surjective by Theorem 9.20 (4),$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}$ is very ample. This proves Theorem 9.20 (5).
9.5. The subscheme $Z_{l}(\Delta)$.

Definition 9.22. Let $Z$ be a subset of $\tilde{P}_{l, 0}$. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(Z) & =\left\{e(\xi) v \in X^{\vee} ; Z \subset \overline{\left(W_{l, \Sigma_{l}(-v)}\right)_{0}}, v \in X^{\vee}\right\}, \\
\operatorname{Sup}(Z) & =\left\{a \in X ; Z \subset\left(W_{l, a}\right)_{0}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 9.23. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}$. If $q \in Z_{l}(\Delta)$, then
(1) $\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(q)=\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}\left(Z_{l}(\Delta)\right)=\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right) \cap e(\xi) X^{\vee}$;
(2) $\operatorname{Sup}(q)=\operatorname{Sup}\left(Z_{l}(\Delta)\right)=\Delta \cap X$.

Proof. For $v \in X^{\vee}, Z_{l}(\Delta) \subset \tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(-v)\right)$ iff $\Delta \subset \Sigma_{l}(-v)$. Since $\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Conv}\left(e(\xi) v \in X^{\vee} ; \Delta \subset \Sigma_{l}(-v), v \in X^{\vee}\right)$ by MN24, 8.27 (2)], we have $\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right) \cap e(\xi) X^{\vee}=\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}\left(Z_{l}(\Delta)\right)$. Let $q \in Z_{l}(\Delta)$. Then $\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}\left(Z_{l}(\Delta)\right) \subset$ $\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(q)$. If $e(\xi) v \in \operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(q)$, then $q \in Z_{l}(\Delta) \cap \tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(-v)\right) \neq \emptyset$ because $\overline{\left(W_{l, \Sigma_{l}(-v)}\right)_{0}}=\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(-v)\right)$. Since $\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(-v)\right)$ is a torus embedding, $Z_{l}(\Delta)$ is a stratum of $\tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(-v)\right)$, so that $Z_{l}(\Delta) \subset \tilde{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(-v)\right)$. Hence $e(\xi) v \in$ $\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}\left(Z_{l}(\Delta)\right)$, so that $\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(q) \subset \operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}\left(Z_{l}(\Delta)\right)$. This proves (1).

Let $q \in Z_{l}(\Delta)$. For $a \in X$, there exists $\Delta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}$ such that $a \in\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{0}=$ the relative interior of $\Delta^{\prime}$. 7 Then $W_{l, a}=W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}}$ by [MN24, 8.22 (3)]. Since $W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}}$ is a torus embedding, we see that $q \in\left(W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}}\right)_{0}$ iff $Z_{l}(\Delta) \subset\left(W_{l, \Delta^{\prime}}\right)_{0}$ iff $\Delta^{\prime} \subset \Delta$ by Corollary 9.13. In particular, $a \in \operatorname{Sup}(q)$ iff $q \in\left(W_{l, a}\right)_{0}$ iff $Z_{l}(\Delta) \subset\left(W_{l, a}\right)_{0}\left(\Leftrightarrow a \in \operatorname{Sup}\left(Z_{l}(\Delta)\right)\right)$ iff $a \in \Delta$. This proves (2).
9.6. Specialization of points of $\tilde{P}_{l}(\Omega)$.

Definition 9.24. Let $Q \in \tilde{P}_{l}(\Omega)$. By [MN24, 7.6], $\tilde{P}_{l}(\Omega)=\tilde{P}_{l}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)$, so that $\tilde{P}_{l}\left(R_{\Omega}\right) \ni Q$, which is an $R$-flat finite formal $R$-scheme $\Omega$ The closed fiber $Q_{0}$ of $Q$ is the specialization $\operatorname{sp}(Q)$ of $Q$ in the sense of [Bo14, p. 200].

Let $Q \in \tilde{P}_{l}(\Omega)$ and $\log \left|w^{x}(Q)\right|:=\log \left|Q^{*}\left(w^{x}\right)\right| \in \mathbf{Q}(x \in \tilde{X})$. Since $w^{m_{0}}(Q)=s, \log (Q):=-\sum_{i=0}^{g}\left(\log \left|w^{m_{i}}(Q)\right|\right) f_{i} \in \tilde{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\vee}$ and $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q):=$ $-f_{0}+\log (Q)=-\sum_{i=1}^{g}\left(\log \left|w^{m_{i}}(Q)\right|\right) f_{i} \in X_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\vee}$. See MN24, 10.14].

We also recall from [MN24, 10.15]:

[^6]Lemma 9.25. Let $a \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\operatorname{Vor}_{l}\right), u \in X^{\vee}$ and $Q \in \tilde{P}_{l}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)$. Then
(1) if $Q_{0} \in\left(W_{l, a}\right)_{0}$, then $Q \in W_{l, a}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)$;
(2) $Q \in W_{l, a}\left(R_{\Omega}\right) \Leftrightarrow \log (Q) \in \tau_{l, a} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, a}\right)$.

Theorem 9.26. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}$ and $Q \in P_{l}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)$. Then $Q_{0} \in Z_{l}(\Delta)$ iff $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)^{0}$.
Proof. First we prove the if-part. Recall that $Z_{l}(\Delta)$ is the unique reduced closed $G_{0}$-orbit of $W_{l, \Delta}$, and $W_{l, \Delta}$ is an affine open subscheme of $\tilde{P}_{l}$ with $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{W_{l, \Delta}}\right)=B_{l, \Delta}=R\left[\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)^{\vee} \cap \tilde{X}\right]=R\left[w^{\tilde{x}} ; \tilde{x} \in\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)^{\vee} \cap \tilde{X}\right]$. Let $X(\Delta)$ be the saturation in $X$ of the $\mathbf{Z}$-submodule of $X$ generated by $\Delta-\Delta$, and $\mathcal{I}_{l, \Delta}$ the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{W_{l, \Delta}}$ generated by all $w^{\tilde{x}} \in B_{l, \Delta}$ such that $\tilde{x}=x_{0} m_{0}+x \in \tau_{l, \Delta}^{\vee} \cap \tilde{X}$ with $x \in X \backslash X(\Delta)$. Then $Z_{l}(\Delta)$ is a closed subscheme of $W_{l, \Delta}$ defined by $\mathcal{I}_{l, \Delta}$. Since $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)^{0}$, we have $v_{s} w^{\tilde{x}}(Q)=\langle\log (Q), x\rangle=$ $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q)(x)>0$ by Lemma 9.9 (11) if $\tilde{x}=x_{0} m_{0}+x \in \tau_{l, \Delta}^{\vee} \cap \tilde{X}$ with $x \in X \backslash X(\Delta)$. Hence $w^{\tilde{x}}(Q) \in s R, w^{\tilde{x}} \in \mathcal{I}_{l, \Delta}$ and $Q_{0} \in Z_{l}(\Delta)$.

Next we prove the only-if-part. Let $Q_{0} \in Z_{l}(\Delta)$. Then $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in$ $\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)$ by Lemmas $9.25,9.23$ (2). Assume cutlog $(Q) \notin \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)^{0}$. Then there exists a face $\Delta^{\prime}$ of $\Delta$ such that $Q \in \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$. By what we have proved first, $Q_{0} \in Z_{l}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$, which contradicts $Q_{0} \in Z_{l}(\Delta)$ because $Z_{l}(\Delta) \cap$ $Z_{l}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$. It follows cutlog $(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)^{0}$. This completes the proof.
Example 9.27. We recall the scheme $\tilde{P}^{\natural}=\operatorname{Proj} R^{\natural}$ from MN24, § 13.3]. Let $R$ be a CDVR with $s$ uniformizer, $I=s R, k:=k(0), e(\xi)=1, l=1$, $X=\mathbf{Z}^{2}=\mathbf{Z} m_{1}+\mathbf{Z} m_{2}, X^{\vee}=\mathbf{Z}^{2}=\mathbf{Z} f_{1}+\mathbf{Z} f_{2}$ with $f_{i}\left(m_{j}\right)=\delta_{i, j}(i, j \in$ $[1,2]), m_{3}:=-\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)$ and $f_{3}:=-\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right)$. Let $u=u_{1} f_{1}+u_{2} f_{2}$ and $y=y_{1} m_{1}+y_{2} m_{2}$. Let $\theta_{+}$be an indeterminate, and we define $R^{\natural}, \Sigma(0)$ and $\Sigma$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{\natural}=R\left[\epsilon_{+}(u) b^{e}(u, \alpha) w^{\alpha+\mu(u)} \theta_{+} ; u \in X^{\vee}, \alpha \in \Sigma\right], \\
\Sigma(0)= & \left\{x \in X_{\mathbf{R}} ; E_{+}(u)+u(x) \geq 0\left(\forall u \in X^{\vee}\right)\right\}, \Sigma=\Sigma((0) \cap X
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon_{+}(u):=s^{u_{1}^{2}+u_{1} u_{2}+u_{2}^{2}}, b^{e}(u, y)=s^{u_{1} y_{1}+u_{2} y_{2}}, \\
\mu(u):=\left(2 u_{1}+u_{2}\right) m_{1}+\left(u_{1}+2 u_{2}\right) m_{2}, \\
E_{+}(u):=v_{s} \epsilon_{+}(u)=u(\mu(u)) / 2=u_{1}^{2}+u_{1} u_{2}+u_{2}^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We see

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma(0)=\operatorname{Conv}\left( \pm m_{i}, i \in[1,3]\right), \operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Sigma(0))=\left\{ \pm m_{i}(i \in[1,3])\right\} \\
\operatorname{Sk}^{1}(\Sigma(0))=\left\{ \pm\left[m_{i},-m_{j}\right], \quad(i, j \in[1,3]\}, \operatorname{Sk}^{2}((\Sigma(0)))=\{(\Sigma(0))\} .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\Delta=\left[m_{1},-m_{3}\right]$. Then $\operatorname{Sk}(\Delta)=\left\{\Delta, \Delta^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ and $X(\Delta)=\mathbf{R} f_{2}$, where $\Delta^{\prime}:=\left\{m_{1}\right\}$ and $\Delta^{\prime \prime}=\left\{-m_{3}\right\}$. By [MN24, 8.27], $\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{\Delta}\right)=\operatorname{Conv}\left(0,-f_{1}\right)$, $\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{\Delta^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{Conv}\left(0,-f_{1},-f_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{\Delta^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\operatorname{Conv}\left(0,-f_{1},-f_{1}+f_{2}\right)$, Hence $\operatorname{Fan}(\Delta)=\left\{0, \mathbf{R} \geq 0 f_{2}, \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\left(-f_{2}\right)\right\}$, which is a complete fan.


Figure 1. $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma(0)$
Example 9.28. Let $W_{-m_{3}}:=\operatorname{Spec} R\left[w_{1}^{-1}, w_{2}^{-1}, s w_{3}^{-1}\right]$. Then $W_{-m_{3}}$ is an open affine subset of $\tilde{P}^{\natural}$ and all the strata $Z(\Delta)$ of $\left(W_{-m_{3}}\right)_{0}$ are listed with relevant data in Table 1 , where we denote $\operatorname{Conv}(A)$ by $\langle A\rangle$.

Now let $\alpha, \beta \in R_{\Omega}^{\times}$and $\gamma=(\alpha \beta)^{-1}$. Let $\Delta=\left\langle m_{1},-m_{3}\right\rangle^{0}$. Let us choose $Q \in W_{-m_{3}}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)$ with $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q)=-\epsilon f_{1}(0<\epsilon<1)$ (Table 1 $\mathrm{sp}_{2}$ ):

$$
Q:\left(w_{1}^{-1}, w_{2}^{-1}, s w_{3}^{-1}\right)=\left(s^{\epsilon} \alpha, \beta, s^{1-\epsilon} \gamma\right),
$$

then $Q_{0}:\left(w_{1}^{-1}, w_{2}^{-1}, s w_{3}^{-1}\right)=(0, \beta \bmod I, 0) \in Z(\Delta)$ as $s$ approaches 0 . By Theorem 9.26, if we assume $Q_{0} \in Z(\Delta)$, then $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)^{0}$, i.e., $Q$ is of the above form.

If $\Delta^{\prime}=\left\langle-m_{3},-2 m_{3}\right\rangle^{0}$ and $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q)=-\left(\epsilon f_{1}+(1-\epsilon) f_{2}\right)(0<\epsilon<1)$ (Table $\left.1-\mathrm{sp}_{3}\right)$, then $Q:\left(w_{1}^{-1}, w_{2}^{-1}, s w_{3}^{-1}\right)=\left(s^{\epsilon} \alpha, s^{1-\epsilon} \beta, \gamma\right)$, so that $Q_{0}$ : $\left(w_{1}^{-1}, w_{2}^{-1}, s w_{3}^{-1}\right)=(0,0, \gamma \bmod I) \in Z\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$.

Finally if $\Delta^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle-m_{3}\right\rangle$ and $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q)=-\epsilon_{1} f_{1}-\epsilon_{2} f_{2}\left(\right.$ Table $\left.1 \mathrm{sp}_{1}\right)$, then $Q:\left(w_{1}^{-1}, w_{2}^{-1}, s w_{3}^{-1}\right)=\left(s^{\epsilon_{1}} \alpha, s^{\epsilon_{2}} \beta, s^{1-\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}} \gamma\right)$, so that $Q_{0} \in Z\left(\Delta^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Table 1. $Q_{0} \in Z(\Delta)$ and $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)^{0}$

|  | $\Delta$ | $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z(\Delta)}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Cut}\left(\tau_{l, \Delta}\right)$ | $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{sp}_{1}$ | $\left\langle-m_{3}\right\rangle$ | $k$ | $\left\langle 0,-f_{1},-f_{2}\right\rangle$ | $-\epsilon_{1} f_{1}-\epsilon_{2} f_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{sp}_{2}$ | $\left\langle m_{1},-m_{3}\right\rangle^{0}$ | $k\left[w_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ | $\left\langle 0,-f_{1}\right\rangle$ | $-\epsilon f_{1}\left(0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}<1\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{sp}_{3}$ | $\left\langle-m_{3},-2 m_{3}\right\rangle^{0}$ | $k\left[\left(s w_{3}^{-1}\right)^{ \pm 1}\right]$ | $\left\langle-f_{1},-f_{2}\right\rangle$ | $-\epsilon f_{1}-(1-\epsilon) f_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{sp}_{4}$ | $\left\langle-m_{3}, m_{2}\right\rangle^{0}$ | $k\left[w_{1}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ | $\left\langle 0,-f_{2}\right\rangle$ | $(0<\epsilon<1)$ |
| $\mathrm{sp}_{5}$ | $\Sigma(0)$ | $k\left[w_{1}^{ \pm 1}, w_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ | $\langle 0\rangle$ | $-\epsilon f_{2}(0<\epsilon<1)$ |
| $\mathrm{sp}_{6}$ | $\Sigma\left(f_{1}\right)$ | $k\left[w_{2}^{ \pm 1},\left(s w_{3}^{-1}\right)^{ \pm 1]}\right]$ | $\left\langle-f_{1}\right\rangle$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{sp}_{7}$ | $\Sigma\left(f_{2}\right)$ | $k\left[w_{1}^{ \pm 1},\left(s w_{3}^{-1}\right)^{ \pm 1]}\right.$ | $\left\langle-f_{2}\right\rangle$ | $-f_{1}$ |

## 10. The cohomology groups of $P$

Let $R_{\text {in }}$ be a CDVR and $S_{\text {in }}:=\operatorname{Spec} R_{\text {in }}$. We have $\left(P_{l, l_{0} l}, \mathcal{L}_{l, l_{0} l}\right)$ or $\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}\right)$ over $S_{\min }=\operatorname{Spec} R_{\min }$ in Theorem 7.10 by assuming that $\Sigma_{l_{0}}^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral. Then $(P, \mathcal{N})$ is the descent of $\left(P_{l_{0} l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}\right)$ to $S_{\text {in }}$. In this section we study $H^{q}\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}\right)$ and $H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)(m \geq 0)$.
10.1. $H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}\right)$. First we consider the case $m=0$.

Theorem 10.1. Let $G$ be a semiabelian $S$-scheme, $\mathcal{G}$ the Néron model of $G_{\eta}$ and $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ be a cubical compactification of $\mathcal{G}$ over $S$. Then
(1) $P_{0}$ is Gorenstein with dualizing sheaf $\omega_{P_{0}}$ trivial:
(2) $P$ is Gorenstein with dualizing sheaf $\omega_{P}$ trivial:
(3) $h^{q}\left(P_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}\right)=\binom{g}{q}$;
(4) $H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}\right)$ is an $R$-free module of $\operatorname{rank}\binom{g}{q}$.

Proof. First we assume $P=P_{l}$. We can prove $\omega_{P_{l, 0}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_{l, 0}}$ in the same manner as AN99, 4.2], which is (11). Since $P_{l}$ is Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 7.10 (11), we have a dualizing sheaf $\omega_{P_{l}}$ of $P_{l}$, so that $\omega_{P_{l}}\left(P_{l, 0}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P_{l}}}$ $\mathcal{O}_{P_{l, 0}} \simeq \omega_{P_{l, 0}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_{l, 0}}$. Since $P_{l, 0}$ is a Cartier divisor defined by the ideal $s \mathcal{O}_{P_{l}}$, we have $\mathcal{O}_{P_{l}}\left(P_{l, 0}\right) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_{l}}$. Hence $\omega_{P_{l}} \simeq \omega_{P_{l}}\left(P_{l, 0}\right) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_{l}}$ on a Zariski open subset $U$ of $P_{l}$ containing $P_{l, 0}$. Meanwhile $\omega_{P_{l, \eta}}=\omega_{G_{\eta}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{G_{\eta}}=\mathcal{O}_{P_{l, \eta}}$. Therefore $\pi_{*}\left(\omega_{P_{l}}\right)$ is a torsion free $\mathcal{O}_{S}$-module of rank one. It follows that $\Gamma\left(\pi_{*}\left(\omega_{P_{l}}\right)\right)=R \ni 1$, which gives, by the isomorphism $\omega_{P_{l}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_{l}} \mathcal{O}_{P_{l, 0}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_{l, 0}}$, a nonvanishing section of $\omega_{P_{l}}$ and hence an isomorphism $\omega_{P_{l}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_{l}}$. This proves (2). (3) is proved in the same manner as [AN99, 4.3]. By [M12, Cor. 2, p. 121], $H^{q}\left(P_{l, \eta}, \mathcal{O}_{P_{l, \eta}}\right)=H^{q}\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{O}_{G_{\eta}}\right)$ is a $k(\eta)$-module of $\operatorname{rank}\binom{g}{q}$. Therefore (44) follows from (3) and [M12, Cor. 2, p. 48].

Now we consider the general case. The process of constructing $P$ in [MN24, $\S \S 11-12$ ] and $\S 8$ of this article is as follows. First we construct the descent of $\left(P_{l_{0} l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}\right)$ by the action of $\mu$ in [MN24, § 11.3], which is $\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)$ over $S^{*}=S_{\text {spl }}$. See [MN24, 11.13]. Next we construct the Galois descent of $\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)$ by $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(k\left(\eta^{*}\right) / k(\eta)\right)$ where $\eta^{*}=\eta_{\text {spl }}$, which is $\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{b}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{b}\right)$ denoted by $(P, \mathcal{N})$ here. See [MN24, 12.9].

For $(P, \mathcal{N})$ there exists an open immersion $i: \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow P$ such that $i^{*} \mathcal{N}$ is ample cubical and $\mathcal{N}_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l_{0} l}$ by Theorem 7.10, MN24, 12.9]. Thus $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ is a cubical compactification of $\mathcal{G}$. Moreover
$(\alpha)\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)$ is the quotient of $\left(P_{l_{0} l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}\right)$ (over $R_{\min }$ with uniformizer $s)$ by the group scheme $\mu . \quad\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)$ is obtained from the relatively complete model $\left(\tilde{P}_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)$ over $S^{*}$ with uniformizer $t$, whence Theorem 10.1 is true as well for $\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)$;
( $\beta$ ) $\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{b}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{b}\right)$ is obtained from $\left(P_{l_{0} l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l_{0} l}^{*}\right)$ by Galois descent, where $\Gamma:=$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(S^{*} / S\right)$ and $S^{*}$ is finite étale (hence flat) over $S$,
where we can set $l_{0}=1$ under the assumption (84).

It remains to consider the case $(\beta)$. Let $Z=P_{l}^{*}$. Note that by $(\alpha)$, Theorem 10.1 is true for $\left(P_{l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{*}\right)$. By Theorem 7.10 (MN24, 12.9], (2) and $(\alpha), \omega_{Z} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Z}, Z=P \times_{S} S^{*}$ and $P=Z^{\Gamma}$. Since $S^{*}$ is flat over $S, \omega_{Z}\left(\simeq \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)$ is $R$-torsion free. Since $P$ is projective over $S$, there is a closed immersion $\iota: P \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{S}$ into some projective space $\mathbf{P}_{S}$ over $S$. The descent $(\beta)$ from $Z$ to $P$ shows that $\iota \times_{S} S^{*}: Z=P \times_{S} S^{*} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{S^{*}}$ is a closed immersion.

Since $\omega_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{S}$ and $P$ is Cohen-Macaulay, the dualizing sheaf of $P$ is given by $\omega_{P}:=\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}}}^{r}\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}_{S}}\right)$ (by identifying $P$ with $\iota(P)$ ) in view of [H66, 7.2] where $r=\operatorname{codim}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}} P$. Since $S^{*}$ is flat over $S, \omega_{Z}:=\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S^{*}}}}^{r}\left(\mathcal{O}_{P_{S^{*}}}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}_{S^{*}}}\right)=$ $\omega_{P} \otimes_{R} R^{*}$ and $\omega_{P}=\left(\omega_{P} \otimes_{R} R^{*}\right)^{\Gamma}=\left(\omega_{Z}\right)^{\Gamma}$. It follows that $\Gamma\left(P, \omega_{P}\right)$ is $R$ torsion free, and hence $R$-free. Since $P_{\eta}$ is an abelian variety, $\Gamma\left(P_{\eta}, \omega_{P_{\eta}}\right) \simeq$ $k(\eta)$. Hence $\Gamma\left(P, \omega_{P}\right) \simeq R$, whose generator we denote by $\tau$. Since $\omega_{Z}=$ $\omega_{P} \otimes_{R} R^{*}, R^{*} \simeq \Gamma\left(Z, \omega_{Z}\right)=\Gamma\left(P, \omega_{P}\right) \otimes_{R} R^{*}$ is generated by the pullback of $\tau$, which is nonvanishing everywhere on $Z$, and hence $\tau$ is nonvanishing everywhere on $P$. Hence $\omega_{P}=\mathcal{O}_{P}$. This proves (2). Since $P_{0}$ is a Cartier divisor defined by $t=0$, we have $\omega_{P_{0}}=\omega_{P}\left(P_{0}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P} \mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}=\mathcal{O}_{P_{0}}$. This proves (11). Since $S^{*}$ is finite flat over $S$, by (M12, Cor. 5, p. 51],

$$
H^{q}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)=H^{q}\left(P \times_{S} S^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{P \times_{S} S^{*}}\right)=H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}\right) \otimes_{R} R^{*}
$$

Hence $H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}\right)=H^{q}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)^{\Gamma}$ is a free $R$-submodule of a free $R$-module $H^{q}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{rank}_{R} H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}\right)=\operatorname{rank}_{k(\eta)} H^{q}\left(P_{\eta}, \mathcal{O}_{P_{\eta}}\right)=\binom{g}{q}$. This proves (4), from which (3) follows.
10.2. The closed subscheme $\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ of $\tilde{P}_{l, 0}$. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}$ and let $W_{l, \Delta}$ be as in Definition 9.5. Let $Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ be the unique reduced closed $G_{0}$-orbit of $\left(W_{l, \Delta}\right)_{0}$ and $\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ the closure of $Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ in $\tilde{P}_{l, 0}$ (with reduced structure) and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta):=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l, 0}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)$ is ample on $\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ by Theorem 9.20 (5). We denote the restriction of the natural morphism $\tilde{\pi}_{l}: \tilde{P}_{l, 0} \rightarrow A_{0}$ to $\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ by $\tilde{\pi}_{l, \Delta}: \tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta) \rightarrow A_{0}$. Each $\tilde{\pi}_{l, \Delta}$ is a fiber bundle over $A_{0}$ by Theorem 7.3, whose fiber is a torus embedding associated with $\operatorname{Fan}_{2 N l}(\Delta)$ by Remark 6.6 and Lemma 9.12. See Definition 9.8. The $G_{0}$-orbit $Z_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)\right)$ is a $T_{0}$-bundle over $A_{0}$ associated with extension class $c \times_{S} 0$ by $\S 2.2$. Since $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}=\operatorname{Vor}_{2 N l}, Z_{2 N l}(\Delta)$ is the pushforward of the $T_{0}$-bundle $G_{0}$ over $A_{0}$ by the inclusion $T_{X(\Delta), k(0)} \hookrightarrow T_{0}=T_{X, k(0)}$, which is compactified by $\tilde{Z}_{2 N l}(\Delta)$. Therefore $\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ is a $\tilde{Z}_{2 N l}(\Delta)$-bundle over $A_{0}$, which compactifies $Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta) G_{0}$-equivariantly. By Definition 3.4 (4), let $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow A$ be the natural morphism of the Raynaud extension, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}=\pi^{*} \mathcal{M}$ and $\pi_{*}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})=\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_{x}$. We denote $\mathcal{M}_{x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{A_{0}}$ by $\mathcal{M}_{x, 0}$.

Lemma 10.2. Let $m \geq 1, \Delta \in \operatorname{Sk}\left(\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(v)\right)$ and $x \in m \Delta \cap X$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{p}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right)=0(p>0) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) let $\left(\theta_{x, \lambda} ; \lambda \in \Lambda_{x}\right)$ be a $k(0)$-basis of $\Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}\right)$; then $\left(\theta_{x, \lambda} ; x \in m \Delta \cap X, \lambda \in \Lambda_{x}\right)$ is a $k(0)$-basis of $\Gamma\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right)$, where $\left|\Lambda_{x}\right|=\left(4 N^{2} l m\right)^{g} \operatorname{dim}_{k(0)} \Gamma\left(A_{0}, \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{A_{0}}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\pi:=\pi_{l, \Delta}$. Then $\pi: \tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta) \rightarrow A_{0}$ is a fiber bundle with $\tilde{Z}_{2 N l}(\Delta)$ fiber. By Theorem 9.20 (2) /(4), $R^{q} \pi_{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}=0(q>0)$ and

$$
R^{0}\left(\pi_{A_{0} \cap U}\right) * \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}=\bigoplus_{x \in m \Delta \cap X}\left(t^{v(x)} w_{A_{0} \cap U}^{x}\right) \mathcal{M}_{A_{0} \cap U}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\left(U \in \mathcal{F}_{B}\right),
$$

whence globally over $A_{0}$ we have an isomorphism by Eqs. (15)-(16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{0} \pi_{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m} \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in m \Delta \cap X}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0} . \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the following spectral sequence is degenerate:

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(A_{0}, R^{q} \pi_{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right) \quad(m \geq 1)
$$

we obtain $H^{p}\left(A_{0}, R^{0} \pi_{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right) \simeq H^{p}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right)$. By Eq. (931) and [M12, § 16], $H^{p}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right)=0(p>0)$ because $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A_{0}}} \mathcal{O}_{x}$ is ample $(\forall x \in X)$. Moreover by Eq. (93),

$$
\Gamma\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m}\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in m \Delta \cap X} \Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}\right)
$$

### 10.3. The cohomology groups of $P_{l}$ and $P_{l, 0}$.

Definition 10.3. Let $Y$ be a lattice, and $\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))$ the $k(0)$-module of of all $k(0)$-valued functions on $Y, y \in Y$ and $\delta_{y}$ an element of $\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))$ such that $\delta_{y}(y)=1$ and $\delta_{y}(z)=0(\forall z \in Y \backslash\{y\})$. Any $\theta \in \operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))$ is a formal sum $\sum_{y \in Y} \theta(y) \delta_{y}$. We define an action $\rho: Y \times \operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0)) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))$ of $Y$ on $V$ by $\rho(y, \theta)(z)=\theta(z-y)(y, z \in Y, \theta \in \operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0)))$. Let $Z$ be a subgroup of $Y$ with $[Y: Z]<\infty$, and $\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))^{Z}$ the submodule of $\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))$ of all $Z$-invariants.
Lemma 10.4. $H^{p}(Y, \operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0)))=0(\forall p>0)$.
Proof. This is proved in the same manner as [NSW99, 1.3.7].
Definition 10.5. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l m}^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee}$ and $x=\alpha+4 N \operatorname{lm} \mu(u) \in X$. Then we define $\xi_{l, \alpha, u}^{(m)}:=\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u)^{m} \tau^{e}(u, \alpha) w^{\alpha+4 N l m \mu(u)}$, which we also denote by $\xi_{l, x}^{(m)}$ if no confusion is possible. We note $\xi_{l, \alpha_{i}, u}=\xi_{l, \alpha_{i}, u}^{(1)}$.
Lemma 10.6. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l m}^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee}, x=\alpha+4 \operatorname{Nlm} \mu(u) \in X$ and $\vartheta_{x} \in$ $\Gamma\left(A,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x}\right)$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}=\sum_{y \in Y} \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u+\beta(y))^{m} \tau^{e}(u+\beta(y), \alpha) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\vartheta_{x}\right) w^{\alpha+4 N l m \mu(u+\beta(y))} \theta_{l}^{m} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}} \in \Gamma\left(P_{l}^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes l m, \wedge}\right)$ and $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}} \otimes_{R} k(0) \neq 0$ if $\vartheta_{x} \otimes_{R} k(0) \neq 0$.
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Proof. Since $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l m}^{\dagger}$, there exist $\alpha_{i}(i \in[1, m])$ such that $\alpha_{i} \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(\forall i \in$ $[1, m])$ such that $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}$. Let $x_{i}:=\alpha_{i}+4 N l \mu(u)$. By Definition 6.25 and Notation 6.27, we have $\xi_{l, \alpha_{i}, u}=\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u) \tau^{e}\left(u, \alpha_{i}\right) w^{\alpha_{i}+4 N l \mu(u)}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{S}_{z} S_{z}^{*}\left(\vartheta_{x} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{l, \alpha_{i}, u} \theta_{l}\right)=T_{c^{t}(z)}^{*}\left(\vartheta_{x}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{l, \alpha_{i}, u+\beta(z)} \theta_{l}=T_{c^{t}(z)}^{*}\left(\vartheta_{x}\right) \xi_{l, \alpha, u+\beta(z)}^{(m)} \theta_{l}^{m} \\
& =T_{c^{t}(z)}^{*}\left(\vartheta_{x}\right) \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u+\beta(z))^{m} \tau^{e}(u+\beta(z), \alpha) w^{\alpha+4 N l m \mu(u+\beta(z))} \theta_{l}^{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}=\sum_{z \in Y} \tilde{S}_{z} S_{z}^{*}\left(\vartheta_{x} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{l, \alpha_{i}, u} \theta_{l}\right)$. It follows $\tilde{S}_{y} S_{y}^{*}\left(\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}\right)=$ $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}(\forall y \in Y)$. It is clear that $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}} / \xi_{l, \gamma, v}^{m} \in A_{l, \gamma, v}^{\wedge}\left(\forall \gamma \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, \forall v \in X^{\vee}\right)$, whence $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}} \in \Gamma\left(P_{l}^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes l m, \wedge}\right)=\Gamma\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes l m}\right)$. Since $v_{t}\left(\tau^{e}(u, x)\right)=u(x)$,

$$
\left(W_{l, 0, u}\right)_{0}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{A_{0}}\left[t^{u(x)} w^{x} ; x \in X\right] \simeq \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{A_{0}}\left[\tau^{e}(u, x) w^{x} ; x \in X\right] .
$$

The restriction of $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}$ to $\left(W_{l, 0, u}\right)_{0}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}} / \xi_{l, 0, u}^{m} \theta_{l}^{m}=\sum_{z \in Y} T_{c^{t}(z)}^{*}\left(\vartheta_{x}\right) \xi_{l, \alpha, u+\beta(z)}^{(m)} / \xi_{l, 0, u}^{m} \\
& =\sum_{y \in Y} \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u+\beta(y))^{m} \tau^{e}(u+\beta(y), \alpha) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\vartheta_{x}\right) w^{\alpha+4 N l m \mu(u+\beta(y))} \theta_{l}^{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Its initial term is $\vartheta_{x} \tau^{e}(u, \alpha) w^{\alpha} \neq 0$ (for $y=0$ ). This proves Lemma.
Theorem 10.7. Let $g=\operatorname{dim}_{k(0)} P_{l, 0}$. Then
(1) $H^{q}\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}\right)=H^{q}\left(P_{l, 0}, \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)=0(\forall q>0, \forall m>0)$;
(2) $\Gamma\left(P_{l, 0}, \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)=\Gamma\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}\right) \otimes_{R} k(0)$;
(3) $\Gamma\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}\right)$ is a free $R$-module of $\operatorname{rank}\left(4 N^{2} l m\right)^{g}|X / Y| \operatorname{rank}_{R} \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M})$;
(4) $\Gamma\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}\right)$ is identified via I-adic completion with the finite free $R$-module spanned by $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}\left(x=\alpha+4 N \operatorname{lm} \mu(u), \alpha \in \Sigma_{l m}^{\dagger}, u \in\right.$ $\left.X^{\vee}, \vartheta_{x} \in \Gamma\left(A,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x}\right)\right)$.

Proof. See [AN99, 4.4]/ N99, 3.9/4.10]. To explicitly know the $k(0)$-generators of $\Gamma\left(P_{l, 0}, \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)(m \geq 1)$, we basically follow the proof of [N99, 3.9/4.10]. Let $\varpi_{l}: \tilde{P}_{l, 0} \rightarrow P_{l, 0}$ be the natural morphism. Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l, 0}=\varpi_{l}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}$. It is the quotient of $\tilde{P}_{l, 0}$ by a free and proper action of $Y$. 9

First we prove $H^{q}\left(\tilde{P}_{l, 0}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)=0(q>0)$. Let $r=\operatorname{rank} X . \operatorname{Let} \operatorname{Sk}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$ be the set of all $i$-dimensional closed polytopes of $\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}$. Let $\varpi_{l, \sigma}:=\left(\varpi_{l}\right)_{\mid \tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\sigma)}$. We define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}:=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l, 0}}, \\
\mathcal{S}(\sigma):=\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P_{l, 0}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\sigma)}, \mathcal{S}^{q}(\sigma):=R^{q}\left(\varpi_{l, \sigma}\right)_{*} \mathcal{S}(\sigma) .
\end{gathered}
$$

[^7]By Theorem [7.3, there is an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{P_{l, 0}}$-modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l, 0}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma_{r}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\sigma_{r}\right)} \xrightarrow{\partial_{r}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \bigoplus_{\sigma_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)} \xrightarrow{\partial_{b}} \bigoplus_{\sigma_{0}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the direct sum is taken over all $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Sk}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$. The homomorphism $\partial_{i}: \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)} \rightarrow \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\sigma_{i-1}\right)}$ is defined by $\partial_{i}\left(\bigoplus_{\sigma} \phi_{\sigma}\right)=\bigoplus_{\tau} \sum_{\tau \subset \sigma}[\sigma: \tau] \phi_{\sigma}$ where the sum $\sum_{\tau \subset \sigma}$ is taken over the set of all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}$ containing $\tau$ as a face of codimension one, while the direct sum $\bigoplus_{\tau}$ is taken over the set $\mathrm{Sk}^{i-1}\left(\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$. By tensoring Eq. (95) with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\otimes m}$, we have an exact sequence with $Y$-action $\left(S_{y} ; y \in Y\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma_{r}} \mathcal{S}\left(\sigma_{r}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{r}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \bigoplus_{\sigma_{1}} \mathcal{S}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{b}} \bigoplus_{\sigma_{0}} \mathcal{S}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

by abuse of the notation $\partial_{\bullet}$.
Let $\widetilde{W}_{i}:=\bigoplus_{\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Sk}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}\right)} \Gamma\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\sigma_{i}\right), \mathcal{S}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\right)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}$ be a complex:

$$
0 \rightarrow \widetilde{W}_{r} \xrightarrow{\partial_{r-1}} \widetilde{W}_{r-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{r-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \widetilde{W}_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}} \widetilde{W}_{0} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By Eq. (96), we have a spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(\bigoplus_{\sigma_{\bullet}} H^{q}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\sigma_{\bullet}\right), \mathcal{S}\left(\sigma_{\bullet}\right)\right), \partial_{\bullet}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(\tilde{P}_{l, 0}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)
$$

This spectral sequence degenerates because $H^{q}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\sigma), \mathcal{S}(\sigma)\right)=0(\forall \sigma \in$ $\left.\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}, \forall q \geq 1\right)$ by Lemma 10.2 (1). Hence $H^{p}\left(\tilde{P}_{l, 0}, \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right) \simeq H^{p}(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}})(\forall p)$.

Let $\operatorname{Star}(x)$ be the union of all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}$ such that $x \in \sigma$ and $\operatorname{Star}(x)^{0}$ the relative interior of $\operatorname{Star}(x)$. Then $H^{p}(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}})$ is given by

$$
\left.\bigoplus_{x \in X} H^{p}\left(\operatorname{Star}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{0}, k(0)\right)\right) \otimes_{k(0)} H^{0}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{Star}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{0}$ is contractible and connected, $\left.H^{p}\left(\operatorname{Star}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{0}, k(0)\right)\right)=0$ $(\forall p>0, \forall x \in X)$, so that $H^{p}(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}})=0(\forall p>0)$. By M12, Appendix to § 2, pp. 21-23], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{p}\left(P_{l, 0}, \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right) \simeq H^{p}\left(Y, \Gamma\left(\tilde{P}_{l, 0}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)\right) \simeq H^{p}(Y, \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}})) \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma\left(\tilde{P}_{l, 0}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)=\Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}})=\operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{r-1}: \widetilde{W}_{r} \rightarrow \widetilde{W}_{r-1}\right) \subset \widetilde{W}_{r}$.
Let $\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(v):=\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\dagger}(v):=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\Sigma_{l}(v)\right)$.. By Lemma 10.2, $\Gamma\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(v), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\dagger}(v)\right)$ is spanned by $\left(\theta_{x, \lambda_{x}} ; x \in m \Sigma_{l}(v), \lambda_{x} \in \Lambda_{x}\right)$. Hence we have

$$
\Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X} \Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}\right)
$$

Let $\Delta$ be a subset of $X$ of all representatives of $X / 4 N^{2} l m \phi(Y)$. We set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma(\widetilde{W})=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \Gamma(\widetilde{W})(\alpha), \\
\Gamma(\widetilde{W})(\alpha):=\bigoplus_{x \in \alpha+4 N^{2} l m \phi(Y)} \Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

For each $\alpha \in \Delta$, there is a $Y$-isomorphism:

$$
\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0)) \otimes_{k(0)} \Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{A_{0}}\right) \simeq \Gamma(\widetilde{W})(\alpha)
$$

sending $\delta_{y} \otimes \lambda_{\alpha} \mapsto \theta_{\alpha+4 N^{2} l m \phi(y), T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}} \lambda_{\alpha} \theta_{l}^{m}$. By Lemma 10.4 we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{p}\left(P_{l, 0}, \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)=H^{p}(Y, \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}))=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} H^{p}(Y, \Gamma(\widetilde{W})(\alpha))=0(\forall p>0) \\
\Gamma\left(P_{l, 0}, \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)=\Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}})^{Y-\text { inv }} \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\alpha))^{Y-\text { inv }}
\end{gathered}
$$

This proves (1). By (1) and M12, Cor. 3, p. 50], we have

$$
\Gamma\left(P_{l, 0}, \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)=\Gamma\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}\right) \otimes_{R} k(0)
$$

This proves (2). Since $P_{l}$ is flat and proper over $S, h^{0}\left(P_{l, 0}, \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)$ equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(P_{l, 0}, \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)=\chi\left(P_{l, \eta}, \mathcal{L}_{l, \eta}^{\otimes m}\right)=\left(4 N^{2} l m\right)^{g}|X / Y| \operatorname{rank} \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}) . \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Gamma\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}\right)$ is $R$-torsion free, it is $R$-free, which proves (3).
Let $\alpha \in \Delta$. If $\vartheta_{\alpha} \otimes_{R} k(0) \neq 0, \Theta_{l, \alpha, \vartheta_{\alpha}} \otimes_{R} k(0)$ is nonzero by Lemma 10.6, which is a $Y$-invariant element of $\Gamma(\widetilde{W}(\alpha))$. This proves (4) by (2) and Nakayama's lemma.
10.4. The cohomology of $\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}$ and let $\varpi_{l}: \tilde{P}_{l, 0} \rightarrow P_{l, 0}$ be the natural morphism. Then $\varpi_{l}\left(Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)\right) \simeq Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$. Let $\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ be the closure of $\varpi_{l}\left(Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)\right)$ in $P_{l, 0}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{l}(\Delta):=\mathcal{L}_{l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P_{l}}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)}$.

Lemma 10.8. Let $r=\operatorname{dim}_{k(0)} \bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$. Then
(1) $H^{q}\left(\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}(\Delta)\right)=0(\forall q>0, \forall m>0)$;
(2) $\Gamma\left(\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}(\Delta)\right)$ is spanned by the restriction $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}^{\Delta}$ of $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}$ to $\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)\left(x \in m \Delta \cap X, \vartheta_{x} \in \Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}\right)\right)$, where

$$
\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}^{\Delta}:=\sum_{\substack{y \in Y \\ x+4 N^{2} l m \phi(y) \in m \Delta \cap X}} T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\vartheta_{x}\right) \xi_{l, x+4 N^{2} l m \phi(y)}^{(m)} \theta_{l}^{m} ;
$$

(3) $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}^{\Delta}=\vartheta_{x} \xi_{l, x}^{(m)} \theta_{l}^{m}$ if $x \in m \Delta^{0} \cap X$.

Proof. Let $\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger, \mathrm{su}}:=\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta) \times{ }_{P_{l, 0}} \tilde{P}_{l, 0}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\mathrm{su}, \otimes m}:=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l}}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger, \text { su }}}$. Note that $Y$ acts freely on $\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger \text {,su }}$, so that $\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta) \simeq \bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger \text {,su }} / Y$. We have an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\mathrm{su}, \otimes m} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma_{r} \subset \Delta} \mathcal{S}\left(\sigma_{r}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{r}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \bigoplus_{\sigma_{1} \subset \Delta} \mathcal{S}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \bigoplus_{\sigma_{0} \subset \Delta} \mathcal{S}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

which is obtained in the same manner as Eq. (96).
By Lemma $10.2(1), H^{q}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\otimes m}(\Delta)\right)=0$, so that $H^{p}\left(\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger, \mathrm{su}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\mathrm{su}, \otimes m}\right) \simeq$ $H^{p}(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\Delta))(\forall p)$ where $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\Delta)$ is the complex

$$
0 \rightarrow \widetilde{W}_{r}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\partial_{r-1}} \widetilde{W}_{r-1}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\partial_{r-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{7}} \widetilde{W}_{1}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}} \widetilde{W}_{0}(\Delta) \rightarrow 0
$$

with $\widetilde{W}_{i}(\Delta):=\bigoplus_{\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Sk}^{i}\left(\Delta+4 N^{2} l m \phi(Y)\right)} \Gamma\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\sigma_{i}\right), \mathcal{S}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\right)$. In the same manner as Theorem 10.7, $H^{p}(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\Delta))$ is given by

$$
\left.\bigoplus_{x \in m \Delta \cap X+4 N^{2} l m \phi(Y)} H^{p}\left(\operatorname{Star}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{0}, k(0)\right)\right) \otimes_{k(0)} H^{0}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}\right)
$$

Hence $H^{p}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger, \text { su }}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{su}, \otimes m}\right) \simeq H^{p}(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\Delta))=0(p>0)$. By M12, Appendix to $\S 2$, pp. 21-23], we obtain in the same manner as Theorem 10.7

$$
H^{p}\left(\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}(\Delta)\right) \simeq H^{p}\left(Y, \Gamma\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger, \mathrm{su}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\mathrm{su}, \otimes m}\right)\right) \simeq H^{p}(Y, \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\Delta)))
$$

This proves (11) because $H^{p}(Y, \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\Delta)))=0(p>0)$ by Lemma 10.4. Moreover

$$
\Gamma\left(\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}(\Delta)\right) \simeq\left(\bigoplus_{y \in m \Delta+4 N^{2} l m \phi(Y)} \Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{y, 0}\right)\right)^{Y-\mathrm{inv}}
$$

This proves (2) by Theorem 9.20 (4). If $x \in m \Delta^{0} \cap X$, (3) follows from $\left(x+4 N^{2} l m \phi(Y)\right) \cap m \Delta^{0}=\{x\}$.
Remark 10.9. Let $\alpha_{0} \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}$ and $x_{0}:=\alpha_{0}+4 N \operatorname{lm} \mu(u) \in m \Delta^{0} \cap X$. Then there exists $\alpha_{0, i}(i \in[1, m])$ such that $\alpha_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{0, i}$. For $U \in \mathcal{F}_{B}$, we define $W_{l, \alpha_{0}, u, U}:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} W_{l, \alpha_{0, i}, u, U}$ by Definition 6.24. If $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l m}^{\dagger}$ and $x=$ $\alpha+4 N \operatorname{lm} \mu(u) \in m \Delta^{0} \cap X$ then by (applying the proof of) Theorem 7.3, we see that $\tau^{e}(u, x) w^{x}$ is the $x$-th coordinate of an irreducible component $\bar{Z}_{l}\left(\Sigma_{l}(u)\right)$ of $\left(W_{l, \alpha_{0}, u, U}\right)_{0}$ containing $\bar{Z}_{l}(\Delta)$. By Lemma 10.8 (3),

$$
\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}^{\Delta} / \Theta_{l, x_{0}, \vartheta_{x_{0}}}^{\Delta}=\tau^{e}(u, x) w^{x} / \tau^{e}\left(u, x_{0}\right) w^{x_{0}}=\tau^{e}(u, \alpha) w^{\alpha} / \tau^{e}\left(u, \alpha_{0}\right) w^{\alpha_{0}}
$$

### 10.5. Projective embedding of $P_{l}$ by $\mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}$.

Theorem 10.10. $\mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}$ is very ample on $P_{l, 0}$ if $m \geq 4 g$.
Proof. Since $\varpi_{l}\left(Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)\right) \simeq Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)$, we identify them for brevity. Let $r=$ rank $X(\leq g) . \quad \Gamma\left(P_{l, 0}, \mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)$ is spanned by $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}} \otimes_{R} k(0)(x \in X)$ by Theorem 10.7 (44) when $\vartheta_{x}$ ranges over $\Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}\right)$. First we
prove that the linear system $\left|\mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right|$ is base point free if $m \geq r+1$. Let $p$ be any closed point of $P_{l, 0}$. Then there exists $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}$ such that $p \in Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)(\overline{k(0)})$. Since $4 N^{2} l m \geq 3$, there exists $\vartheta_{x} \in \Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}\right)$ such that $\vartheta_{x}\left(\pi_{l, \Delta}(p)\right) \neq 0$. If $m \geq r+1$, then by Lemma 9.19 (1), there exists $x \in(m \Delta)^{0} \cap X$, which is written as $x=\alpha+4 N \operatorname{lm} \mu(u)$ for some $\alpha \in \Sigma_{l m}^{\dagger}$ and $u \in X^{\vee}$. Hence by Lemma 10.8 (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}^{\Delta}=\vartheta_{x} \xi_{l, x}^{(m)} \theta_{l}^{m} \in \Gamma\left(\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}(\Delta)\right) \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nonvanishing at $p$. Hence $\left|\mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right|$ is base point free if $m \geq r+1$.
Let $\phi: P_{l, 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right)\right)$ be the morphism associated with $\left|\mathcal{L}_{l, 0}^{\otimes m}\right|$. We shall prove that $\phi$ separates two distinct closed points of $P_{l, 0}$. Let $p \in$ $Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)(\overline{k(0)})$ and $p^{\prime} \in Z_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)(\overline{k(0)})$. If $\Delta \neq \Delta^{\prime}$, then $(m \Delta)^{0} \neq\left(m \Delta^{\prime}\right)^{0}$, and by Eq. (99), $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}(p)=0$ and $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ is nonvanishing for $x \in\left(m \Delta^{\prime}\right)^{0} \cap$ $X$. It follows $\phi\left(Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)\right) \cap \phi\left(Z_{l}^{\dagger}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)\right)=\emptyset$. Hence $\phi(p) \neq \phi\left(p^{\prime}\right)$. If $\Delta=\Delta^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{l, \Delta}(p) \neq \pi_{l, \Delta}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$, then there exists $\vartheta_{x} \in \Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}\right)$ such that $x \in$ $m \Delta \cap X, \vartheta_{x}\left(\pi_{l, \Delta}(p)\right)=0$ and $\vartheta_{x}\left(\pi_{l, \Delta}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right) \neq 0$ because $4 N^{2} l m \geq 3$. Hence $\phi$ separates $p$ and $p^{\prime}$. Finally if $p \neq p^{\prime}, \Delta=\Delta^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{l, \Delta}(p)=\pi_{l, \Delta}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$, then $p \neq p^{\prime}$ in the fiber $Z_{2 N l}(\Delta)$ of $\pi_{l, \Delta}: Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta) \rightarrow A_{0}$. Therefore there exists $x \in$ $X(\Delta)$ such that the $x$-th coordinates $w^{x}$ of the fiber $Z_{2 N l}(\Delta)$ at $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ are not equal. This means by Remark 10.9 that $\tau^{e}(u, x) w^{x}(p) \neq \tau^{e}(u, x) w^{x}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 9.19 (3), we can find $y_{i}, z_{i} \in(m \Delta)^{0} \cap X(i \in[1, n])$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-z_{i}\right)$. Then there exists at least one $i$ such that $\tau^{e}\left(u, y_{i}-z_{i}\right) w^{y_{i}-z_{i}}(p) \neq \tau^{e}\left(u, y_{i}-z_{i}\right) w^{y_{i}-z_{i}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$. Since $y_{i}, z_{i} \in(m \Delta)^{0} \cap X$, by Lemma 10.8 (3), $\Theta_{l, y_{i}, \vartheta_{y_{i}}}^{\Delta}(p) / \Theta_{l, z_{i}, \vartheta_{z_{i}}}^{\Delta}(p) \neq \Theta_{l, y_{i}, \vartheta y_{i}}^{\Delta}\left(p^{\prime}\right) / \Theta_{l, z_{i}, \vartheta_{z_{i}}}^{\Delta}$ ( $p^{\prime}$ ). It follows that $\phi$ separates $p$ and $p^{\prime}$.

It remains to prove that $\phi$ is a closed immersion. Since $P_{l, 0}$ is the union of $G_{0 \text {-orbits, }}$ it is enough to prove it along $(g-r)$-dimensional $G_{0^{-}}$ orbits of $p_{l, 0}$ where $r=\operatorname{rank} X$. The $(g-r)$-dimensional $G_{0}$-orbits are $Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\alpha) \simeq p_{\alpha} \times_{k(0)} A_{0}\left(\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}\left(\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}\right)\right)$ where $p_{\alpha}=Z_{2 N l}(\alpha)$. Let $p \in Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{P_{l, 0}, p}$. Let $\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}(\alpha)$ be the set of all $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}$ containing $\alpha$, and $\operatorname{Star}(\alpha):=\bigcup_{\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}(\alpha)} \Delta=\bigcup_{w \in X^{\vee}, \alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(w)} \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(w)$. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}(\alpha)$. By Lemma 9.19, if $m \geq r$, then $m(\Delta-\alpha) \cap X$ generates Cone $(\Delta-\alpha) \cap X$. Hence $m(\operatorname{Star}(\alpha)-\alpha)$ generates Cone $(\Delta-\alpha) \cap X$ $\left(\forall \Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}(\alpha)\right)$. By Lemma 10.2, if $x \in \operatorname{Star}(\alpha) \cap X$, then the restriction $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}}$ to $P_{l, 0}$ is a finite sum of terms with $X$-weights in $x+4 N^{2} \operatorname{lm} \phi(Y)$. Now assume $m \geq 4 r$. If $x \in r \operatorname{Star}(\alpha)$, then by Corollary 9.4, 10 we have $\left(x+4 N \operatorname{lm} \mu\left(X^{\vee}\right)\right) \cap r \operatorname{Star}(\alpha)=\{x\}$. Therefore every nonzero term in $\left(\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}} / \xi_{l, \alpha}^{(m)} \theta_{l}^{m}\right)_{\mid P_{l, 0}}$ with $X$-weight in $x+4 N^{2} \operatorname{lm} \phi(Y \backslash\{0\})$ is contained in $\mathfrak{m}^{2}$ (up to units in $\mathcal{O}_{P_{l, 0, p}}$ ) by Lemma 9.19 (2). Since $4 N^{2} l m \geq 2$, there exists

[^8]$\vartheta_{\alpha} \in \Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{\alpha, 0}\right)$ nonvanishing at $\pi_{l}\left(p_{\alpha}\right)$. Then $\Theta_{l, \alpha, \vartheta_{\alpha}} / \theta_{l}^{m}$ is nonvanishing at $p$ by Lemma10.8(3). It follows $\Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}} / \Theta_{l, \alpha, \vartheta_{\alpha}} \equiv \vartheta_{x} \xi_{l, x}^{(m)} / \vartheta_{\alpha} \xi_{l, \alpha}^{(m)}$ $\bmod \mathfrak{m}^{2}$ if $x \in r \operatorname{Star}(\alpha)$. Since $\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}$ is very ample on $A_{0}$ by $4 N^{2} l m \geq 3, \Theta_{l, x, \vartheta_{x}} / \Theta_{l, \alpha, \vartheta_{\alpha}}$ generate $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$ when $x$ (resp. $\vartheta_{x}$ ) ranges over $r \operatorname{Star}(\alpha)\left(\operatorname{resp} . \Gamma\left(A_{0},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l m}\right)_{x, 0}\right)\right)$. It follows that $\phi$ is a closed immersion if $m \geq 4 r$, a fortiori, if $m \geq 4 g$. This completes the proof.
Corollary 10.11. $\mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}$ is very ample for $m \geq 4 g$.
10.6. The general case.

Theorem 10.12. Let $G$ be a semiabelian $S$-scheme, $\mathcal{G}$ the Néron model of $G_{\eta}$ and $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ be a cubical compactification of $\mathcal{G}$ over $S$. Then
(1) $H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)=H^{q}\left(P_{0}, \mathcal{N}_{0}^{\otimes m}\right)=0(\forall q>0, \forall m>0)$;
(2) $\Gamma\left(P_{0}, \mathcal{N}_{0}^{\otimes m}\right)=\Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right) \otimes_{R} k(0)$;
(3) $\Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)$ is a free $R$-module of $\operatorname{rank}\left(4 N^{2} l m\right)^{g}|X / Y| \operatorname{rank} \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M})$;
(4) $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ is very ample for $m \geq 4 g$.

Proof. By $\S 10.1(\alpha),\left(P_{l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{*}\right)$ is constructed from the relatively complete model $\left(\tilde{P}_{l}^{*}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{*}\right)$ over $S_{\text {min }}$ with uniformizer $t$. Hence Theorem 10.7 (1)-(3) are true for $\left(P_{l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{*}\right)$. Meanwhile by $\S 10.1(\alpha)-(\beta),(P, \mathcal{N})=\left(P_{l}^{b}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{b}\right)$ is the Galois descent of $\left(P_{l}^{*}, \mathcal{L}_{l}^{*}\right)$ by $\Gamma=\operatorname{Aut}\left(k\left(\eta^{*}\right) / k(\eta)\right)$. Hence $H^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)=$ $H^{q}\left(P_{l}^{*},\left(\mathcal{L}_{l}^{*}\right)^{\otimes m}\right)^{\Gamma}$. This proves (11) for $q>0$ by Theorem 10.7. For $q=0$, $\Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)$ is an $R$-submodule of a free $R$-module $\Gamma\left(P_{l}^{*},\left(\mathcal{L}_{l}^{*}\right)^{\otimes m}\right)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{R^{*}} \Gamma\left(P_{l}^{*},\left(\mathcal{L}_{l}^{*}\right)^{\otimes m}\right)=\operatorname{rank}_{R} \Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right),
$$

which proves (3). Let $\Lambda$ be an $R$-basis of $\Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)$. Then $\Lambda$ is also an $R^{*}$-basis of $\Gamma\left(P_{l}^{*},\left(\mathcal{L}_{l}^{*}\right)^{\otimes m}\right)$. If $m \geq 4 g$, then $\left(\mathcal{L}_{l}^{*}\right)^{\otimes m}$ is very ample. Hence the morphism $\phi_{\Lambda}$ defined by $\Lambda$ from $P_{l}$ to $\mathbf{P}\left(\Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)\right) \times_{S} S^{*}$ embeds $P_{l}^{*}$, so that $\phi_{\Lambda}$ embeds $\left(P_{l}^{*}\right)^{\Gamma}=P$ into $\mathbf{P}\left(\Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)\right)$. This proves (4).

## 11. Uniqueness of $P$

### 11.1. Codimension two compactifications.

Lemma 11.1. Let $S$ be a scheme and $(U, \mathcal{L})$ an $S$-scheme with $\mathcal{L}$ invertible. Let $\pi:(P, i, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow S$ be an integral proper flat $S$-scheme such that
(c1) $\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{S}$;
(c2) $i: U \hookrightarrow P$ is an open immersion with $\operatorname{codim}_{P}(P \backslash i(U)) \geq 2$;
(c3) $P$ is Cohen-Macaulay with $\mathcal{N}$ ample invertible such that $i^{*} \mathcal{N} \simeq \mathcal{L}$.
Let $\left(P^{\prime}, \iota, \mathcal{M}\right)$ be another integral proper flat $S$-scheme satisfying (c1)(c3). Then any $S$-isomorphism $g:\left(U, i^{*} \mathcal{N}\right) \simeq\left(U, \iota^{*} \mathcal{M}\right)$ extends to an $S$-isomorphism $\phi(g):(P, \mathcal{N}) \simeq\left(P^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}\right)$.

Proof. Let $Z:=P \backslash i(U), V_{k}:=\Gamma\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes k}\right)$ and $W_{k}:=\Gamma\left(P^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes k}\right)$. Since $P$ is Cohen-Macaulay by $(c 3), \mathcal{H}_{Z}^{q}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)=0(\forall q \in[0,1], \forall m \in \mathbf{Z})$ by $(c 2)$ and [G67, 2.2/3.8]. Hence $H_{Z}^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)=0(\forall q \in[0,1])$ by the spectral sequence
$E_{2}^{p q}=H^{p}\left(P, \mathcal{H}_{Z}^{q}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)\right)$ with abutment $H_{Z}^{q}\left(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)$ in G67, 2.8, p. 35]. Let $g_{k}^{*}: \Gamma\left(U, \iota^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes k}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(U, i^{*} \mathcal{N}^{\otimes k}\right)$ be the isomorphism induced from $g$. It follows that there are isomorphisms $f_{k}:=\left(i^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ g_{k}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}: W_{k} \rightarrow V_{k}$ $(k \geq 0)$ of $R$-modules such that $f_{k}(a) \cdot f_{l}(b)=f_{k+l}(a \cdot b)\left(\forall a \in W_{k}, \forall b \in W_{l}\right)$. Moreover $f_{0}=\operatorname{id}_{R}$ because $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}\right)=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{P^{\prime}}\right)=R$ by (c1). Hence $g$ induces an isomorphism of graded $R$-algebras :

$$
A\left(P^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}\right):=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{k} \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V_{k}=: A(P, \mathcal{N})
$$

each of which is isomorphic to $A\left(U, i^{*} \mathcal{N}\right):=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma\left(U, i^{*} \mathcal{N}^{\otimes k}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is ample, $P \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(P, \mathcal{N})$. Similarly $P^{\prime} \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A\left(P^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}\right)$, so that we have an $S$-isomorphism $\phi(g): P \simeq P^{\prime}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(P, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A\left(U, i^{*} \mathcal{N}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A\left(P^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}\right) \simeq P^{\prime} \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}:=\phi(g)^{*} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P}} \mathcal{N}^{\otimes(-1)}$. Since $\phi(g) \circ i=\iota \circ g$, we have $i^{*} \mathcal{F} \simeq$ $\mathcal{O}_{U} \simeq i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P}$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{Z}^{q}(\mathcal{F})=0(\forall q \in[0,1])$ by [G67, 2.2/3.8], we have $\mathcal{F} \simeq i_{*} i^{*} \mathcal{F} \simeq i_{*} i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{P} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P}$. Hence $\phi(g)^{*} \mathcal{M}=\mathcal{N}$. It follows that any isomorphism $g:\left(U, i^{*} \mathcal{N}\right) \simeq\left(U, \iota^{*} \mathcal{M}\right)$ extends to an isomorphism $\phi(g)$ : $(P, \mathcal{N}) \simeq\left(P^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}\right)$. This completes the proof.
11.2. Uniqueness of $P$. We return to the situation of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 11.2. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a semi-abelian $S$-scheme, $\mathcal{G}$ its Néron model and $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ a cubical compactification of $\mathcal{G}$ extending $\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$. Then
(1) $P \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A\left(\mathcal{G}, i^{*} \mathcal{N}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ with $i_{*} i^{*} \mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}$;
(2) $P$ is uniquely determined by $\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$;
(3) $\mathcal{N}$ is uniquely determined by $\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$ up to positive multiples;
(4) any $k(\eta)$-automorphism $h_{\eta}$ of $G_{\eta}$ with $h_{\eta}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}$ extends to an $S$-automorphism $g$ of $P$ with $g(\mathcal{G})=\mathcal{G}$ and $g^{*} \mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}$.
Proof. $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ satsifies (rc1)-(rc3) and hence (c2)-(c3), while (c1) is true for $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ by M12, Cor. 2, p. 48]/Theorem 10.1 (4). By (rc3) and Eq. (100), $P \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A\left(\mathcal{G}, i^{*} \mathcal{N}\right)=\operatorname{Proj} A\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Since $P$ is Cohen-Macaulay with $\operatorname{codim}_{P}(P \backslash i(\mathcal{G})) \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is invertible, we have $\mathcal{N}=i_{*} i^{*} \mathcal{N}$. This proves (1). Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is an ample invertible $\mathcal{O}_{P}$-module such that $i^{*} \mathcal{M}$ is cubical and $\mathcal{M}_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes l^{\prime}}$ for some $l^{\prime} \in \mathbf{N}$. Then there exist $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{\eta}^{\otimes n} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\eta}^{\otimes m}$. Let $\mathcal{F}:=\mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{N}^{\otimes(-m)}$. Since $i^{*} \mathcal{M}$ and $i^{*} \mathcal{N}$ are cubical, so is $i^{*} \mathcal{F}$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{G_{\eta}}$, we have $i^{*} \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ by $[$ MN24, $2.13(3)]$. Therefore $i^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \simeq i^{*} \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}$, so that $P \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A\left(\mathcal{G}, i^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A\left(\mathcal{G}, i^{*} \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}\right)$ by (1). Since $i^{*} \mathcal{N}$ is, by [MN24, 2.13 (3)], a unique cubical invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{G}$ with $\left(i^{*} \mathcal{N}\right)_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes l}, P$ is uniquely determined by $\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$. This proves (2).

Since $P$ is Cohen-Macaulay with $\operatorname{codim}_{P}(P \backslash i(\mathcal{G})) \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is invertible, we have $\mathcal{F} \simeq i_{*} i^{*} \mathcal{F} \simeq i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P}$ by G67, 2.2/3.8]. It follows $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \simeq \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}$. This proves (3). Next we prove (44). Since $\mathcal{G}$ is the Néron model of $G_{\eta}, h_{\eta}$ extends to an $S$-automorphism $h$ of $\mathcal{G}$. By Theorem 1.1, $\mathcal{N}$ is an invertible
sheaf of $P$ such that $i^{*} \mathcal{N}$ is cubical on $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes l}$ by (rc3). Since both $h^{*} i^{*} \mathcal{N}$ and $i^{*} \mathcal{N}$ are cubical on $\mathcal{G}$ with $\left(h^{*} \mathcal{N}\right)_{\eta} \simeq h_{\eta}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes l} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes l} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\eta}$, we have $h^{*} i^{*} \mathcal{N} \simeq i^{*} \mathcal{N}$ by MN24, 2.13 (3)]. By Lemma 11.1, $h$ extends to an $S$-automorphism $g:=\phi(h)$ of $P$ such that $g^{*} \mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}$. This proves (4).

Corollary 11.3. Let $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ and $\left(P^{\prime}, \iota, \mathcal{M}\right)$ be cubical compactifications of $\mathcal{G}$ extending $\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\phi: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ such that $\phi \circ i=\iota$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m} \simeq \phi^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}$ for some $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$;

Proof. Let $U=\mathcal{G}$ and apply Lemma 11.1. By assumption, we can choose $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathcal{N}_{\eta}^{\otimes m} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\eta}^{\otimes n}$. By applying Lemma 11.1 to $g=\mathrm{id}_{U}$, we obtain an $S$-automorphism $\phi:=\phi\left(\mathrm{id}_{U}\right): P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ such that $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m} \simeq \phi^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}$ and $\phi_{\mid U}=\operatorname{id}_{U}$, so that $\phi \circ i=\iota$. This completes the proof.

## 12. Relative compactification over a Dedekind domain

12.1. Goal. The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 12.1 which is the version over a Dedekind domain of Theorem 1.1. We note that the same type of uniqueness as Theorem 11.2 is true over a Dedekind domain. We assume that $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral.

Theorem 12.1. Let $D$ be a Dedekind domain, $K$ the fraction field of $D$ and $S=\operatorname{Spec} D . \operatorname{Let}(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a semiabelian $S$-scheme over $S, \mathcal{L}$ a symmetric ample cubical invertible sheaf on $G$ and $\mathcal{G}$ a Néron model of $G$. Then there exists a relative compactification $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ extending $\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{L}_{K}\right)$ such that
(a) $P$ is Cohen-Macaulay;
(b) $i(\mathcal{G})=P \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(P / S)$ with $\operatorname{codim}_{P} \operatorname{Sing}\left(P_{S}\right) \geq 2$ where $\operatorname{Sing}(P / S)$ denotes the union of the singular loci of closed fibers of $P$ over $S$;
(c) $i^{*} \mathcal{N}$ is ample cubical invertible;
(d) $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $P$ so that $i$ is $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant.

Moreover the relative compactification $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ satisfying (a)-(c) is unique up to isomorphism in the sense of Theorem 1.2.

The rest of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 12.1 .
Let $s$ be a closed point of $S, D_{s}$ the localization of $D$ at (the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{s}$ of $D$ corresponding to) $s, I_{s}$ the maximal ideal of $D_{s}$ and $k(s):=D_{s} / I_{s}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of closed points $s$ of $S$ such that $\mathcal{G}_{s}$ is not an abelian variety over $k(s)$. Let $D_{s}^{\wedge}:=\left(D_{s}\right)^{\wedge}$ be the $I_{s}$-adic completion of $D_{s}, K_{s}$ the fraction field of $D_{s}^{\wedge}, S_{s}:=\operatorname{Spec} D_{s}, S_{s}^{\wedge}:=\operatorname{Spec} D_{s}^{\wedge}$ and $\eta_{s}$ the generic point of $S_{s}^{\wedge}$. Since $D$ is a Dedekind domain, $D_{s}^{\wedge}$ is a CDVR. Let $\mathcal{G}_{S_{s}}:=\mathcal{G} \times{ }_{S} S_{s}, \mathcal{G}^{s}:=\mathcal{G} \times{ }_{S} S_{s}^{\wedge}$, $G_{S_{s}}:=G \times_{S} S_{s}, G^{s}:=G \times_{S} S_{s}^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{s}:=\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{G}} \mathcal{O}_{G^{s}}, \Phi^{s}:=\mathcal{G}^{s} / G^{s}$ and $N:=\operatorname{LCM}\left(\left|\Phi^{s}\right| ; s \in \mathcal{B}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{S_{s}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{G}^{s}\right)$ is the Néron model over $D_{s}$ $\left(\operatorname{resp} . D_{s}^{\wedge}\right)$ of the abelian variety $G_{K}\left(\operatorname{resp} . G_{\eta_{s}}:=G_{K} \otimes_{K} K_{s}\right)$.
12.2. Proof of Theorem 12.1-start. In what follows, we choose and fix any $s \in \mathcal{B}$ and set $R:=D_{s}$ and $U:=S_{s}=\operatorname{Spec} R$.

Definition 12.2. Let $Q$ be an $S$-scheme and $\mathcal{F}$ an ample invertible sheaf on $Q$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(S^{n} \Gamma(Q, \mathcal{F})\right)$ the image of the homomorphism $S^{k} \Gamma(Q, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma\left(Q, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}\right)$. Then we define

$$
A(Q, \mathcal{F}):=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma\left(Q, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}\right), \quad S(Q, \mathcal{F}):=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im}\left(S^{k} \Gamma(Q, \mathcal{F})\right)
$$

Lemma 12.3. Let $Z$ be a projective $S$-scheme and $\mathcal{L}$ a (relatively) very ample invertible sheaf on $Z$. Then there exists $n_{1}$ such that $S^{n} \Gamma\left(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_{1}}\right) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma\left(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n n_{1}}\right)$ is surjective $(\forall n \geq 1)$.

Proof. We regard $Z$ as a closed $S$-subscheme of $\mathbf{P}_{S}^{M}$ with $\mathcal{I}$ its defining ideal and $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{M}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{M}} \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ for some $M \in \mathbf{N}$. There is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{N}}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{N}}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow 0(\forall n \in \mathbf{N})$. There exists $n_{1}$ such that $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{N}}(n)\right)=0$ for any $n \geq n_{1}$, so that $S^{n} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{N}}(1)\right)=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{N}}(n)\right) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma\left(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ is surjective. It follows that $S^{n} \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}\right)$ is surjective $\left(\forall n \geq n_{1}\right)$. If $n \geq 1$, then as $R$-submodules of $\Gamma\left(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n n_{1}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Im}\left(S^{n} \Gamma\left(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_{1}}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(S^{n}\left(S^{n_{1}} \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L})\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(S^{n n_{1}} \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L})\right)=\Gamma\left(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n n_{1}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves Lemma.
By [MB85, II, 1.2.1]/ MN24, 2.13], there exists a unique ample cubical invertible sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{G}$ with $\mathcal{M}_{K}:=\mathcal{M} \otimes_{R} K \simeq \mathcal{L}_{K}^{\otimes 2 N}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{U}:=\mathcal{M} \otimes_{D} R$ and let $\mathcal{M}_{U}^{\wedge}$ be the $I_{s}$-adic completion of $\mathcal{M}_{U}$.

Let $l \geq 1$. By Raynaud MB85, VI, 1.4.2], $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}\right)$ is a finite $D$-module. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is locally $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$-free and $\mathcal{G}$ is smooth over $S, \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}\right)$ is $D$-torsion free. Hence $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}\right)$ is locally $\mathcal{O}_{S}$-free because $D_{s}$ is a DVR for any closed point $s$ of $S$. It follows that for any $l, n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes l}\right)=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}\right) \otimes_{D} R, \quad \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}}, \mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes l}\right)=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}\right) \otimes_{D} R^{\wedge}, \\
& A\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes l}\right)=A\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}\right) \otimes_{D} R, \quad A\left(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}}, \mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes l}\right)=A\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}\right) \otimes_{D} R^{\wedge} \text {, } \\
& S\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes l}\right)=S\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}\right) \otimes_{D} R, \quad S\left(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}}, \mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes l}\right)=S\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}\right) \otimes_{D} R^{\wedge} .
\end{aligned}
$$

12.3. Proof continued. By Theorem 1.1, there exists a relative compactification $\left(P, i^{s}, \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)$ of $\mathcal{G}_{U \wedge}$ such that (a)-(d) are true where $i^{s}: \mathcal{G}_{U \wedge} \rightarrow P$ is an open immersion, $P$ is an irreducible Cohen-Macaulay $U^{\wedge}$-scheme and $\left(\left(i^{s}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)_{k\left(\eta_{s}\right)}=\mathcal{L}_{k\left(\eta_{s}\right)}^{\otimes n_{0}}$ for some $n_{0} \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $P$ is Cohen-Macaulay, $\Gamma\left(P,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes n}\right)=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}},\left(\left(i^{s}\right)^{*}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)\right)^{\otimes n}\right)(\forall n \geq 0)$. By Lemma 12.3, there exists $n_{1} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $A\left(P,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes n_{1}}\right)=S\left(P,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes n_{1}}\right)$.

Since $\mathcal{M}_{U}$ is ample, there exists $m_{2} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes m}$ is very ample $\left(\forall m \geq m_{2}\right)$. In view of [MN24, 2.13 (3)], by choosing an integral multiple of $\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}$ for $\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}$ if necessary, we may assume $\left(i^{s}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes n_{2}}$ for some $n_{2} \in \mathbf{N}$
with $n_{2} \geq m_{2}$. It follows from Theorem 11.2

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =\operatorname{Proj} A\left(P,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes n_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Proj} S\left(P,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes n_{1}}\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Proj} S\left(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}},\left(\left(i^{s}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes n_{1}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} S\left(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}}, \mathcal{M}_{U \wedge}^{\otimes n_{1} n_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 10.12 (11)-(2), for any $l \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{q}\left(P_{s},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes l} \otimes_{R} k(s)\right) & =0 \quad(q \geq 1), \\
\Gamma\left(P_{s},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes l} \otimes_{R} k(s)\right) & =\Gamma\left(P,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes l}\right) \otimes_{R^{\wedge}} k(s) \\
& =\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}}, \mathcal{M}_{U \wedge}^{\otimes n^{\wedge} l}\right) \otimes_{R^{\wedge}} k(s) \\
& =\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes n_{2} l}\right) \otimes_{R} k(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 12.3, there exists $n_{3} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(S^{l} \Gamma\left(P_{s},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes n_{3}} \otimes_{R^{\wedge}} k(s)\right)\right)=\Gamma\left(P_{s},\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes n_{3} l} \otimes_{R^{\wedge}} k(s)\right) \quad(\forall l \in \mathbf{N})
$$

Let $N_{0}:=n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}$. Then $\operatorname{Im}\left(S^{l} \Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0}}\right)\right)=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0} l}\right)(\forall l \in \mathbf{N})$ by Nakayama's lemma,. It follows that $A\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0}}\right)=S\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0}}\right)$, which is an $R$-algebra generated by a finite free $R$-module $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0}}\right)$.

Let $Q:=\operatorname{Proj} S\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0}}\right)$. By definition, $Q$ is a projective $R$-scheme (of finite type), so that $Q$ is excellent, i.e., the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Q, x}$ is excellent for any closed point $x$ of $Q$ by [MN24, 2.3]. See [EGA, IV $2,7.8 .3$ ]. Since $P \simeq Q_{U^{\wedge}}$ and $P$ is Cohen-Macaulay, so is $Q_{U^{\wedge}}^{\wedge}=Q_{U}^{\wedge}$, so that $Q=Q_{U}$ is CohenMacaulay by [MN24, 2.1/2.4]. Moreover $Q$ is $S$-flat by [H77, III, 9.9] because $\chi\left(Q_{s}, \mathcal{M}_{s}\right)=\chi\left(P_{s}, \mathcal{M}_{s}\right)=\chi\left(P_{K_{s}}, \mathcal{M}_{K_{s}}\right)=\chi\left(Q_{K_{s}}, \mathcal{M}_{K_{s}}\right)=\chi\left(Q_{K}, \mathcal{M}_{K}\right)$.

Let $V_{N_{0}}:=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0}}\right)$. Since $S\left(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0}}\right)$ is generated by $V_{N_{0}}, Q$ is a closed $S$-subscheme of $\mathbf{P}\left(V_{N_{0}}\right)$. Associated with the linear system $\left|V_{N_{0}}\right|$, we have a natural morphism $i: \mathcal{G}_{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(V_{N_{0}}\right)$, which factors through $Q$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0}}$ is very ample by $N_{0} \geq n_{2} \geq m_{2}$. Hence $i$ is an injective immersion which is an isomorphim over $K$, while $i_{U^{\wedge}}=i^{s}: \mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}} \hookrightarrow Q_{U^{\wedge}}=P$ is an open immersion over $U^{\wedge}$. It follows that $i$ is an open immersion of $\mathcal{G}_{U}$ into $Q$. Now we define $\mathcal{N}:=i_{*} \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0}}$. Since $P$ is Cohen-Macaulay and $\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}$ is locally $\mathcal{O}_{P^{\prime}}$-free, we obtain $\mathcal{N}_{U^{\wedge}}=\left(i_{U^{\wedge}}\right)_{*} \mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes N_{0}}=\left(i^{s}\right)_{*}\left(i^{s}\right)^{*}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes n_{1} n_{3}}\right)=$ $\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes n_{1} n_{3}}$. Since $\left(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\right)^{\otimes n_{1} n_{3}}=\mathcal{N}_{U \wedge}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{P}$-module, $\mathcal{N}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{Q}$-module. Moreover $i^{*} \mathcal{N}=i^{*} i_{*} \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0}}=\mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes N_{0}}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is cubical on $\mathcal{G}, i^{*} \mathcal{N}$ is cubical with $\left(i^{*} \mathcal{N}\right)_{K}=\mathcal{M}_{K}^{\otimes N_{0}}=\mathcal{L}_{K}^{\otimes 2 N N_{0}}$. This proves that $(Q, i, \mathcal{N})$ is a relative compactification of $\mathcal{G}_{U}$ such that (a)-(c) are true. Uniqueness of $(Q, i, \mathcal{N})$ in the sense of Theorem 1.2 is clear. Now we denote $(Q, i, \mathcal{N})$ by $\left(Q^{s}, j^{s}, \mathcal{N}^{s}\right)$. Since $\left(Q^{s}, j^{s}, \mathcal{N}^{s}\right)$ is unique over $S_{s}$, by gluing together all $\left(Q^{s}, j^{s}, \mathcal{N}^{s}\right)(s \in \mathcal{B})$ over $S \backslash \mathcal{B}$, we can construct a relative compactification $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ over $S$ such that (a)-(c) are true and $(P, i, \mathcal{N}) \times_{S} S_{s} \simeq\left(Q^{s}, j^{s}, \mathcal{N}^{s}\right)$ in the sense of Theorem 1.2. Thereby uniqueness of $(P, i, \mathcal{N})$ is clear.
12.4. Proof of (d). The proof of (d) is very formal. We set as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{12}:=P \times_{S} P, P_{123}:=P \times_{S} P \times_{S} P, \\
& L_{12}:=G \times_{S} P, L_{123}:=G \times_{S} P \times_{S} P .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{G}_{K}=P_{K}$ is an abelian variety over $K$, we have an action $\rho_{K}$ : $G_{K} \times P_{K} \rightarrow P_{K}$, which defines a graph, i.e., a $K$-flat closed subscheme $\Gamma^{K}$ of $P_{123, K}$ such that the restriction of the projection to the first two components $p_{12, K}: \Gamma^{K} \rightarrow P_{12, K}$ is an isomorphism. Similarly by Theorem 1.1 (d), we have an action $\rho^{s}: \mathcal{G}^{s} \otimes_{D_{s}^{\wedge}} P_{S_{\hat{s}}}$ with graph $\Gamma^{s}$ which is a closed $S_{s}^{\wedge}$-flat subscheme of $P_{123, S_{\hat{s}}}$. Since $P$ is projective over $S$, there is a $S$-flat closed subscheme $\Gamma$ of $P_{123}$ by [EGA, $\mathrm{IV}_{2}, 2.8 .5$ ] such that $\Gamma_{K}:=\Gamma \times{ }_{S} \operatorname{Spec} K=\Gamma^{K}$ and $\Gamma \times{ }_{S} S_{s}^{\wedge}=\Gamma^{s}$. The projection $p_{12}: \Gamma\left(\subset P_{123}\right) \rightarrow P_{12}$ is an $S$ morphism which is an isomorphism over $K$ and $S_{s}^{\wedge}(\forall s \in \mathcal{B})$. Hence $p_{12}$ is an isomorphism everywhere over $S$. Hence $\Gamma$ defines an action of $G$ on $P$ (denoted by $\rho: L_{12} \rightarrow L_{123}$ ) extending $\rho^{K}$ and $\rho^{s}$. This proves (d).
12.5. Relative compactifications in the general case. Let $R$ be a CDVR with $k(\eta)$ its fraction field. Let $\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$ be a polarized abelian variety over $k(\eta), \mathcal{G}$ the Néron model of $G_{\eta}, G:=\mathcal{G}^{0}$ the identity component of $\mathcal{G}$ and $N:=\left|\mathcal{G}_{0} / G_{0}\right|$. By [MB85, II, 1.1], there exists an ample cubical invertible sheaf $\mathcal{N}$ on $\mathcal{G}$ with $\mathcal{N}_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes 2 N}$. By Raynaud [MB85, VI, 1.4.2] $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes k}\right)$ is a finite free $R$-module for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Conjecture 12.4. Let $P_{n}:=\operatorname{Proj} A\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}\right)$. Then
(i) $P_{n_{0}}$ is a relative compactification of $\mathcal{G}$ for some $n_{0} \in \mathbf{N}$;
(ii) $\mathcal{G} \simeq P_{n_{0}} \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(P_{n_{0}} / S\right)$ with $\operatorname{codim}_{P_{n_{0}}} \operatorname{Sing}\left(P_{n_{0}} / S\right) \geq 2$.

Remark 12.5. Conjecture 12.4 is true for elliptic curves over $k(\eta)$ by Kodaira-Néron's classification of singular fibers of elliptic fibrations.

## 13. The complex analytic case

13.1. Notation and Terminology. In this section we consider a complex analogue to $\S \S$ 6.7. Let $P, P^{\prime}$ be groups, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(P, P^{\prime}\right)$ the set of homomorphisms from $P$ to $P^{\prime}$. Let $Q$ a ring and $Q^{\times}$the group of invertible elements in $Q$. Let $t$ be a complex parameter, $\mathbf{D}:=\{t \in \mathbf{C} ;|t|<1\}$ the unit disc with center $0, D^{\times}:=\mathbf{D} \backslash\{0\}$ and $t^{\mathbf{Z}}:=\left\{t^{n} ; n \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}$. Let $Z$ be a complex space and $Z(\mathbf{D}):=\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{D}, Z)$ be the set of complex analytic maps from $\mathbf{D}$ to $Z$. Let $\varpi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ be a relative complex Lie group over $\mathbf{D}$. If moreover any fiber $\left(G_{t}, \mathcal{L}_{t}\right)$ of it is a complex semiabelian scheme, then we call $\varpi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ a (complex) semiabelian $\mathbf{D}$-scheme .
13.2. Theta functions. In the complex case, we will see that Eq. (76) is a consequence of transformation equations of theta functions. We start with quoting from [Si62, Chap. 12, p. 77] by slightly modifying. Let $g=$ $g_{1}+g_{2}$ with $g_{1}, g_{2} \geq 0, X=Y=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{g_{1}} \mathbf{Z} m_{i} \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{g_{1}}$ and define an injective homomorphism $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ by $\phi\left(m_{i}\right)=d_{i} m_{i}\left(i \in\left[1, g_{1}\right]\right)$. Let $Z_{1}:=X^{\vee}=$
$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{g_{1}} \mathbf{Z} f_{i}, Z_{2}:=\bigoplus_{i=g_{1}+1}^{g} \mathbf{Z} f_{i} \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{g_{2}}$ and $Z=Z_{1} \oplus Z_{2}$. Let $V:=Z \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}$ and $V_{k}:=Z_{k} \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{C}(k \in[1,2])$. Let $t_{i} \in V$ be a column vector $(i \in[1, g])$ and define

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{1}=\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{g_{1}}\right), T_{2}=\left(t_{g_{1}+1}, \cdots, t_{g_{1}+g_{2}}\right), \\
T=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{11} & T_{12} \\
T_{21} & T_{22}
\end{array}\right), C=\left(I_{g}, T\right), C_{i}=\left(I_{g_{i}}, T_{i i}\right), \\
D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \cdots, d_{g}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{1} & 0 \\
0 & D_{2}
\end{array}\right), W=D T, W_{1}=D_{1} T_{11}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $T_{i j} \in M_{g_{i} \times g_{j}}(\mathbf{C}), D_{i} \in M_{g_{i}, g_{i}}(\mathbf{Z})$ and $W$ is symmetric with $\operatorname{Im}(W)>$ 0 . Let $W_{k}\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)=u_{k}^{t} W_{k} v_{k}$ and $W_{k}\left[v_{k}\right]=W_{k}\left(v_{k}, v_{k}\right)\left(u_{k}, v_{k} \in \mathbf{C}^{g_{i}}\right)$.

Let $\Delta:=\left\{a=\left(a_{i}\right) \in Z ; 0 \leq a_{i}<d_{i}(\forall i \in[1, g]), a \in \Delta\right.$ and $s_{a} \in \mathbf{C}$. Then we define $\theta_{a}, \theta$ and $\sigma_{r_{1}}(\theta)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{a}(z) & =\sum_{m \in Y} \mathbf{e}\left(W\left[m+D^{-1} a\right] / 2+(D m+a)^{t} z\right) \\
& =\sum_{m \in Z} \mathbf{e}\left(W\left[D^{-1}(\phi(m)+a)\right] / 2+(\phi(m)+a)^{t} z\right), \\
\theta(z) & =\sum_{a \in \Delta} s_{a} \theta_{a}(z)=\sum_{r_{1} \in X} \mathbf{e}\left(r_{1}^{t} z_{1}\right) \sigma_{r_{1}}(\theta)\left(z_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z:=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ and $z_{i} \in Z_{i} \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{C}$. For $b, c \in Z$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{a}\left(z+D^{-1} b+T D^{-1} c\right) & =\mathbf{e}\left(a^{t} D^{-1} b-W\left[D^{-1} c\right] / 2-c^{t} z\right) \theta_{a+c}(z),  \tag{101}\\
\theta(z+b+T c) & =\mathbf{e}\left(-W[c] / 2-(D c)^{t} z\right) \theta(z) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $G:=V / Z+T Z$ and $A_{2}=V_{2} / Z_{2}+T_{22} Z_{2}$. Then $G$ and $A$ are abelian varieties. Eq. (101) shows that if $\theta$ is not identically zero, the divisor $\theta=0$ defines an ample line bundle on $G$ independent of $a \in \Delta$, so that the data $(C, D)$ determines a unique ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{C, D}$ of $G$. By Si62, Th. 12A], $\left(\theta_{a} ; a \in \Delta\right)$ is a C-basis of $\Gamma\left(G, \mathcal{L}_{C, D}\right)$. Let $K\left(\mathcal{L}_{C, D}\right):=\{a \in$ $\left.G(\mathbf{C}) ; T_{a}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{C, D} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{C, D}\right\}$ be the Heisenberg group scheme of $\mathcal{L}_{C, D}$. Then $K\left(\mathcal{L}_{C, D}\right)=\left(D^{-1} Z+T D^{-1} Z\right) /(Z+T Z),\left|K\left(\mathcal{L}_{C, D}\right)\right|=|D|^{2}$ and $G^{t}:=$ $\operatorname{Pic}_{G / \mathbf{C}}=G / K\left(\mathcal{L}_{C, D}\right) \simeq \mathbf{C}^{g} / D^{-1} Z+T D^{-1} Z$.

Let $c_{1} \in Z_{1}$ and $c^{\prime}:=\left(c_{1}, 0_{g_{2}}\right)^{t} \in Z$. Then by Eq. (101), we obtain

$$
\theta\left(z+T c^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{e}\left(-W_{1}\left[c_{1}\right] / 2\right) \sum_{r_{1} \in X} \mathbf{e}\left(r_{1}^{t} z_{1}\right) \sigma_{r_{1}+\phi\left(c_{1}\right)}(\theta)\left(z_{2}\right) .
$$

From this we derive a relation :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r_{1}+\phi\left(c_{1}\right)}(\theta)\left(z_{2}\right)=\mathbf{e}\left(W_{1}\left[c_{1}\right] / 2\right) \mathbf{e}\left(r_{1}^{t} T_{11} c_{1}\right) \sigma_{r_{1}}(\theta)\left(z_{2}+T_{21} c_{1}\right) . \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is Theorem 3.1(77) /Eq. (21) if we set $\psi(y):=\mathbf{e}\left(W_{1}[y] / 2\right)$ and $\tau(y, x):=$ $\mathbf{e}\left(y^{t} T_{11}^{t} x\right)(y \in Y, x \in X)$. Note $\psi(y)=\tau(y, \phi(y))^{1 / 2}$. Thus Eq. (102) is a classical equation behind Eqs. (21) / (27) / (75) / (76).

Let $c_{2} \in Z_{2}$ and $c^{\prime \prime}=\left(0_{g_{1}}, c_{2}\right)^{t} \in Z$. By computing $\theta\left(z+T c^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\left.\sigma_{r_{1}}(\theta)\left(z_{2}+T_{22} c_{2}\right)=\mathbf{e}\left(-W_{2}\left[c_{2}\right] / 2-\left(D_{2} c_{2}\right)^{t} z_{2}\right) \mathbf{e}\left(-r_{1}^{t} T_{12} c_{2}\right)\right) \sigma_{r_{1}}(\theta)\left(z_{2}\right)
$$

Hence the divisor $\sigma_{r_{1}}(\theta)=0$ defines a unique line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{C_{2}, D_{2}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A} \mathcal{O}_{r_{1}}$ where $\mathcal{O}_{r_{1}}:=c\left(r_{1}\right)=\mathbf{F}_{-r_{1}}$ with the notation of $\S 13.3$. Note $K\left(\mathcal{L}_{C_{2}, D_{2}}\right)=$ $\left(D_{2}^{-1} Z_{2}+T_{22} D_{2}^{-1} Z_{2}\right) /\left(Z_{2}+T_{22} Z_{2}\right),\left|K\left(\mathcal{L}_{C_{2}, D_{2}}\right)\right|=\left|D_{2}\right|^{2}$ and $A^{t}:=\operatorname{Pic}_{A / \mathrm{C}}=$ $A / K\left(\mathcal{L}_{C_{2}, D_{2}}\right) \simeq V_{2} / D_{2}^{-1} Z_{2}+T_{22} D_{2}^{-1} Z_{2}$. If $D=m I_{g}$, then we set $\mathcal{L}^{0}:=$ $\mathcal{L}_{C, I_{g}}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{0}:=\mathcal{L}_{C_{2}, I_{g_{2}}}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{C, D}=\left(\mathcal{L}^{0}\right) \otimes m$ and $\mathcal{L}_{C_{2}, D_{2}}=\left(\mathcal{M}^{0}\right) \otimes m$. Moreover $\left(\sigma_{r_{1}}\left(\theta_{a}\right) ; a \in \Delta \cap Z_{2}\right)$ is a C-basis of $\Gamma\left(A,\left(\mathcal{M}^{0}\right) \otimes m \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{r_{1}}\right)$.
13.3. Complex analytic split obejcts. Let $X, Y, Z_{k}, V, V_{k}$ and $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ be the same as in $\S 13.2$. Replacing $\mathbf{C}$ by $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}^{\times}}\right)$, we set $T=\left(T_{i, j}\right) \in$ $M_{g, g}\left(\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D} \times}\right)\right)$ as in $\S 13.2$. Assume moreover
(i) $\omega:=T_{11}=B \log t+T_{11}^{0}(t), \omega^{0}=: T_{11}^{0}(t)$;
(ii) $T_{11}^{0}$ and $T_{i j}$ are holomorphic over $\mathbf{D}$ for $(i, j) \neq(1,1)$;
(iii) $B \in M_{g_{1}, g_{1}}(\mathbf{Z})$ is symmetric positive definite.

Then we define complex quotient manifolds over $\mathbf{D}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
G & :=\mathbf{D} \times V / Z \oplus T Z \\
\tilde{G} & :=\mathbf{D} \times V / Z \oplus T\left(0 \oplus Z_{2}\right) \\
A & :=\mathbf{D} \times V_{2} / Z_{2} \oplus T_{22} Z_{2} \\
T & :=\mathbf{D} \times V_{1} / Z_{1} \\
G^{t} & :=\mathbf{D} \times V / D^{-1} Z \oplus T D^{-1} Z  \tag{103}\\
\tilde{G}^{t} & :=\mathbf{D} \times V / D^{-1} Z \oplus T\left(0 \oplus D_{2}^{-1} Z_{2}\right) \\
A^{t} & :=\mathbf{D} \times V_{2} / D_{2}^{-1} Z_{2} \oplus T_{22} D_{2}^{-1} Z_{2} \\
T^{t} & :=\mathbf{D} \times V_{1} / D_{1}^{-1} Z_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{C}^{*}:=\mathbf{C} / \mathbf{Z}$. Let $T_{X}:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(X, \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathbf{Z}}\right)$ be a split torus over $\mathbf{Z}$. Then by Eq. (103), we have a natural isomorphism $T \simeq T_{X, \mathbf{D}}:=\mathbf{D} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} T_{X} \simeq\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)_{D}^{g_{1}}$. It is clear that $A$ is an abelian $\mathbf{D}$-scheme. Since any fiber $\left(G_{t}, \mathcal{L}_{t}\right)(t \neq 0)$ is a complex abelian variety, and $G_{0} \simeq\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{g_{1}} \times A_{0}, G$ is a semiabelian D-scheme. Meanwhile $\tilde{G}$ is a semiabelian $\mathbf{D}$-scheme, which we call the (complex) Raynaud extension of $G$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $x \in X(T)$ is a homomorphism $x: T \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m}$. Then we obtain a holomorphic extension $\mathbf{F}_{x}^{\times}$of $A_{t}$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ from Eq. (104) as the pushout of $\tilde{G}$ by $x$. Let $\mathbf{F}_{x}$ be the holomorphic line bundle on $A$ associated with $\mathbf{F}_{x}^{\times}$. Since $\mathbf{F}_{x}^{\times}$is a group $\mathbf{D}$-scheme, $\mathbf{F}_{x} \in \operatorname{Pic}_{A / \mathbf{D}}^{0}(\mathbf{D})=A^{t}(\mathbf{D})$. So we define $c \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X, A^{t}(\mathbf{D})\right)$ by setting $c(x):=\mathbf{F}_{x}^{\otimes(-1)}$ with the sign change of $c$ and $c^{t}$ in $\S 2.3$ taken into account. This $c$ is a complex analogue of the classifying morphism of $\tilde{G}$ in $\S 2.2$,

Let $\mathcal{M}:=\mathcal{L}_{C_{2}, D_{2}}$ and let $\mathbf{M} \in \operatorname{Pic}(A)$ be a holomorphic line bundle on $A$ with $\mathcal{O}_{A}(\mathbf{M})=\mathcal{M}, \lambda: A \rightarrow A^{t}$ the polarization morphism by $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}$ the Poincarè bundle over $A, \tilde{\mathbf{L}}:=\pi^{*} \mathbf{M}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}:=\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}})$. Note that $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^{t}$ is the natural quotient morphism induced from Eq. (103). Similarly we set $\lambda_{T}: T \rightarrow T^{t}$ and $\lambda_{G}: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}^{t}$ be the natural quotient morphisms induced from Eq. (103). We have the Raynaud extension of $G^{t}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow T^{t} \rightarrow \tilde{G}^{t} \rightarrow A^{t} \rightarrow 0 \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

which defines the classifying morphism $c^{t} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Y, A(\mathbf{D}))$ by the pushouts via $X\left(T^{t}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{t}, \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathbf{D}}\right)$. It is therefore clear that $\lambda_{A} \circ c^{t}=c \circ \phi$.

Then we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(Y \times X, t^{\mathbf{Z}}\left(\mathbf{P}^{\otimes(-1)}(\mathbf{D})\right)^{\times}\right), \\
\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(Y, t^{\mathbf{Z}}\left(\mathbf{M}^{\otimes-1}(\mathbf{D})\right)^{\times}\right), \iota \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Y, \tilde{G}(\mathbf{D})), \\
\zeta:=\left(\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t}, \iota, \lambda, \phi, \tau, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \psi, \mathcal{M}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau(y, x):=\mathbf{e}\left(y^{t} T_{11}^{t} x\right), \quad \psi(y):=\mathbf{e}\left(W_{1}[y] / 2\right), \\
\iota(y)
\end{gathered}:=\bigoplus_{v \in X} \tau(y, v) \quad(y \in Y, x \in X) .
$$

Definition 13.1. Let $R:=\mathbf{C}[[t]], I=t R$ and $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$. We say that $\zeta$ defined above is a symmetric split object over $\mathbf{D}$ if the pullback $\zeta_{S}$ of $\zeta$ to $S$ is a symmetric split object over $S$ in $\mathrm{DD}_{\text {ample }}$.
13.4. Relation with period matrices. Let $\zeta$ be a symmetric split object over $\mathbf{D}$. Let $\mathbf{e}(x):=\exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} x), B:=v_{t}(\tau), \tau^{0}:=t^{-B} \tau$ and $\psi^{0}:=$ $t^{-v_{t}(\psi)} \psi$. Let $N, \beta$ and $\mu$ be the same as in Definition 5.1. By (the over-D-analogue of) Lemma 5.2, we have extensions $c^{t, e}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\iota^{e}, \tau^{e}, \psi^{e}\right)$ of $c^{t}$ (resp. $\iota, \tau, \psi$ ) from $Y$ to $X^{\vee}$ or from $Y \times X$ to $X^{\vee} \times X$. By Lemma 5.2 (ii), $v_{t}\left(\tau^{e}(u, x)\right)=u(x) \quad\left(\forall u \in X^{\vee}, \forall x \in X\right)$. The proof of Lemma 5.2 shows that the values of $c^{t, e}$ and $\iota^{e}$ (resp. $\tau^{e}$ and $\psi^{e}$ ) are single-valued holomorphic (resp. single-valued meromorphic) over $\mathbf{D}$ without taking a finite cover of D. By $v_{t}\left(\tau^{e}(u, x)\right)=u(x)$, there exists a $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}\right)$-valued bilinear form $\omega^{e, 0}$ on $X^{\vee} \times X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{e}(u, x)=\mathbf{e}\left(\omega^{e}(u, x)\right), \omega^{e}(u, x)=\omega^{e, 0}(u, x)+u(x) \log t . \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\omega:=\omega^{e} \circ\left(\beta \times \operatorname{id}_{X}\right)$ and $\omega^{0}:=\omega^{e, 0} \circ\left(\beta \times \operatorname{id}_{X}\right)$. Then

$$
\omega(y, x)=\omega^{0}(y, x)+B(y, x) \log t(\forall y \in Y, \forall x \in X)
$$

where $B$ is even symmetric on $Y \times Y$ by [MN24, 3.5/3.7] because $\zeta_{S}$ is symmetric. See Definition [3.5, Hence we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi^{e}(u)=\mathbf{e}\left(\omega^{e}(u, \mu(u))\right), \tau^{e}(u, x)=\mathbf{e}\left(\omega^{e}(u, x)\right), \\
\tau(y, x)=\tau^{e}(\beta(y), x)=\mathbf{e}(\omega(y, x)), \psi(y)=\mathbf{e}(\omega(y, \phi(y)) / 2) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We define $\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}$ (resp. $\xi_{l}^{\dagger}$ ) to be the $4 N^{2} l$-th extension of $\zeta$ over $\mathbf{D}$ as in Definition 6.4 (resp. the NéFC l-th kit of $\left.\zeta_{4 N^{2} l}^{e}\right)$.
13.5. Twisted Mumford families over D. Let $\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$ be a fan in $\tilde{X}^{\vee}$ with $e(\zeta)=1$ in $\S 6.3, U\left(\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)\right)$ the torus embedding over $\mathbf{D}$ associated with $\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)$ the Voronoi polytope in Definition 6.5. In what follows, we assume that $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral. We define the contraction product of $U\left(\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)\right)$ and $\tilde{G}$ by $T$ over $\mathbf{D}$ via Eqs. (78)/(104):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}_{l}:=U\left(\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)\right) \times_{\mathbf{D}}^{T} \tilde{G} \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathbf{D}$-scheme $\tilde{P}_{l}$ is the normalization of an over- $\mathbf{D}$-analogue of $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{2, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}}$ in Eq. (73). Then $\mathcal{W}:=\left(W_{l, \alpha, u} ; \alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee}\right)$ is an open covering of $\tilde{P}_{l}$, where $W_{l, \alpha, u}:=U\left(\tau_{l, \alpha, u}\right) \times{ }_{\mathbf{D}}^{T} \tilde{G}$. Let $\left(w^{x} ; x \in X\right)$ be the torus coordinates of $T \simeq T_{X, \mathbf{D}}$ global over $D$. Let $\xi_{l, \alpha, v}:=\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(v) \tau^{e}(v, \alpha) w^{\alpha+4 N l \mu(v)}$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{l}^{\prime}$ be the holomorphic line bundle on $U\left(\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)\right)$ defined by a one cocyle $f_{l, \alpha, u ; \beta, v}:=\xi_{l, \alpha, u} / \xi_{l, \beta, v}$ with respect to $\mathcal{W}$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{l}:=\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{l}^{\prime} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}} \pi^{*} \mathbf{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l} \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

To be more precise,

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l}}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{l}\right):=p_{1}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{U\left(\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger}\right)\right)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{l}^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}} p_{2}^{*} \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{A}\left(\mathbf{M}^{\otimes 4 N^{2} l}\right)
$$

where $p_{i}$ is the $i$-th projection of $U\left(\operatorname{Fan}\left(\xi_{\tilde{\sim}}^{\dagger}\right)\right) \times{ }_{\mathbf{D}}^{T} \underset{\sim}{\tilde{G}}$.
We define an action of $X^{\vee}$ and $Y$ on $\tilde{P}_{l}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{l}$ as follows: Let $u \in X^{\vee}$ and let $\tilde{c}^{t, e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X^{\vee}, \tilde{A}(D)\right)$ be a lifting of $c^{t, e}$. Then we define a Dautomorphism $\delta_{u}: \tilde{P}_{l} \rightarrow \tilde{P}_{l}$ and $\tilde{\delta}_{u}: \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{l} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{l}$ via Eqs. (107)-(108):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{u}^{*}\left(t^{a} w^{x}\right)=t^{a} \tau^{e}(u, x) w^{x}, \quad \delta_{u}^{*}(z)=T_{\tilde{A}, \tilde{c}^{t, e}(u)}^{*}(z):=z+\tilde{c}^{t, e}(u) \\
\delta_{u}^{*}(f)=T_{\tilde{A}, \tilde{c}^{t, e}(u)}^{*}(f), \tilde{\delta}_{u}^{*}(\xi)=\psi^{e}(u)^{-2 N l} w^{-4 N l \mu(u)} \xi
\end{gathered}
$$

the last of which follows from $\tilde{\delta}_{l, u} \tilde{\delta}_{u}^{*}\left(\theta_{l}\right)=\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u) w^{4 N l \mu(u)} \theta_{l}$ by Eq. (77). where $(a, x) \in \mathbf{Z} \times X$ with $t^{a} w^{x} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l}}, f \in \mathcal{O}_{A},(t, z) \in \tilde{A} \simeq \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{A}^{g^{\prime \prime}}$ (as global coordinates) and $\xi$ is a fiber coordinate of $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{l}$. The action of $Y$ on $\tilde{P}_{l}$ (via $\beta(Y) \subset X^{\vee}$ ) is are properly discontinuous and fixed point free. Hence we have the quotient complex space $\left(P_{l}, \mathbf{L}_{l}\right):=\left(\tilde{P}_{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{l}\right) / Y$ on which $X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)$ acts freely. Set $\mathcal{L}_{l}:=\mathcal{O}_{P_{l}}\left(\mathbf{L}_{l}\right)$.

Let $\operatorname{Fan}^{0}:=\left\{(0), \sigma_{l, 0, u}:=\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\left(f_{0}+u\right)\left(u \in X^{\vee}\right)\right\}$ be a fan in $\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\operatorname{Fan}^{00}:=\left\{(0), \sigma_{l, 0, \beta(y)}:=\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\left(f_{0}+\beta(y)\right)(y \in Y)\right\}$. Let $U\left(\operatorname{Fan}^{0}\right)$ (resp. $\left.U\left(\operatorname{Fan}^{00}\right)\right)$ be the torus embedding over $\mathbf{D}$ associated with $\operatorname{Fan}^{0}$ (resp. $\left.\operatorname{Fan}^{00}\right)$. Let $\tilde{U}^{0}:=U\left(\operatorname{Fan}^{0}\right) \times_{\mathbf{D}} \tilde{G}$ and $\tilde{U}^{00}:=U\left(\operatorname{Fan}^{00}\right) \times_{\mathbf{D}} \tilde{G}$. The action of $X^{\vee}$ (and hence of $\left.Y\right)$ on $\tilde{P}_{l}$ keeps $\tilde{U}^{0}$ and $\tilde{U}^{00}$ stable. Let

$$
\mathcal{G}:=\tilde{U}^{0} / Y, \quad G:=\tilde{U}^{00} / Y
$$

The quotients $\mathcal{G}$ and $G$ are open submanifolds of $P_{l}$. Thus we obtain
Theorem 13.2. Let $S:=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}[t]]$. Over $\mathbf{D}$, the following are true:
(1) $\left(\mathcal{G},\left(\mathcal{L}_{l}\right)_{\mid \mathcal{G}}\right)$ and $\left(G,\left(\mathcal{L}_{l}\right)_{\mid G}\right)$ are polarized semi-abelian $\mathbf{D}$-schemes;
(2) $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{D} \times}=G_{\mathbf{D} \times} ; G_{0}$ is connected and $\mathcal{G}_{0} / G_{0} \simeq X^{\vee} / \beta(Y)$;
(3) $\mathcal{G}_{S}$ is a Néron model of $G_{K}$ where $K$ is the fraction field of $\mathbf{C}[[t]]$;
(4) $\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}\right) \times_{\mathbf{D}} S$ is a cubical compactification of $\mathcal{G}_{S}$ in $\xi$. 6 .

We call $\left(P_{l}, \mathcal{L}_{l}\right)$ a cubical compactification of $\mathcal{G}$.
Remark 13.3. Let $t$ be a uniformizer of $\mathbf{D}$. Then $\omega^{e}$ in Eq. (106) is recovered from $\mathcal{G}$ and $G$ as follows: First we can identify as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
Y & =\pi_{1}(\mathcal{G}) / \pi_{1}(A) \\
\simeq H_{1}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{Z}) / H_{1}(A, \mathbf{Z}) \\
X^{\wedge} & =\pi_{1}(G) / \pi_{1}(A)
\end{array}\right) H_{1}(G, \mathbf{Z}) / H_{1}(A, \mathbf{Z}) . .
$$

Since $\mathcal{G}$ is a smooth group $\mathbf{D}$-scheme, the relative cotangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{G} / \mathbf{D}}^{\vee}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ over $\mathbf{D}$ is trivial. Hence $X$ is identified with the $\mathbf{Z}$-module $V_{\text {log }}$ consisting of holomorphic one forms $d w^{x} / w^{x}(x \in X)$ on $\tilde{G}$. Any element of $V_{\log }$ descends to a holomorphic one form on $\mathcal{G}$ and $G$. Then we can define a multi-valued period $\omega^{e}$ of $V_{\log }$ on $X^{\wedge}$ by integration over one cycles of a general fiber of $\pi$ and hence a single-valued pairing $\tau^{e}: X^{\wedge} \times X \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}\right)[1 / t]$ such that $\tau^{e}(u, x)=\mathbf{e}\left(\omega^{e}(u, x)\right)$. This recovers Eq. (106). See [N77, §§ 2-3]. See [BLR90, 9.6] for the case of Jacobian varieties.

## Appendix A. Algebraizability of $\hat{A}$

In Appendix A, we shall prove $\S$ 2.1 Eq. (1), that is, $\hat{A}$ is algebraizable.
A.1. Galois descent. We basically follow the notation and the notions from [MN24, 11.1-11.5]. Let $T_{0}$ be the torus part of $G_{0}$ in §2.1. Then there exists an $S$-torus $T$ of $\tilde{G}$ such that $\left(T^{\wedge}\right)_{0}=T_{0}$. If $T$ is not a split $S$-torus, then there exists a finite étale Galois cover $\varpi: S^{*} \rightarrow S$ of $S$ such that $T_{S^{*}}$ is a split $S^{*}$-torus. Let $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Aut}\left(S^{*} / S\right)$.

Now let $K:=k(\eta)$, and let $L:=k\left(S^{*}\right)$ be the function field of $S^{*}$, and $A:=R_{L}=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{S^{*}}\right)$ the integral closure of $R$ in $L$. Then $\Gamma \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(L / K)=$ $\operatorname{Aut}(A / R)$. For $\sigma \in \Gamma$, we define $s_{\sigma}^{*} \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(A)$ by $s_{\sigma}^{*}(a)=\sigma(a)(\forall a \in A)$, and let $s_{\sigma}: S^{*} \rightarrow S^{*}$ be the $S$-morphism induced from $s_{\sigma}^{*}$. Set $t_{\sigma}:=s_{\sigma}^{-1}=$ $s_{\sigma^{-1}}$. Then $s_{\tau} \circ s_{\sigma}=s_{\sigma \tau}$ and $t_{\sigma} \circ t_{\tau}=t_{\sigma \tau}$. Let $V$ be an $R_{L}$-module. Let $t_{\sigma}^{*} V:=V \otimes_{\sigma} A:=V \otimes_{A_{2} ; A_{2} \xrightarrow[G]{t_{G}^{*}} A_{1}} A_{1}$ where $A_{i}:=A(i=1,2)$. The $A(=$ $\left.1 \otimes A_{1}\right)$-action on $t_{\sigma}^{*} V$ is given by $a(v \otimes 1):=(1 \otimes a)(v \otimes 1)=v \otimes a=\sigma(a) v \otimes 1$ $(\forall a \in A, \forall v \in V)$. Meanwhile we define an $A$-module $\left(V_{\sigma}, \cdot{ }_{\sigma}\right)$ by $V_{\sigma}:=V$ and $a{ }_{\sigma} v:=\sigma(a) v\left(\forall a \in A_{1}, \forall v \in V\right)$. For brevity we denote $\left(V_{\sigma}, \cdot{ }_{\sigma}\right)$ by $V_{\sigma}$. Then we define an isomorphism of $A$-modules $\kappa_{\sigma}: t_{\sigma}^{*} V \simeq V_{\sigma}(=V)$ by $\kappa_{\sigma}(\sigma(a) v \otimes 1)=\kappa_{\sigma}(v \otimes a)=a \cdot{ }_{\sigma} v=\sigma(a) v(\forall a \in A, \forall v \in V)$.
Lemma A.1. Let $\varpi: T_{S^{*}} \rightarrow T$ be the natural morphism, $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(S^{*} / S\right)$, $C:=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{T}\right), L$ a projective $C$-module of rank one and $V:=\varpi^{*} L:=L \otimes_{R} A$. Then $V_{\Gamma}:=\bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Gamma} t_{\gamma}^{*} V$ descends to a trivial $C$-module.

Proof. Let $D:=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{T_{S^{*}}}\right)$. Then $D=A\left[w^{x} ; x \in X\right]$ for a lattice $X$. Since $T$ is affine and hence quasi-projective, there exist effective divisors $D_{i}$ of $T$ ( $i=1,2$ ) such that $\widetilde{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T}\left(D_{1}-D_{2}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{I}_{D_{i}}$ be the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{T}$ defining $D_{i}$. Since $\operatorname{Pic}\left(T_{S^{*}}\right)=\{1\}$ by [N16, 6.1.2], $\varpi^{*} \widetilde{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T_{S^{*}}}$ and there exists $f_{i} \in D$ such that $\varpi^{*} \mathcal{I}_{D_{i}}=f_{i} \mathcal{O}_{T_{S^{*}}}$. Let $I_{i}:=f_{i} D$ and $V=\varpi^{*} L$. Then as $D$-modules, $V \simeq D=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{T_{S^{*}}}\right)$ and $V \simeq I_{1}^{\otimes(-1)} \otimes_{D} I_{2}$.

Next we shall prove that $V_{\Gamma}$ descends to a $C$-module. Let $\left(\phi_{\sigma} ; \sigma \in \Gamma\right)$ be a descent datum of $V$ with respect to $\Gamma$. By definition $\phi_{\sigma}: V \rightarrow t_{\sigma}^{*} V$ is an $A$-isomorphism of $A$-modules such that $\phi_{\sigma \tau}=t_{\tau}^{*} \phi_{\sigma} \circ \phi_{\tau}$. Hence $\sigma: A \rightarrow t_{\sigma}^{*} A$ is lifted to an $A$-isomorphism $\phi_{\sigma}: V \rightarrow t_{\sigma}^{*} V \simeq V_{\sigma}$. Hence $\sigma$ is lifted to an $\sigma$-semilinear $R$-automorphism $\psi_{\sigma}$ of $V=A\left[w^{x} ; x \in X\right]$ such that $\phi_{\sigma}\left(w^{x}\right)=\psi_{\sigma}\left(w^{x}\right) \otimes_{\sigma} 1(\forall x \in X)$. See [MN24, 12.2].

We define $V_{\Gamma}:=\bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Gamma} t_{\sigma}^{*} V$ and $F_{i}=\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \psi_{\gamma}\left(f_{i}\right) \in D$. Since $\sigma: A \rightarrow$ $t_{\sigma}^{*} A$ is lifted to $\psi_{\sigma}: D \rightarrow t_{\sigma}^{*} D$, we have $\psi_{\sigma}\left(F_{i}\right)=\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \psi_{\sigma \gamma}\left(f_{i}\right)=F_{i} \in D^{\Gamma}=$ $C$. Let $\phi_{\Gamma, \sigma}:=\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{\gamma}^{*} \phi_{\sigma}$. Since $\gamma \tau=\tau \gamma^{\prime}$ for some $\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ ranges over $\Gamma$ iff $\gamma$ ranges over $\Gamma$ when $\tau$ is fixed. Since $t_{\tau \gamma^{\prime}}^{*}=t_{\tau}^{*} t_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{*}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\Gamma, \sigma \tau} & =\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{\gamma}^{*}\left(t_{\tau}^{*} \phi_{\sigma} \circ \phi_{\tau}\right)=\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{\tau \gamma}^{*} \phi_{\sigma} \circ t_{\gamma}^{*} \phi_{\tau}=\left(\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{\tau \gamma}^{*} \phi_{\sigma}\right) \circ\left(\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{\gamma}^{*} \phi_{\tau}\right) \\
& =\left(\bigotimes_{\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma} t_{\gamma^{\prime} \tau}^{*} \phi_{\sigma}\right) \circ\left(\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{\gamma}^{*} \phi_{\tau}\right)=t_{\tau}^{*} \phi_{\Gamma, \sigma} \circ \phi_{\Gamma, \tau} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left(V_{\Gamma},\left(\phi_{\sigma}: \sigma \in \Gamma\right)\right.$ ) is a descent datum of $V_{\Gamma}$ with respect to $\Gamma$. By [MN24, 11.3], we have a descent $W_{\Gamma}$ of $V_{\Gamma}$. Since $F_{i} \in C, F_{i}$ determines an effective divisor $E_{i}$ of $T$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{T}\left(-E_{i}\right)=F_{i} \mathcal{O}_{T} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T}$. Hence $\widetilde{V}_{\Gamma} \simeq \varpi^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{T}\left(E_{1}-E_{2}\right)\right)$, so that

$$
\widetilde{W}_{\Gamma}=\left(\widetilde{V}_{\Gamma}\right)^{\Gamma} \simeq\left(\varpi^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{T}\left(E_{1}-E_{2}\right)\right)\right)^{\Gamma}=\mathcal{O}_{T}\left(E_{1}-E_{2}\right) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T} \simeq \widetilde{C}
$$

It follows $W_{\Gamma} \simeq C$. This completes the proof.
Corollary A.2. Let $L$ be a projective $C^{\wedge}$-module of rank one and $V:=$ $L \otimes_{R^{\wedge}} A^{\wedge}$. Then $V_{\Gamma}:=\bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Gamma} t_{\gamma}^{*} V$ descends to a trivial $C^{\wedge}$-module.
Proof. Similar to Lemma A. 1 .
A.2. Algebraizability of $\hat{A}$. Now we return to $\S$ 2.1. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a semiabelian $S$-scheme with $T_{0}$ the torus part of $G_{0}$, and $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow$ $A \rightarrow 0$ the Raynaud extension of $G$ with $T$ an $S$-torus of $\tilde{G}$ such that the closed fiber of $T$ equal to the above $T_{0}$. Let $S_{n}=\operatorname{Spec} R / I^{n+1}, G_{n}:=$ $G \times_{S} S_{n} \simeq \tilde{G} \times_{S} S_{n}=: \tilde{G}_{n}, T_{n}:=T \times_{S} S_{n}, A_{n}:=\tilde{G}_{n} / T_{n}, \pi_{n}: G_{n} \rightarrow A_{n}$ the natural projection and $\mathcal{L}_{n}:=\mathcal{L} \times S_{n}$. Thus $G^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}^{\wedge}$. Since $A_{0}$ is an abelian $k(0)$-scheme, $A_{n}$ is a proper smooth group $S_{n}$-scheme $(\forall n \in \mathbf{N})$, so that it is an abelian $S_{n}$-scheme. Let $\hat{A}:=\operatorname{proj} \lim A_{n}, \pi^{\wedge}=\operatorname{proj} \lim \pi_{n}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge}:=\operatorname{proj} \lim \mathcal{L}_{n}$. Then $\pi^{\wedge}: \tilde{G}^{\wedge} \rightarrow \hat{A}$ is the natural morphism in Eq. (2) and $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge}$ is an invertible sheaf on $\tilde{G}^{\wedge}$.

Lemma A.3. $\hat{A}$ is algebraizable.
Proof. See FC90, II, p. 34, lines 2-3]. Let $B:=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{S^{*}}\right)$ for now. Let $L=\Gamma\left(T^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}^{\wedge}}} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\wedge}}\right), V=L \otimes_{R^{\wedge}} B^{\wedge}$ and $V_{\Gamma}:=\prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} t_{\sigma}^{*} V$. Let $\mathcal{N}:=\mathcal{L}_{S^{*, \wedge}}^{\wedge}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma}:=\prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} t_{\sigma}^{*} \mathcal{N}$. By Corollary A.2, the $B^{\wedge}$-module $V_{\Gamma}$ descends to a trivial $R^{\wedge}$-module. Since $\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma}=\widetilde{V}_{\Gamma}, \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma}$ descends to a trivial
 immersion of $T^{\wedge}$ into $\tilde{G}^{\wedge}$ (resp. $\left(T_{S^{*}, \wedge}^{\wedge}\right)^{\Gamma}$ into $\left.\tilde{G}_{S^{*, \wedge}}\right)$ as $S^{\wedge}$-subschemes. Since $\left(T_{S^{*}}\right)^{\Gamma}=T$, we have $\left(t_{\sigma} \circ j\right)^{*} \mathcal{N}=j^{*} \mathcal{N} \simeq i^{*} \mathcal{L}^{\wedge}$. Hence we have

$$
j^{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma}\right)=\prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} j^{*}\left(t_{\sigma}^{*} \mathcal{N}\right)=\prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma}\left(t_{\sigma} \circ j\right)^{*} \mathcal{N} \simeq\left(i^{*} \mathcal{L}^{\wedge}\right)^{\otimes N_{0}}
$$

where $N_{0}=|\Gamma|$. It follows $\left(i^{*} \mathcal{L}^{\wedge}\right)^{\otimes N_{0}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T^{\wedge}}$. By MB85, I, 7.2.2] there exists an ample invertible sheaf $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\hat{A}$ such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes N_{0}, \wedge} \simeq\left(\pi^{\wedge}\right)^{*} \hat{\mathcal{M}}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is ample on $G$ in the sense of [EGA, II, 4.5.2]), so are $\mathcal{L}_{n}^{\otimes N_{0}}$ on $G_{n}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{n}$ on $A_{n}$ with $\mathcal{L}_{n}^{\otimes N_{0}} \simeq \pi_{n}^{*} \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{n}$. It follows from EGA, III, 5.4.5] that there exist an abelian $S$-scheme $A$ and an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on $A$ such that $\left(A^{\wedge}, \mathcal{M}^{\wedge}\right) \simeq(\hat{A}, \hat{\mathcal{M}})$. This completes the proof.

Example A.4. Let $k$ be a field of char $k \neq 2, k^{\prime}$ a Galois extension of $k$ with $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(k^{\prime} / k\right) \simeq \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$. Let $\Gamma=\{1, \sigma\}, k^{\prime}=k(\epsilon)$ with $\sigma(\epsilon)=-\epsilon$ and $a:=-\epsilon^{2} \in k$. Let $H=\operatorname{Spec} A, H^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} A^{\prime}$ and $A^{\prime}:=k^{\prime}[w, 1 / w]$. Suppose that $H$ is not a split $k$-torus. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\Gamma}=k[x, y] /\left(x^{2}+a y^{2}-1\right), A^{\prime}=A \otimes_{k} k^{\prime} \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x:=(1 / 2)(w+1 / w)$ and $y:=(1 / 2 \epsilon)(w-1 / w)$. The product of $H$ is given by $(x, y) \cdot\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\left(x x^{\prime}-a y y^{\prime}, x^{\prime} y+x y^{\prime}\right)$. In other words, $H^{\prime}=\mathbf{G}_{m, k^{\prime}}$ and $H$ is the Weil restriction $\operatorname{Res}_{k^{\prime} / k} H^{\prime}$ of $H^{\prime}$.

## Appendix B. Extension of the degeneration data of $(G, \mathcal{L})$

The purpose of Appendix B is to extend the degeneration data $\mathrm{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$ of a semiabelian scheme $(G, \mathcal{L})$ from the lattices $(X, Y)$ to $(X, X)$.
B.1. Fppf sheaves. Let $S$ be a scheme, $\mathcal{S c h} / S$ the category of schemes over $S$, and $\mathcal{S}^{c h} h_{S}$ the category $\mathcal{S} c h / S$ equipped with the fppf topology. For an $S$-scheme $W$, we define a presheaf $\underline{W}$ by $\underline{W}(U):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {Sch }_{S}}(U, W)$ $\left(U \in \operatorname{Sch}_{S}\right)$. We denote $\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {Sch }_{S}}(U, W)$ by $\operatorname{Hom}(U, W)$ or $W(U)$ if no confusion is possible. This presheaf $\underline{W}$ is an fpqc sheaf by descent theory [SGA1, VIII, 5.1 b), pp. 210-211]. See also [SP, Descent, 023Q].

To be more precise, $\underline{W}$ satisfies the sheaf condition for the fpqc topology: for any fpqc covering ( $U_{i} \rightarrow U ; i \in I$ ) of $U$, the following is exact:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(U, W) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{Hom}\left(U_{i}, W\right) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i, j \in I} \operatorname{Hom}\left(U_{i} \times_{U} U_{j}, W\right)
$$

Since any fppf covering is an fpqc covering, $\underline{W}$ satisfies the sheaf condition for the fppf topology, i.e., $\underline{W}$ is an fppf sheaf. We say that an fppf sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is representable by a scheme $W$ if $\mathcal{F} \simeq \underline{W}$.

For a morphism $f: W \rightarrow Z$ of $S$-schemes, we define an fppf sheaf homomorphism $\underline{f}: \underline{W} \rightarrow \underline{Z}$ by $\underline{f}(U):=f(U): W(U) \rightarrow Z(U)\left(U \in \operatorname{Sch}_{S}\right)$.
B.2. Extension of a split object. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a symmetric semiabelian $S$-scheme with the torus part of $G_{0}$ split. Then we have a split object:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta:=\operatorname{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})=\left(\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t}, \iota, \lambda, \phi, \tau, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \psi, \mathcal{M}\right) \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Eq. (23) associated with $(G, \mathcal{L})$. In $\S \S$ B.3 B. 4 , we shall prove:
Lemma B.1. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a symmetric semiabelian $S$-scheme with $\zeta=$ $\mathrm{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$ and $T_{0}$ split. By taking a finite radical normal extension $K$ of $k(\eta)$ possibly ramified over the maximal ideal $I$ of $R$, we can find
(i) $c^{t, \mathrm{ex}} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X, A\left(R_{K}\right)\right)$ with $c^{t}=c^{t, \mathrm{ex}} \circ \phi$ and $c=\lambda \circ c^{t, \mathrm{ex}}$;
(ii) a trivialization of biextension $\tau^{\mathrm{ex}}: 1_{X \times X} \simeq\left(c^{t, \mathrm{ex}} \times c\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\otimes(-1)}$, which is a symmetric blinear form $\tau^{\mathrm{ex}} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X \times X, K^{\times}\right)$such that $\tau^{\mathrm{ex}}(\phi(y), x)=\tau(y, x)(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y) ;$
(iii) $\iota^{\mathrm{ex}}(x) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X, \tilde{G}(K))$ such that $\iota=\iota^{\mathrm{ex}} \circ \phi$ and $c^{t, \mathrm{ex}}=\pi \circ \iota^{\mathrm{ex}}$;
(iv) a cubical trivialization $\psi^{\mathrm{ex}}: 1_{X} \simeq\left(c^{t, \mathrm{ex}}\right)^{*} \mathcal{M}_{K}^{\otimes(-1)}$, which is a $K^{\times}$valued function compatible with $\tau^{\mathrm{ex}}$ in the sense that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(x+z)=\psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(x) \psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(z) \tau^{\mathrm{ex}}(x, z), \psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(\phi(y))=\psi(y) \\
(\forall x, z \in X, \forall y \in Y) ;
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma B. 1 is proved by Lemmas B.6,B. 8 and Eq. (112). By Lemma B. 1 , we can construct a split object $\zeta^{\text {ex }}$ extended from from $Y$ (resp. $Y \times X$ ) to $X$ (resp. $X \times X$ ). This fact was used in [N99, 4.10] without proof.
B.3. Construction of $c^{t, \mathrm{ex}}$. Let $A_{i}=A$ and $B_{i}=B$ be abelian $S$-schemes $(i=1,2)$. Let $f_{i}: A_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}(i=1,2), g_{1}: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ and $g_{2}: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{2}$ be finite flat surjective $S$-morphisms. Let $H:=\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $K:=\operatorname{ker}\left(g_{1}\right)$. Then $A_{2} \simeq A_{1} / K$ and $B_{1} \simeq A_{1} / H$. Let $B_{2}:=A_{1} /(H+K)$ and let $f_{2}: A_{2} \rightarrow B_{2}$ (resp. $g_{2}: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{2}$ ) be the natural morphism.

Notation B.2. In what follows for a finite closed subgroup $S$-scheme $N$ of $A_{1}, U$ an $S$-scheme and $X, Y \in A_{1}(U)$, we denote $X-Y \in N(U)$ by $X \equiv Y \in\left(A_{1} / N\right)(U)$. We denote the class of $X$ in $\left(A_{1} / N\right)(U)$ by $X+N(U)$.

Lemma B.3. $A_{2} \times_{B_{2}} B_{1} \simeq A_{1} / H \cap K$.
Proof. Let $V=A_{2} \times_{B_{2}} B_{1}$ and $W=A_{1} / H \cap K$. We shall prove an isomorphism $V \simeq W$. Since there is a natural morphism $J: W \rightarrow V$, we shall construct its inverse $G: V \rightarrow W$. Let $T$ be any $S$-scheme and we shall define a map $G(T): V(T) \rightarrow W(T)$ functorial in $T$. Let $P:=\left(Q^{0}, R^{0}\right) \in V(T)$.

Namely $Q^{0} \in A_{2}(T)$ and $R^{0} \in B_{1}(T)$ with $f_{2}\left(Q^{0}\right)=g_{2}\left(R^{0}\right)$. Hence there exist a scheme $U$ fppf over $T$, and $Q^{1}, R^{1} \in A_{1}(U)$ such that

$$
Q^{1} \equiv Q_{U}^{0} \in A_{2}(U), R^{1} \equiv R_{U}^{0} \in B_{1}(U), Q^{1} \equiv R^{1} \in B_{2}(U)
$$

Therefore there exist $Q^{2} \in K(U)$ and $R^{2} \in H(U)$ such that $Q^{1}=R^{1}+$ $R^{2}-Q^{2} \in A_{1}(U)$. Then we define

$$
P^{1}:=Q^{1}+Q^{2}=R^{1}+R^{2} \in A^{1}(U) .
$$

Suppose that there exist $E^{1}, F^{1} \in A_{1}(U)$ such that

$$
E^{1} \equiv Q_{U}^{0} \in A_{2}(U), F^{1} \equiv R_{U}^{0} \in B_{1}(U), E^{1} \equiv F^{1} \in B_{2}(U) .
$$

Then there exist $E^{2} \in K(U)$ and $F^{2} \in H(U)$ such that $E_{U}^{1}=F_{U}^{1}+F^{2}-E^{2} \in$ $A_{1}(U)$. Then we define $P^{2}:=E^{1}+E^{2}=F^{1}+F^{2} \in A^{1}(U)$.

Now we compare $P^{1}$ and $P^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{1}-P^{2}=\left(Q^{1}-E^{1}\right)+\left(Q^{2}-E^{2}\right) \in K(U), \\
& P^{1}-P^{2}=\left(R^{1}-F^{1}\right)+\left(R^{2}-F^{2}\right) \in H(U),
\end{aligned}
$$

whence $P^{1}-P^{2} \in H(U) \cap K(U)$. Thus we have:
Claim B.4. Let $T$ be an $S$-scheme and $P=\left(Q^{0}, R^{0}\right) \in V(T)$. Then
(a) there exist a $T$-scheme $U$ fppf over $T$ and $P^{1} \in A_{1}(U)$ such that $P^{1} \equiv Q_{U}^{0} \in A_{2}(U)$ and $P^{1} \equiv R_{U}^{0} \in B_{1}(U)$. Moreover the class $P^{1}+H(U) \cap K(U) \in W(U)$ is uniquely determined by $P \in V(T)$;
(b) suppose that $U^{\prime}$ is a $T$-scheme fppf over $T$ with $P^{\prime} \in A_{1}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ such that $P^{\prime} \equiv Q_{U^{\prime}}^{0} \in A_{2}(U)$ and $P^{\prime} \equiv R_{U^{\prime}}^{0} \in B_{1}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$; then the class $P^{\prime}+H\left(U^{\prime}\right) \cap K\left(U^{\prime}\right) \in W\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ is uniquely determined by $P \in V(T)$.

Proof. (a) follows from the above argument. (b) is proved by applying the proof of (a) to $U^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime} \in A_{1}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $U$ be a $T$-scheme fppf over $T$ and $P^{1} \in A_{1}(U)$ in Claim B.4(a). Since the scheme $W$ is an fppf sheaf over $\operatorname{Sch}_{S}$, there is an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow W(T) \rightarrow W(U) \underset{p_{2}^{*}}{\stackrel{p_{1}^{*}}{\rightrightarrows}} W\left(U \times_{T} U\right) . \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U^{(2)}:=U \times_{T} U$ and let $p_{i}: U^{(2)} \rightarrow U$ be the $i$-th projection. Then we have $S^{i}:=p_{i}^{*} P^{1} \in A_{1}\left(U^{(2)}\right)$. Then $S^{i}+K\left(U^{(2)}\right) \in A_{2}\left(U^{(2)}\right)$ and $S^{i}+$ $H\left(U^{(2)}\right) \in B_{1}\left(U^{(2)}\right)$. Note that $U^{(2)}$ is a $T$-scheme fppf over $T$, and $S^{i} \equiv$ $p_{i}^{*} Q_{U}^{0} \in A_{2}\left(U^{(2)}\right)$ and $S^{i} \equiv p_{i}^{*} R_{U}^{0} \in B_{1}\left(U^{(2)}\right)$. Hence we apply Claim B.4 (b) to $\left(U^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)=\left(U^{(2)}, S^{i}\right)(i=1,2)$. It follows that $S^{i}+H\left(U^{(2)}\right) \cap K\left(U^{(2)}\right)$ is uniquely determined by $P \in V(T)$, and therfore, $S^{1} \equiv S^{2} \in W\left(U^{(2)}\right)$. Hence $p_{1}^{*} P^{1} \equiv p_{2}^{*} P^{1} \in W\left(U^{(2)}\right)$ in Eq. (111). Therefore there exists $P^{\prime} \in W(T)$ such that $P_{U}^{\prime} \equiv P^{1} \in W(U)$.

Now we define a morphism of functors $G: V \rightarrow W$ by

$$
G(T)(P):=P^{\prime} \in W(T) .
$$

Since $J(T): W(T) \rightarrow V(T)$ is given by defining $J(T)\left(P^{\prime}\right)=\left(P^{\prime}+K(T), P^{\prime}+\right.$ $H(T)) \in V(T)$ for $P^{\prime} \in W(T)$, it is easy to prove $J(T) \circ G(T)=\operatorname{id}_{V(T)}$ and $G(T) \circ J(T)=\operatorname{id}_{W(T)}$, so that $V(T) \simeq W(T)$ and $V \simeq W$ as $S$-schemes. This completes the proof of Lemma B.3.

Corollary B.5. The natural morphism $J: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2} \times_{B_{2}} B_{1}$ is surjective.
Let $\Omega=\overline{k(\eta)}$ and $R_{\Omega}$ the integral closure of $R$ in $\Omega$.
Lemma B.6. There exists a homomorphism $c^{t, e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X, A_{1}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)\right)$ such that $\lambda \circ c^{t, \mathrm{ex}}=c \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X, A^{t}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)\right)$ and $c^{t, \mathrm{ex}} \circ \phi=c^{t} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(Y, A^{t}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)\right)$.
Proof. Let $X / \phi(Y)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r}\left(\mathbf{Z} / e_{i} \mathbf{Z}\right)$ with $e_{i} \mid e_{i+1}(i \in[1, r-1])$. Then there exists a Z-basis $\left(x_{i} ; i \in[1, r]\right)$ of $X$ (resp. $\left(y_{i} ; i \in[1, r]\right)$ of $\left.Y\right)$ such that $e_{i} x_{i}=\phi\left(y_{i}\right)(\forall i \in[1, r])$. For each $i$, we have a commutative diagram

where $A_{i}=A$ and $A_{i}^{t}=A^{t}$. Since $\lambda \circ c^{t}\left(y_{i}\right)=c \phi\left(y_{i}\right)=e_{i} c\left(x_{i}\right)$, by Corollary B.5, there exists $\alpha_{i} \in A_{1}\left(R_{\Omega}\right)$ such that $J\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\left(c^{t}\left(y_{i}\right), c\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$, that is, $c^{t}\left(y_{i}\right)=e_{i} \alpha_{i}$ and $c\left(x_{i}\right)=\lambda\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$. Now we define $c^{t, \text { ex }}\left(x_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}(\forall i \in[1, r])$ and extend $c^{t, \mathrm{ex}}$ to $X$ additively. Hence $\lambda \circ c^{t, \mathrm{ex}}=c$ and $c^{t, \mathrm{ex}} \phi=c^{t}$.
B.4. Construction of $\left(\tau^{\mathrm{ex}}, \psi^{\mathrm{ex}}, \iota^{\mathrm{ex}}\right)$. We can define $\iota^{\mathrm{ex}}$ by Lemma B. 1 (iii), equivalently, by a variant of Eq. (26):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota^{\mathrm{ex}}(x)^{*}:=\bigoplus_{z \in X} \tau^{\mathrm{ex}}(x, z) \in\left(c^{t, \mathrm{ex}}(x) \times c\right)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)} \simeq x \times X \times \Omega^{\times} . \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we suffice to construct $\tau^{\text {ex }}$ and $\psi^{\text {ex }}$. In what follows, we use the same notation $e_{i}, x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ as in the proof of Lemma B.6.

Lemma B.7. If $\mathcal{L}$ is symmetric, then $\psi(-y)=\psi(y)$ and $\psi(e y)=\psi(y)^{e^{2}}$ $(\forall e \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall y \in Y)$.

Proof. This is proved in the same manner as [MN24, 3.5]. Let $\theta \in \Gamma\left(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$ and $\theta^{\prime}:=\left[-\mathrm{id}_{G}\right]^{*} \theta$. Then the Fourier expansion of $\theta$ is uniquely given by $\theta=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}(\theta)$, while $\theta^{\prime}=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{-x}(\theta)$ with wt $\sigma_{-x}=x$, whence $\sigma_{x}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{-x}(\theta)$. For any $y \in Y, \sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(\theta)=\psi(y) \tau(y, x) \sigma_{x}(\theta)$ and $\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)=\psi(y) \tau(y, x) \sigma_{x}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(\theta)=\sigma_{-x-\phi(y)}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)$, we obtain $\psi(-y)=\psi(y)$. The rest is easy.

Lemma B.8. By taking a finite radical normal extension $K$ of $k(\eta)$ possibly ramified over the maximal ideal $I$, we can find
(1) a $K^{\times}$-valued symmetric bilinear form $\tau^{\mathrm{ex}} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(X \times X, K^{\times}\right)$;
(2) a $K^{\times}$-valued function $\psi^{\text {ex }}$ on $X$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(x+z)=\psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(x) \psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(z) \tau^{\mathrm{ex}}(x, z) \\
\tau^{\mathrm{ex}}(\phi(y), x)=\tau(y, x), \psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(\phi(y))=\psi(y)(\forall x, z \in X, \forall y \in Y)
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. To simplify the argument, we identify $Y$ with the subgroup $\phi(Y)$ of $X$. We first prove Lemma when $K=\Omega$. We choose $\mathbf{Z}$-bases $\left(x_{i} ; i \in[1, r]\right)$ of $X$ and $\left(y_{i} ; i \in[1, r]\right)$ of $Y$ as before. Let $s \geq 0$ and $X_{s}:=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbf{Z} x_{i}+Y$. Hence $X_{0}=Y$ and $X_{r}=X$. First we shall construct $\tau^{\mathrm{ex}}$ on $X \times X$ by the induction on $s$. We set $\tau_{0}=\tau$. Suppose that $\tau_{s-1}$ is defined and bilinear on $X_{s-1} \times X$ with $\tau_{s-1}=\tau$ on $Y \times X$. Then we define $\tau_{s}=\tau_{s-1}$ on $X_{s-1} \times X$ and $\tau_{s}\left(x_{s}, x_{i}\right)=\tau_{s}\left(x_{i}, x_{s}\right)$ if $i<s$. If $s \leq i \leq r$, we define $\tau_{s}\left(x_{s}, x_{i}\right)$ to be an $e_{s}$-th root of $\tau\left(y_{s}, x_{i}\right)$. And then we extend $\tau_{s}$ additively to $X_{s} \times X$. It is clear that $\tau_{s}$ is bilinear on $X_{s} \times X$ and $\tau_{s}=\tau_{s-1}$ on $X_{s-1} \times X$. Finally we set $\tau^{\mathrm{ex}}:=\tau_{r}$.

Next we define $\psi_{s}$ on $X_{s}$ by the induction on $s$. We set $\psi_{0}=\psi$. Suppose that $\psi_{s-1}$ is defined on $X_{s-1}$ so that $\psi_{s-1}(x+z)=\psi_{s-1}(x) \psi_{s-1}(z) \tau_{s-1}(x, z)$ $\left(\forall x \in X_{s-1}, \forall z \in X\right)$. Then we define $\psi_{s}\left(x_{s}\right)$ to be a common solution of the equations $\psi_{s}\left(x_{s}\right)^{2}=\tau_{s}\left(x_{s}, x_{s}\right)$ and $\psi_{s}\left(x_{s}\right)^{e_{s}^{2}}=\psi_{s}\left(e_{s} x_{s}\right)=\psi_{s-1}\left(y_{s}\right)$. If $e_{s}$ is odd, then $\psi_{s}\left(x_{s}\right)$ is uniquely determined by these conditions. If $e_{s}$ is even, we can choose any of the square roots of $\tau_{s}\left(x_{s}, x_{s}\right)$ as $\psi_{s}\left(x_{s}\right)$. Then we define $\psi_{s}$ on $X_{s}$ by

$$
\psi_{s}\left(a_{s} x_{s}+x^{\prime}\right)=\psi_{s}\left(x_{s}\right)^{a_{s}^{2}} \psi_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \tau_{s}\left(a_{s} x_{s}, x^{\prime}\right)\left(a_{s} \in \mathbf{Z}, x^{\prime} \in X_{s}\right)
$$

It is easy to check $\psi_{s}\left(a x_{s}+y+b x_{s}+z\right)=\psi_{s}\left(a x_{s}+y\right) \psi_{s}\left(b x_{s}+z\right) \tau_{s}\left(a x_{s}+\right.$ $\left.y, b x_{s}+z\right)\left(\forall a, b \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall y, z \in X_{s-1}\right)$. Finally we set $\psi^{\mathrm{ex}}:=\psi_{r}$. The proof of Lemma is over by choosing a finite radical normal extension $K$ of $k(\eta)$ which is a subfield of $\Omega$ containing $\tau^{\mathrm{ex}}(x, y)$ and $\psi^{\operatorname{ex}}(z)(\forall x, y, z \in X)$.

This completes the proof of Lemma B.1.
B.5. A standard $K$-basis of $\Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{L}_{K}\right)$. Let $M$ be a positive integer, $\zeta_{M}$ a primitive $M$-th root of unity and $R$ an integral domain, $K$ its fraction field and $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$. Assume $R \supset \mathbf{Z}\left[\zeta_{M}, 1 / M\right]$. Let $H$ be a finite abelian group such that $M=e_{\max }(H)$, the maximal order of elements in $H$. Let $H^{\vee}$ be the character group of $H$ and $K(H):=H \oplus H^{\vee}$. We define a bilinear form $e_{H}: K(H) \times K(H) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{H}(z \oplus \alpha, w \oplus \beta)=\beta(z) \alpha(w)^{-1} \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z, w \in H, \alpha, \beta \in H^{\vee}$. Let $V(H):=R[H]$ be the group algebra of $H$ over $R$. Let $H_{S}$ be the constant finite abelian group $S$-scheme associated with $H, H_{S}^{\vee}:=D\left(H_{S}\right)$ the Cartier dual of $H_{S}$ over $S$ and $e_{H_{S}}$ the bilinear form on $K(H)_{S}$ induced from $e_{H}$. Let $\mathcal{G}(H)$ be the central extension of $K(H)$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ associated with $e_{H}$, that is, the group $S$-scheme structure of $\mathcal{G}(H)_{S}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, z, \alpha) \cdot(b, w, \beta)=(a b \beta(z), z+w, \alpha+\beta) \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b \in \mathbf{G}_{m, S}, z, w \in H_{S}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in H_{S}^{\vee}$ are functorial points. Then $e_{H_{S}}$ is the commutator form of $\mathcal{G}(H)_{S}$.

We define $\rho_{H} \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(V(H))$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{H}(a, x, \chi)(z)=a \chi(z)(x+z) \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z \in H$ and $(a, x, \chi) \in \mathcal{G}(H)$. Hence $V(H)$ is a $\mathcal{G}(H)_{S}$-module of weight one: $\mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ acts on $V(H)$ by scalar multiplication. By MB85, V, 2.4.2], $\rho_{H}$ is irreducible in the sense of Footnote 7. The following condition $\left(S_{D}\right)$ is true over any integral domain $D$ over $R$ :
$\left(S_{D}\right)\left(\rho_{H}\right)_{D}$ is irreducible and any $\mathcal{G}(H)_{D}$-module $W$ of weight one is isomorphic to $V(H)_{D}^{\oplus n}$ for some $n \geq 0$,
where $Z_{T}:=Z_{D}:=Z \otimes_{R} D$ for $Z \in\{\rho(H), \mathcal{G}(H), V(H)\}$ and $T:=\operatorname{Spec} D$.
Definition B.9. Let $V$ be any irreducible $\mathcal{G}(H)_{K}$-module. A $K$-basis $\left(\theta_{z} ; z \in H\right)$ of $V$ is called a standard $K$-basis of $V$ if

$$
\rho_{H}(a, x, \chi)\left(\theta_{z}\right)=a \chi(z)\left(\theta_{x+z}\right)(\forall z \in H, \forall(a, x, \chi) \in \mathcal{G}(H) .
$$

We call the isomorphism $\psi: V(H) \otimes_{D} K \rightarrow V_{K}$ sending $z \mapsto \theta_{z}$ a standard $K$-isomorphism, which is unique up to $K^{\times}$-multiples by Schur's lemma.

Corollary B.10. Suppose that $R$ is a CDVR. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a symmetric semi-abelian $S$-scheme, and $(A, \mathcal{M})$ the abelian part of the Raynaud extension $\tilde{G}$. If $(A, \mathcal{M})$ is a polarized abelian $S$-scheme with $\mathcal{M}$ a separable polarization, then by taking a finite radical normal extension $K$ of $k(\eta)$ if necessary, there exists a standard $K$-basis of $\Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{L}_{K}\right)$.

Proof. Since $(A, \mathcal{M})$ is a polarized abelian $S$-scheme with $\mathcal{M}$ a separable polarization, by [M66, Cor. of Th. 1, p. 294] there exists a finite abelian group $H_{2}$ such that $\left.\mathcal{G}\left(A_{\Omega}, \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}\right)\right) \simeq \mathcal{G}\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(A_{\Omega}, \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}\right)\left(\simeq V(H)_{\Omega}\right)$ has a standard $\Omega$-basis $\left(\vartheta_{z_{2}} ; z_{2} \in H_{2}\right)$. By Lemma B.1, we define:

$$
\theta_{z_{1}, z_{2}}=\sum_{y \in Y} \psi^{\mathrm{ex}}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}+\phi(y)\right) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}\left(\vartheta_{z_{2}}\right),
$$

where $H_{1}=X / \phi(Y), z_{i} \in H_{i}(i=1,2)$ and we choose $\tilde{z}_{1} \in X$ such that $\tilde{z}_{1} \bmod Y=z_{1}$. Moreover $\psi^{\sharp}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}+\phi(y)\right)$ is considered to have weight $\tilde{z}_{1}+$ $\phi(y)$, in other words, in a down-to-earth manner (§ 6.4), it is written as $\psi^{\sharp}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}+\phi(y)\right) w_{U}^{\tilde{z}_{1}+\phi(y)}\left(U \in \mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$. Then $\left(\theta_{z_{1}, z_{2}} ; z_{i} \in H_{i}\right)$ is a standard $K$ basis of $\Gamma\left(G_{K}, \mathcal{L}_{K}\right)$. Indeed, let $H=H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$ and identify $\mathcal{G}\left(G_{\Omega}, \mathcal{L}_{\Omega}\right) \simeq$ $\mathcal{G}\left(H_{1} \oplus H_{2}\right)_{\Omega}$. By an easy computation, we obtain

$$
\rho_{H_{1} \oplus H_{2}}\left(a, x_{1} \oplus x_{2}, \chi_{1} \oplus \chi_{2}\right)\left(\theta_{z_{1}, z_{2}}\right)=a \chi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \chi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) \theta_{z_{1}+x_{1}, z_{2}+x_{2}}
$$

where $\chi_{i} \in D\left(H_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$. Then by choosing any finite radical normal extension field $K$ of $k(\eta)$ which is a subfield of $\Omega$ containing all $\tau^{\text {ex }}(x, z)$ and $\psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(x)(x, z \in X)$ and $\mathbf{Z}\left[\zeta_{M}, 1 / M\right]$, we obtain Lemma.
B.6. $K$-rationality of $K_{s}^{\wedge}$-points. Let $D$ be a Dedekind domain of characteristic zero, $K$ its fraction field and $S=\operatorname{Spec} D$. Let $(G, \mathcal{L})$ be a semiabelian $S$-scheme over $S$ with $\mathcal{L}$ symmetric ample cubical and $\mathcal{G}(G, \mathcal{L})$ the theta group of $(G, \mathcal{L})$. By Corollary B.10, there exists a finite Galois extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ and a finite abelian group $H$ such that $(\mathcal{G}(G, \mathcal{L}), \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})) \otimes_{K}$ $K^{\prime} \simeq\left(\mathcal{G}_{H}, V_{H}\right) \otimes_{K} K^{\prime}$. We call the isomorphism $(\phi, \psi):\left(\mathcal{G}_{H}, V_{H}\right) \otimes_{K} K^{\prime} \simeq$ $(\mathcal{G}(G, \mathcal{L}), \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})) \otimes_{K} K^{\prime}$ a standard isomorphism over $K^{\prime}$. Once we fix $\phi$, then $\psi$ is uniquely determined up to constant multiples. For simplicity we take $K^{\prime}$ as $K$ (and then take the integral closure of $D$ in $K^{\prime}$ for $D$ ).

Let $s \in \mathcal{B}, D_{s}, D_{s}^{\wedge}, K_{s}, K_{s}^{\wedge}$ and $G^{s}:=G \times_{S} D_{s}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{s}$ be the same as those in $\S 12.1$. Let $\Omega^{(s)}$ be an algebraic closure of $K_{s}^{\wedge}$ and $\phi^{s, \wedge}:=\phi \otimes_{D} \Omega^{(s)}$.

The following is now obvious:
Corollary B.11. Let $(\phi, \psi)$ and $\left(\phi^{s, \wedge}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ be standard isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\phi, \psi):(\mathcal{G}(H), V(H)) \simeq(\mathcal{G}(G, \mathcal{L}), \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})), \\
& \left(\phi^{s, \wedge}, \psi^{\prime}\right):(\mathcal{G}(H), V(H)) \otimes_{D} \Omega^{(s)} \simeq\left(\mathcal{G}\left(G^{s, \wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{s, \wedge}\right), \Gamma\left(G^{s, \wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{s, \wedge}\right)\right) \otimes_{D_{s}} \Omega^{(s)} . \\
& \text { Let } \theta_{z}:=\psi(z) \text { and } \theta_{z}^{\prime}:=\psi^{\prime}(z) . \text { Then }
\end{aligned}
$$

(1) $\theta_{z} / \theta_{w}=\theta_{z}^{\prime} / \theta_{w}^{\prime}$ on $G_{\Omega^{(s)}}^{s, \wedge}(\forall z, w \in H)$;
(2) if $\mathcal{L}_{K}$ is very ample, then the following are equivalent:
(2a) $P \in G(K)$;
(2b) $P \in G\left(\Omega^{(s)}\right)$ and $\theta_{z}^{\prime}(P) / \theta_{w}^{\prime}(P) \in K(\forall z, w \in H)$ when $\theta_{w}^{\prime}(P) \neq$ 0,
where $G(L):=\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Spec} L, G)$ for a field $L$.
Proof. Since $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})$ is $D$-free and $\operatorname{rank}_{K} \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})=\operatorname{rank}_{\Omega}^{(s)} \Gamma\left(G^{s, \wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{s, \wedge}\right) \otimes_{D_{s}}$ $\Omega^{(s)}$ by Theorem 3.1 (7), we have $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}) \otimes_{D} \Omega^{(s)}=\Gamma\left(G^{s, \wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{s, \wedge}\right) \otimes_{D_{\hat{s}}}$ $\Omega^{(s)}$. Since $\left(\theta_{z} ; z \in H\right)$ (resp. $\left(\theta_{z}^{\prime} ; z \in H\right)$ ) is a standard $\Omega^{(s)}$-basis of $\left.\Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}) \otimes_{K} \Omega^{(s)}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \Gamma\left(G^{s, \wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{s, \wedge}\right)\right) \otimes_{D^{s, \wedge}} \Omega^{(s)}\right)$. By Schur's lemma, there exists $c \in\left(\Omega^{(s)}\right)^{\times}$such that $\theta_{z}=c \theta_{z}^{\prime}(\forall z \in H)$. This proves (1) and (2).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Lemma A.3. See also FC90, II, p. 34, lines 2-3].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See § B. 1 for fppf sheaves.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Eq. (27).

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ To be more precise, the fppf quotient sheaf $Q:=E / \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$ is representable by a group $S$-scheme by An73, IV, 4.C]. See $\S$ B. 1 for fppf shaves.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Caution. Any function $\psi^{\prime}: X^{\vee} \rightarrow K$ satisfies the condition (iii) iff there exists $\chi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(X^{\vee} / \beta(Y),\{ \pm 1\}\right)$ such that $\psi^{\prime}=\chi \psi^{e}$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ The étale base change mentioned in MB85, iV, 2.4 (v)] is unnecessary here because there (already) exists $\mathcal{M}$ on $A$ such that $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge}=\pi^{\wedge, *}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\wedge}\right)$ in Eq. (2). See $\S 2.2$

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ by taking for $l$ its suitable multiple $l l^{\prime}$ if necessary.
    ${ }^{8}$ As is equivalent, it is an admissible finite formal $R$-scheme. See MN24 10.8].

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ In contrast with N99, here we do not assume that $\left.S_{y}\left(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\sigma)\right) \cap \tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\sigma)\right)=\emptyset(\forall y \neq 0)$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ In this case, $\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}=\operatorname{Vor}_{4 N l}$, that is, $2 l$ in Corollary 9.4 is replaced by $4 N l$.

