RELATIVE COMPACTIFICATION OF SEMIABELIAN NÉRON MODELS, II

I. NAKAMURA

ABSTRACT. Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring, $k(\eta)$ its fraction field, $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$, $(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta})$ a polarized abelian variety over $k(\eta)$ with \mathcal{L}_{η} symmetric ample cubical and \mathcal{G} the Néron model of G_{η} over S. Suppose that \mathcal{G} is semiabelian over S. Then there exists a *unique* relative compactification (P, \mathcal{N}) of \mathcal{G} such that $(\alpha) P$ is Cohen-Macaulay with $\operatorname{codim}_{P}(P \setminus \mathcal{G}) = 2$ and $(\beta) \mathcal{N}$ is ample invertible with $\mathcal{N}_{|\mathcal{G}}$ cubical and $\mathcal{N}_{\eta} = \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes n}$ for some positive integer n. The totally degenerate case has been studied in [MN24]. We discuss here first the partially degenerate case and then the case where R is a Dedekind domain.

CONTENTS

| 1. | Introduction | 2 |
|--|--|----|
| 2. | The partially degenerate case | 3 |
| 3. | Degeneration data | 7 |
| 4. | Central extensions | 12 |
| 5. | Degeneration data of the Néron model | 22 |
| 6. | Twisted Mumford families | 35 |
| 7. | The relative compactification P_l | 42 |
| 8. | Proof of Theorem 1.1 | 46 |
| 9. | The totally degenerate case revisited | 51 |
| 10. | The cohomology groups of P | 59 |
| 11. | Uniqueness of P | 67 |
| 12. | Relative compactification over a Dedekind domain | 69 |
| 13. | The complex analytic case | 72 |
| Appendix A. Algebraizability of \hat{A} | | 77 |
| Appendix B. Extension of the degeneration data of (G, \mathcal{L}) | | 79 |
| References | | 85 |

The author was partially supported by the Grants-in-aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 17K05188, 22K03261), JSPS. This work was partially supported also by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14K05; Secondary 14J10, 14K99. Key words and phrases. Abelian varieties, Néron model, relative compactification.

1. INTRODUCTION

This is a continuation of [MN24]. Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring (abbr. CDVR), I the maximal ideal of R, $k(\eta)$ (resp. k(0)) the fraction (resp. residue) field of R, $S := \operatorname{Spec} R$ and η (resp. 0) the generic (resp. closed) point of S. Let $(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta})$ be a polarized abelian variety over $k(\eta)$ with \mathcal{L}_{η} symmetric ample cubical, \mathcal{G} the Néron model of G_{η} and $G := \mathcal{G}^0$ the identity component of \mathcal{G} . A triple (P, i, \mathcal{N}) is called a *relative compactification* of \mathcal{G} (abbr. compactification of \mathcal{G}) (extending $(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta})$) if

- (rc1) P is an irreducible proper flat S-scheme;
- (rc2) $i: \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow P$ is an open immersion with $P_{\eta} = i(\mathcal{G}_{\eta}) = i(\mathcal{G}_{\eta});$
- (rc3) \mathcal{N} is an ample invertible \mathcal{O}_P -module with $i^*\mathcal{N}_\eta \simeq \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\otimes l}$ for some $l \geq 1$.

The purpose of this article is to prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. If \mathcal{G} is semiabelian over S, then there exists a relative compactification (P, i, \mathcal{N}) of \mathcal{G} such that

- (a) *P* is Cohen-Macaulay;
- (b) $i(\mathcal{G}) = P \setminus \operatorname{Sing}(P_0)$ with $\operatorname{codim}_P \operatorname{Sing}(P_0) \ge 2$ where $\operatorname{Sing}(P_0)$ denotes the singular locus of P_0 ;
- (c) $i^*\mathcal{N}$ is cubical with $i_*i^*\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$;
- (d) \mathcal{G} acts on P so that i is \mathcal{G} -equivariant.

Theorem 1.2. If (P', i', \mathcal{N}') is another relative compactification of \mathcal{G} subject to (a)-(c), then $P \simeq P'$.

Theorem 1.1 (resp. Theorem 1.2) is proved in §§ 7-8 in the partially degenerate case (resp. § 11 in any case). See [MN24] for Theorem 1.1 in the totally degenerate case. We call (P, i, \mathcal{N}) in Theorem 1.1 (as well as Theorem 12.1) a cubical compactification of \mathcal{G} .

The article is organized as follows: In §§ 2-3, we recall basic notions and theorems mainly from [FC90]. In § 4, we study central extensions of a finite group S-sceme by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. In § 5, we construct degeneration data of the Néron model $(\mathcal{G}, i^*\mathcal{N})$ after a suitable base change. In § 6, we construct a compactification P_l of \mathcal{G} by using the degeneration data of $(\mathcal{G}, i^*\mathcal{N})$. In § 7, we study the structure of the compactification P_l . In § 8, we prove Theorem 1.1 in the partially degenerate case. In §§ 9-10, we compute the cohomology groups of P. In § 11, we prove Theorem 11.2 (= Theorem 1.2 in any case). In § 12, we prove Theorem 12.1 (=Theorems 1.1/1.2 over a Dedekind domain) using § 10. In § 13, we explain the complex case. In Appendix A we prove algebraizability of the abelian part of the formal completion of G. In Appendix B, we extend the degeneration data of (G, \mathcal{L}) from (X,Y) to (X,X). Throughout this article, we use the notation in [MN24] unless otherwise mentioned. See [MN24, §§ 2.3/4.1/10.3/Notation 5.7]. See also Notation 6.2/6.27.

Acknowledgement. We are very grateful to Professor K. Mitsui for his collaboration in [MN24].

2. The partially degenerate case

Let $R, I, k(\eta), k(0)$ and S be the same as in § 1,

Throughout this article, let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a semiabelian S-scheme with \mathcal{L} symmetric ample cubical invertible sheaf and $G_0 := G \times_S 0$. By definition, G_0 is an extension of an abelian variety A_0 by a k(0)-torus T_0 and $(G_\eta, \mathcal{L}_\eta)$ is a polarized abelian variety over $k(\eta)$. In this section we will discuss the partially degenerate case where the abelian part A_0 of G_0 is nontrivial. §§ 2.1-2.3 are based on [FC90, II, 5]. For an affine S-scheme W of finite type, we denote by $T_{X,W}$ (resp. $\mathbf{G}_{m,W}$) a split W-torus $\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_W)[w^x; x \in X]$ for some lattice X (resp. $\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_W)[w^x; x \in \mathbf{Z}]$). Let T be any W-torus. Then the pullback T_U is a split U-torus for some finite étale cover U of W [SGA3, X, § 1]. We write $\mathbf{G}_{m,\mathbf{Z}}$ by \mathbf{G}_m if no confusion is possible.

2.1. Raynaud extensions. Let $S_n := \operatorname{Spec} R/I^{n+1}$, $G_n := G \times_S S_n$ and $\mathcal{L}_n := \mathcal{L} \times_S S_n$. Hence associated to (G, \mathcal{L}) are the group S^{\wedge} -scheme $G^{\wedge} =$ proj lim G_n and an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge} = \operatorname{proj} \lim \mathcal{L} \otimes_R R/I^{n+1}$. Since T_0 is a k(0)-torus, T_0 lifts to a unique multiplicative closed subgroup scheme T_n of G_n flat over S_n for every n by [FC90, I, 2.2]/[SGA3, IX, 3.6], and hence $\hat{T} = \operatorname{proj} \lim T_n$ is a formal S^{\wedge} -torus. Since T_n is a closed subgroup scheme of G_n flat over S_n , we have a quotient group scheme $A_n := G_n/T_n$ flat over S_n and an exact sequence $0 \to T_n \stackrel{\iota_n}{\to} G_n \stackrel{\pi_n}{\to} A_n \to 0$ by [SGA3, I,V_{new},10.1.2/10.1.3]. Since A_0 is an abelian k(0)-scheme by assumption, A_n is a group S_n -scheme flat smooth and proper over S_n . Hence A_n is an abelian S_n -scheme. Let $\hat{A} := \operatorname{proj} \lim A_n$. Then \hat{A} is algebraizable: ¹

(1) there exists an abelian S-scheme A such that $A^{\wedge} \simeq \hat{A}$.

The formal S^{\wedge} -torus \hat{T} is also algebraizable by $T := \text{Hom}(\underline{X}(T), \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$, where $\underline{X}(T) := \underline{X}(\hat{T})$ is the unique lifting of $\underline{X}(T_0)$ as a locally constant étale sheaf on S^{\wedge} . Namely T is an S-torus such that $T^{\wedge} \simeq \hat{T}$.

The group S^{\wedge} -scheme G^{\wedge} fits into an exact sequence

(2)
$$1 \to T^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\iota} G^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\pi} A^{\wedge} \to 0.$$

Let $A^t := \operatorname{Pic}^0_{A/S}$ be the dual abelian *S*-scheme. By [SGA7, VIII, 1.6], there exist isomorphisms:

$$\operatorname{Ext}(A^{\wedge},T^{\wedge}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\underline{X}(T^{\wedge}),A^{t,\wedge}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\underline{X}(T),A^{t}) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}(A,T).$$

Hence the sequence (2) is algebraizable by [FC90, p. 34], that is, there exist a semiabelian S-scheme \tilde{G} with $\tilde{G}^{\wedge} \simeq G^{\wedge}$ and a closed immersion $\iota_T : T \to \tilde{G}$ fitting into an exact sequence

(3)
$$1 \to T \stackrel{\iota_T}{\to} \tilde{G} \stackrel{\pi}{\to} A \to 0$$

¹See Lemma A.3. See also [FC90, II, p. 34, lines 2-3].

such that $\iota_T^{\wedge} = \hat{\iota}$ and $\pi^{\wedge} = \hat{\pi}$. Namely (2) is the *I*-adic completion of (3). We call \tilde{G} (or Eq. (3)) the *Raynaud extension of G*. The Raynaud extension Eq. (3) is functorial in *G*. See [FC90, p. 35].

Let $\lambda(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}) : G_{\eta} \to G_{\eta}^{t}$ be the polarization morphism of \mathcal{L}_{η} , $K(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}) := \ker(\lambda(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}))$ and $K(\mathcal{L})$ the closure of $K(\mathcal{L}_{\eta})$ in G. Since $K(\mathcal{L})$ is flat quasifinite over S, we have by [An73, IV, 4.C] the quotient $G/K(\mathcal{L})$ denoted by G^{t} , which is a semiabelian S-scheme whose closed fiber G_{0}^{t} is an extension of an abelian k(0)-variety A_{0}^{t} by a k(0)-torus T_{0}^{t} . Moreover G^{t} is the connected Néron model of G_{η}^{t} . Hence $\lambda(\mathcal{L}_{\eta})$ extends to a morphism $\lambda(\mathcal{L}) : G \to G^{t}$. In the same manner as Eqs. (2)/(3), we have another exact sequence

(4)
$$1 \to T^{t,\wedge} \xrightarrow{\hat{\iota}^t} G^{t,\wedge} \to A^{t,\wedge} \to 0,$$

which is uniquely algebraized into

(5)
$$1 \to T^t \stackrel{\iota_{T^t}}{\to} \tilde{G}^t \stackrel{\pi^t}{\to} A^t \to 0.$$

See Eq. (7). We call \tilde{G}^t the Raynaud extension of G^t .

The quasi-finite morphism $\lambda(\mathcal{L}): G \to G^t$ induces an S_n -morphism $G_n \to G_n^t$ and hence an S_n -morphism $T_n \to A_n^t$, which turns out to be trivial because an abelian scheme A_n^t over S_n has no rational curves in it. Hence $\lambda(\mathcal{L})$ induces morphisms $\lambda_{A_n}: A_n \to A_n^t$ and $\lambda_{T_n}: T_n \to T_n^t$. Thus we eventually obtain a commutative diagram of exact sequences:

(6)
$$0 \longrightarrow T^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\iota_{T}^{\wedge}} G^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\wedge}} A^{\wedge} \longrightarrow 0$$
$$\lambda_{T^{\wedge}} \bigvee \lambda_{G^{\wedge}} \bigvee \lambda_{A^{\wedge}} \bigvee \\0 \longrightarrow T^{t,\wedge} \xrightarrow{\iota_{T^{t}}^{\wedge}} G^{t,\wedge} \xrightarrow{\pi^{t,\wedge}} A^{t,\wedge} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since Eqs. (2)/(4) are uniquely algebraizable, we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences which algebraizes Eq. (6):

(7)
$$0 \longrightarrow T \xrightarrow{\iota_T} \tilde{G} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$
$$\lambda_T \bigvee \lambda_{\tilde{G}} \bigvee \lambda_A \bigvee 0 \longrightarrow T^t \xrightarrow{\iota_T t} \tilde{G}^t \xrightarrow{\pi^t} A^t \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now we have two extensions of A by T^t arising from Eq. (7):

the pushout $\tilde{G}' := \tilde{G} \times_S T^t / (\iota_T, \lambda_T)(T)$ of Eq. (3) by $\lambda_T : T \to T^t$; the pullback $\tilde{G}'' := \tilde{G}^t \times_{A^t} A$ of Eq. (5) by $\lambda_A : A^{\to} A^t$.

where the quotient \tilde{G}' is an S-scheme by [An73, IV, 4.C] because $(\iota_T, \lambda_T)(T)$ is a closed S-flat subgroup scheme of $\tilde{G} \times_S T^t$. We have a natural morphism $\Lambda : \tilde{G}' \to \tilde{G}''$ sending $(g, v) \mapsto (\lambda_{\tilde{G}}(g), \pi(g))$ for functorial points $g \in \tilde{G}$ and

 $v \in T^t$. Hence we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences:

(8)
$$0 \longrightarrow T^{t} \xrightarrow{e_{\tilde{G}} \times \operatorname{id}_{T}} \tilde{G}' \xrightarrow{\pi \circ \operatorname{pr}_{1}} A \longrightarrow 0$$
$$\| \bigvee_{I} \wedge \bigvee_{I} \wedge \bigvee_{I} \| \bigvee_{I} \rangle$$
$$0 \longrightarrow T^{t} \xrightarrow{\iota_{T^{t}} \times e_{A}} \tilde{G}'' \xrightarrow{\pi^{t} \circ \operatorname{pr}_{1}} A \longrightarrow 0$$

where $e_{\tilde{G}}$ (resp. e_A) is the identity element of \tilde{G} (resp. A). Since $\lambda(\mathcal{L})$ is quasi-finite surjective, so are $\lambda_{G^{\wedge}}$, λ_T and λ_A . Hence Λ is quasi-finite surjective and hence bijective by Eq. (8). It follows that Λ is an isomorphism:

(9)
$$\Lambda: \tilde{G} \times_S T^t / (\iota_T, \lambda_T)(T) = \tilde{G}' \simeq \tilde{G}'' = \tilde{G}^t \times_{A^t} A.$$

2.2. Raynaud extensions in the split case. By taking a finite étale Galois cover $f: S^* \to S$ of S if necessary, we may assume $T_0 \times_{S_0} 0^*$ is a split $k(0^*)$ -torus, where 0^* is the closed point of S^* . In what follows by choosing S^* as S, we assume that

(10)
$$T_0$$
 is a split $k(0)$ -torus

Then every T_n is a split S_n -torus and hence T^{\wedge} is a split S^{\wedge} -torus. Therefore there exists a lattice X such that $T^{\wedge} = \operatorname{Spf} R[X]^{\wedge}$ such that T^{\wedge} is algebraizable by a split S-torus $T := \operatorname{Spec} R[X]$.

By [MN24, 2.13 (2)]/[MB85, II, 1.1 (ii)] there exists a unique cubical structure of \mathcal{L} , which induces a cubical structure of \mathcal{L}^{\wedge} . Since T^{\wedge} is a split torus, $\mathcal{L}_{|T^{\wedge}|}^{\wedge}$ is trivial, so that \mathcal{L}^{\wedge} descends by [MB85, I, 7.2.2] to a unique ample cubical invertible sheaf \mathcal{M}^{\wedge} on A^{\wedge} , that is, $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge} \simeq \pi^{\wedge,*}(\mathcal{M}^{\wedge})$. Hence there exists an ample invertible sheaf \mathcal{M} on the abelian S-scheme A whose I-adic completion is \mathcal{M}^{\wedge} . Moreover $\underline{X}(T) = X_S$ and $\operatorname{Ext}(A,T) \simeq$ $\operatorname{Hom}(X_S, A^t)$. Hence attached to the sequence (3), we have a classifying morphism (= extension class) $c \in \operatorname{Hom}(X_S, A^t)$ which induces a classifying morphism c^{\wedge} of the sequence (2) via the I-adic completion $\tilde{G}^{\wedge} \simeq G^{\wedge}$.

Let $x \in X$. Since $X = \text{Hom}_{\text{gr.sch.}}(T, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$, we have the pushout (pushforward) torsor $\mathbf{F}_x^{\times} := \mathbf{G}_{m,S} \times_S \tilde{G}/(x,\iota_T)(T)$ of the diagram (3) by x, that is, the quotient of $\mathbf{G}_{m,S} \times_S \tilde{G}$ by the S-flat closed subgroup scheme $(x,\iota_T)(T) (\simeq T)$. Hence we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences:

Let \mathbf{F}_x be the line bundle on A associated with \mathbf{F}_x^{\times} . Since the classifying morphism of the $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ -torsor \mathbf{F}_x^{\times} is c(x), we have $\mathbf{F}_x = c(x) \in A^t(S)$ as a line bundle on A. By the diagram (11), we have an isomorphism of A-schemes:

(12)
$$\tilde{G} \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathbf{F}_x^{\times}.$$

Since T_0 is a split k(0)-torus, so is T_0^t and hence T^t (resp. $T^{t,\wedge}$) is a split S-torus (resp. a split S^-torus). Therefore there exists a lattice Y such that $T^t = \operatorname{Spec} R[Y]$ and $T^{t,\wedge} = \operatorname{Spf} R[Y]^{I-\operatorname{adic}}$. Hence $\underline{X}(T^t) = Y_S$ and $\operatorname{Ext}(A^t, T^t) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(Y_S, A)$. Thus we have a classifying morphism (= extension class) $c^t \in \operatorname{Hom}(Y_S, A)$ of Eq. (5), whose *I*-adic completion is a classifying morphism $c^{t,\wedge}$ of Eq. (4).

There is a canonical morphism $\lambda(\mathcal{L}) : G \to G^t$ extending $\lambda(\mathcal{L}_{\eta})$, which eventually induces morphisms $\lambda_A = \lambda_A(\mathcal{M}) : A \to A^t$ and $\lambda_T : T \to T^t$, each being finite flat surjective. Then λ_T induces an injective homomorphism $\phi : Y \to X$ with finite cokernel. Now we recall the isomorphisms:

(13)
$$c_{\text{ext}} \in \text{Ext}(A, T) \simeq \text{Hom}(X_S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(A, \mathbf{G}_m)) \simeq \text{Hom}(X_S, A^t) \ni c,$$
$$c_{\text{ext}}^t \in \text{Ext}(A^t, T^t) \simeq \text{Hom}(Y_S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(A^t, \mathbf{G}_m)) \simeq \text{Hom}(Y_S, A) \ni c^t$$

where c_{ext} (resp, c_{ext}^t) is the class corresponding to c (resp c^t) under the isomorphism. Note that $\mathcal{E}xt^1(A, \mathbf{G}_m)$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}xt^1(A^t, \mathbf{G}_m)$) is an fppf sheaf over S representable by the S-scheme A^t (resp. A). ² The group Ext(A, T)is the set of isomorphism classes of commutative group S-schemes which are extensions of A by T. The extension $c_{\text{ext}} \in \text{Ext}(A, T)$ induces, as a pushout (11), a commutative group S-scheme $c(x) = \mathbf{F}_x^{\times} \in \mathcal{E}xt^1(A, \mathbf{G}_m)$ $(\forall x \in X(T))$ which is an extension of A by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Since \mathbf{F}_x^{\times} determines a unique line bundle $\mathbf{F}_x \in A^t(S)$ on A, we may view $c(x) \in A^t(S)$. This is what Eq. (13) means. By Eq. (9), we have $\text{Hom}(A, \lambda_T)(c_{\text{ext}}) = \text{Hom}(\lambda_A, T^t)(c_{\text{ext}}^t) \in$ $\text{Ext}(A, T^t)$, which is translated into

(14)
$$c \circ \phi = \lambda_A \circ c^t \in \operatorname{Hom}(Y_S, A^t)$$

2.3. Fourier series. The ample cubical sheaf \mathcal{L} on G induces a sheaf \mathcal{L}^{\wedge} on G^{\wedge} , which descends to an ample cubical sheaf \mathcal{M}^{\wedge} on A^{\wedge} . Then $(A^{\wedge}, \mathcal{M}^{\wedge})$ is algebraized into a polarized abelian S-scheme (A, \mathcal{M}) with \mathcal{M} cubical. Hence $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge} \simeq (\pi^{\wedge})^* \mathcal{M}^{\wedge} = (\pi^* \mathcal{M})^{\wedge}$ via the isomorphism $G^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}^{\wedge}$. Since $T \subset \tilde{G}$, T acts on \tilde{G} keeping π invariant. Let $x \in X$ and

(15)
$$\mathcal{O}^x := \{h \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}; \tilde{T}_t^* h = x(t)h \text{ for any functorial point } t \text{ of } T\},\$$

where \tilde{T}_t is translation of \tilde{G} by t. Since \mathcal{O}^x is \mathcal{O}_A -invertible by $\mathcal{O}_A = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}^{T-\mathrm{inv}}$, there exists an invertible \mathcal{O}_A -module \mathcal{O}_x such that $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_x = \mathcal{O}^x$. Since Tacts on \mathbf{F}_x by $t \cdot f = x(t)^{-1}f$ for any functorial point t of T, we have $\mathcal{O}_{-x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_A(\mathbf{F}_x)$ ($\forall x \in X$), so that $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_x$ by Eq. (12). By the sign change of c, we have

(16)
$$c(x) = \mathcal{O}_x = \mathcal{O}_A(-\mathbf{F}_x) \in A^t(S),$$

If we choose a local trivialization of \tilde{G} as a split U-torus over an affine open subscheme U of A such that $\tilde{G}_U = \operatorname{Spec} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_U)[w_U^x; x \in X]$ $(w_U^x w_U^y = w_U^{x+y}, \forall x, y \in X)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{x,U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_U^x$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}_U}$ generated by w_U^x . By the sign change of c^t , we have $\lambda_A \circ c^t = c \circ \phi$.

 $^{^{2}}$ See § B.1 for fppf sheaves.

Via the isomorphism $G^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}^{\wedge}$, we have $(\pi^{\wedge})_* \mathcal{O}_{G^{\wedge}} \simeq (\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}})^{I\text{-adic}} \simeq (\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_x)^{I\text{-adic}}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\wedge}}$ -modules. Let $\mathcal{M}_x := \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_x$ $(x \in X)$. Then

(17)
$$(\pi^{\wedge})_* \mathcal{L}^{\wedge} \simeq (\pi_* \pi^* \mathcal{M})^{I-\operatorname{adic}} = (\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}})^{I-\operatorname{adic}} \simeq (\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x)^{I-\operatorname{adic}}$$

which induces the composite homomorphism

(18)
$$\Gamma(G,\mathcal{L}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(G^{\wedge},\mathcal{L}^{\wedge}) = \Gamma(A^{\wedge},(\pi^{\wedge})_*\mathcal{M}^{\wedge})$$
$$= (\bigoplus_{x \in X} \Gamma(A,\mathcal{M}_x))^{I\text{-adic}} \subset \prod_{x \in X} \Gamma(A^{\wedge},\mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge}),$$

whose x-th projection induces an R-homomorphism

$$\sigma_x: \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(G^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{\wedge}) \to \Gamma(A^{\wedge}, \mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}_x).$$

. Every $\theta \in \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})$ is uniquely expressed as $\theta = \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_x(\theta)$ for some $\sigma_x(\theta) \in \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}_x)$.

Definition 2.1. We define the X-weight of σ_x by $\operatorname{wt}(\sigma_x) = x$. Hence $\operatorname{wt}(\mathcal{O}_x^{\times}) = \operatorname{wt}(\mathcal{M}_x^{\times}) = \operatorname{wt}((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m})_x^{\times}) = x$, while $\operatorname{wt}(0) = 0$.

Let $T_{\alpha} : A \to A$ be the translation of A by $\alpha \in A(S)$ and $y \in Y$. By Eq. (14), $T^*_{c^t(y)}\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_{\phi(y)}$, while $T^*_{c^t(y)}\mathcal{O}_x \simeq \mathcal{O}_x$ by $\mathcal{O}_x \in A^t(S)$. Hence as rigidified sheaves,

(19)
$$T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}_{\phi(y)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{M}(c^{t}(y)),$$
$$T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}(\mathcal{O}_{x}) = \mathcal{O}_{x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{x}(c^{t}(y)),$$
$$T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}(\mathcal{M}_{x}) = \mathcal{M}_{x+\phi(y)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{M}_{x}(c^{t}(y)).$$

Since \mathcal{M}_{η} is a cubical $\mathbf{G}_{m,\eta}$ -torsor on A, so is the pullback $(c^{t})^{*}\mathcal{M}_{\eta}$ on Y. A trivial cubic $\mathbf{G}_{m,\eta}$ -torsor on Y (resp. a trivial biextension of $Y \times X$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m,\eta}$) is defined to be $1_{Y} = Y \times \mathbf{G}_{m,\eta}$ (resp. $1_{Y \times X} = Y \times X \times \mathbf{G}_{m,\eta}$). The Poincarè sheaf \mathcal{P} of A is by definition the universal invertible sheaf on $A^{t} \times A$ for the functor $\operatorname{Pic}_{A}^{0}$ rigidified along $(e_{A^{t}} \times_{S} A) \cup (A^{t} \times_{S} e_{A})$ where e_{A} and $e_{A^{t}}$ are the identity elements of A and A^{t} respectively. It is an invertible sheaf on $A^{t} \times_{S} A$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{a \times A} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A) = A^{t}$ corresponds to $a \in A^{t}$. The \mathbf{G}_{m} -torsor associated with \mathcal{P} is also a biextension of $A^{t} \times A$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$, so that $(c^{t} \times c)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$ is a biextension of $Y \times X$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m,\eta}$.

In what follows, for simplicity, we often identify an invertible sheaf with the \mathbf{G}_m -torsor associated with it.

3. Degeneration data

§§ 3.1-3.2 of this section is based on [FC90, II-III]. See also [R70].

3.1. Degeneration data of a semiabelian scheme.

Theorem 3.1. [FC90, II, 5.1, p. 44] The *R*-module homomorphisms σ_x : $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}_x)$ ($x \in X$) have the following properties:

(1) $\sigma_x \neq 0 \quad (\forall x \in X);$

8

(2) there exist a cubic trivialization $\psi : 1_Y \to (c^t)^* \mathcal{M}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$ and a trivialization of biextension $\tau : 1_{Y \times X} \to (c^t \times c)^* \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$ such that

(20)
$$\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(\theta) = \psi(y)\tau(y,x)T^*_{c^t(y)}(\sigma_x(\theta)) \quad (\forall \theta \in \Gamma(G,\mathcal{L}), \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y);$$

in particular, $\psi(0) = \tau(0, x) = \tau(y, 0) = 1;$

- (3) $\tau(y, x)$ is bilinear in y and x;
- (4) $\tau(y,\phi(z)) = \tau(z,\phi(y)) = \psi(y+z)\psi(y)^{-1}\psi(z)^{-1} \ (\forall y,z\in Y);$
- (5) $\tau(y,\phi(y)) \in I \ (\forall y \in Y \setminus \{0\});$
- (6) for every $n \ge 0$, $\psi(y) \in I^n$ for all but finitely many $y \in Y$;
- (7) $\Gamma(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta})$ is identified via Eq. (18) with the $k(\eta)$ -vector subspace consisting of Fourier series $\theta = \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_x(\theta)$ satisfying

(21)
$$\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(\theta) = \psi(y)\tau(y,x)T^*_{c^t(y)}(\sigma_x(\theta)) \quad (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y)$$

with $\sigma_x(\theta) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_x) \otimes_R k(\eta).$

Definition 3.2. For $m \ge 1$, we consider a semiabelian *S*-schme $(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\sigma^{(m)}: \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \to \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_x), \ \phi^{(m)} = m\phi: Y \to X,$$
$$\psi^{(m)}: 1_Y \to (c^t)^* \mathcal{M}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-m)}, \ \tau^{(m)}: 1_{Y \times X} \to (c^t)^* \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$$

such that $(\sigma, \psi, \phi, \tau) = (\sigma^{(1)}, \psi^{(1)}, \phi^{(1)}, \tau^{(1)})$ and

(22)
$$\sigma_{x+m\phi(y)}^{(m)}(\theta) = \psi^{(m)}(y)\tau^{(m)}(y,x)T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}(\sigma_{x}^{(m)}(\theta))$$

$$(\forall \theta \in \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \otimes_R k(\eta), \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y)$$

We will give $\sigma^{(m)}$, $\psi^{(m)}$ and $\tau^{(m)}$ explicitly in Corollary 3.9.

3.2. Split objects in DD_{ample} .

Definition 3.3. A split semiabelian S-scheme is a pair (G, \mathcal{L}) of a semiabelian S-scheme G and a symmetric ample cubical invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on G such that G_0 is an extension of an abelian variety A_0 by a split torus T_0 . Let G_{η}^t be the dual abelian variety of $G_{\eta}, \lambda(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}) : G_{\eta} \to G_{\eta}^t$ the polarization (homo)morphism, $K(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}) := \ker(\lambda(\mathcal{L}_{\eta})), K(\mathcal{L}) :=$ the closure of $K(\mathcal{L}_{\eta})$ in G and $G^t := G/K(\mathcal{L})$. By [FC90, p. 35, line 5]/[An73, IV, 4.C], G^t is a semiabelian S-scheme and we have a surjective morphism $\lambda_G : G \to G^t$. By [BLR90, 7.4/3], G^t is a connected Néron model of G_{η}^t .

To avoid repeating long definitions, let DD_{ample} be the category of data consisting of [FC90, III, § 2, (1)-(7), p. 57] satisfying a certain condition (= Definition 3.4 (7)). Here we only recall those split objects in DD_{ample} .

Definition 3.4. A split object ζ over S or R in DD_{ample} is a set of data

(23)
$$\zeta = (\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^t, \iota, \lambda, \phi, \tau, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \psi, \mathcal{M})$$

such that

- (1) A is an abelian S-scheme, T is a split S-torus and \tilde{G} is an extension of A by T with $\pi : \tilde{G} \to A$ the natural projection; the extension \tilde{G} determines and is determined by a homomorphism $c : X \to A^t$ via the *negative* of push-out where $X = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ is the character group of T with T = Spec R[X] and A^t is the dual abelian scheme of A;
- (2) $\phi: Y \to X$ is a monomorphism of free abelian groups of equal rank;
- (3) $c^t: Y \to A$ is a homomorphism which determines and is determined by an extension \tilde{G}^t of A^t by T^t via push-out where $T^t := \operatorname{Spec} R[Y]$;
- (4) \mathcal{M} is a cubical ample invertible sheaf on A with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \pi^* \mathcal{M}$ and $\lambda \circ c^t = c \circ \phi$ for its polarization morphism $\lambda := \lambda(\mathcal{M}) : A \to A^t$;
- (5) $\iota: Y \to \tilde{G}_{\eta}$ is a homomorphism lifting c_{η}^{t} ; ι determines and is determined by a trivialization of biextension $\tau: 1_{Y \times X} \simeq (c^{t} \times c)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{A,\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$;
- (6) Y acts on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\eta}$ over ι in the way compatible with ϕ ;³ the action of Y determines and is determined by a cubic trivialization $\psi : 1_Y \simeq \iota^* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$ compatible with $\tau \circ (\operatorname{id} \times \phi) : 1_{Y \times Y} \simeq (c^t \times c \circ \phi)^* \mathcal{P}_{A,\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$;
- (7) $\tau(y, \phi(y)) \in I \ (\forall y \in Y \setminus \{0\})$ (positivity condition).

Definition 3.5. A cubic trivialization $\psi : 1_Y \simeq \iota^* \mathcal{L}_\eta$ is called symmetric if $\psi(-y) = \psi(y) \ (\forall y \in Y)$. If ψ is symmetric, then $\psi(y)^2 = \tau(y, \phi(y))$ $(\forall y \in Y)$. We say ζ is symmetric if \mathcal{M} is symmetric and ψ is symmetric. Note that \mathcal{M} is symmetric iff $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is symmetric because $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \pi^* \mathcal{M}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\wedge} \simeq$ $(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x)^{I\text{-adic}}$ with $[-\operatorname{id}_{A^{\wedge}}]^* \mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge} = \mathcal{M}_{-x}^{\wedge}$. If $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is the Raynaud extension of a semiabelian scheme (G, \mathcal{L}) with \mathcal{L} is symmetric, then $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and \mathcal{M} are symmetric.

Definition 3.6. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a split semiabelian *S*-scheme. Then we obtain a split object ζ over *S* in DD_{ample} by §§ 2.2-2.3, where \mathcal{M} can be chosen symmetric by choosing $\mathcal{L} \otimes (-\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{G}})^* \mathcal{L}$ for \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{M} \otimes (-\mathrm{id}_A)^* \mathcal{M}$ for \mathcal{M} if necessary. Similarly by Theorem 3.1, we define ζ_m by

(24)
$$\zeta_m := (\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^t, \iota, \lambda^{(m)}, \phi^{(m)}, \tau^{(m)}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes m}, \psi^{(m)}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes m})$$

where $\zeta_1 = \zeta$. It is also a split object over S in DD_{ample} , which encodes the data of $(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$. We denote ζ_m by $FC(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$ and call it the *FC datum* of $(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$. In what follows, we assume that \mathcal{M} and ζ_m are symmetric. If (G, \mathcal{L}) is totally degenerate, then ζ_m is reduced to $(X, Y, \phi^{(m)}, \tau^{(m)}, \psi^{(m)})$ with A = 0. See [MN24, 3.7/3.12].

 $^{^{3}}$ See Eq. (27).

3.3. More about split objects. Let ζ be the split object Eq. (23). Now we revisit Definition 3.4 (5)-(6). First we note that for $y \in Y$,

$$(c^{t}(y) \times c)^{*} \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)} = \prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x}(c^{t}(y))_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)} = y \times X \times \mathbf{G}_{m,\eta}.$$

Hence a trivialization of biextension

(25)
$$\tau : 1_{Y \times X} := (Y \times X) \times \mathbf{G}_{m,\eta} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (c^t \times c)^* \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$$

is given by $\tau(y, x) := \tau(y \times x \times 1) \in \mathcal{O}_x(c^t(y))_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$ $(x \in X, y \in Y)$. Since $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}) = \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_x$, we have $\tilde{G}_{\eta} = \operatorname{Spec}(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x,\eta})$, so that

the homomorphism $\iota : Y \to \tilde{G}_{\eta}$ lifting c_{η}^{t} is given by $\coprod_{y \in Y} \iota(y)$ where $\iota(y)^{*} \in (\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_{x}(c^{t}(y))_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)})$:

(26)
$$\iota(y)^* = \bigoplus_{x \in X} \tau(y, x) \in (c^t(y) \times c)^* \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}.$$

Since A is proper (and hence separated) over S, c_{η}^{t} extends to $c^{t}: Y_{S} \to A$, which is uniquely determined by c_{η}^{t} and hence by ι . Let $\psi : 1_{Y} := Y \times \mathbf{G}_{m,\eta} \simeq (c^{t})^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$ be the cubical trivialization given by ζ . Since $\iota^{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\eta} = \iota^{*} \pi^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\eta} = \coprod_{y \in Y} \mathcal{M}(c^{t}(y))_{\eta}$, we have $\psi(y) \in \mathcal{M}(c^{t}(y))_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\iota(y))_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$ $(y \in Y)$, so that $\psi : 1_{Y} \simeq \iota^{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)}$.

By Theorem 3.1 and Eq. (19), we define an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_A -modules $\tilde{S}_y : T^*_{c^t(y)} \left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_{x,\eta}\right) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_{x,\eta}$ in terms of ψ and τ by

(27)
$$\tilde{S}_y T^*_{c^t(y)} \left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \sigma_x \right) = \bigoplus_{x \in X} \sigma'_x, \ \sigma'_{x+\phi(y)} = \psi(y)\tau(y,x)T^*_{c^t(y)}\sigma_x$$

where $\sigma_x, \sigma'_x \in \mathcal{M}_{x,\eta}$. Thus \tilde{S}_y is compatible with ϕ , ψ and τ over $k(\eta)$ in the sense of Eq. (27). This is essentially the same as Definition 3.4 (6). The constant $\psi(y)\tau(y,x)$ in Eq. (27) is derived from Theorem 3.1 (7) because $\sigma_x(s) \in \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}_x)$ and $\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(s) = \psi(y)\tau(y,x)T^*_{c^t(y)}\sigma_x(s)$ if $s \in \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})$.

Next we define an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_A -modules $\tilde{S}_y : T^*_{c^t(y)} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}_\eta} \to \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}_\eta}$ in a similar fashion by

(28)
$$\tilde{S}_y T^*_{c^t(y)}(f_x) = \tau(y, x) T^*_{c^t(y)} f_x \ (f_x \in \mathcal{O}_x),$$

which makes the second equality of Eq. (19) precise. This is derived from Eq. (27) as follows. If $s \in \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})$, then Eq. (27) implies

(29)
$$\tilde{S}_y \tilde{T}^*_{c^t(y)} \left(\sum_{x \in X} \sigma_x(s) \right) = \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(s)$$

(30)
$$\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(s) = \psi(y)\tau(y,x)T^*_{c^t(y)}(\sigma_x(s))$$

Assume $\sigma_z(s) \neq 0$ (z = 0, x). By Eq. (30) $\sigma_{z+\phi(y)}(s) \neq 0$ (z = 0, x). Let $V(\sigma_0(s)\sigma_{\phi(y)}(s))$ be the zero locus of the section $\sigma_0(s)\sigma_{\phi(y)}(s)$. Then on the

open subset $U := A \setminus V(\sigma_0(s)\sigma_{\phi(y)}(s))$ of A, we have

(31)
$$\tilde{S}_y T^*_{c^t(y)} \left(\frac{\sigma_x(s)}{\sigma_0(s)} \right) \coloneqq \frac{S_y T^*_{c^t(y)} \sigma_x(s)}{\tilde{S}_y T^*_{c^t(y)} \sigma_0(s)} = \frac{\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(s)}{\sigma_{\phi(y)}(s)}$$
$$= \tau(y, x) T^*_{c^t(y)} \left(\frac{\sigma_x(s)}{\sigma_0(s)} \right).$$

Since \mathcal{M}_0 is ample, $\Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}_x) \neq 0$ ($\forall x \in X$). Since \mathcal{O}_x is torsion free, Eq. (31) implies Eq. (28) everywhere on A. Hence the definition of \tilde{S}_y^* on $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x$ by Eq. (27) is consistent with that of \tilde{S}_y on $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_x$ by Eq. (28).

3.4. Split objects as degeneration data.

Theorem 3.7. Let (G', \mathcal{L}') and (G'', \mathcal{L}'') be split semiabelian S-schemes. If $\zeta := FC(G', \mathcal{L}') = FC(G'', \mathcal{L}'')$, then $(G', \mathcal{L}') \simeq (G'', \mathcal{L}'')$.

Proof. Let $(G^{(1)}, \mathcal{L}^{(1)}) := (G', \mathcal{L}')$ and $(G^{(2)}, \mathcal{L}^{(2)}) := (G'', \mathcal{L}'')$. Let $\zeta := (\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^t, \iota, \phi, \tau, \mathcal{L}, \psi, \mathcal{M})$. Let $\pi : \tilde{G} \to A$ be the natural projection. Then we have an isomorphism $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}} \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_x$. Since $(G^{(\nu)})^{\wedge} \simeq (\tilde{G})^{\wedge}$, we have formal morphisms $\varpi^{(\nu)} : G^{(\nu), \wedge} \to A^{\wedge} (\nu = 1, 2)$, so that

(32)
$$\operatorname{Spf}(\varpi^{(\nu)})_*\mathcal{O}_{G^{(\nu),\wedge}} = G^{(\nu),\wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}^{\wedge} = \operatorname{Spf}(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}})^{\wedge}.$$

Since $\zeta = \operatorname{FC}(G', \mathcal{L}') = \operatorname{FC}(G'', \mathcal{L}'')$, c and \mathcal{M} are shared by \tilde{G}' and \tilde{G}'' , so that there exists an isomorphism $\hat{\Phi} : (G')^{\wedge} \simeq (G'')^{\wedge}$ such that $\hat{\Phi}^*(\varpi'')^* \mathcal{M}^{\wedge} = (\varpi')^* \mathcal{M}^{\wedge}$ and $\hat{\Phi}^*(\varpi'')^{-1} \mathcal{O}_x^{\wedge} = (\varpi')^{-1} \mathcal{O}_x^{\wedge} \ (\forall x \in X).$

Since $\lambda^{(m)} = \lambda_A(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = m\lambda$, we have $\phi^{(m)}(y) = m\phi(y) \ (\forall m \ge 1, \forall y \in Y)$. By [FC90, p. 50, line 6] $\psi^{(2)}(y) = \psi(y)^2$ and $\tau^{(2)}(y, x) = \tau(y, x)$ because:

$$\psi(y)^{2}\tau(y,x)\tau(y,x') = \psi^{(2)}(y)\tau^{(2)}(y,x+x') \quad (\forall x,x' \in X, \forall y \in Y).$$

Let $m = 2^l$ $(l \ge 2)$ and $V_m^{(\nu)} := \Gamma(G_\eta^{(\nu)}, (\mathcal{L}_\eta^{(\nu)})^{\otimes m})$. Then $\psi^{(m)}(y) = \psi(y)^m$ and $\tau^{(m)}(y, x) = \tau(y, x)$. By Theorem 3.1 (7), $V_m^{(\nu)}$ is identified with

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \sigma_{x+my}^{(\nu,m)}(\theta^{(\nu)}) &= \psi(y)^m \tau(y,x) T^*_{c^t(y)}(\sigma_x^{(\nu,m)}(\theta^{(\nu)})) \\ \theta^{(\nu)} &= \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_x^{(\nu,m)}(\theta^{(\nu)}); \sigma_x^{(\nu,m)}(\theta^{(\nu)}) \in \Gamma(A^\wedge, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_x)^\wedge) \otimes_R k(\eta) \\ & (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y) \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Hence $\hat{\Phi}$ induces an isomorphism $\Psi_m : V_m'' \to V_m'$ with $\sigma_x^{(1,m)}(\Psi_m(\theta'')) = \sigma_x^{(2,m)}(\theta'')$ ($\forall \theta'' \in V_m'', \forall x \in X$). By the same proof as [MN24, 3.14] from here, there is an isomorphism $\Phi : (G_\eta', (\mathcal{L}_\eta')^{\otimes m}) \simeq (G_\eta'', (\mathcal{L}_\eta'')^{\otimes m})$ because $(\mathcal{L}^{(\nu)})^{\otimes m}$ is very ample by $m \geq 4$. Since $G^{(\nu)}$ is the connected Néron model of $G_\eta^{(\nu)}, G' \simeq G''$. Since $\mathcal{L}^{(\nu)}$ is a unique extension of $\mathcal{L}_\eta^{(\nu)}$ to $G^{(\nu)}$ with $\Phi^*(\mathcal{L}_\eta') = \mathcal{L}_\eta''$, we have $(G', \mathcal{L}') \simeq (G'', \mathcal{L}'')$.

Lemma 3.8. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a split semiabelian S-scheme and $\zeta := FC(G, \mathcal{L})$ as in Eq. (23). Then $\Gamma(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes m})$ is identified with the following $k(\eta)$ -vector space for any $m \geq 1$:

(33)
$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \sigma_{x+my}^{(m)}(\theta) &= \psi(y)^m \tau(y,x) T^*_{c^t(y)}(\sigma_x^{(m)}(\theta)) \\ \theta &= \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_x^{(m)}(\theta); \sigma_x^{(m)}(\theta) \in \Gamma(A^\wedge, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_x)^\wedge) \otimes_R k(\eta) \\ (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y) \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Proof. This is a partially degenerate counterpart (abbr. pd-counterpart) of [MN24, 4.21]. We choose a flat projective S-scheme P with $P \supset G$ and $P_{\eta} = G_{\eta}$ constructed by [AN99, § 3]/[N99, § 4]. Recall that for proving [MN24, 4.21], we needed [MN24, 4.16/4.19-4.21] and [N99, 3.9], all of whose pd-counterparts including [N99, 4.10] are proved in parallel to the totally degenerate case if we choose $\zeta = \text{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$ for ξ of [MN24, 4.16]. To prove Lemma, it suffices to check the pd-counterpart of [MN24, 4.20] by applying [N99, 3.9/4.10 (3)]. Let t be a uniformizer of R. With the notation of [MN24, 4.20], we set $\eta_m = \zeta_{x-m\alpha,\alpha}(\xi^*_{\alpha})^m$, $\gamma_m(x) = t^{v_t(\eta_m(x))}$ and $c_x(\theta) = \sigma_x(\theta)/\gamma_m(x)$, where we regard $c_x(\theta)$ (resp. $\gamma_m(x)$) as an element of X-weight x (resp. zero). Let $\bar{\psi}(y) := t^{-v_t(\psi(y))}\psi(y)$ and $\bar{\tau}(y,x) := t^{-v_t(\tau(y,x))}\tau(y,x)$. As in [MN24, 4.20],

$$c_{x+my}^{(m)}(\theta) = \bar{\psi}(y)^m \bar{\tau}(y, x) T_{c^t(y)}^*(c_x^{(m)}(\theta))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow c_{x+my}^{(m)}(\theta) \gamma_m(x+my) \vartheta^m = T_{c^t(y)}^*(c_x^{(m)}(\theta) \gamma_m(x) \vartheta^m)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sigma_{x+my}(\theta) = \psi(y)^m \tau(y, x) T_{c^t(y)}^*(\sigma_x(\theta)).$$

By [N99, 4.19 (3)] and Nakayama's lemma, we obtain the equality $\stackrel{*}{=}$ below, so that we see via Eq. (18)

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes m}) &= \Gamma(P, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \otimes_{R} k(\eta) = \Gamma(P^{\wedge}, (\mathcal{L}^{\wedge})^{\otimes m}) \otimes_{R} k(\eta) \\ & \stackrel{*}{=} \left\{ \begin{aligned} c_{x+my}^{(m)}(\theta) &= \bar{\psi}(y)^{m} \bar{\tau}(y, x) T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}(c_{x}^{(m)}(\theta)) \\ \theta &= \sum_{x \in X} c_{x}^{(m)}(\theta) \eta_{m}(x); c_{x}^{(m)}(\theta) \in \Gamma(A^{\wedge}, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{x})^{\wedge}) \otimes_{R} k(\eta) \\ & (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} \theta &= \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x}^{(m)}(\theta); \sigma_{x}^{(m)}(\theta) \in \Gamma(A^{\wedge}, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \mathcal{O}_{x})^{\wedge}) \otimes_{R} k(\eta) \\ & (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y) \end{aligned} \right\} \end{split}$$

Hence we have Lemma.

Corollary 3.9. $\psi^{(m)} = \psi^m$, $\tau^{(m)} = \tau$ and $\phi^{(m)} = m\phi$ ($\forall m \ge 1$).

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.1/Definition 3.2/Lemma 3.8.

4. Central extensions

4.1. Extensions of a constant finite group. Let Λ be a commutative group and H be a finite commutative group acting on Λ . We denote the action of H on Λ by $\tau : (x, a) \mapsto x(a)$ ($x \in H, a \in \Lambda$).

Definition 4.1. Let *E* be a (not necessarily commutative) group. Then *E* is called an *extension of H* by Λ if

- (α) Λ is a normal subgroup of E such that $E/\Lambda \simeq H$;
- (β) if $\zeta(x)$ be a lifting of $x \in H$ to E with $\zeta(0) = 1_E$ the identity element of E, then $\operatorname{ad}(w) = \tau$, that is, $\operatorname{ad}(w)(x, a) := \zeta(x) \cdot a \cdot \zeta(x)^{-1} = x(a) = \tau(x, a)$ ($x \in H, a \in \Lambda$), where $a \cdot b$ is the product of $a, b \in E$.

If E is an extension of H by Λ , then $E \simeq H \times \Lambda$ as sets. If moreover Λ is contained in the center of E, then E is called a *central extension of* H by Λ . If H acts trivially on Λ , then E is a central extension of H by Λ in view of (β).

Definition 4.2. Two extensions E and E' of H by Λ are isomorphic (as extensions) if there is an isomorphism $f : E \to E'$ as groups such that $f_{|\Lambda} = \mathrm{id}_{\Lambda}$ and the homomorphism $\overline{f} : H \xrightarrow{\simeq} E/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} H$ induced from f is id_{H} . We define $\mathrm{Ext}(H,\Lambda)$ to be the set of all isomorphism classes of extensions of H by Λ .

Theorem 4.3. Let H be a finite commutative group and Λ a commutative group with H-action on it. Then $\text{Ext}(H, \Lambda) \simeq H^2(H, \Lambda)$ (bijective).

Proof. Here we recall how to define a map σ : Ext $(H, \Lambda) \rightarrow H^2(H, \Lambda)$. See [NSW99, 1.2.4] for a complete proof of Theorem 4.3 including the case where H is a possibly non-commutative group. In what follows we denote the product of H additively.

Let *E* be an extension of *H* by Λ . Then associated with *E*, we define a 2cocycle $\sigma(E) = (\phi(x, y) : x, y \in H) \in H^2(H, \Lambda)$ with $\phi(x, y) \in \Lambda$ as follows. We choose a lifting $\zeta(x)$ to *E* of each $x \in H$ with $\zeta(0) = 1_E$. Then there exists $\phi(x, y) \in \Lambda$ such that $\zeta(x) \cdot \zeta(y) = \phi(x, y) \cdot \zeta(x+y)$ ($\forall x, y \in H$). Since the group law of *E* is associative, we have $(\zeta(x) \cdot \zeta(y)) \cdot \zeta(z) = \zeta(x) \cdot (\zeta(y) \cdot \zeta(z))$ ($\forall x, y, z \in H$). Hence we have by Definition 4.1 (β)

(34)
$$\phi(x,y) \cdot \phi(x+y,z) = x(\phi(y,z)) \cdot \phi(x,y+z), \\ \phi(x,0) = \phi(0,x) = 1 \quad (\forall x,y,z \in H).$$

Hence $\sigma(E)$ is a 2-cocycle in the sense that ϕ satisfies Eq.=(34). Let $\sigma(E) := (\phi(x, y); x, y \in H)$. If E splits, that is, $E \simeq H \times \Lambda$ as groups, then there exists a 1-cochain $\psi := (\psi(x); x \in H)$ with $\psi(x) \in \Lambda$ such that $\tilde{\zeta}(x) := \psi(x)\zeta(x)$ trivializes E in the sense that $\tilde{\zeta}(x) \cdot \tilde{\zeta}(y) = \tilde{\zeta}(x + y) \ (\forall x, y \in H)$, whence $E \simeq H \times \Lambda$ as groups. In this case, ϕ is a 2-coboundary:

(35)
$$\phi(x,y) = \psi(x+y) \cdot \psi(x)^{-1} \cdot x(\psi(y))^{-1}.$$

The second group cohomology $H^2(H, \Lambda)$ is defined by

$$H^2(H,\Lambda) = Z^2(H,\Lambda)/B^2(H,\Lambda),$$

where

$$Z^{2}(H,\Lambda) := \left\{ (\phi(x,y); x, y \in H); \begin{array}{l} \phi(x,y) \in \Lambda \ (\forall x, y \in H) \\ \text{Eq. (34) holds} \end{array} \right\},$$
$$B^{2}(H,\Lambda) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \psi(x+y) \cdot \psi(x)^{-1} \cdot (x(\psi(y))^{-1} \\ x, y \in H \end{pmatrix}; \psi(x) \in \Lambda \ (\forall x \in H) \right\}.$$

For $\phi \in Z^2(H, \Lambda)$, we denote the cohomology class of ϕ in $H^2(H, \Lambda)$ by $[\phi]$. Thus we define a map $\sigma : \text{Ext}(H, \Lambda) \to H^2(H, \Lambda)$ by

 $\sigma(E) = (\phi(x,y); x, y \in H) \mod B^2(H,\Lambda),$

which is a bijection by [NSW99, 1.2.4].

Now we consider the case where $H = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$.

Theorem 4.4. Let $H = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ be a cyclic group of order n with h generator, and Λ a commutative group with H-action on it. Let Λ^H be the subgroup of Λ of all H-invariants of Λ , and $N_H(\Lambda) := \{\prod_{x \in H} x(\lambda); \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Then $\Omega : H^2(H, \Lambda) \simeq \Lambda^H/N_H(\Lambda)$, where Ω and its inverse Ψ are given as follows:

$$\Omega(\phi) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \phi(\nu h, h), \ \Psi(x)(ih, jh) = ([\frac{i+j}{n}] - [\frac{i}{n}] - [\frac{j}{n}])x$$
$$(i, j \in [0, n-1], x \in \Lambda^H).$$

See [Sa97, pp. 105-108]. See also [NSW99, Chap. I, 1.9.11].

Corollary 4.5. Let R be a CDVR and $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$. Let $H = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{G}_m(S)$. If H acts on $\mathbb{G}_m(S)$ trivially, then

- (1) $H^{2}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m}(S)) = \mathbf{G}_{m}(S)/\mathbf{G}_{m}(S)^{n}$ where $\mathbf{G}_{m}(S)^{n} := \{a^{n}; a \in \mathbf{G}_{m}(S)\};$
- (2) let $E \in \text{Ext}(H_S, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$, ϕ_E a 2-cocycle of E, $a := \Omega(\phi_E) \in \mathbf{G}_m(S)$, $R_L := R[x]/(x^n - a)$ and $W = \text{Spec } R_L$; then the pullback E_W of Eto W splits, that is, $E_W \simeq \mathbf{G}_{m,W} \oplus H_W$ as group W-schemes.

Proof. (1) follows from Theorem 4.4. We shall prove (2). Let $\zeta(i)$ be a lifting of $i \in H$ to E with $\zeta(0) = 1$ and $\phi_E(i,j) := \zeta(i)\zeta(j)/\zeta(i+j) \in \mathbf{G}_m(S)$. Then $\phi_E := (\phi_E(i,j); i, j \in H)$ is a 2-cocycle of E. Since $\Phi(\phi_E) = a$, we may assume $\phi_E(i,j) = \Psi(a)(i,j)$ by Theorem 4.4. Let $\alpha \in R_L$ such that $\alpha^{-n} = a$. Then we have

$$\phi_E(i,j) = \Psi(a)(i,j) = a^{[\frac{i+j}{n}] - [\frac{i}{n}] - [\frac{j}{n}]}$$
$$= \alpha^{n(\frac{i+j}{n} - [\frac{i+j}{n}])} \alpha^{-n(\frac{i}{n} - [\frac{i}{n}])} \alpha^{-n(\frac{j}{n} - [\frac{j}{n}])}$$

Let $\tilde{\zeta}(i) = \zeta(i)\alpha^{n(\frac{i}{n} - [\frac{i}{n}])}$. Then $\tilde{\zeta}(i)\tilde{\zeta}(j) = \tilde{\zeta}(i+j)$, whence E_W splits. \Box

4.2. Extensions of a finite group S-scheme by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Let R be a CDVR and $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$. Let H be a finite S-scheme, and E a \mathbf{G}_m -torsor over H. That is, $\pi : E \to H$ is a smooth S-morphism such that there exists a Zariski cover $(U_i; i \in I)$ of H with $E_{U_i} \simeq U_i \times_S \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Since H is finite flat over S, this implies $E \simeq H \times_S \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ as S-schemes. Hence we have a section

14

 $\zeta : H \to E$ as S-schemes with $\zeta(0) = 1_E$ the identity element of E, which we call a lifting of H to E. Since H is affine, so is E because $E \simeq H \times_S \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ as S-schemes. Let $D := \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_H)$. Then D is the Hopf algebra of H.

Definition 4.6. Let H be a finite flat commutative group S-scheme acting on $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$, and $\tau : H \times_S \mathbf{G}_{m,S} \to \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ the action of H on Λ . A \mathbf{G}_m -torsor $\pi : E \to H$ is called an *extension of* H by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ if

- (a) E is a group S-scheme;
- (b) $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ is a closed normal group S-subscheme of E (flat over S) such that the quotient $Q := E/\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ is the group S-scheme H;⁴
- (c) if $w: H \to E$ is a lifting of H to E with $\zeta(0) = 1_E$, then $\operatorname{ad}(\zeta) = \tau$, where $\operatorname{ad}(\zeta): H \times_S \mathbf{G}_{m,S} \to \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ is the morphism sending $(x, a) \mapsto \zeta(x) \cdot a \cdot \zeta(x)^{-1}$.

If $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ is contained in the center of E, then E is called a *central extension* of H by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Note that if H acts trivially on $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$, then E is a central extension of H by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ in view of (c).

Definition 4.7. Two extensions E and E' of H by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ are isomorphic (as extensions) if there is an isomorphism $f: E \to E'$ as group S-schemes such that $f_{|\mathbf{G}_{m,S}|} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{G}_{m,S}}$ and the homomorphism $\overline{f}: H \xrightarrow{\simeq} E/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} H$ induced from f is id_{H} . Let $\mathrm{Ext}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ be the set of isomorphism classes of extensions of H by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$.

Let $H^m := H \times_S \cdots \times_S H$ (*m*-times), and let $C^n(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ be the set of all S-morphisms $\phi : H^n \to \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Hence $C^n(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n D^{\times}$

Definition 4.8. Let $\phi \in (D \otimes_R D)^{\times}$. We call $\phi \in C^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ a 2-cocycle if ϕ satisfies the condition: for any S-scheme T,

(36)
$$\phi(x,y) \cdot \phi(x+y,z) = x(\phi(y,z)) \cdot \phi(x,y+z), \\ \phi(x,0) = \phi(0,x) = 1 \quad (\forall x,y,z \in H(T)).$$

Next we call ϕ a 2-coboundary iff there exists $\psi \in C^1(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ such that $\phi = d^1 \psi$, that is, for any S-scheme T,

(37)
$$\phi(x,y) = d^1 \psi(x,y) = \psi(x+y)\psi(x)^{-1}(x(\psi(y)))^{-1} \ (\forall x, y \in H(T)).$$

We define $Z^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ (resp. $B^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$) to be the subset of $C^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ of all 2-cocycles (resp. 2-coboundaries). We define

$$H^{2}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) := Z^{2}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})/B^{2}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}).$$

For $\phi \in Z^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$, we denote the class of ϕ in $H^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ by $[\phi]$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_S(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(R[w, w^{-1}], D) = D^{\times}$, we have $\phi \in C^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) = (D \otimes_R D)^{\times}$ and $\psi \in C^1(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) = D^{\times}$.

⁴To be more precise, the fppf quotient sheaf $Q := E/\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ is representable by a group S-scheme by [An73, IV, 4.C]. See § B.1 for fppf shaves.

Lemma 4.9. Let H be a finite flat commutative group S-scheme and E is a $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ -torsor on H, $\zeta : H \to E$ a lifting of H to E and $\phi \in C^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) = (D \otimes_R D)^{\times}$. Let T any S-scheme, $x, y \in H(T)$, $a, b \in \mathbf{G}_{m,S}(T)$ and $\phi(x, y) := (x, y)^* \phi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_T)^{\times}$. We define a multiplication of E by

(38)
$$(\zeta(x) \cdot a) \cdot (\zeta(y) \cdot b) = \zeta(x+y) \cdot \phi(x,y) \cdot a \cdot x(b).$$

Then

(1) the following are equivalent:

- (a) multiplication Eq. (38) of E is associative;
- (b) $\phi \in Z^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S});$
- (c) Eq. (36) is true for any S-scheme T;
- (d) Eq. (36) is true for T = H and $x = y = z = id_H$;
- (2) the following are equivalent:
 - (a) E splits, that is, $E \simeq H \times \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ as group S-schemes;
 - (b) $\phi \in B^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S});$
 - (c) there exists $\psi \in C^1(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ such that Eq. (37) is true for any S-scheme T;
 - (d) there exists $\psi \in C^1(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ such that Eq. (37) is true for T = H and $x = y = \mathrm{id}_H$.

Proof. Equivalence of (1a)-(1c) is clear. Let T be any S-scheme and $x, y, z \in H(T)$. The pullback of Eq. (36) for (1d) by the morphism $(x, y, z) : T \to H \times_S H \times_S H$ is Eq. (36) for (1c). Hence (1d implies (1c). Since (1c) clearly implies (1d, this proves (1). (2) is proved similarly.

Definition 4.10. Let $D = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_H)$ and $n = \operatorname{rank}_R D$. Then we define

$$Z_{\text{univ}}^{2}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) = \left\{ (\phi(x, y); x, y \in \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{id}_{H}); \begin{array}{l} \phi(x, y) \in D^{\times} \\ \operatorname{Eq.} (36) \text{ holds} \end{array} \right\},$$
$$B_{\text{univ}}^{2}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\psi(x+y)\psi(x)^{-1}(x(\psi(y))^{-1}; x, y \in \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{id}_{H}) \\ \psi(x) \in D^{\times} \end{array} \right\},$$
$$H_{\text{univ}}^{2}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) = Z_{\text{univ}}^{2}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) / B_{\text{univ}}^{2}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}),$$

where we note $n \operatorname{id}_H = 0$ in H(H) by Deligne's theorem [OT70, p. 4].

The following is proved in the same manner as Theorem 4.3:

Theorem 4.11. Let H be a finite flat commutative group S-scheme acting on $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Then we have a bijection by the map $E \mapsto \sigma(E)$,

$$\operatorname{Ext}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \simeq H^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \simeq H^2_{\operatorname{univ}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \quad (bijective).$$

Theorem 4.12. Let $K = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and let H be a finite commutative group S-scheme of rank n acting on $\mathbb{G}_{m,S}$ with $D = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_H)$. Let $\mathrm{id}_H \in H(H)$ be the identity of H and assume $n = \mathrm{ord}(\mathrm{id}_E)$. We define an action of K on $D = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \mathbb{G}_{m,S})$ by $K \times D \ni (x, f) \mapsto (x \mathrm{id}_H)^* f := f \circ (x \mathrm{id}_H)$, and $N_K(f) = \prod_{x \in K} (x \mathrm{id}_H)^* f$. Moreover let $\phi \in Z^2(H, \mathbb{G}_{m,S})$, $E = E_{\phi} \in \mathrm{Ext}(H, \mathbb{G}_{m,S})$, and $\Omega(\phi) := \prod_{x=0}^{n-1} \phi(x \mathrm{id}_E, \mathrm{id}_E) \in D^{\times}$. Then

- (1) Ω induces an isomorphism $H^2_{\text{univ}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \simeq (D^{\times})^H / N_H D^{\times};$
- (2) E splits iff $\Omega(\phi) \in N_H D^{\times}$;
- (3) if $a := \Omega(\phi) \in D^{\times}$, $R^* = R[x]/(x^n a)$ and $W = \operatorname{Spec} R^*$, then the pullback E_W of E splits.

Proof. By Corollary 4.5 and Definition 4.10,

$$H^2_{\text{univ}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \simeq H^2(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}, D^{\times}) = H^2(K, D^{\times}),$$

where K naturally acts on D^{\times} as follows: since $x \in K$ gives $x \operatorname{id}_{H} \in H(H)$, via the isomorphism $D^{\times} = \operatorname{Hom}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$, this induces a natural action $\tau_{K} : K \times D^{\times} \mapsto D^{\times}$ by $\tau_{K}(x, f) = (x \operatorname{id}_{H})^{*} f$. By this we define $N_{K}(g) :=$ $\prod_{x \in K} (x \operatorname{id}_{H})^{*} g$ for $g \in D$. Hence $H^{2}(K, D^{\times}) \simeq (D^{\times})^{K} / N_{K} D^{\times}$. This proves (1). (2)-(3) follows from Corollary 4.5 and Theorem 4.11.

4.3. Formulation in terms of Hopf algebras.

Lemma 4.13. Let H be a finite flat commutative group S-scheme acting on $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$, $\tau : H \times \mathbf{G}_{m,S} \to \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ the action of H on $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$, $E = H \times \Lambda$ as S-schemes, and $\phi \in Z^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \subset (D \otimes_R D)^{\times}$. Then we define a multiplication of E by

(39)
$$(x,a) \cdot (y,b) = (x+y,\phi(x,y) \cdot a \cdot x(b))$$

where T is an S-scheme, $x, y \in H(T)$ and $a, b \in \mathbf{G}_{m,S}(T)$ are functorial points, and 0(b) = b. Then $E \in \text{Ext}(H, \Lambda)$ with $\sigma(E) = [\phi]$, where $\sigma(E)$ is the class of the 2-cocycle associated with E. We denote E by E_{ϕ} .

Proof. Associativity of the group law of E follows from Eq. (34). Here (0,1) is the identity of E because x(1) = 1 ($\forall x \in H(T)$), while $(x,\lambda)^{-1} = (-x, x(a)a^{-1})$. Indeed, let $b := x(a)a^{-1}$. We compute $(-x,b) \cdot (x,a) = (0, x(a) \cdot (-x)(a) \cdot a^{-1}) = (0, \tau(x,a) \cdot \tau(-x,a) \cdot a^{-1}) = (0, \tau(0,a) \cdot a^{-1}) = (0, a \cdot a^{-1}) = (0, 1)$. Hence $(-x,b) = (x,a)^{-1}$, and E is a group S-scheme. This shows Definition 4.6 (a). The group $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ is identified with a normal subgroup $\{(0,a); a \in \mathbf{G}_{m,S}\}$ of E, and then $E/\Lambda \simeq H$, which shows Definition 4.6 (b). A lifting ζ of H to E is given by $\zeta(x) = (x,1)$, and $\zeta(x)(0,a)\zeta(x)^{-1} = (x,1) \cdot (0,a) \cdot (-x,1) = (0,x(a))$, which shows Definition 4.6 (c). Hence $E \in \operatorname{Ext}(H,\Lambda)$ with $\sigma(E) = [\phi]$.

Now we describe the above group S-scheme $E := E_{\phi}$ via Hopf algebras.

Lemma 4.14. Let $D = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_H)$, $A := \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_E) = D[w, w^{-1}]$, Δ_H (resp. ι_H , ϵ_H) comultiplication (resp. coinverse, counit) of H and $\iota_{\mathbf{G}_{m,S}}$ coinverse of $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Then comultiplication Δ (resp. coinverse ι , counit ϵ) of $E := E_{\phi}$ is given via Lemma 4.13 as follows:

$$\Delta(w) = \phi \cdot w \otimes \tau^*(w), \ \iota(w) = \left(\tau^* \cdot (1 \otimes \iota_{\mathbf{G}_{m,S}})\right)(w), \ \epsilon(w) = 1,$$

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \Delta_H(\lambda), \ \iota(\lambda) = \iota_H(\lambda), \ \epsilon(\lambda) = \epsilon_H(\lambda) \ (\lambda \in D).$$

Proof. This is clear from Lemma 4.13.

Let $H^m := H \times_S \cdots \times_S H$ (*m*-times), and let $\operatorname{pr}_{ij} : H^3 \to H^2$ be the projection to the (i, j)-component. Let $w_i := w$, $\tau_i := \tau$ and $\phi_{ij} := \operatorname{pr}_{ij}^* \phi$. By Lemma 4.14, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(w) &= (\Delta \otimes 1)(\phi_{23}w_2 \otimes \tau_2^* w_3) \\ &= (\Delta_H \otimes 1_D)(\phi_{23})(\Delta(w_2) \otimes (\Delta_H \otimes 1_{R[w^{\pm}]})\tau_2^* w_3) \\ &= ((\Delta_H \otimes 1_D)\phi_{23})\phi_{12})(w_1 \otimes \tau_1^* w_2 \otimes \tau_1^* \tau_2^* w_3), \\ (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(w) &= (1 \otimes \Delta)(\phi_{12}w_1 \otimes \tau_1^* w_2) \\ &= (1_D \otimes \Delta_H)(\phi_{12})(w_1 \otimes (\tau_1^* \otimes \tau_1^*)(\Delta(w_2))) \\ &= (1_D \otimes \Delta_H)(\phi_{12})(w_1 \otimes (\tau_1^* \otimes \tau_1^*)(\phi_{23}w_2 \otimes \tau_2^* w_3)) \\ &= ((1_D \otimes \Delta_H)(\phi_{12})\tau_1^* \phi_{23})(w_1 \otimes \tau_1^* w_2 \otimes \tau_1^* \tau_2^* w_3). \end{aligned}$$

Note $(\Delta_H \otimes \mathbb{1}_{R[w^{\pm}]})\tau_2^* = \tau_1^*\tau_2^*$. It follows

(40)
$$((\Delta_H \otimes 1_D)\phi_{23})\phi_{12} = ((1_D \otimes \Delta_H)\phi_{12})\tau_1^*\phi_{23},$$

which coincides with Eq. (36). Similarly Eq. (37) is translated into

(41)
$$\phi = d^1 \psi = (\Delta_H \psi) \psi_1^{-1} \tau_1^* \psi_2^{-1}$$

where $\psi_i = p_i^* \psi$ and $p_i : H^2 \to H$ is the *i*-th projection.

4.4. Central extensions of a finite group S-scheme. In what follows we consider the case where a finite flat commutative group S-scheme Hacts trivialy on $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Hence as is mentioned in Definition 4.6, any $E \in$ $\operatorname{Ext}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ is a central extension of H by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$, that is, $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ is central. Each E is of the form E_{ϕ} for some $\phi \in H^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$.

First we define:

Definition 4.15. Let R be a CDVR, $k(\eta)$ its fraction field and $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$. Let R^* be a CDVR, K^* the fraction field of R^* and $W := \operatorname{Spec} R^*$. If K^* is the splitting field in the algebraic closure Ω of $k(\eta)$ of a polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^{q} (x^{n_i} - a_i)$ for some $(n_i, a_i) \in \mathbf{N} \times R^{\times}$ $(i \in [0, q - 1])$, then we say that K^* is a finite radical normal extension of $k(\eta)$ and $\pi : W \to S$ is a finite radical normal cover of S, or W is finite radical normal over S. Note that $\operatorname{Aut}(K^*/k(\eta)) = \operatorname{Aut}(W/S) \simeq Z'_S \oplus D(Z''_S)$ where Z', Z'' are some finite commutative groups and $D(Z''_S)$ is the Cartier dual of Z''_S .

Lemma 4.16. Let $H = (\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})_S$ and $E \in \text{Ext}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$. Then there exists a finite radical normal cover W of S such that the pullback E_W splits.

Proof. Let $D = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_H)$. By Theorem 4.12, there exists $\phi \in Z^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ such that $E = E_{\phi}$. Let $a := \Omega(\phi) \in D^{\times}$. By definition, H is a disjoint union of n copies of S, say, $H = \coprod_{i \in [0, n-1]} S_i$ as S-schemes where S_i is the *i*-th copy of S and S_0 the identity component of H. Hence $D = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_H) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} R\delta_i$ where δ_i is the function on H such that δ_i on S_j equals $\delta_{i,j}$. It follows that

(42)
$$\mathbf{G}_m(D) = D^{\times} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} R^{\times} \delta_i, \ \delta_i \delta_j = \delta_{i,j} \delta_i \ (\forall i, j \in [0, n-1]).$$

Hence $a \in D^{\times}$ is written uniquely as $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \delta_i$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$. Let K be the splitting field in Ω of the polynomial:

(43)
$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x^n - a_i)$$

Let R_K be the integral closure of R in K and $W = \operatorname{Spec} R_K$. Since $a_i \in R^{\times}$, there exists $(\alpha_i; i \in [1, n])$ such that $\alpha_i \in R_K^{\times}$ and $\alpha_i^n = a_i$. Let $\alpha := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \delta_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{H_W})^{\times} = (D \otimes_R R_K)^{\times}$. Then $\alpha^n = a$, so that the pullback E_W of E splits by Theorem 4.12 (2).

Remark 4.17. Let $H = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then $H^2(H, \mathbb{G}_m(S)) = \mathbb{G}_m(S)/\mathbb{G}_m(S)^n$ can be different from $H^2_{\text{univ}}(H_S, \mathbb{G}_{m,S}) = \mathbb{G}_m(D)/\mathbb{G}_m(D)^n$ by Eq. (42).

Next we consider the case $H = \mu_{n,S}$. We prove:

Lemma 4.18. Let $H = \mu_{n,S}$ and $E \in \text{Ext}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$. Then there exists a finite radical normal cover W of S such that the pullback E_W splits.

Proof. Our proof of Lemma 4.18 consists of 4 steps:

Step 1. By applying Theorem 4.12 to the case $H = \mu_{n,S}$ and $K = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$, we have $H^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) = D^{\times}/(D^{\times})^n$. If char k(0) = 0 or n is prime to char k(0), then Lemma 4.18 follows from Lemma 4.16 because $\mu_{n,S} \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})_S$ by taking a finite radical normal cover of S for S if necessary.

Step 2. Next consider the case where $p := \operatorname{char} k(0) > 0$. Let q be the maximal power of p which divides n, and r := n/q. There are two cases:

(i) char
$$R = 0$$
, (ii) char $R = p$.

Step 3. Now we consider Case (i). Let $D_{m,R} := \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mu_{m,S}})$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $D_{n,R} = D_{r,R} \otimes_R D_{q,R}$. We first compute $D_{r,R}$. Since r is prime to char k(0) and hence char R, we may assume that R contains a primitive n-th root of unity by taking a finite radical normal cover S' of S for S if necessary. Then $\mu_{r,S} = \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} S_i$ as S-schemes where S_i is the i-th copy of S. By Eq. (42), $\mu_{r,S} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})_S$ over S and

(44)
$$D_{r,R}^{\times} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} R^{\times} \delta_i, \ \delta_i \delta_j = \delta_{i,j} \delta_i \ (i,j \in [0,r-1]).$$

Next we shall describe $D_{q,S}$ explicitly. Let ζ_q be a primitive q-th root of unity of 1, $R' := R[\zeta_q]$ and $S' := \operatorname{Spec} R'$. Since the finite cover $S' \to S$ is radical normal, we assume S' = S by taking S' for S. The S-scheme $\mu_{q,S} = \operatorname{Spec} R[x]/(x^q - 1)$ has q-sections $s_k : S \to \mu_{q,S}$ defined by $s_k^* x = \zeta_q^k$ $(k \in [0, q - 1])$. Let $\pi : \tilde{\mu}_{q,S} \to \mu_{q,S}$ be the normalization of the S-scheme $\mu_{q,S}$, S_k the k-th irreducible component of $\tilde{\mu}_{q,S}$ which is a copy of S with $s_k(S) = \pi(S_k)$, and $P := \operatorname{Spec} k(0)[x]/(x-1)$ the unique closed point of $\mu_{q,S}$. Then $\tilde{\mu}_{q,S} \simeq \coprod_{k=1}^{q} S_k$ and we have an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\mu_{q,S}}$ -modules:

(45)
$$0 \to D_{q,S} \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mu}_{q,S}}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{q-1} R\sigma_k \xrightarrow{\oplus_{k=1}^{q-1} \lambda_k} \bigoplus_{k \in [2,q]} k(0) \to 0$$

where $\sigma_k \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mu}_{q,S}})$ with $\sigma_{k|S_j} = \delta_{k,j}$, $\sigma_k \sigma_l = \delta_{k,l} \sigma_k$ $(k, l \in [0, q-1])$ and $\lambda_l(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{q-1} a_k \delta_k) = a_l(P) - a_{l-1}(P)$ $(l \in [1, q-1])$. It follows that

(46)
$$D_{n,S}^{\times} = (D_{r,S} \otimes_R D_{q,S})^{\times} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} D_{q,S}^{\times} \delta_i.$$

Let $E := E_{\phi}$ for some $\phi \in Z^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ and $\Omega(\phi)) = a \in D_{n,S}^{\times}$. Then a is uniquely written as $a = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i \delta_i$ with $a_i \in D_{q,S}^{\times}$. By Eq. (45), each a_i is uniquely written as $a_i = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{i,k} \sigma_k$ for some $a_{i,k} \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ such that $a_{i,1}(P) = a_{i,l}(P)$ ($\forall l \in [1, q-1]$).

By (the proof of) Lemma 4.16 there exists a finite radical normal cover Wof S with $R^* := \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_W)$ and $\alpha_{i,k} \in (R^*)^{\times}$ $(k \in [0, q-1])$ such that $\alpha_{i,k}^n = a_{i,k}$. Since $\alpha_{i,1}(P^*)^n = \alpha_{i,k}(P^*)^n$, whence $\alpha_{i,1}(P^*)^r = \alpha_{i,k}(P^*)^r$ where P^* is the unique closed point of W lying over P. Since we have assumed $\mu_r \subset R$, we may assume $\alpha_{i,1}(P^*) = \alpha_{i,k}(P^*)$ ($\forall k \in [1, q-1]$) by multiplying $\alpha_{i,k}$ by some r-th root of unity. Hence $\alpha_i := \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \alpha_{i,k} \sigma_k \in (D_{q,S} \otimes_R R^*)^{\times} = D_{q,W}^{\times}$ ($\forall i \in [0, r-1]$). Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \alpha_i \delta_i \in D_{n,W}^{\times}$. Then $a = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \alpha_i^n \delta_i = \alpha^n$. Hence E_W splits by Theorem 4.12 (2). This proves Lemma in Case (i). Step 4. In Case (ii), by taking a finite radical normal cover of S if necessary, we have $S = \operatorname{Spec} R, R = k(0)[[t]]$ and

$$D_{q,R} = k(0)[[t]][x]/(x^{q} - 1) = k(0)[[t]][\epsilon]/(\epsilon^{q}) \ (\epsilon := x - 1)$$
$$D_{q,R}^{\times} = (k(0)[[t]])^{\times} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{q-1} k[[t]]\epsilon^{k},$$
$$D_{n,R}^{\times} = (D_{r,R} \otimes_{R} D_{q,S})^{\times} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} D_{q,R}^{\times} \delta_{i}.$$

Let $Z' = (\mu_{n,S})_{\text{red}} \simeq \mu_{r,S}$ and $Z := (Z')^0$: the identity component of Z'. Then $Z \simeq S$, $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_Z) = R$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}) = D_{r,R}$. Let $E = E_{\phi}$ and $a := \Omega(\phi)$. Then we write $a = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \delta_i$ and $a_i = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{i,k} \epsilon^k$. Let K^* be the splitting field of $\prod_{i \in [1,r]} (x^n - a_{i,0})$, R^* the integral closure of R in K^* and $W := \operatorname{Spec} R^*$. Hence W is naturally a reduced irreducible S = Z-scheme which is finite radical normal and flat over S. Since $H_W \simeq \mu_{r,W}$, we obtain $H^2(H_W, \mathbf{G}_{m,W}) = D_{r,R^*}/D_{r,R^*}^n$. Let $\alpha_{i,0} \in R^*$ with $\alpha_{i,0}^n = a_i$ $(i \in [1,r])$ and $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_{i,0} \delta_i \in D_{r,R^*}$. Then $E_W = E_{\phi \otimes R^*}$ and $\Omega(\sigma(E_W)) = a \otimes 1_{R^*} = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{i,0} \delta_i \in D_{r,R^*}^r$. It follows $a \otimes 1_{R^*} = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_{i,0}^n \delta_i = \alpha^n \in D_{r,R^*}^n$. Hence E_W splits. This completes the proof in Case (ii), and hence of Lemma. \Box

4.5. Commutative extensions. Let H be a finite flat commutative group S-scheme acting trivially on $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Let $\phi \in Z^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ and $E := E_{\phi}$.

Definition 4.19. We define a commutator form e_E of E by

(47)
$$e_E(x,y) = \phi(x,y)/\phi(y,x) \quad (x,y \in H(T))$$

for any S-scheme T. We call ϕ symmetric if e_E is trivial. Note that e_E is trivial, that is, e_E is identically equal to 1, iff E is commutative. Let $Z^2_{\text{symm}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ be the subset of $Z^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ of all symmetric 2-cocycles. Since $B^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ is a submodule of $Z^2_{\text{symm}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$, we set

$$H^2_{\text{symm}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) := Z^2_{\text{symm}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) / B^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}).$$

Hence we have $H^2_{\text{symm}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \subset H^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}).$

The following is obvious:

Lemma 4.20. Let H be a finite flat commutative group S-scheme, and $\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{comm}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ the subset of $\operatorname{Ext}((H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ of all commutative extensions of H by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Then

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{comm}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \simeq H^2_{\operatorname{symm}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \quad (bijective)$$

Lemma 4.21. Let H_1, H_2 be finite flat commutative group S-schemes. Then

$$H^2_{\text{symm}}(\bigoplus_{i\in[1,2]}H_i,\mathbf{G}_{m,S})\simeq\bigoplus_{i\in[1,2]}H^2_{\text{symm}}(H_i,\mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \quad (bijective).$$

Proof. We define a bijection $\tau : H^2_{\text{symm}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \to \bigoplus_{i \in [1,2]} H^2_{\text{symm}}(H_i, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}).$ Let $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$. Let T be any S-scheme, $x_1, y_1 \in H_1(T), x_2, y_2 \in H_2(T)$ and $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in H(T).$

Let $\phi \in Z^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$. Then we set $E := E_{\phi}$, ζ a lifting of H to E, and

$$\phi_1(x_1, y_1) := \phi(x_1, 0; y_1, 0), \ \phi_2(x_2, y_2) := \phi(0, x_2; 0, y_2),$$

$$\phi_{\text{new}}(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) := \phi_1(x_1, y_1)\phi_2(x_2, y_2),$$

$$\zeta_1(x_1) := \zeta(x_1, 0), \ \zeta_2(x_2) := \zeta(0, x_2).$$

We define a new lifting ζ_{new} of H to E by

$$\zeta_{\text{new}}(x_1, 0) := \zeta(x_1, 0), \ \zeta_{\text{new}}(0, x_2) := \zeta(0, x_2),$$

$$\zeta_{\text{new}}(x_1, x_2) := \zeta(x_1, 0) \cdot \zeta(0, x_2).$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1(x_1)\zeta_1(y_1) &= \phi(x_1, 0; y_1, 0)\zeta(x_1 + y_1, 0) = \phi_1(x_1, y_1)\zeta_1(x_1 + y_1), \\ \zeta_2(x_2)\zeta_2(y_2) &= \phi(0, x_2; 0, y_2)\zeta(0, x_2 + y_2) = \phi_2(x_2, y_2)\zeta_2(x_2 + y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover since E is commutative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\text{new}}(x_1, x_2)\zeta_{\text{new}}(y_1, y_2) &= \zeta_1(x_1)\zeta_2(y_1)\zeta_1(x_2)\zeta_2(y_2) \\ &= \phi_1(x_1, y_1)\phi_2(x_2, y_2)\zeta_1(x_1 + x_2)\zeta_2(y_1 + y_2) \\ &= \phi_1(x_1, y_1)\phi_2(x_2, y_2)\zeta_{\text{new}}(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2) \end{aligned}$$

The cohomology class $[\phi]$ does not depend on the choice of a lifting ζ . Hence $[\phi] = [\phi_{\text{new}}] \in H^2(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$. Now we define $\tau([\phi]) = ([\phi_1], [\phi_2])$.

Conversely, let $\phi_1 \in Z^2_{\text{symm}}(H_1, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}), \phi_2 \in Z^2_{\text{symm}}(H_2, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}) \text{ and } \phi = \phi_1 \phi_2$. Then $\phi \in Z^2_{\text{symm}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$ by Eq. (36) and the map $([\phi_1], [\phi_2]) \mapsto [\phi]$ is the inverse of τ . This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.22. Let H', H'' be finite commutative groups, $H := H'_S \oplus D(H''_S)$ and $E \in \text{Ext}_{\text{comm}}(H, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$. Then there exists a finite radical normal cover W of S such that the pullback E_W splits.

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 4.16/4.18/4.21.

Example 4.23. Let A be an abelian S-scheme and $E \in \text{Ext}(A, \mathbf{G}_{m,S})$. Since A is proper over S, A acts trivially on $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Then there exists an open covering $(U_{\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda)$ of A such that $E_{U_{\lambda}} \simeq U_{\lambda} \times_{S} \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Let U := $\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. Let $x, y \in U(T)$ for an S-scheme T. Then we have liftings $\zeta_{U}(x)$ and $\zeta(y)$ of x and y to E_{U} , so that we obtain $\phi_{U} \in Z^{2}(A_{U}, \mathbf{G}_{m,U})$ such that $E_{U} = E_{\phi_{U}}$, and $\zeta_{U}(x)\zeta_{U}(y) = \phi_{U}(x,y)\zeta_{U}(x+y)$. We define $e_{E}(x,y) =$ $\phi_{U}(x,y)/\phi_{U}(y,x)$. This is well-defined as a morphism of fppf sheaves $e_{E} :$ $A \times A \to \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$, which is therefore a morphism of S-schemes. See § B.1 for fppf sheaves. Since A is proper over S, e_{E} is a constant morphism, and hence identically equal to 1. This implies that ϕ is symmetric, and E is a commutative extension of A by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. Therefore the pushout \mathbf{F}_{x}^{\times} $(x \in \text{Hom}(T, \mathbf{G}_{m,S}))$ in § 2.2 is a commutative extension.

5. Degeneration data of the Néron model

5.1. Extension of ζ . We return to § 3.2. Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$ with t uniformizer of R. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a split semiabelian S-scheme, $\zeta_l := \operatorname{FC}(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes l})$ and $\zeta = \zeta_1$. The split object ζ_l is assumed to be symmetric.

Definition 5.1. Let v_t be a valuation of R with $v_t(t) = 1$ and $B_{\tau} := v_t(\tau)$. We define $\beta := \beta_{\tau} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Y, X^{\vee})$ by $\beta_{\tau}(y)(x) = B_{\tau}(y, x)$ $(y \in Y, x \in X)$, $N := N_{\tau} := |X^{\vee}/\beta(Y)|$ and $\mu := \phi \circ \beta^{-1} \circ (N \operatorname{id}_{X^{\vee}}) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^{\vee}, X)$. Let $\Omega := \overline{k(\eta)}$ be an algebraic closure of $k(\eta)$, K an algebraic extension of $k(\eta)$ and R_K the integral closure of R in K.

The following is a pd-counterpart of [MN24, 5.5].

Lemma 5.2. By taking a finite radical normal extension K of $k(\eta)$ possibly ramified over the maximal ideal I of R, we can find

- (i) $c^{t,e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^{\vee}, A(R_K))$ such that $c^t = c^{t,e} \circ \beta$ and $c \circ \mu = N\lambda \circ c^{t,e}$;
- (ii) a trivialization of biextension $\tau^e : 1_{X^{\vee} \times X} \simeq (c^{t,e} \times c)^* \mathcal{P}_K^{\otimes (-1)}$, which is a bilinear form $\tau^e \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^{\vee} \times X, K^{\times})$ such that $\tau = \tau^e \circ (\beta \times \operatorname{id}_X), v_t \tau^e(u, x) = u(x)$ and $\tau^e(u, \mu(v)) = \tau^e(v, \mu(u)) \ (\forall u, v \in X^{\vee}, \forall x \in X);$
- (iii) $\iota^e \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^{\vee}, \tilde{G}(K))$ such that $\iota = \iota^e \circ \beta$ and $c^{t,e} = \pi \circ \iota^e$, which is given by $\iota^e(u) = \bigoplus_{x \in X} \tau^e(u, x) \ (u \in X^{\vee});$

(iv) a cubical trivialization $\psi^e : 1_{X^{\vee}} \simeq (c^{t,e})^* \mathcal{M}_K^{\otimes(-2)}$ compatible with $\tau^e \circ (\operatorname{id}_{X^{\vee}} \times (2\mu)) : 1_{X^{\vee} \times X^{\vee}} \simeq (c^{t,e} \times (c \circ (2\mu)))^* \mathcal{P}_K^{\otimes(-1)}$ in the sense that

(48)
$$\psi^e(u) = \tau^e(u, \mu(u)) \quad (\forall u \in X^{\vee})$$

is a K^{\times} -valued function on X^{\vee} such that $\psi^{2N} = \psi^e \circ \beta$ and

(49)
$$\psi^e(u+v) = \psi^e(u)\psi^e(v)\tau^e(u,2\mu(v)) \quad (\forall u,v \in X^{\vee}).$$

Every quadruple $(c^{t,e}, \tau^e, \iota^e, \psi^e)$ is conjugate to each other under $\operatorname{Aut}(K/k(\eta))$.

Proof. Let $r = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}} X$. We choose elementary divisors e_i of $X^{\vee}/\beta(Y)$:

$$X^{\vee}/\beta(Y) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} H_i, \ H_i = \mathbf{Z}/e_i \mathbf{Z}, \ e_i | e_{i+1}, e_i \ge 1 \ (i \in [1, r-1]).$$

Note that $N = \prod_{i=1}^{r} e_i$. Let $x \in X^{\vee}$ and \bar{x} the class of x in $X^{\vee}/\beta(Y)$. Let $(u_i \in X; i \in [1, r])$ (resp. $(y_i \in Y; i \in [1, r])$) be a **Z**-basis of X^{\vee} (resp. Y) such that $\bar{u}_i \in H_i$ is a generator of H_i and $e_i u_i = \beta(y_i)$ ($\forall i \in [1, r]$).

Since $e_i \operatorname{id}_A : A \to A$ is surjective, we have $\alpha_i \in A(R_\Omega)$ such that $e_i \alpha_i = c^t(y_i) \in A(R_\Omega)$. Since $e_i u_i = \beta(y_i)$, we have $\mu(u_i) = (N/e_i)\phi(y_i)$. Hence

$$N\lambda(\alpha_i) = (N/e_i)\lambda(c^t(y_i)) = (N/e_i)c(\phi(y_i)) = c((N/e_i)\phi(y_i)) = c(\mu(u_i)).$$

We define $c^{t,e}(u_i) = \alpha_i$ and extend $c^{t,e}$ to X^{\vee} additively. Thus we have $c^{t,e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^{\vee}, A(R_{\Omega}))$ with $N\lambda \circ c^{t,e} = c \circ \mu$. Moreover

$$c^{t,e}(\beta(y_i)) = c^{t,e}(e_i u_i) = e_i \alpha_i = c^t(y_i),$$

which shows $c^{t,e} \circ \beta = c^t$ on Y. This proves (i).

Let $\bar{\tau}^e(u,x) := t^{-u(x)} \tau^e(u,x)$ and $\bar{\tau}(y,x) := t^{-B_{\tau}(y,x)} \tau(y,x)$. To construct τ^e satisfying (ii), we first construct $\bar{\tau}^e \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^{\vee} \times X, \Omega^{\times})$ such that

(50)
$$\bar{\tau}^e(\beta(y), x) = \bar{\tau}(y, x) \quad (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y)$$

Since $e_i u_i = \beta(y_i)$ ($\forall i \in [1, r]$), Eq. (50) is equivalent to the following:

(51)
$$\bar{\tau}^e(u_i, x_k)^{e_i} = \bar{\tau}(y_i, x_k) \quad (\forall k \in [1, r])$$

for each fixed $i \in [1, r]$. It is clear that Eq. (51) has a solution $\overline{\tau}^e(u_i, x_k) \in R_{\Omega}^{\times}$. Then we extend $\overline{\tau}^e$ (and hence τ^e) to $X^{\vee} \times X$ additively. If r = 1, this proves (ii). Next we assume $r \geq 2$. For $i \neq j$, we have

$$\bar{\tau}^{e}(u_{i},\mu(u_{j})) = \bar{\tau}^{e}(u_{i},\phi(y_{j}))^{N/e_{j}} = \bar{\tau}^{e}(e_{i}u_{i},\phi(y_{j}))^{N/e_{i}e_{j}}$$
$$= \bar{\tau}(y_{i},\phi(y_{j}))^{N/e_{i}e_{j}} = \bar{\tau}^{e}(y_{j},\phi(y_{i}))^{N/e_{i}e_{j}} = \bar{\tau}^{e}(u_{j},\mu(u_{i}))$$

by Theorem 3.1 (4). This proves (ii).

Next we define $\iota^e \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^{\vee}, \tilde{G}(\Omega))$ by $\iota^e(u)^* := \bigoplus_{x \in X} \tau^e(u, x)$ $(u \in X^{\vee})$. This implies $\iota^e(\beta(y))^* = \bigoplus_{x \in X} \tau(y, x) = \iota(y)^*$ $(y \in Y)$ by Eq. (26). Since $\tau^e(u, x)$ is additive in u, so is ι^e , which lifts $c^{t,e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^{\vee}, A(\Omega))$ to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^{\vee}, \tilde{G}(\Omega))$. This proves (iii).

Finally we define $\psi^e(u) := \tau^e(u, \mu(u))$ $(u \in X^{\vee})$. By (ii), $\psi^e(\beta(y)) = \tau(y, \mu(\beta(y))) = \psi(y)^{2N}$. Eq. (49) is clear, ⁵ which shows that ψ^e is (viewed as) a cubical trivialization $\psi^e : 1_{X^{\vee}} \simeq (\iota^e)^* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\Omega}^{\otimes(-2)} \simeq (c^{t,e})^* \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}^{\otimes(-2)}$ compatible with $\tau^e \circ (\operatorname{id}_{X^{\vee}} \times (2\mu))$. This proves (iv). The rest is clear. \Box

Definition 5.3. Let $k(\eta^e)$ be the minimal normal extension of $k(\eta)$ among those fields K for which we can find (i)-(iv) of Lemma 5.2. Let R^e be the integral closure of R in $k(\eta^e)$, $S^e := \operatorname{Spec} R^e$, η^e the generic point of S^e and 0^e the closed point of S^e . By Eq. (51), a primitive e_r -th root ζ_{e_r} of unity belongs to R^e where e_r is the maximal elementary divisor of $X/\beta(Y)$. We denote S^e (resp. R^e , $k(\eta^e)$) by B (resp. R_K , K) if no confusion is possible. We sometimes write R = R(B) and K = k(B) if necessary.

Lemma 5.4. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a split symmetric semiabelian *B*-scheme and \mathcal{G} the Néron model of G_{η} . The *K*-valued point $\iota^{e}(u) \in \tilde{G}(K)$ $(u \in X^{\vee})$ induces the R_{K} -valued point $\bar{\iota}^{e}(u) \in \mathcal{G}(R_{K})$. Let $H := \{\bar{\iota}^{e}(u); u \in X^{\vee}/\beta(Y)\}$. Then *H* is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(R_{K})$ such that $\Phi(\mathcal{G}/G) = H \simeq X^{\vee}/\beta(Y)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{G}^{\flat} be the Néron model of G_K , \tilde{P} a relatively complete model of Gand P the algebraization of $\tilde{P}^{\wedge}/\iota(Y)$. There do exist \tilde{P} and P, for instance by [AN99, 3.24], in which case $\Sigma = \{0\}$. The condition [FC90, (*), p. 62, line 6] hereby turns out to be trivially true: $2v_t(I_{y,\alpha}I_y) \geq 2v_t(\tau(y,\alpha)\psi(y)) =$ $2B_{\tau}(y,\alpha) + B_{\tau}(y,\phi(y)) = B_{\tau}(y,\phi(y)) \geq 0 \ (\forall y \in Y, \forall \alpha \in \Sigma = \{0\})$ where $I_{y,\alpha} = \tau(y,\alpha)R$, $I_y = \psi(y)R$ and $\psi(y)^2 = \tau(y,\phi(y))$. Hence \tilde{P} is indeed a relatively complete model by [FC90, III, 3.3].

By Lemma 5.2 and [FC90, III, 3.3], $\iota^e(X^{\vee}) \subset G(K) \subset P(R_K)$. Each $\iota^e(u) \ (u \in X^{\vee})$ gives rise to an R_K -valued point $\bar{\iota}^e(u) \in \mathcal{G}^{\flat}(R_K)$ because $\tilde{P}(R_K)/\iota(Y) = \tilde{P}^{\wedge}(R_K)/\iota(Y) = P^{\wedge}(R_K) = P(R_K) = P(K) = G(K) = \mathcal{G}^{\flat}(R_K)$ by [FC90, III, 8.1]. Since $v_t(\tau^e(u, x)) = u(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($\forall x \in X$), we have $\bar{\iota}^e(u) \in \mathcal{G}(R_K)$, so that H is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(R_K)$.

We define a homomorphism $\delta_t : \tilde{G}(\Omega) \to X^{\vee}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ by $\delta_t(Q)(x) = v_t(w^x(Q))$ $(Q \in \tilde{G}(\Omega), x \in X)$. Let $\tilde{G}(\Omega)^0 := \{Q \in \tilde{G}(\Omega); \delta_t(Q) \in X^{\vee}\}$ and $\tilde{G}(R_{\Omega})^0 := \tilde{G}(R_{\Omega}) \cap \tilde{G}(\Omega)^0$. By [FC90, III, line 11, p.78], $\Phi(\mathcal{G}/G) = \mathcal{G}(R^{\mathrm{unr}})/\mathcal{G}(R^{\mathrm{unr}}) = \tilde{G}(k(\eta)^{\mathrm{unr}})/\tilde{G}(R^{\mathrm{unr}}) \cdot \iota(Y) \stackrel{\delta_t}{\simeq} X^{\vee}/\beta(Y)$. Similarly $\Phi(\mathcal{G}/G) = \mathcal{G}(R_{\Omega})/\mathcal{G}(R_{\Omega}) = \tilde{G}(\Omega)^0/\tilde{G}(R_{\Omega})^0 \cdot \iota(Y) \stackrel{\delta_t}{\simeq} X^{\vee}/\beta(Y)$. Since $\delta_t(\iota^e(u)) = v_t(\tau^e(u, \bullet)) = u \; (\forall u \in X^{\vee}), \; H \; (\subset \mathcal{G}(R_{\Omega}))$ is mapped onto $X^{\vee}/\beta(Y)$. Hence $\Phi(\mathcal{G}/G) \simeq H$.

Lemma 5.5. Let \mathcal{N} be the unique cubical invertible sheaf on \mathcal{G} extending the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes 2N}$ on G_{η} . Then $T_{a}^{*}(\mathcal{N}_{B}^{\otimes N}) \simeq \mathcal{N}_{B}^{\otimes N}$ ($\forall a \in H$).

Proof. Since \mathcal{N} is cubical, we have an isomorphism on \mathcal{G}^3 :

(52)
$$\Theta(\mathcal{N}) = \bigotimes_{\emptyset \neq I \subset \{1,2,3\}} m_I^*(\mathcal{N})^{(-1)^{|I|+1}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}^3}.$$

⁵Caution. Any function $\psi' : X^{\vee} \to K$ satisfies the condition (iii) iff there exists $\chi \in \operatorname{Hom}(X^{\vee}/\beta(Y), \{\pm 1\})$ such that $\psi' = \chi \psi^e$.

We define a map $\phi : H \to \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{G}_B)$ by $\phi(a) := T_a^*(\mathcal{N}_B) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_B}} \mathcal{N}_B^{\otimes (-1)}$. Let $a, b \in H_B(B)$ and $c = \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{G}_B} \in \mathcal{G}_B(\mathcal{G}_B)$. By the pullback of (52) by the morphism $(a, b, c) : \mathcal{G}_B \to \mathcal{G}_B^3$, we have $T_{a+b}^*(\mathcal{N}_B) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_B}} \mathcal{N}_B \simeq T_a^*(\mathcal{N}_B) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_B}} T_b^*(\mathcal{N}_B)$ on \mathcal{G}_B . See [M12, § 6, Cor. 4, p. 57]. Hence $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(H, \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{G}_B))$, so that $N\phi(a) = \phi(Na) = 0$, *i.e.*, $T_a^*(\mathcal{N}_B^{\otimes N}) \simeq \mathcal{N}_B^{\otimes N}$.

Let $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger} := \mathcal{N}_{B}^{\otimes N}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{|G_{B}}^{\dagger}$ is cubical on G_{B} , it is the unique cubical extension of $\mathcal{L}_{K}^{\otimes 2N^{2}}$ to G_{B} by [MN24, 2.13 (2)], so that $\mathcal{N}_{|G_{B}}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{L}_{B}^{\otimes 2N^{2}}$. Hence $(\mathcal{N}_{|G_{B}}^{\dagger})^{\wedge} = (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2N^{2}})_{B}^{\wedge} \simeq (\pi^{*}\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^{2}})_{B}^{\wedge}$ where $\pi : \tilde{G} \to A$ is the morphism in Eq. (3). By Lemma 5.5, $(\mathcal{N}_{|T_{a}(G_{B})}^{\dagger})^{\wedge} = (T_{a}^{*}\mathcal{N}_{|G_{B}}^{\dagger})^{\wedge} \simeq (\pi^{*}\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^{2}})_{B}^{\wedge}$ ($\forall a \in H$). Since $(\mathcal{G}_{B})^{\wedge} = \coprod_{a \in H} (a \times G_{B}^{\wedge})$, we have

(53)
$$\mathcal{N}^{\dagger,\wedge} := (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\wedge} = H \times \left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x,B}\right)^{I \text{-adi}}$$

Hence we have a natural homomorphisms

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{G}_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_B^{\wedge}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger, \wedge}) \hookrightarrow H \times \prod_{x \in X} \Gamma(A_B, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x, B}))$$

because $\Gamma(A_B, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x,B})^{\wedge} \simeq \Gamma(A_B, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x,B})$. Let $V_x := \Gamma(A_B, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x,B}).$

Definition 5.6. Let $\sigma_x : \Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) \to V_x$ be the natural projection.

5.2. Theta groups of \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} .

Definition 5.7. Let \mathcal{G}^t be the Néron model of G^t , $\lambda(\mathcal{N}_\eta) : G_\eta \to G_\eta^t$ the polarization morphism of \mathcal{N}_η and $\lambda(\mathcal{N}) : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}^t$ the extension of $\lambda(\mathcal{N}_\eta)$ to \mathcal{G} by the universal property of the Néron model \mathcal{G}^t and $\lambda(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) := \lambda(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes N})_B$. Hence $\lambda(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})$ extends $\lambda(\mathcal{N}_K^{\dagger}) = \lambda(\mathcal{L}_\eta^{\otimes 2N^2})_K$ to \mathcal{G}_B . Let

$$K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) := \ker(\lambda(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})), \quad K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) := K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) \cap G_B.$$

Let $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$ (resp. $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$) be the finite part of $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})$ (resp. $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})$) and e^{\sharp} the bilinear form on $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})$ extending the Weil pairing $e^{\mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger}}$ of $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}_{K}^{\dagger})$. See [MB85, IV, 2.4 (ii)]. Finally we set $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m} := K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f} \cap T$ by abuse of notation. To be more precise, $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m}$ is defined as follows: Since $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$ is a *B*-finite subscheme of *G*, so is $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f,\wedge}$ of $G^{\wedge} = \tilde{G}^{\wedge}$. Hence $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f,\wedge} \cap T^{\wedge}$ is viewed as a closed B^{\wedge} (= *B*)-finite formal subscheme of *G^{\wedge}*. By [EGA, III, 5.4.5], there exists a closed *B*-finite subscheme $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m}$ of *G* such that $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m,\wedge} \simeq K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f,\wedge} \cap T^{\wedge}$. This is what we mean by $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m} := K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f} \cap T$. Let $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m} := K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m}$.

Remark 5.8. By [MB85, IV, 3.4] $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$, $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$ and $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m}$ are flat finite over B, while $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})$ and $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})$ are flat quasi-finite over B.

By [MB85, IV, 2.4 (iv)-(v)], ⁶ $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ is a closed subgroup B-scheme of $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$ such that

- (a) $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$ is e^{\sharp} -orthogonal to $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m}$: $e^{\sharp}(K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}, K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m}) = 1$; (b) e^{\sharp} induces a perfect dual pairing e^{ab} on $K^{ab}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) := K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}/K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m}$;
- (c) $(K^{ab}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}), e^{ab}) \simeq (K(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2}), e^{\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2}})$ as R_K -modules with bilinear form where $e^{\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2}}$ is the Weil pairing of $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2}$.

Definition 5.9. We define non-commutative group *B*-schemes by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) &:= (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})}^{\times}, \quad \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) &:= (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})}^{\times}, \\ \mathcal{G}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f} &:= (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}}^{\times}, \quad \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f} &:= (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}}^{\times}, \\ \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m} &:= (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m}}^{\times}. \end{aligned}$$

Each non-commutative group B-scheme is a central extension of a finite group scheme (say \mathcal{K}) by the center $\mathbf{G}_{m,B}$ whose commutator form equals (the restriction of) e^{\sharp} by [MB85, IV, 2.4 (iii)], which we call the *theta group of* \mathcal{K} where \mathcal{K} is one of $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\alpha}$ or $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\alpha}$ $(\alpha \in \{\emptyset, f, m\})$ with $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m} :=$ $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$. Let $\varpi^{\sharp}: \mathcal{G}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) \to K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})$ (resp. $\varpi: \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) \to K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})$) be the natural projection.

Let \tilde{G} be the Raynaud extension of G, and $\pi: \tilde{G} \to A$ the morphism in Eq. (3). Let \mathcal{M}' be an ample invertible sheaf on $A, \lambda(\mathcal{M}') := \lambda_A(\mathcal{M}') : A \to A$ A^t the polarization morphism $K(\mathcal{M}') := K(A, \mathcal{M}') := \ker(\lambda(\mathcal{M}') : A \to A^t)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M}') := \mathcal{G}(A, \mathcal{M}') := (\mathcal{M}')_{K(\mathcal{M}')}^{\times}$. Hence $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M}')$ is a central extension of $K(\mathcal{M}')$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m,B}$, which we call the *theta group of* $K(\mathcal{M}')$, or the *theta* group of of \mathcal{M}' if no confusion is possible. See [M12, § 23].

Definition 5.10. A level subgroup B-scheme H' of $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$ is defined to be a closed subgroup B-scheme of $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$ finite flat over B such that $H' \cap \mathbf{G}_{m,B} = \{1\}$. By definition, $\varpi(H')$ is a closed subgroup B-scheme of $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$ such that $H' \simeq \varpi^{\sharp}(H')$, in which case we say that H' lifts $\varpi^{\sharp}(H')$, or $\varpi^{\sharp}(H')$ is liftable to H'. See [M66, I, p.291].

Lemma 5.11. By taking a finite radical normal cover B of S (Definition 4.15) if necessary, we have the following:

- (1) $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m = D(X/2N^2\phi(Y))_B;$
- (2) $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ is liftable to a level subgroup *B*-scheme $K^{\flat}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$;
- (3) $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m \simeq \mathbf{G}_{m,B} \oplus K^{\flat}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ as commutative group B-schemes;
- (4) $K^{\flat}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ is contained in the center of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$.

Proof. Let $K^m := K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ and $K^f := K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$. Since K^m is B-finite flat, so is $K^{m,\wedge}$. Since $G^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}^{\wedge}$ and \tilde{G} is of finite type, there exists by

⁶The étale base change mentioned in [MB85, iV, 2.4 (v)] is unnecessary here because there (already) exists \mathcal{M} on A such that $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge} = \pi^{\wedge,*}(\mathcal{M}^{\wedge})$ in Eq. (2). See § 2.2.

[EGA, III, 5.4.5] a closed *B*-subscheme $K^{\natural}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ of \tilde{G} *B*-finite flat such that $K^{m,\wedge} \simeq (K^{\natural}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m)^{\wedge}$. Hence by [EGA, III, 5.4.1]

$$D(K^m) \simeq D((K^m)^{\wedge}) \simeq D((K^{\natural}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m)^{\wedge}) \simeq D(K^{\natural}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m).$$

It follows from $(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{|\tilde{G}^{\wedge}}^{\wedge} = (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{|\tilde{G}^{\wedge}}^{\wedge}$ that $K^m \simeq K^{\ddagger}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m = \ker(2N^2\lambda_T) = D(X/2N^2\phi(Y))_B$. This proves (1). See [N99, p. 667].

Since $K^m \,\subset \, K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$, K^m is totally e^{\sharp} -isotropic by Remark 5.8 (a). It follows that $\mathcal{G}(N^{\dagger})^m$ is a commutative extension of K^m by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$. By Theorem 4.22, there exists a finite radical normal cover $\pi : W \to S$ of S such that $\mathcal{G}(N^{\dagger})^m_W$ splits, that is, $\mathcal{G}(N^{\dagger})^m_W = K^m_W \oplus \mathbf{G}_{m,W}$. Therefore by taking W as B if necessary, we have a level subgroup scheme $K^{\flat}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ lifting K^m . This proves (2). By (2), $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m \simeq \mathbf{G}_{m,B} \oplus K^{\flat}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ as commutative group schemes because e^{\sharp} is trivial on $K^m \times K^m$. This proves (3). Since $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$, so is $K^{\flat}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$. Since K^m is e^{\sharp} -orthogonal to K^f by Remark 5.8 (a), $K^{\flat}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ is in the center of $\mathcal{G}(N^{\dagger})^f$. This proves (4).

By Remark 5.8 (c)/[MB85, IV, 2.4 (iv)],

(54)
$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}/\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m} \simeq K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}/K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m} \simeq K(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^{2}}).$$

By Lemma 5.5, H_B is a closed subgroup *B*-scheme of $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$ such that $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f = H_B \oplus K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$, so that

(55)
$$\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}/\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f} \simeq K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}/K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f} \simeq H_{B}.$$

Lemma 5.12. The pairing e^{\sharp} is given on $H_B \times K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ by

$$e^{\sharp}(\bar{\iota}^e(u), \alpha) = \mu(u)(\alpha)^{-2N}$$

where $u \in X^{\vee}$ and α is any functorial point of $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$. In particular, e^{\sharp} induces the monomorphism $2N\mu : H \to D(K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m)(B) \ (= X/2N^2\phi(Y))$ and hence the epimorphism $D(2N\mu) : K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m(B) \to D(H)$.

Proof. Let $u \in X^{\vee}$. By Lemma 5.5, there exists a *B*-isomorphism $d_u : \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} \to T^*_{\overline{\iota}^e(u)}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})$ whose *I*-adic completion lifts the translation $T^{\wedge}_{\overline{\iota}^e(u)}$ of \mathcal{G}^{\wedge} . Then d_u^{\wedge} is decomposed via Eq. (53) into $d_u^{\wedge} = \coprod_{b \in H} \left(\prod_{x \in X} d_{u,x}^{b,\wedge} \right)$:

$$d_{u,x}^{b,\wedge}: b \times (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x \to (\bar{\iota}^e(u) + b) \times T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x)$$
$$\stackrel{\simeq}{\to} (\bar{\iota}^e(u) + b) \times (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x+2N\mu(u)}.$$

sending $f_x^b \in (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x$ to $T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)} f_x^b \in (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x+2N\mu(u)}$ by $c \circ (2N\mu) = 2N^2\lambda \circ c^{t,e}$. Since any functorial point $\alpha \in K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ acts on $f \in \mathcal{O}_x$ by $t \cdot f = x(\alpha)f$ by definition, it acts on $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger,\wedge}$ by:

$$\rho(\alpha) \cdot \left(b \times \prod_{x \in X} f_x^b \right) := \left(b \times \prod_{x \in X} x(\alpha) f_x^b \right).$$

By $T^*_{-c^{t,e}(u)} f^b_x \in (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x-2N\mu(u)}$, we see $e^{\sharp}(\overline{\iota}^e(u), \alpha)(b \times f^b_x) = d^{\wedge}_u \circ \rho(\alpha) \circ (d^{\wedge}_u)^{-1} \circ (\rho(\alpha))^{-1}(b \times f^b_x)$ $= x(\alpha)^{-1} d^{\wedge}_u \circ \rho(\alpha)((b - \overline{\iota}^e(u)) \times T^*_{-c^{t,e}(u)} f^b_x)$ $= x(\alpha)^{-1}(x - 2N\mu(u))(\alpha)(b \times f^b_x)$ $= \mu(u)(\alpha)^{-2N}(b \times f^b_x),$

whence $e^{\sharp}(c^{t,e}(u), \alpha) = \mu(u)(\alpha)^{-2N}$.

Lemma 5.13. There exists a totally e^{\sharp} -isotropic subgroup H^{\sharp} of $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}(B)$ such that $H^{\sharp} \simeq H$ and $K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f} = H_{B}^{\sharp} \oplus K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$.

Proof. Let $K^m := K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$, $K^f := K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$ and $K^{\sharp,f} := K^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$ for now. We have an exact sequence of commutative group schemes: $0 \to K^f \to K^{\sharp,f} \to H_B \to 0$, and hence an exact sequence of fppf cohomology groups:

$$0 \to K^{f}(B) \to K^{\sharp,f}(B) \xrightarrow{j(B)} H_{B}(B) = H \xrightarrow{\delta(B)} H^{1}_{\text{fppf}}(\text{Spec } B, K^{f}).$$

Since K^f is finite flat over B, $H^1_{\text{Zar}}(\text{Spec } B, K^f) = 0$ by [Bh12, 3.2], and a fortiori $H^1_{\text{fppf}}(\text{Spec } B, K^f) = 0$. Hence j(B) is surjective.

Let $(h_i; i \in [1, r])$ be a minimal set of generators of H. Since j(B) is surjective, there exist elements $\tilde{h}_i \in K^{\sharp, f}(B)$ $(i \in [1, r])$ such that $j(B)(\tilde{h}_i) =$ h_i . We shall prove that we can rechoose \tilde{h}_i by induction so that $e^{\sharp}(\tilde{h}_i, \tilde{h}_j) = 1$ $(\forall i, j \in [1, r])$. First we set $\tilde{h}'_1 := \tilde{h}_1$ because e^{\sharp} is alternating: $e^{\sharp}(\tilde{h}'_1, \tilde{h}'_1) = 1$.

Next assume that we have chosen \tilde{h}'_i $(i \in [1, s - 1])$ such that $\tilde{h}'_i \in \tilde{h}_i + K^m(B)$ and $e^{\sharp}(\tilde{h}'_i, \tilde{h}'_j) = 1$ $(\forall i, j \in [1, s - 1])$. Let H^{\sharp}_{s-1} be the subgroup of $K^{\sharp,f}(B)$ generated by \tilde{h}'_i and \tilde{h}_j $(i \in [1, s - 1], j \in [s, r])$. Then $H^{\sharp}_{s-1} \simeq H$, whence $D(H^{\sharp}_{s-1}) \simeq D(H)$. By Lemma 5.12, we can choose $\epsilon_s \in K^m(B)$ such that $e^{\sharp}(\epsilon_s, h) = e^{\sharp}(\tilde{h}_s, h)$ $(\forall h \in H^{\sharp}_{s-1})$. We set $\tilde{h}'_s := \tilde{h}_s - \epsilon_s$. Then $e^{\sharp}(\tilde{h}'_s, \tilde{h}'_j) = e^{\sharp}(\tilde{h}'_s, \tilde{h}'_s) = 1$ $(\forall j \in [1, s - 1])$ by the anti-symmetry of e^{\sharp} . Let $H^{\sharp} := H^{\sharp}_r \simeq H$. Then H^{\sharp} is totally e^{\sharp} -isotropic and $K^{\sharp,f} = H^{\sharp}_B \oplus K^f$. \Box

Lemma 5.14. H_B^{\sharp} is liftable to a level subgroup *B*-scheme H_B^{\flat} of $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$ such that $H_B^{\flat} \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f = H_B^{\flat} \cdot \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\sharp} := \mathcal{G}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f} \times_{K^{\sharp,f}} H_{B}^{\sharp}$. Since H_{B}^{\sharp} is totally e^{\sharp} -isotropic by Lemma 5.13, \mathcal{H}^{\sharp} is a commutative central extension of H_{B}^{\sharp} by $\mathbf{G}_{m,B}$. By Lemma 4.16, there exists a finite radical normal cover W of B such that \mathcal{H}_{W}^{\sharp} splits. By taking W as B if necessary, H_{B}^{\sharp} is liftable to a level subgroup B-scheme H_{B}^{\flat} of $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$. The rest is clear from Lemma 5.13.

Remark 5.15. H_B^{\flat} does not commute with $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$ by Lemma 5.12. Hence $\mathcal{G}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f \neq H_B^{\flat} \times_B \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$ as group schemes.

5.3. $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$ -modules. In this subsection we basically follow [FC90, p.47]. In general, let M be a B-module with $\mu := K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m (= D((X/2N^2\phi(Y))_B))$ action ρ_M . Then $M = \bigoplus_{\chi \in D(\mu)} M^{\chi}$ where $M^{\chi} := \{m \in M; \rho(\alpha)(m) =$ $\chi(\alpha)m$ for any functorial point α of μ }. By Lemma 5.11, $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ acts on \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} via $K^{\flat}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m}$, so that $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger})$ and $\Gamma(G_{B}, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}_{|G_{B}}) = \Gamma(G_{B}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2N^{2}}_{B})$ are $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{m}$ -modules, which are decomposed respectively into

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{G}_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) = \bigoplus_{\bar{x} \in X/2N^2 \phi(Y)} \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\bar{x}},$$

$$\Gamma(G_B, \mathcal{L}_B^{\otimes 2N^2}) = \bigoplus_{\bar{x} \in X/2N^2 \phi(Y)} \Gamma(G_B, \mathcal{L}_B^{\otimes 2N^2})^{\bar{x}}.$$

Note that $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathcal{N}_K^{\dagger})^{\bar{x}} = \Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{L}_K^{\otimes 2N^2})^{\bar{x}}$. By Lemma 5.11 (2), every $\bar{x} \in X/2N^2\phi(Y)$ defines a homomorphism $h_{\bar{x}} \in$ Hom $(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m, \mathbf{G}_{m,B})$ such that $h_{\bar{x}} = \mathrm{id}$ on $\mathbf{G}_{m,B}$ and \bar{x} on $K^{\flat}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$. Since $\ker(h_{\bar{x}}) (\simeq K^{\flat}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m)$ is a closed subgroup *B*-scheme of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$ *B*-finite flat, so that we have a quotient $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L})^{f}_{\overline{x}} := \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f} / \ker(h_{\overline{x}})$ flat over B, which is a central extension of $K((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^{2}})_{x})_{B}$ by $\mathbf{G}_{m,B}$. Let $\eta_{\overline{x}} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f} \to \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L})^{f}_{\overline{x}}$ be the natural morphism. Since $K((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x) = K(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})$, in view of Eq. (54), we obtain a commutative diagram of exact sequences:

Meanwhile we have an exact sequence:

(57)
$$0 \to \mathbf{G}_{m,B} \to \mathcal{G}((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x)_B \to K((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x)_B \to 0 \quad (x \in X).$$

Since \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} is cubical on \mathcal{G} , the biextension $\Lambda(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}\times\mathcal{G}}$ is trivial by [MB85, IV, 3.3.2-3.3.3]. Therefore we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_x^{\dagger} \otimes \mathcal{N}_y^{\dagger} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{x+y}^{\dagger}$ where $x \in K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}, y \in \mathcal{G}$ are functorial points, so that by [MB85, I, 3.1, p. 23] we have an action ρ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f = (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f}^{\times}$ on \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} :

(58)
$$(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}}^{\times} \times_{B} \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}$$

which induces an action of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$:

(59)
$$\Gamma(\rho): \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f \times_B \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}).$$

Since $K^{\flat}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^m$ is contained in the center of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f$ by Lemma 5.11 (4), $\Gamma(\rho)$ is decomposed into $\bigoplus_{\bar{x}\in X/2N^2\phi(Y)}\Gamma(\rho)^{\bar{x}}$ where

(60)
$$\Gamma(\rho)^{\bar{x}} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\bar{x}}_{\bar{x}} \times_B \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\bar{x}} \to \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\bar{x}}.$$

Thus we obtain the following compatible data below:

(i) the group structure of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f = (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^f}^{\times}$ by restricting ρ to

$$\left((\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}}^{\times} \right)^{2} \ (\subset (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}}^{\times} \times_{B} \mathcal{N}^{\dagger});$$

(ii) (in view of Eq. (53)) a formal morphism $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(x \right)^{2} \right)^{-1} dx \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(x \right)^{2} \right)^{-1} dx$

from
$$\left((\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}}^{\times} \right)$$
 to $\left(\bar{\iota}^{e}(0) \times \left((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^{2}})_{x} \right)_{K((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^{2}})_{x})}^{\times} \right)_{B}^{\times};$
(iii) a morphism of formal group *B*-schemes induced from (ii) via Eq. (56):

$$\gamma_x^{\wedge}: \left(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{\bar{x}}^f\right)^{\wedge} \to \left(\mathcal{G}((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x)_B\right)^{\wedge}$$

which is an isomorphism by Eq. (54);

- (iv) an action $\rho_{\bar{x}} := \Gamma(\rho)^{\bar{x}}$ defined by Eq. (60);
- (v) a formal action $\rho_{A,x}^{\wedge}$ of $\left(\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2}\right)_x^{\times}\right)_{K(\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2}\right)_x)}\right)^{\wedge}$

on $\Gamma(A^{\wedge}, ((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x)^{\wedge})$, which is algebraizable because A is projective.

Since $K(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}$ and $K((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^{2}})_{x})$ are *B*-finite, the formal morphism in (iii) is algebraizable. Therefore we have a commutative diagram of algebraic/formal actions and morphisms:

where $s_x := (\sigma_x)_{|\Gamma(G,\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\bar{x}}}$ by Definition 5.6.

Let γ_x be the algebraization of γ_x^{\wedge} . By Eqs. (56)-(61), we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences:

Since the central extensions in Eq. (62) are Zariski-locally products of $\mathbf{G}_{m,B}$ and $K((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x)_B$, γ_x is an isomorphism.

Let $d'_a := \operatorname{rank}_R \Gamma((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x)$ and $d'_t := |X/2N^2\phi(Y)|$. By [MB85, V, 2.4.2 (iii)], the rank of any nonzero $\mathcal{G}((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x,K})$ -module of weight one is equal to an integral multiple of d'_a , so at least d'_a . Since γ_x is an isomorphism by Eq. (62), any nonzero $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_x^{\overline{x}}$ -module of weight one has rank at least d'_a .

Since σ_x is nonzero by Theorem 3.1 (1), we have $\Gamma(G_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\bar{x}} \neq 0$, whence $\operatorname{rank}_B \Gamma(G_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\overline{x}} \geq d'_a$. Hence

$$d'_a d'_t \le \operatorname{rank}_B \Gamma(G_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) = \operatorname{rank}_K \Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{L}_K^{\otimes 2N^2}) = d'_a d'_t.$$

It follows that $\Gamma(G_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\bar{x}}$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}_{\bar{x}}$ -module of rank d'_a ($\forall x \in X/2N^2\phi(Y)$). Moreover since $s_x = (\sigma_x)_{|\Gamma(G_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\bar{x}}}$ is nonzero, $s_x \otimes_B K$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{\bar{x},K}^{f}$ -modules via $\gamma_{x} \otimes_{B} K$ by [MB85, V, 2.4.2 (iii)].

Definition 5.16. Let \mathcal{H} be a group *B*-scheme and *M* an *B*-module on which \mathcal{H} acts. We call M an *irreducible* \mathcal{H} -module if any \mathcal{H} and B-submodule N of M is of the form N = JM for some ideal J of B. See [MB85, V, 2.3].

Summarizing the above, we obtain by [MB85, V, 2.4.2 (ii)]:

Lemma 5.17. The following are true:

- (1) $\gamma_x : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{\bar{x}}^f \simeq \mathcal{G}((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x);$ (2) $\Gamma(G_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\bar{x}}$ (resp. $\Gamma(A, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x)$) is an irreducible $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})_{\bar{x}}^f$ -module (resp. an irreducible $\mathcal{G}((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x)$ -module) of weight one;
- (3) $s_x : \Gamma(G, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\overline{x}} \simeq \Gamma(A, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x)$ as $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\overline{f}}_{\overline{x}}$ -modules via γ_x ;
- (4) s_x induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{f}_{\overline{x}}$ -modules: $\Gamma(A, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_x) \simeq \Gamma(A, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x+2N^2\phi(y)}) \ (\forall y \in Y).$

Proof. (1)-(3) have been proved above. (4) follows from (1)-(3).

5.4. Degeneration data of \mathcal{G}_B . Now we shall construct degeneration data of the pullback \mathcal{G}_B of the Néron model \mathcal{G} . Note $\mathcal{G}_K = G_K$.

Lemma 5.18. There exist nonzero constants $m^{\sharp}(u, x) \in K$ such that

$$\sigma_{x+2N\mu(u)} \circ T^*_{\overline{\iota}^e(u)} = m^{\sharp}(u,x)T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)} \circ \sigma_x,$$
$$m^{\sharp}(\beta(y),x) = m(y,x) := \psi(y)^{2N^2}\tau(y,x) \quad (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y, \forall u \in X^{\vee}).$$

Proof. Since $T^*_{\overline{\iota^e}(u)} \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} \simeq \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} \ (\forall u \in X^{\vee} / \beta(Y))$, we have by Lemma 5.12, $T^*_{\overline{\iota}^e(u)} \Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{N}_K^{\dagger})^{\overline{x}} \simeq \Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{N}_K^{\dagger})^{\overline{x+2N\mu(u)}}$. By Lemma 5.17 (3)

$$T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}\Gamma(A_K, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x,K}) \simeq T^*_{\overline{\iota}^e(u)}\Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}_K)^{\overline{x}} \simeq \Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}_K)^{\overline{x+2N\mu(u)}}$$
$$\simeq \Gamma(A_K, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x+2N\mu(u),K})$$

as $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) \frac{f}{x+2N\mu(u)}$ -modules. Hence $\sigma_{x+2N\mu(u)} \circ T^*_{\overline{\iota}^e(u)}$ and $T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)} \circ \sigma_x$ are equivalent isomorphisms from $\Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{N}_K^{\dagger})^{\overline{x}}$ to $\Gamma(A_K, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x+2N\mu(u),K})$. It follows from Lemma 5.17 (2) that there exists a nonzero constant $m^{\sharp}(u, x) \in$ K such that $\sigma_{x+2N\mu(u)} = m^{\sharp}(u,x)T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}\sigma_x \ (\forall x \in X, \forall u \in X^{\vee}),$ where $m^{\sharp}(\beta(y), x) = m(y, x) := \psi(y)^{2N^2} \tau(y, x) \quad (\forall y \in Y) \text{ by Theorem 3.1.}$

Corollary 5.19. Let $\sigma_x^{(l)} : \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_B, (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\otimes l}) \to \Gamma(A_B, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2 l})_{x,B}) \ (x \in X)$ be the natural projection. Then there exists $m^{\sharp,l}(u, x) \in K^{\times}$ such that

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{x+2Nl\mu(u)}^{(l)} \circ T^*_{\bar{\iota}^e(u)} &= m^{\sharp,l}(u,x)T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)} \circ \sigma_x^{(l)}, \\ m^{\sharp,l}(\beta(y),x) &= \psi(y)^{2N^2l}\tau(y,x) \quad (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y, \forall u \in X^{\vee}). \end{split}$$

Definition 5.20. We define

$$\psi^{\sharp,l}(u):=m^{\sharp,l}(u,0),\ \tau^{\sharp,l}(u,x):=m^{\sharp,l}(u,x)/\psi^{\sharp,l}(u)\ (x\in X, u\in X^{\vee}).$$

By definition, $\psi^{\sharp,l}(0) = \tau^{\sharp,l}(u,0) = \tau^{\sharp,l}(0,x) = 1.$

Theorem 5.21. The B-module homomorphisms

$$\sigma_x : \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_B, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}) \to \Gamma(A_B, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x,B}) \ (x \in X)$$

have the following properties:

- (1) $\sigma_x \neq 0 \quad (\forall x \in X);$
- (2) there exist a cubic trivialization ψ[#] : 1_{X[∨]} → (c^{t,e})* M^{⊗(-2N²)}_K and a trivialization of biextension τ[#] : 1_{X[∨]×X} → (c^{t,e} × c)* P^{⊗(-1)}_K such that σ_{x+2Nµ(u)}(T^{*}_{i^e(u)}θ) = ψ[#](u)τ[#](u, x)T^{*}_{c^{t,e}(u)}(σ_x(θ)) (∀θ ∈ Γ(G_B, N[†]), ∀x ∈ X, ∀u ∈ X[∨]); in particular, ψ[#](0) = τ[#](0, x) = τ[#](u, 0) = 1;
 (3) τ[#](u, x) is bilinear in u and x;
 (4) τ[#](u, 2Nµ(v)) = ψ[#](u+v)/ψ[#](u)ψ[#](v) (∀u, v ∈ X[∨]);
 (5) τ[#](β(y), x) = τ(y, x), ψ[#](β(y)) = ψ(y)^{2N²} (∀x ∈ X, y ∈ Y);
 (6) τ[#](u, 2Nµ(u)) ∈ I² (∀u ∈ X[∨] \ {0});
 (7) for every n ≥ 0, ψ[#](u) ∈ Iⁿ for all but finitely many u ∈ X[∨];
 (8) Γ(G_K, N[#]_K) is identified with the K-vector subspace of Fourier series θ = ∑_{x∈X} σ_x(θ) satisfying σ_{x+2N²φ(y)}(θ) = ψ(y)^{2N²}τ(y, x)T^{*}_{c^t(y)}(σ_x(θ))
- $\theta = \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_x(\theta) \text{ satisfying } \sigma_{x+2N^2\phi(y)}(\theta) = \psi(y)^{2N^2} \tau(y,x) T^*_{c^t(y)}(\sigma_x(\theta))$ with $\sigma_x(\theta) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_K, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2N^2})_{x,K}) \ (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y).$

Proof. See [FC90, II, 5.4]. First note that (1) follows from Theorem 3.1 (1), while (2) follows from Definition 5.20, (3) and (4) which we prove below.

Now we shall prove (3) and (4). First we prove that $\tau^{\ddagger}(u, x)$ is linear in x. Let $\Phi: G_K \times_K G_K \to G_K \times_K G_K$ (resp. $\Phi_A: A_K \times_K A_K \to A_K \times_K A_K$) be the isogeny $(z, w) \mapsto (z + w, z - w)$. Let $u, u' \in X^{\vee}$ and $x \in X$. By [FC90, (AF'), p. 48] and Theorem 3.1 (applied to \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} symmetric)

(63)
$$\Phi_A^* \circ (\sigma_{x+2N\mu(u)} \otimes_K \sigma_{x'+2N\mu(u)}) = (\sigma_{x+x'+4N\mu(u)}^{(2)} \otimes_K \sigma_{x-x'}^{(2)}) \circ \Phi^*,$$

(64)
$$\Phi_A^* \circ (\sigma_x \otimes_K \sigma_{x'}) = (\sigma_{x+x'}^{(2)} \otimes_K \sigma_{x-x'}^{(2)}) \circ \Phi^*.$$

We compute Eqs. (63) and (64):

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{A}^{*} &\circ (\sigma_{x+2N\mu(u)} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x'+2N\mu(u)}) \\ &= \psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2} \tau^{\sharp}(u,x) \tau^{\sharp}(u,x') \left(\Phi_{A}^{*} \circ (T_{c^{t,e}(u)}^{*} \sigma_{x} \otimes_{K} T_{c^{t,e}(u)}^{*} \sigma_{x'}) \right) \\ &= \psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2} \tau^{\sharp}(u,x) \tau^{\sharp}(u,x') (T_{c^{t,e}(2u)}^{*} \sigma_{x+x'}^{(2)} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x-x'}^{(2)}) \circ \Phi^{*}, \\ &(\sigma_{x+x'+4N\mu(u)}^{(2)} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x-x'}^{(2)}) \circ \Phi^{*} \\ &= m^{\sharp,2}(u,x+x') (T_{c^{t,e}(2u)}^{*} \sigma_{x+x'}^{(2)} \otimes_{K} \sigma_{x-x'}^{(2)}) \circ \Phi^{*}, \end{split}$$

whence we have $\psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2}\tau^{\sharp}(u,x)\tau^{\sharp}(u,x') = m^{\sharp,2}(u,x+x')$. By substituting x + x' for x and 0 for x', we have

(65)
$$\psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2}\tau^{\sharp}(u,x+x')\tau^{\sharp}(u,0) = m^{\sharp,2}(u,x+x').$$

By $\tau^{\sharp}(u,0) = 1$, $\tau^{\sharp}(u,x)\tau^{\sharp}(u,x') = \tau^{\sharp}(u,x+x')$: $\tau^{\sharp}(u,x)$ is linear in x. By definition,

(66)
$$m^{\sharp}(u+u',x) = m^{\sharp}(u,x)m^{\sharp}(u',x+2N\mu(u)),$$
$$\psi^{\sharp}(u+u') = m^{\sharp}(u+u',0) = \psi^{\sharp}(u)m^{\sharp}(u',2N\mu(u))$$
$$= \psi^{\sharp}(u)\psi^{\sharp}(u')\tau^{\sharp}(u',2N\mu(u)),$$

which proves (4). Since $\tau^{\sharp}(u, x)$ is linear in x, we obtain by Eq. (66)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{\sharp}(u+u',x) &= m^{\sharp}(u,x)m^{\sharp}(u',x+2N\mu(u))/\psi^{\sharp}(u+u') \\ &= \psi^{\sharp}(u)\psi^{\sharp}(u')\tau^{\sharp}(u,x)\tau^{\sharp}(u',x+2N\mu(u))/\psi^{\sharp}(u+u') = \tau^{\sharp}(u,x)\tau^{\sharp}(u',x), \end{aligned}$$

whence $\tau^{\sharp}(u, x)$ is linear in u. This proves (3) and hence (2) by (4).

For $u \in X^{\vee}$, there exists $y \in Y$ with $\beta(y) = Nu$. Then (6) follows from (3) and Theorem 3.1 (5) because $\tau^{\sharp}(u, 2N\mu(u))^N = \tau(y, 2N\phi(y))) \in I^{2N}$. Since $\psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2N^2} = \tau^{\sharp}(u, 2N\mu(u))^{N^2} = \tau(y, 2N^2\phi(y)) = \psi(y)^{4N^2}$, (7) follows from Theorem 3.1 (6). Finally (8) follows from Theorem 3.1 (7) and Lemma 5.18. This completes the proof.

Corollary 5.22. The following are true:

(1) $\tau^{\sharp} = \tau^{e} \text{ on } X^{\vee} \times X;$ (2) $\psi^{\sharp}(u) = \psi^{\sharp}(-u) \; (\forall u \in X^{\vee});$ (3) $(\psi^{\sharp})^{2}(u) = (\psi^{e})^{2N}(u) = \tau^{\sharp}(u, 2N\mu(u)) \; (\forall u \in X^{\vee}).$

Proof. As in Eq. (28), the translation $T_{\iota^e(u)}$ of \tilde{G}_K $(u \in X^{\vee})$ induces a homomorphism $\tilde{\delta}_u : T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)} \mathcal{O}_x \otimes_R K \to \mathcal{O}_x \otimes_R K$ by

$$\tilde{\delta}_u\left(T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}(f_x)\right) = \tau^e(u,x)T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}f_x.$$

Moreover $T_{\iota^e(u)}$ also induces a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\delta}_u: \tilde{T}^*_{\bar{\iota}^e(u)} \Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}_{|G_K}) \to \Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}_{|G_K})$$

as follows. Let $s = \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_x(s) \in \Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{N}_{|G_K}^{\dagger})$. By Theorem 5.21 (2)

$$\tilde{\delta}_u\left(\tilde{T}^*_{\bar{\iota}^e(u)}(s)\right) := \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{x+2N\mu(u)}\left(\tilde{T}^*_{\bar{\iota}^e(u)}(s)\right),$$
$$\sigma_{x+2N\mu(u)}\left(\tilde{T}^*_{\bar{\iota}^e(u)}(s)\right) = \psi^{\sharp}(u)\tau^{\sharp}(u,x)T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}(\sigma_x(s))$$

Now choose $s \in \Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{N}_{|G_K}^{\dagger})$ with $\sigma_x(s) \neq 0$ and $\sigma_0(s) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sigma_{z+2N\mu(u)}(\tilde{T}^*_{\bar{\iota}^e(u)}s) = \psi^{\sharp}(u)\tau^{\sharp}(u,x)T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}(\sigma_z(s)) \neq 0$$

for z = 0, x. It follows

(67)
$$\tau^{e}(u,x)T_{c^{t,e}(u)}^{*}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}(s)}{\sigma_{0}(s)}\right) = \tilde{\delta}_{u}T_{c^{t,e}(u)}^{*}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}(s)}{\sigma_{0}(s)}\right) := \frac{\delta_{u}T_{c^{t,e}(u)}^{*}\sigma_{x}(s)}{\tilde{\delta}_{u}T_{c^{t,e}(u)}^{*}\sigma_{0}(s)}$$
$$= \frac{\sigma_{x+2N\mu(u)}(\tilde{T}_{\bar{\iota}^{e}(u)}^{*}(s))}{\sigma_{2N\mu(u)}(\tilde{T}_{\bar{\iota}^{e}(u)}^{*}(s))} = \tau^{\sharp}(u,x)T_{c^{t,e}(u)}^{*}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}(s)}{\sigma_{0}(s)}\right).$$

Hence $\tau^{\sharp}(u,x) = \tau^{e}(u,x)$. This proves (1). Let $s \in \Gamma(G_{K}, \mathcal{N}_{|G_{K}}^{\dagger})$. Since \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N}^{\dagger} are symmetric, we have $\sigma_{x+2N\mu(u)} \circ T^{*}_{\overline{\iota}^{e}(u)}(s) = \sigma_{-x-2N\mu(u)} \circ T^{*}_{\overline{\iota}^{e}(-u)}(s)$ and $\psi^{\sharp}(u)\tau^{\sharp}(u,x) = \psi^{\sharp}(-u)\tau^{\sharp}(-u,-x) = \psi^{\sharp}(-u)\tau^{\sharp}(u,x)$. This proves (2). By Theorem 5.21 (4), $\psi^{\sharp}(u)^{2} = \tau^{\sharp}(u,2N\mu(u))$, while $\psi^{e}(u)^{2} = \tau^{e}(u,2\mu(u))$ by Lemma 5.2 (iv). Hence we have (3) by (1).

By Theorem 5.21, we obtain a similar result for $(\mathcal{G}_B, (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\otimes l})$. Moreover in view of Corollary 5.22, we can derive a similar result for $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes 2Nl}$ over S^e which can be expressed in terms of ψ^e and τ^e .

Theorem 5.23. Let $l \in \mathbf{N}$. Let R^e the integral closure of R in $k(\eta^e)$, $S^e = \operatorname{Spec} R^e$ (Definition 5.3) and $\mathcal{N}^{\natural} := \mathcal{N}_{S^e}^{\otimes N}$. The R^e -module homomorphisms

$$\sigma_x^{(2l)}: \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_{S^e}, (\mathcal{N}^{\natural})^{\otimes 2l}) \to \Gamma(A_{S^e}, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})_{x, S^e}) \ (x \in X)$$

have the following properties:

(1)
$$\sigma_x^{(2l)} \neq 0 \quad (\forall x \in X) \text{ and}$$

(68)
$$\sigma_{x+4Nl\mu(u)}^{(2l)}(T^*_{\bar{\iota}^e(u)}\theta) = \psi^e(u)^{2Nl}\tau^e(u,x)T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}(\sigma_x^{(2l)}(\theta))$$

 $(\forall \theta \in \Gamma(G, (\mathcal{N}^{\natural})^{\otimes 2l}), \forall x \in X, \forall u \in X^{\vee});$

(2) $\Gamma(G_{\eta^e}, (\mathcal{N}_{\eta^e}^{\natural})^{\otimes 2l})$ is identified with the $k(\eta^e)$ -vector subspace of Fourier series $\theta = \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_x^{(2l)}(\theta)$ such that

(69)
$$\sigma_{x+4N^{2}l\phi(y)}^{(2l)}(\theta) = \psi(y)^{4N^{2}l}\tau(y,x)T_{c^{t}(y)}^{*}(\sigma_{x}^{(2l)}(\theta))$$
$$with \ \sigma_{x}^{(2l)}(\theta) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_{\eta^{e}}, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^{2}l})_{x,\eta^{e}}) \ (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 and Corollary 5.22, all the extensions $c^{t,e}$, ι^e , ψ^e and τ^e are defined over $k(\eta^e)$. The finite cover $B \to S^e$ is radical normal such that $\operatorname{Aut}(B/S^e) = \mu := D(F)$: the Cartier dual of a finite commutative constant group S^e -scheme F. Since $\mathcal{N}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{N}_B^{\natural}$, by [MN24, 11.3/11.9]

$$\Gamma(G_Z, (\mathcal{N}_Z^{\natural})^{\otimes 2l}) = \Gamma(G_W, (\mathcal{N}_W^{\dagger})^{\otimes 2l})^{\mu},$$

$$\Gamma(A_Z, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})_{x,Z}) = \Gamma(A_W, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})_{x,W})^{\mu}$$

where $(Z, W) = (S^e, B), (\eta^e, K)$. Hence we obtain Corollary.

Remark 5.24. Eq. (69) is a particular case of Eq. (68) under $S_u^*(\theta) = \theta$.

6. Twisted Mumford families

6.1. The eFC data of a semiabelian scheme. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a split semiabelian S-scheme and $\zeta := FC(G, \mathcal{L})$.

Definition 6.1. By Lemma 5.2, we define $K := K_{\min}(\zeta)$ to be the minimal normal extension of $k(\eta)$ such that all the extensions $c^{t,e}, \iota^e, \psi^e$ and τ^e are defined over K. Let $R_{\min}(\zeta)$ be the integral closure of R in $K_{\min}(\zeta)$, $S_{\min}(\zeta) := \operatorname{Spec} R_{\min}(\zeta)$ and $\eta_{\min}(\zeta)$ the generic point of $S_{\min}(\zeta)$. Note that

(70)
$$c^{t,e} \circ \beta = c^t, \ \iota^e \circ \beta = \iota, \ \tau^e \circ (\beta \times \mathrm{id}) = \tau, \ \psi^e \circ \beta = \psi^{2N}.$$

Notation 6.2. In what follows, let $R_{\rm in}$ be a CDVR with $t := s_{\rm in}$ uniformizer, v_t the valuation of $k(\eta_{\rm in})$ with $v_t(t) = 1$, $S_{\rm in} := \operatorname{Spec} R_{\rm in}$, $\eta_{\rm in}$ (resp. $0_{\rm in}$) the generic point (resp. the closed point) of $S_{\rm in}$, $k(\eta_{\rm in})$ (resp. $k(0_{\rm in})$) the fraction field (resp. the residue field) of $R_{\rm in}$, (G, \mathcal{L}) a split semiabelian $S_{\rm in}$ -scheme and $\zeta = \operatorname{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$ the symmetric split object over $S_{\rm in}$ given by Eq. (23). Let $k(\eta) := K_{\min}(\zeta)$, $R := R_{\min}(\zeta)$, $S := S_{\min}(\zeta) = \operatorname{Spec} R$, η the generic point of S, s a uniformizer of R and $e(\zeta)$ the ramification index of $k(\eta)/k(\eta_{\rm in})$, *i.e.*, $v_s(t) = e(\zeta)$. We extend v_t to R by $v_t = v_s/e(\zeta)$.

Remark 6.3. Let $\zeta_l := \operatorname{FC}(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes l})$. Then $K_{\min}(\zeta_l) = K_{\min}(\zeta)$, $R_{\min}(\zeta_l) = R_{\min}(\zeta)$ and $\eta_{\min}(\zeta_l) = \eta_{\min}(\zeta)$ ($\forall l \in \mathbf{N}$) by Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 5.2. Note that $K_{\min}(\zeta) = k(\eta^e)$ and Theorem 5.23 is true over $k(\eta^e)$. Hence we can set $B = S_{\min}(\zeta) = S = S^e$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\natural} = \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}$ in Theorem 5.23.

Definition 6.4. We define the $4N^2l$ -th eFC datum of (G, \mathcal{L}) extending ζ (or the $4N^2l$ -th extension of ζ) by

 $\begin{aligned} \zeta_{4N^2l}^e &= (\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t,e}, \iota^e, 4N^2l\lambda, 4N^2l\phi, \tau^e, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes 4N^2l}, (\psi^e)^{2Nl}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l}).\\ \text{Recall that } \psi^e(u) &:= \tau^e(u, \mu(u)) \ (u \in X^{\vee}). \text{ Then } \psi^e \text{ is compatible with } \\ \tau^e \text{ in the sense that Eq. (49) is true.} \end{aligned}$

Under Notation 6.2, every eFC datum of (G, \mathcal{L}) extending ζ is conjugate to each other under the action of Aut $(k(\eta)/k(\eta_{\rm in}))$ by Lemma 5.2.

Definition 6.5. Let B_{τ} , β_{τ} and μ be the same as Definition 5.1. Let $B_{\tau^e} := v_t(\tau^e)$. Note that $B_{\tau^e}(u, x) = u(x)$ ($\forall u \in X^{\vee}, \forall x \in X$) by [MN24, 5.10].

Let $\epsilon^{\dagger}(u) := \tau^{e}(u, 2N\mu(u)) = \psi^{e}(u)^{2N}$ and $E^{\dagger}(u) := v_{t}\epsilon^{\dagger}(u) = 2Nu(\mu(u))$ $(u \in X^{\vee})$. For $l \in \mathbf{N}$, we define $\epsilon^{\dagger}_{l} = (\epsilon^{\dagger})^{l} = (\psi^{e})^{2Nl}$, $E^{\dagger}_{l} = lE^{\dagger}$ and

$$\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0) = \{ x \in X_{\mathbf{R}}; E_l^{\dagger}(u) + u(x) \ge 0 \ (\forall u \in X^{\vee}) \}, \ \Sigma_l^{\dagger} = \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0) \cap X,$$
$$\Sigma_l^{\dagger,\alpha} = (\alpha + 4Nl\mu(X^{\vee})) \cap \Sigma_l^{\dagger} \ (\alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}).$$

Remark 6.6. By [MN24, 6.3], $\Sigma_l^{\dagger} + 4Nl\mu(X^{\vee}) = X$. For $c \in X^{\vee}$ we define $\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(c) := \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0) + 4Nl\mu(c)$. The set $\operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger}$ consisting of all $\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(c)$ ($c \in X^{\vee}$) and their closed faces forms a polyhedral decomposition of $X_{\mathbf{R}}$:

$$X_{\mathbf{R}} = \bigcup_{c \in X^{\vee}} \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(c), \ \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(c)^0 \cap \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(c')^0 = \emptyset \ (c \neq c').$$

We can identify under the notation of [MN24, 5.21]:

(71)
$$E_l^{\dagger} = E_{2Nl}, \ \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0) = \Sigma_{2Nl}(0), \ \Sigma_l^{\dagger} = \Sigma_{2Nl}, \ \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger} = \operatorname{Vor}_{2Nl}.$$

Lemma 6.7. The following are true:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (1) \ \ \epsilon_l^{\dagger}(\beta(y)) = \psi(y)^{4N^2l} \ and \ \tau^e(\beta(y), x) = \tau(y, x); \\ (2) \ \ \tau^e(u, \mu(v)) = \tau^e(v, \mu(u)); \\ (3) \ \ \epsilon_l^{\dagger}(u+v) = \epsilon_l^{\dagger}(u)\epsilon_l^{\dagger}(v)\tau^e(u, \mu(v))^{4Nl}; \\ (4) \ \ \epsilon_l^{\dagger}(u+\beta(y)) = \epsilon_l^{\dagger}(u)\psi(y)^{4N^2l}\tau(y, \mu(u))^{4Nl}; \\ (5) \ \ \epsilon_l^{\dagger}(u)\tau^e(u, \alpha) \in R \end{array}$

where $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $u, v \in X^{\vee}$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}$.

Proof. (1) follows from $\epsilon_l^{\dagger}(\beta(y)) = \tau(y, 2N^2 l\phi(y)) = \psi(y)^{4N^2 l}$. (2)-(5) are clear from Lemma 5.2 and Definitions 6.1/6.5.

6.2. The Mumford construction associated with a NéFC kit.

Definition 6.8. Let $l \in \mathbf{N}$. We define an *l*-th NéFC kit of $\zeta_{4N^2}^e$ by

(72)
$$\xi_{l}^{\dagger} = \xi^{\dagger}(\zeta_{4N^{2}l}^{e}) = (X, Y, \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}, \tau^{e}, E_{l}^{\dagger}, \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}), \quad \xi^{\dagger} := \xi_{1}^{\dagger}.$$

We define NéFC $(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4N^2l}) = (\zeta_{4N^2l}^e, \xi_l^{\dagger})$ which we call the NéFC pair of $(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4N^2l})$. Note that $\zeta_{4N^2l}^e$ and ξ_l^{\dagger} are defined over $S_{\min}(\zeta)$.

We start the Mumford construction associated with ξ_I^{\dagger} :

Definition 6.9. For $l \in \mathbf{N}$, we define fractional ideals of $k(\eta)$ as follows:

$$J_u := R\epsilon^{\dagger}(u), \ J_{u,x} := R\tau^e(u,x), \ J_{l,u} := J_u^l \ (x \in X, u \in X^{\vee}).$$

By Lemma 6.7,

$$J_{l,u+v} = J_{l,u} \cdot J_{l,v} \cdot J_{u,\mu(v)}^{4Nl}, \ J_{u,\mu(v)} = J_{v,\mu(u)}$$
Definition 6.10. ([FC90, III, 3.1]) We define two graded algebras $S_{l,i}(\xi^{\dagger}) := S_{l,i}$ and their graded subalgebras $\mathcal{R}_{i,\Sigma_{i}^{\dagger}}(\xi^{\dagger}) := \mathcal{R}_{i,\Sigma_{i}^{\dagger}}$ (i = 1, 2) by:

$$\mathcal{S}_{l,1} = \left(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}})\theta_l^n\right) \otimes_R k(\eta) = \left(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} (\bigoplus_{x\in X} \mathcal{O}_x)\theta_l^n\right) \otimes_R k(\eta),$$
(73)
$$\mathcal{S}_{l,2} = \left(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \left(\bigoplus_{x\in X} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2ln} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_x\right) \theta_l^n\right) \otimes_R k(\eta),$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{1,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}} = \mathcal{O}_A[(J_{l,v} \cdot J_{v,\beta} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\beta+4Nl\mu(v)})\theta_l; v \in X^{\vee}, \beta \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}],$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}} = \mathcal{O}_A[(J_{l,v} \cdot J_{v,\beta} \cdot \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_{\beta+4Nl\mu(v)})\theta_l; v \in X^{\vee}, \beta \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}]$$

where $\beta + 4Nl\mu(v) \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger} + 4Nl\mu(X^{\vee}) = X$ by [MN24, 6.3]. Note that

(74)
$$S_{l,2} = \left(\bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \pi_* (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes 4N^2 ln}) \theta_l^n\right) \otimes_R k(\eta).$$

Since \mathcal{M} is an invertible \mathcal{O}_A -module, we have $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{1,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}} = \operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}}$, which we denote by \tilde{Q}_l . The S-scheme \tilde{Q}_l is endowed with a π_l^Q -ample tautological invertible sheaf \mathcal{N}_l^Q as $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{1,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}}$, while it is endowed with a π_l^Q -ample tautological invertible sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^Q := \mathcal{N}_l^Q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} (\pi_l^Q)^* \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l}$ as $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}}$ where $\pi_l^Q : \tilde{Q}_l \to A$ is the natural projection.

Definition 6.11. Let $\tilde{T}_{\iota^e(u)}$ be the translation of \tilde{G} by $\iota^e(u)$ $(u \in X^{\vee})$ and set $\delta_{l,u} := \tilde{T}_{\iota^e(u)}$. Let $\sigma_x, \sigma'_x \in \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_{x,\eta}$. Then we define a $k(\eta)$ -isomorphism $\tilde{\delta}_{l,u} : \delta^*_{l,u} \mathcal{S}_{l,2} \otimes_R k(\eta) \to \mathcal{S}_{l,2} \otimes_R k(\eta)$ by

(75)
$$\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}\delta^*_{l,u}(\bigoplus_{x\in X}\sigma_x\theta_l) = \bigoplus_{x\in X}\sigma'_x\theta_l, \ \sigma'_{x+4Nl\mu(u)} = \epsilon^{\dagger}_l(u)\tau^e(u,x)T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}\sigma_x.$$

The sheaf automorphism $\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}$ lies over the identity of the base A.

Let $S_{l,y} := \delta_{l,\beta(y)} = \tilde{T}_{\iota(y)}$ and $\tilde{S}_{l,y} := \tilde{\delta}_{l,\beta(y)} \ (y \in Y)$. Hence (76)

$$\tilde{S}_{l,y}S_{l,y}^*(\bigoplus_{x\in X}\sigma_x\theta_l) = \bigoplus_{x\in X}\sigma_x''\theta_l, \ \sigma_{x+4N^2l\phi(y)}'' = \psi(y)^{4N^2l}\tau(y,x)T_{c^t(y)}^*\sigma_x.$$

Since $\theta_l \in \mathcal{O}_0 \theta_l = \mathcal{O}_A \theta_l$, we have

(77)
$$\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}\delta_{l,u}^{*}(\theta_{l}) = \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u)\theta_{l} \in \mathcal{O}_{4Nl\mu(u)}\theta_{l}, \\ \tilde{S}_{l,y}S_{l,y}^{*}(\theta_{l}) = \psi(y)^{4N^{2}l}\theta_{l} \in \mathcal{O}_{4N^{2}l\phi(y)}\theta_{l}.$$

Lemma 6.12. $\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}$ (resp. $\tilde{S}_{l,y}$) is an isomorphism $\delta_u^* S_{l,2} \otimes_R k(\eta) \simeq S_{l,2} \otimes_R k(\eta)$ $k(\eta)$ (resp. $S_y^* S_{l,2} \otimes_R k(\eta) \simeq S_{l,2} \otimes_R k(\eta)$), which keeps the *R*-subalgebra $\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{l,2}$ stable: $\tilde{\delta}_u \delta_u^* \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}} = \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}}$, (resp. $\tilde{S}_y S_y^* \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}} = \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}}$). *Proof.* By Lemma 6.7(3),

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\delta}_{l,u} \delta^*_{u,\eta} (J_{l,v} \cdot J_{v,\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l}_{\alpha+4Nl\mu(v)} \theta_l) \\ &= \epsilon_l(u) \tau^e(u,\alpha+4Nl\mu(v)) \cdot T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)_\eta} (J_{l,v} \cdot J_{v,\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l}_{\alpha+4Nl\mu(v)} \theta_l) \\ &= J_{l,u} \cdot J_{l,v} \cdot J_{v,\alpha} \cdot \tau^e(u,\alpha+4Nl\mu(v)) \cdot T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)_\eta} (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l}_{\alpha+4Nl\mu(v)}) \theta_l \\ &= J_{l,u+v} \cdot J_{u+v,\alpha} \cdot T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)_\eta} (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l}_{\alpha+4Nl\mu(v)}) \theta_l \\ &= J_{l,u+v} \cdot J_{u+v,\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l}_{\alpha+4Nl\mu(u)+4Nl\mu(v)} \theta_l. \end{split}$$

This proves Lemma. See [FC90, III, 3.3].

Remark 6.13. The condition [FC90, (*), p. 62] is Lemma 6.7 (5), which ensures that \tilde{G} is an open subscheme of \tilde{P}_l . See Lemma 7.4. By [FC90, III, 3.3], \tilde{Q}_l is a relatively complete model $\tilde{P}_{(4N^2l\phi,\psi^{4N^2l}),\Sigma_l^{\dagger}}$ associated with a symmetric split object $\zeta_{4N^2l}^e$.

Definition 6.14. Let \tilde{P}_l be the normalization of the *S*-scheme \tilde{Q}_l locally of finite type, and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_l$) the pullback to \tilde{P}_l of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^Q$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_l^Q$) on \tilde{Q}_l . Then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_l \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_l}} \tilde{\pi}_l^* \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l}$ where $\tilde{\pi}_l : \tilde{P}_l \to A$ is the natural projection. The actions $(S_y, \tilde{S}_{l,y})$ and $(\delta_u, \tilde{\delta}_{l,u})$ on $(\tilde{Q}_l, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^Q)$ lift to those on $(\tilde{P}_l, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l)$, which we denote by the same letters $(S_{l,y}, \tilde{S}_{l,y})$ and $(\delta_{l,u}, \tilde{\delta}_{l,u})$ respectively.

Let $(\tilde{P}_l^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\wedge})$ be the *I*-adic completion of $(\tilde{P}_l, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l)$ and $(S_{l,y}^{\wedge}, \tilde{S}_{l,y}^{\wedge})$ the *I*-adic completion of $(S_{l,y}, \tilde{S}_{l,y})$ $(y \in Y)$. Since the action of Y on $(\tilde{P}_l^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\wedge})$ via $((S_{l,y}^{\wedge}, \tilde{S}_{l,y}^{\wedge}); y \in Y)$ is properly discontinuous, there exists a formal quotient $(\tilde{P}_l^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\wedge})/Y$ of $(\tilde{P}_l^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\wedge})$ by Y, which is a formal projective S^{\wedge} -scheme with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\wedge}$ relatively ample. By [EGA, III_1, 5.4.5], there exists an algebraization (P_l, \mathcal{L}_l) of $(\tilde{P}_l^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\wedge})/Y$.

Definition 6.15. We call (P_l, \mathcal{L}_l) the *l*-th twisted Mumford family associated with ξ^{\dagger} (or the twisted Mumford family associated with ξ_l^{\dagger}), which we denote by $(P_l(\xi^{\dagger}), \mathcal{L}_l(\xi^{\dagger}))$ if necessary. We also denote \mathcal{L}_l by \mathcal{L}_l^P if necessary. Let $\varpi_l : P_l \to S$ and $\pi_l : \tilde{P}_{l,0} \to P_{l,0}$ be the natural projections respectively.

Notation 6.16. For any (general) graded algebra \mathcal{D} , we denote the degree zero part of \mathcal{D} by $\mathcal{D}(0)$.

Definition 6.17. Let $u \in X^{\vee}$, $\alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}$ and let $\xi_{l,\alpha,u,\mathcal{M}}$ be a (local) generator of the \mathcal{O}_A -module $J_{l,u} \cdot J_{u,\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_{\alpha+4Nl\mu(u)}$ and

$$\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger},\alpha,u} := \left(\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}} [1/(\xi_{l,\alpha,u,\mathcal{M}}\theta_l)] \right) (0).$$

38

This definition makes sense because \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{O}_x $(x \in X)$ are invertible and R is a UFD. Then we define

$$U_{l,\alpha,u} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger},\alpha,u},$$

$$W_{l,\alpha,u} = \text{the normalization of } U_{l,\alpha,u}.$$

For any affine open subset V of A, $U_{l,u,\alpha} \times_A V$ (resp. $W_{l,\alpha,u} \times_A V$) is an affine open S-subscheme of \tilde{Q}_l (resp. \tilde{P}_l) and $\mathcal{W} := (W_{l,\alpha,u}; \alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee})$ is an open covering of \tilde{P}_l .

Remark 6.18. Since A is proper over S, by [MN24, 7.6], $\tilde{P}_l(K') = \tilde{P}_l(R')$ for any finite extension K' of $k(\eta)$ and the integral closure R' of R in K'.

6.3. Relation with torus embeddings. Let $\mathbf{Z}m_0 (\simeq \mathbf{Z})$ be a rank one **Z**-module, $(\mathbf{Z}m_0)^{\vee} = \mathbf{Z}f_0$ with $f_0(m_0) = 1$, $\tilde{X} = \mathbf{Z}m_0 \oplus X$, $\tilde{X}^{\vee} = \mathbf{Z}f_0 \oplus X^{\vee}$ and t a uniformizer of R identified with w^{m_0} .

Definition 6.19. Let ϵ_l^{\dagger} , E_l^{\dagger} and Σ_l^{\dagger} be the same as Definition 6.5. Let $\gamma \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}$, $z \in X^{\vee}$ and $x = \gamma + 4Nl\mu(z)$. Then we define $D_l^{\dagger}(x) = E_l^{\dagger}(z) + z(\gamma)$. This is well-defined as is shown in the same manner as in [MN24, 6.6/6.7].

Definition 6.20. Let ξ_l^{\dagger} be the NéFC kit of ζ_{2Nl}^e in Definition 6.8. We define a fan (in X^{\vee}) associated with ξ_l^{\dagger} by:

$$\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger}) := \left\{ \tau_{l,\alpha,u}^{\dagger} \text{ and their faces; } \alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee} \right\},\$$
$$\tau_{l,\alpha,u}^{\dagger} := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} v_0 f_0 + v \in \mathbf{R} f_0 \oplus X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}; \ v_0 \ge 0, v \in X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}, \forall y \in X \\ e(\zeta)(D_l^{\dagger}(y) - D_l^{\dagger}(\alpha + 4Nl\mu(u)))v_0 + v(y - (\alpha + 4Nl\mu(u))) \ge 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Remark 6.21. We set $\xi_{2Nl}^{\natural} := (X, Y, t^{E_l^{\dagger}}, t^{B_{\tau^e}}, E_l^{\dagger}, \Sigma_l^{\dagger})$. Then ξ_{2Nl}^{\natural} is an eFC datum, which is the *l*-th NéFC datum induced from ξ_{2N}^{\natural} [MN24, 5.21]. Note that $\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger}) = \operatorname{Fan}(\xi_{2Nl}^{\natural})$ by [MN24, 7.4] because $E_l^{\dagger}(u) = 2Nlu\mu(u) = E_{2Nl}(u)$ and hence $D_l^{\dagger} = D_{2Nl}$ by [MN24, 5.24].

Let $U(\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger}))$ be the torus T_X -embedding over S associated with $\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger})$. Let $l_1: T \times_S \tilde{G} \to \tilde{G}$ (resp. $l_2: T \times_S U(\tau_{l,\alpha,u}^{\dagger}) \to U(\tau_{l,\alpha,u}^{\dagger}))$ be the left action of T via ι_T (resp. via the isomorphism $T \simeq T_{X,S}$). See Eq. (3) for ι_T . Let $\varphi := (l_1 \times_S l_2) \circ (\operatorname{id}_T \times \operatorname{inv}_T)$ where inv_T is the inverse morphism of T. We define the contraction product of $U(\tau_{l,\alpha,u}^{\dagger})$ and \tilde{G} by T by

(78)
$$U(\tau_{l,\alpha,u}^{\dagger}) \times_S \tilde{G}/\varphi(T)$$

Since \tilde{G} is a Zariski *T*-bundle, the quotient (78) is an *S*-scheme. As is suggested by [K98, 3.5], we have an isomorphism

(79)
$$W_{l,\alpha,u} \simeq U(\tau_{l,\alpha,u}^{\dagger}) \times_{S}^{T} \tilde{G} := U(\tau_{l,\alpha,u}^{\dagger}) \times_{S} \tilde{G}/\varphi(T).$$

Let $\xi_{l,\alpha,v} := \epsilon_l^{\dagger}(v)\tau^e(v,\alpha)w^{\alpha+4Nl\mu(v)}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_l$ be the invertible sheaf on $U(\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger}))$ defined by a one cocyle $f_{l,\alpha,u;\beta,v} := \xi_{l,\alpha,u}/\xi_{l,\beta,v}$ with respect to the covering \mathcal{W} . By [MN24, 7.5] and Eq. (79), we see

(80)
$$\tilde{P}_l \simeq U(\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger})) \times_S^T \tilde{G}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l' \otimes_S \pi^* \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l}.$$

Since both $U(\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger}))$ and \tilde{G} are irreducible, so is \tilde{P}_l .

6.4. A down-to-earth description of \tilde{P}_l . This subsection is a presheaf version of § 6.2. In terms of local torus coordinates w_U^x , the description of \tilde{P}_l becomes very similar to [MN24, 7.1-7.3].

First we choose an affine covering \mathcal{F}^0 of A such that $\tilde{G}_U \simeq T_U$ and $\mathcal{M}_{|U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_U$ ($\forall U \in \mathcal{F}^0$). Let $v \in X^{\vee}$, $U \in \mathcal{F}^0$. $U^v := T_{-c^{t,e}(v)}(U)$, $\mathcal{F}^v := \{U^v; U \in \mathcal{F}^0\}$ and $\mathcal{F} := \coprod_{v \in X^{\vee}} \mathcal{F}^v$. By the assumption on \mathcal{F} , there exists a generator w_U^x of $(\mathcal{O}^x)_U$ ($\forall x \in X, \forall U \in \mathcal{F}$) such that $\tilde{G}_U \simeq \operatorname{Spec} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_U)[w_U^x; x \in X]$ with $w_U^x \cdot w_U^{x'} = w_U^{x+x'}$ ($\forall x, x' \in X$). We set $g_{UV}^x = w_V^x/w_U^x$. By Eq. (16), $(g_{UV}^x; U, V \in \mathcal{F})$ is a one-cocycle representing $\mathcal{O}^x (\simeq \mathcal{O}_x)$. Let $(\mu_{UV}; U, V \in \mathcal{F})$ be a one-cocycle representing $\mathcal{M} \in H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A^x)$ with respect to \mathcal{F} .

Let $l \in \mathbf{N}$ and $(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{M})^x := (\pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}^x$. Then as in § 2.3, we obtain a homomorphism

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\otimes 2l}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(G^{\wedge}, (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\otimes 2l, \wedge}) \simeq \Gamma(\tilde{G}^{\wedge}, (\pi^{\wedge})^* \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l, \wedge})$$
$$\simeq \left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \Gamma(\tilde{G}, (\pi^{-1} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})^x)\right)^{I\text{-adic}} \hookrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} \Gamma(\tilde{G}, (\pi^{-1} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})^x),$$

whose x-th projection sending $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\otimes 2l})$ to $\Gamma(\tilde{G}, (\pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})^x)$ we denote by $s_x^{(2l)}$. Let $\nu_x : \Gamma(A, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})_{x,B}) \to \Gamma(\tilde{G}, (\pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})^x)$ be the natural isomorphism. By Theorem 5.23, we have $s_x^{(2l)} = \nu_x \circ \sigma_x^{(2l)}$, so that $\theta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{N}^{\dagger})^{\otimes (2l)})$ can be expressed as

$$\theta = \sum_{x \in X} s_x^{(2l)}(\theta), \ s_x^{(2l)}(\theta) \in \Gamma(\tilde{G}, (\pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})^x).$$

Definition 6.22. Let $u, v \in X^{\vee}$ and $U, V \in \mathcal{F}$. We write $s_x^{(2l)}(\theta) = (\rho_{x,U}^{(2l)}(\theta)w_x^u; U \in \mathcal{F})$ with $\rho_{x,U}^{(2l)}(\theta) \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^{2}l})$ subject to $\rho_{x,U}^{(2l)}(\theta) = \mu_{UV}^{4N^{2}l}\rho_{x,V}^{(2l)}(\theta)$, and let $\rho_x^{(2l)}(\theta) := (\rho_{x,U}^{(2l)}(\theta); U \in \mathcal{F}) \in \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^{2}l})$. Let $\theta_{l,U}$ be an indeterminate of degree one subject to $\theta_{l,U} = \mu_{UV}^{-4N^{2}l}\theta_{l,V}$. By definition, $\rho_{x,U}^{(2l)}(\theta)\theta_{l,U} = \rho_{x,V}^{(2l)}(\theta)\theta_{l,V}$.

Definition 6.23. For $U \in \mathcal{F}$, we define

$$\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger},U}^{\dagger} = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{U}) \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{U}\xi_{l,\alpha,v,U}\theta_{l,U}; \rho = (\rho_{V}; V \in \mathcal{F}) \in \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^{2}l}) \\ v \in X^{\vee}, \ \alpha \in \Sigma_{l}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \xi_{l,\alpha,v,U} = \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(v)\tau^{e}(v,\alpha)w_{U}^{\alpha+4Nl\mu(v)}.$$

40

Since $\Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l})$ is base point free, $\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger},U}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_U)} \mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_U.$

Definition 6.24. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}$, $u \in X^{\vee}$ and $U \in \mathcal{F}$. We define

$$\begin{split} A_{l,\alpha,u,U} &:= \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_U)[\xi_{l,\gamma,v,U}/\xi_{l,\alpha,u,U}; \gamma \in \Sigma_l^{\uparrow}, v \in X^{\vee}], \\ B_{l,\alpha,u,U} &:= \text{the normalization of } A_{l,\alpha,u,U}, \\ U_{l,\alpha,u,U} &:= \text{Spec } A_{l,\alpha,u,U}, \ W_{l,\alpha,u,U} &:= \text{Spec } B_{l,\alpha,u,U}. \end{split}$$

Note that $U_{l,\alpha,u,U} \simeq U_{l,\alpha,u} \times_A U$ and $W_{l,\alpha,u,U} \simeq W_{l,\alpha,u} \times_A U$. Let $\tilde{Q}_{l,U} :=$ Proj $\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l,U}^{\dagger}$ and $\tilde{P}_{l,U}$ the normalization of $\tilde{Q}_{l,U}$. Then $\tilde{Q}_{l,U}$ (resp. $\tilde{P}_{l,U}$) is covered by $U_{l,\alpha,u,U}$ (resp. $W_{l,\alpha,u,U}$) ($\alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee}$). Note that $\tilde{Q}_{l,U} \simeq \tilde{Q}_l \times_A U$ and $\tilde{P}_{l,U} \simeq \tilde{P}_l \times_A U$ with the notation of Definition 6.14.

Definition 6.25. The sheaf automorphisms $\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}$ and $\tilde{S}_{l,y}$ given in Definition 6.11 induce automorphisms of $\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger},U}^{\dagger}$ in the natural manner:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\delta}_{l,u} \delta^*_{l,u}(\rho_U \xi_{l,\alpha,v,U} \theta_{l,U}) &:= (T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)} \rho)_U \xi_{l,\alpha,v+u,U} \theta_{l,U}, \\ \tilde{S}_{l,y} S^*_{l,y}(\rho_U \xi_{l,\alpha,v,U} \theta_{l,U}) &:= (T^*_{c^t(y)} \rho)_U \xi_{l,\alpha,v+\beta(y),U} \theta_{l,U}, \end{split}$$

where $\rho := (\rho_U; U \in \mathcal{F}) \in \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l})$. By Definition 6.22,

$$\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}\delta^*_{l,u}(\rho_x^{(2l)}(\theta)_U\theta_{l,U}) = (T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}\rho_x^{(2l)}(\theta))_U\xi_{l,0,u,U}\theta_{l,U}$$
$$= (T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}\rho_x^{(2l)}(\theta))_U\epsilon^{\dagger}_l(u)w_U^{4Nl\mu(u)}\theta_{l,U}.$$

Note that $\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}$ (resp. \tilde{S}_y) lifts $\delta_{l,u}$ (resp. S_y) from \tilde{P}_l to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l$.

Lemma 6.26. $\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}$ is well-defined.

Proof. This is clear from Eq. (75). However here we prove Lemma based on Definition 6.25. Let $\rho := (\rho_U; U \in \mathcal{F}) \in \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l})$. Then

(81)
$$T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}\rho \in \Gamma(A, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})_{4Nl\mu(u)}).$$

By $c \circ \mu = N\lambda \circ c^{t,e}$, we have $T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}\mathcal{M}^{\otimes N} \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mu(u)}$, so that we may assume $T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}\mu^N_{UV} = \mu^N_{UV}g^{\mu(u)}_{UV}$. Also we may assume $T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}g^x_{UV} = g^x_{UV}$ by $T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}\mathcal{O}_x \simeq \mathcal{O}_x$. Hence $(T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}\rho)_U = \mu^{4N^2l}_{UV} \cdot g^{4Nl\mu(u)}_{UV} \cdot (T^*_{c^{t,e}(u)}\rho)_V$. Let

$$\Theta_U := \rho_U \cdot \xi_{l,\alpha,v,U} \cdot \theta_{l,U} \in \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger},U},$$
$$\Theta_V := \rho_V \cdot \xi_{l,\alpha,v,V} \cdot \theta_{l,V} \in \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger},V}.$$

Therefore by $\xi_{l,\alpha,v,U} = g_{UV}^{-\alpha-4Nl\mu(v)}\xi_{l,\alpha,v,V}$ and $\rho_U \cdot \theta_{l,U} = \rho_V \cdot \theta_{l,V}$.

 Θ_U and Θ_V are identified iff $\Theta_U = g_{UV}^{-\alpha - 4Nl\mu(v)} \Theta_V$.

Then we obtain $\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}\delta^*_{l,u}(\Theta_U) = g_{UV}^{-\alpha - 4Nl\mu(v)}\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}\delta^*_{l,u}(\Theta_V)$:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\delta}_{l,u} \delta^{*}_{l,u}(\Theta_{U}) &= (T^{*}_{c^{t,e}(u)}\rho)_{U} \cdot \xi_{l,\alpha,u+v,U}\theta_{l,U} \\ &= \mu^{4N^{2}l}_{UV} \cdot g^{4Nl\mu(u)}_{UV} \cdot (T^{*}_{c^{t,e}(u)}\rho)_{V} \cdot g^{-\alpha-4Nl\mu(u+v)}_{UV} \cdot \xi_{l,\alpha,u+v,V} \cdot \mu^{-4N^{2}l}_{UV} \cdot \theta_{l,V} \\ &= g^{-\alpha-4Nl\mu(v)}_{UV} (T^{*}_{c^{t,e}(u)}\rho)_{V} \cdot \xi_{l,\alpha,u+v,V}\theta_{l,V} = g^{-\alpha-4Nl\mu(v)}_{UV} \tilde{\delta}_{l,u}\delta^{*}_{l,u}(\Theta_{V}). \end{split}$$

This proves that $\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}\delta^*_{l,u}$, or rather, $\tilde{\delta}_{l,u}$ is well-defined.

Notation 6.27. Our notation in Definitions 6.10-6.11 can be sometimes confusing because it is vague to which direct factor σ_x may belong. To remedy this, we introduce the following notation by taking § 6.4 into account. Let θ_l be an indeterminate and $\theta \in \Gamma(P_l^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}_l^{\wedge})$. Then we write

(82)
$$\theta = \sum_{x \in X} \rho_x^{(2l)}(\theta) w^x \theta_k$$

for $\rho_x^{(2l)}(\theta) \in \Gamma(A^{\wedge}, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})_x^{\wedge})$. By Eq. (82), we mean the following:

$$\theta_U = \sum_{x \in X} (\rho_x^{(2l)}(\theta))_U w_U^x \theta_{l,U} \quad (U \in \mathcal{F}_0).$$

Under Eq. (82), we understand Eq. (77) as

(83)
$$\tilde{\delta}_{u}\delta_{u}^{*}(\theta_{l}) = \epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u)w^{4Nl\mu(u)}\theta_{l},$$
$$\tilde{S}_{y}S_{y}^{*}(\theta_{l}) = \psi(y)^{4N^{2}l}w^{4N^{2}l\phi(y)}\theta_{l}$$

7. The relative compactification P_l

7.1. The NéFC kit ξ^{\dagger} over S_{\min} . Let (G, \mathcal{L}) a split partially degenerate semiabelian R_{in} -scheme with \mathcal{L} ample symmetric cubic (and hence rigidified), and \mathcal{G} the Néron model of $G_{\eta_{\text{in}}}$. Let $\zeta := \text{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$ and $(\zeta_{4N^{2}l}^{e}, \xi_{l}^{\dagger}) :=$ NéFC $(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4N^{2}l})$ (Definitions 6.4/6.8). Then ζ is a split object over S in DD_{ample}. The data ζ , $\zeta_{4N^{2}l}^{e}$ and ξ_{l}^{\dagger} are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= (G, A, T, X, Y, c, c^t, \iota, \lambda, \phi, \tau, \tilde{c}, \psi, \mathcal{M}), \\ \zeta_{4N^2l}^e &= (\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t,e}, \iota^e, 4N^2l\lambda, 4N^2l\phi, \tau^e, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes 4N^2l}, (\psi^e)^{2Nl}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l}), \\ \xi_l^{\dagger} &= \xi^{\dagger}(\zeta_{4N^2l}^e) = (X, Y, \epsilon_l^{\dagger}, \tau^e, E_l^{\dagger}, \Sigma_l^{\dagger}), \quad \xi^{\dagger} = \xi_1^{\dagger}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\tilde{P}_l, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l)$ the normalization of a relatively complete model $(\tilde{Q}_l, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l)$ associated with $\zeta_{4N^2l}^e$ (Remark 6.13) and (P_l, \mathcal{L}_l) the *l*-th twisted Mumford family associated with ξ^{\dagger} (Definition 6.15). We study the structures of $(\tilde{P}_l, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l)$ and (P_l, \mathcal{L}_l) . In § 7, we follow Notation 6.2, and hence $k(\eta) = K_{\min}(\zeta)$, $R = R_{\min}(\zeta)$ and $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$.

42

7.2. The structure of \tilde{P}_l and $\tilde{P}_{l,0}$. Let $E_l^{\dagger}(u) = v_t(\epsilon_l^{\dagger})$ and let $\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0)$ and Σ_l^{\dagger} (resp. Fan (ξ_l^{\dagger}) and $\tau_{l,\alpha,u}$) be the same as in Definition 6.5 (resp. Definition 6.20). By [MN24, 6.5], there exists a positive integer l_0 such that $\Sigma_{l_0}^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral, and so is $\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger}(0)$ ($\forall l \in \mathbf{N}$). In what follows we assume

(84)
$$\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0)$$
 is integral.

Lemma 7.1. Let $N := |B_{\tau}|$. There exists m_0 such that for any $m \ge m_0$, $\Gamma(P_{l,\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{l,\eta}^{\otimes m})$ is identified with the following $k(\eta)$ -vector space:

$$\left\{ \theta = \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_x; \begin{array}{c} \sigma_{x+4N^2 l m \phi(y)}(\theta) = \psi(y)^{4N^2 l m} \tau(y, x) T^*_{c^t(y)} \sigma_x(\theta) \\ \sigma_x; \sigma_x(\theta) \in \Gamma(A_\eta, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_x)_\eta) \\ (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y) \end{array} \right\}$$

Proof. Lemma is proved in parallel to [MN24, 7.12] by Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.8 instead of [MN24, 3.13/4.21]. The pd-counterpart of [MN24, 4.16 (3)] applied in [MN24, 4.21] is true by [M72, 4.2]/[FC90, III, § 4].

Theorem 7.2. The following are true:

- (1) there exists an S-isomorphism $\tilde{\psi}_{l,ll'}: (\tilde{P}_l, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\otimes l'}) \simeq (\tilde{P}_{ll'}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{ll'})$ such that $\tilde{\psi}_{l,ll'}(W_{l,\alpha,u}) = W_{ll',l'\alpha,u} \; (\forall \alpha \in \mathrm{Sk}^0(\mathrm{Vor}_l^+), \forall u \in X^{\vee});$
- (2) $\tilde{\psi}_{l,ll'}$ induces an S-isomorphism $\psi_{l,ll'} : (P_l, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes l'}) \simeq (P_{ll'}, \mathcal{L}_{ll'}).$

Proof. This is proved in parallel to [MN24, 7.25].

The following describes the local structure of \tilde{P}_l , which is a corollary of Eq. (79) and [MN24, 8.12]:

Theorem 7.3. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}$, $u \in X^{\vee}$ and $U \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $B_{l,\alpha,u,U} \otimes_R k(0)$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_{A \cap U}$ -algebra given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{A_0 \cap U}[s^{e(\xi)u(x)}w_U^x; x \in X] & \text{if } \alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0)^0, \\ \mathcal{O}_{A_0 \cap U}\begin{bmatrix} s^{e(\xi)(v_{\gamma}+u)(x)}w_U^x; \\ \gamma \in (\Sigma_l^{\dagger})^{\alpha}, x \in \operatorname{Cone}(C_l^{\gamma}) \cap X \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } \alpha \in \partial \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0), \end{cases}$$

with fundamental relations in the second case

$$s^{e(\xi)(v_{\gamma'}+u)(x)}w_U^x \cdot s^{e(\xi)(v_{\gamma''}+u)(y)}w_U^y$$

$$= \begin{cases} s^{e(\xi)(v_{\gamma}+u)(x+y)}w_U^{x+y} & \text{if } x, y \in \operatorname{Cone}(C_l^{\gamma}) \cap X \ (\exists \gamma \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger,\alpha}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma', \gamma'' \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger, \alpha}$, $x \in \operatorname{Cone}(C_l^{\gamma'}) \cap X$ and $y \in \operatorname{Cone}(C_l^{\gamma''}) \cap X$.

The following is a corollary of Eq. (79) and [MN24, 8.12 (1)]:

Lemma 7.4. $\tilde{G} \simeq W_{l,\alpha,u} \; (\forall \alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0)^0).$ To be more precise,

$$\tilde{G}_U \simeq W_{l,\alpha,u,U} = \operatorname{Spec} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_U)[\tau^e(u,x)w_U^x; x \in X],$$

$$\tilde{G}_{U^v} \simeq W_{l,\alpha,u+v,U^v} = \operatorname{Spec} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{U^v})[\tau^e(u+v,x)w_{U^v}^x; x \in X],$$

where $v \in X^{\vee}$ and $U \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover $\delta_{l,v}(W_{l,\alpha,u+v,U^v}) = W_{l,\alpha,u,U}$.

Remark 7.5. Since $v_s(\tau^e(u, x)) = B^e(u, x) = e(\xi)u(x)$ $(u \in X^{\vee}, x \in X)$, Theorem 7.3 is the same as [MN24, 8.12] if $A_0 = 0$. Since $v_t(\tau^e(u, x)) = u(x)$, we have in Lemma 7.4,

$$W_{l,\alpha,u,U} = \operatorname{Spec} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_U)[t^{u(x)}w_U^x; x \in X],$$
$$W_{l,\alpha,u+v,U^v} = \operatorname{Spec} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_U)[t^{(u+v)(x)}w_{U^v}^x; x \in X].$$

Corollary 7.6. $\tilde{P}_{l,0} := \tilde{P}_l \times_S 0$ is reduced and Cohen-Macaulay.

Definition 7.7. Let $\overline{\operatorname{Vor}}_l^{\dagger} := \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger} / 4Nl\mu(X^{\vee})$. Let $\overline{\operatorname{vor}}_{l,ll'}^{\dagger} : \overline{\operatorname{Vor}}_l^{\dagger} \to \overline{\operatorname{Vor}}_{ll'}^{\dagger}$ be multiplication by l'.

Definition 7.8. Let $W_{l,\Delta} := \bigcap_{a \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta)} W_{l,a}$ for $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger}$. See [MN24, §§ 8.2-8.3] for more details. Let $Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ be the unique reduced closed G_0 -orbit of $(W_{l,\Delta})_0$ and $\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ the closure of $Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ in $\tilde{P}_{l,0}$ (with reduced structure).

The following is proved in parallel to [MN24, 8.31]:

Theorem 7.9. Let $\pi_l : \tilde{P}_{l,0} \to P_{l,0}$ be the natural morphism and $\overline{\operatorname{Orb}}(P_{l,0})$ the set of closures in $P_{l,0}$ of G_0 -orbits of $P_{l,0}$. We define ω_l by $\omega_l(\Delta) = \pi_l \overline{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ for $\Delta \in \overline{\operatorname{Vor}}_l^{\dagger}$. Then

- (1) ω_l is a bijective correspondence between $\overline{\operatorname{Vor}}_l^{\dagger}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{Orb}}(P_{l,0})$;
- (2) ω_l is independent of l in the sense that $\psi_{l,ll'} \circ \omega_l = \omega_{ll'} \circ \overline{\operatorname{vor}}_{l,ll'}^{\dagger}$ $(\forall l' \in \mathbf{N});$
- (3) the set of irreducible components of $P_{l,0}$ consists of $\pi_l(\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(v)))$ $(v \in X^{\vee}/\beta(Y))$ where $Z_l^{\dagger}(\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(v)) = (W_{l,0,v})_0.$

7.3. The structure of P_l . Throughout this section, we assume that $\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral. The normalization \tilde{P}_l is covered with open affine sets $W_{l,\alpha,u}$ $(\alpha \in \Sigma^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee})$. Let $\tilde{G}_l := W_{l,0,0}$. Since $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{1,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}} \simeq \operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}}$, we have

$$\tilde{G}_l = W_{l,0,0} = \operatorname{Spec} \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{O}_x,$$

which is independent of l. Since $0 \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger} \cap \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0)^0$ by [MN24, 6.2], \tilde{G}_l is described also by Lemma 7.4. Let $\delta_u := \tilde{T}_{c^{t,e}(u)}$ $(u \in X^{\vee})$ and

$$\tilde{C}_l := (\tilde{P}_l \setminus \bigcup_{u \in X^{\vee}} \delta_u(\tilde{G}_l))_{\mathrm{red}}, \ \tilde{D}_l := (\tilde{P}_l \setminus \bigcup_{y \in Y} S_y(\tilde{G}_l))_{\mathrm{red}}.$$

Let (P_l, \mathcal{L}_l) be the algebraization of the (formal) quotient $(\tilde{P}_l^{\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\wedge})/Y$, C_l (resp. D_l) the algebraization of the quotient \tilde{C}_l^{\wedge}/Y (resp. \tilde{D}_l^{\wedge}/Y) and

$$G_l := P_l \setminus D_l, \quad \mathcal{G}_l := P_l \setminus C_l = \bigcup_{u \in X^{\vee}/\beta(Y)} \delta_u(G_l),$$

where G_l is semiabelian with $G_l^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}_l^{\wedge}$ by [FC90, III, 5.7-5.8].

Theorem 7.10. The following are true:

- (1) P_l is an irreducible flat projective normal Cohen-Macaulay S-scheme;
- (2) G_l and \mathcal{G}_l are open subschemes of P_l and semiabelian group Sschemes such that $P_{l,\eta} = G_{l,\eta} = \mathcal{G}_{l,\eta}$ is an abelian variety and $G_l = \mathcal{G}_l^0;$
- (3) G_l is the connected Néron model of $G_{l,\eta}$;
- (4) $(\mathcal{G}_{l,0}/G_{l,0})(k(0)) (\simeq X^{\vee}/\beta(Y))$ acts on P_l ;
- (5) $(\mathcal{G}_{l,0}/G_{l,0})(\overline{k(0)})$ is naturally a subgroup $e(\xi)(X^{\vee}/\beta(Y))$ of the component group $X^{\vee}/e(\xi)\beta(Y)$ of the Néron model of $G_{l,n}$;
- (6) $\operatorname{codim}_{P_{l,0}}(P_{l,0} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{l,0}) = 1$, and any irreducible component of $P_{l,0}$ is the closure in $P_{l,0}$ of an irreducible component of $\mathcal{G}_{l,0}$;
- (7) P_l , G_l and \mathcal{G}_l are independent of the choice of l;
- (8) $\mathcal{G}_l \simeq \mathcal{G}_S$: the pullback to S of the Néron model \mathcal{G} of $G_{\eta_{\text{in}}}$; the quotient of \mathcal{G}_l by $\operatorname{Aut}(k(\eta)/k(\eta_{\text{in}}))$ is the Néron model of $G_{\eta_{\text{in}}}$;
- (9) $\mathcal{L}_{l|\mathcal{G}_l}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_{l|G_l}$) is a unique ample cubical invertible sheaf on \mathcal{G}_l (resp. G_l) with $(G_l, \mathcal{L}_{l|G_l}) \simeq (G_S, \mathcal{L}_S^{\otimes 4N^2 l})$.

Proof. See [MN24, 9.1]. First we note the following. By Lemmas 3.8/7.1, there exists a positive integer m_0 such that $\Gamma(P_{l,\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{l,\eta}^{\otimes m}) = \Gamma(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})$ if $m \geq m_0$. Hence $\operatorname{FC}(G_l, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes m}) = \operatorname{FC}(G_S, \mathcal{L}_S^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})$. Therefore $(P_{l,\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{l,\eta}^{\otimes m}) \simeq (G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})$, which is a polarized abelian variety. Moreover $(G_l, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes m}) = (G_S, \mathcal{L}_S^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})$ by Theorem 3.7. By this fact, (1)-(9) are proved verbatim in the same manner as [MN24, 9.1].

Corollary 7.11. If $e(\xi) = 1$, then \mathcal{G}_l is the Néron model of $G_\eta = G_{\eta_{\text{in}}}$.

Proof. Clear from Theorem 7.10 (8).

Corollary 7.12. Let $\Gamma := \operatorname{Aut}(k(\eta)/k(\eta_{\text{in}}))$. The Néron model \mathcal{G} of $G_{\eta_{\text{in}}}$ is the quotient \mathcal{G}_l/Γ , which is relatively compactified by the quotient P_l/Γ .

Proof. Clear from Theorem 7.10(8).

Corollary 7.13. We can choose $m_0 = 1$ in Lemma 7.1.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.8/Theorem 7.10 (9).

7.4. The action of G_l on P_l . See [MN24, § 10] for a quick survey on rigid geometry. Let $\tilde{P}_{l,\eta}^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the rigid analytification of the $k(\eta)$ -scheme $\tilde{P}_{l,\eta}$ and $\tilde{P}_{l,\mathrm{rig}}^{\wedge}$ the generic fiber (in the sense of rigid geometry [MN24, 10.5]) of the formal *R*-scheme \tilde{P}_l^{\wedge} . Since \tilde{P}_l satisfies the completeness condition [MN24, 7.6], we have $\tilde{P}_{l,\mathrm{rig}}^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{P}_{l,\eta}^{\mathrm{an}}$ by [MN24, 10.9 (3)-(4)]. Similarly let $P_{l,\eta}^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the rigid analytification of the $k(\eta)$ -scheme $P_{l,\eta}$ and $P_{l,\mathrm{rig}}^{\wedge}$ the generic fiber (in the sense of rigid geometry) of the formal *R*-scheme P_l^{\wedge} . Then $P_{l,\mathrm{rig}}^{\wedge} \simeq P_{l,\eta}^{\mathrm{an}}$ as rigid $k(\eta)$ -spaces. Moreover Y acts on $\tilde{P}_{l,\eta}^{\mathrm{an}}$ via $(S_y : y \in Y)$, so that

(85)
$$\tilde{P}_{l,\eta}^{\mathrm{an}}/Y \simeq P_{l,\eta}^{\mathrm{an}} \simeq G_{l,\eta}^{\mathrm{an}}.$$

Definition 7.14. Let $\Omega := \overline{k(\eta)}$ be an algebraic closure of $k(\eta)$. We define an absolute value $|\cdot|$ of $k(\eta)$ by $|a| = e^{-v_s(a)}$ for $a \in k(\eta)$. Then v_s and $|\cdot|$ can be uniquely extended to Ω [Bo14, A, Th. 3]. Let $Q \in |\tilde{P}_{l,\mathrm{rig}}^{\wedge}| = \tilde{P}_l(\Omega)/G_{\mathrm{abs}}$ and $\tilde{Q} \in \tilde{P}_l(\Omega)$ a representative of Q. Then $\log |w^x(Q)| := \log |\tilde{Q}^*(w_U^x)| \in \mathbf{Q}$ $(x \in \tilde{X}, U \in \mathcal{F}_B^0)$ is well-defined in the sense that it is independent of the choice of \tilde{Q} and U because $w_U^x(Q) = \tilde{\pi}^* g_{UV}^x(\tilde{Q}) w_V^x(Q)$ and $\tilde{\pi}^* g_{UV}^x(\tilde{Q}) \in R_{\Omega}^{\times}$ where $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{P}_l \to A$ is the natural projection.

Theorem 7.15. Assume $\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral. Then there exists an S-morphism $G_l \times_S P_l \to P_l$ which extends the group law $G_{l,\eta} \times_{\eta} G_{l,\eta} \to G_{l,\eta}$ of $G_{l,\eta}$.

This is proved in parallel to that of [MN24, 10.14] only by replacing [MN24, 10.12] with Definition 7.14.

8. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1 in the *partially de*generate case. Since we have proved Theorem 7.10, it suffices to descend Theorem 7.10 to $S_{\rm in}$ following [MN24, §§ 11-12]. This is what we do in § 8.

8.1. Plan of the descent to S_{in} . First we present a precise plan of the descent. Let R be a CDVR, $k(\eta)$ its fraction field, $S := \operatorname{Spec} R$ and η (resp. 0) the generic (resp. closed) point of S. We basically follow the notation and the argument in [MN24, §§ 11-12]. Therefore $k(\eta)$ (resp. R, S, 0) in Theorem 1.1 is denoted here by $k(\eta_{in})$ (resp. $R_{in}, S_{in}, 0_{in}$). Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a semiabelian S_{in} -scheme with \mathcal{L} symmetric ample cubical invertible and \mathcal{G} the Néron model of $G_{\eta_{in}}$. We can choose such an \mathcal{L} by [MN24, 2.13 (1)]. By taking a finite étale Galois cover S' of S_{in} by [SGA3, X, § 1], we have a split semiabelian S'-scheme $(G_{S'}, \mathcal{L}_{S'})$, that is, whose closed fiber $G_{0'}$ is an extension of an abelian k(0')-variety A by a split k(0')-torus T where $R' := \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{S'})$ is a CDVR and 0' is the closed point of S'. Let $k(\eta')$ be the fraction field of R'. By Definition 3.6, we have the FC data $\zeta_m = \operatorname{FC}(G_{S'}, \mathcal{L}_{S'})$ $(m \geq 1)$ and $\zeta = \zeta_1$ by Eq. (24) and Corollary 3.9:

$$\zeta_m = (\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^t, \iota, \lambda^{(m)}, m\phi, \tau, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes m}, \psi^m, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes m}).$$

Each ζ_m is a split object over S' in DD_{ample} (Definitions 3.4/3.6).

By Lemma 5.2, we can find the eFC datum $\zeta_{4N^{2}l}^{e}$ (Definition 6.4):

$$\zeta_{4N^2l}^e = (\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t,e}, \iota^e, 4N^2l\lambda, 4N^2l\phi, \tau^e, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes 4N^2l}, (\psi^e)^{2Nl}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l})$$

by enlarging $k(\eta')$ to a finite radical normal extension $k(\eta^e)$ of $k(\eta_{\rm in})$, where we choose the minimal such $k(\eta^e)$. Let R^e be the integral closure of R in $k(\eta^e)$ and $S^e := \operatorname{Spec} R^e$. By Theorem 5.23 and Definition 6.8, we have the degeneration data of the pullback \mathcal{G}_{S^e} of \mathcal{G}

$$\operatorname{N\acute{e}FC}(G_{S^e}, \mathcal{L}_{S^e}^{\otimes 4N^2l}) = (\zeta_{4N^2l}^e, \xi_l^{\dagger}).$$

Note that the NéFC kit ξ_l^{\dagger} plays the same role as ξ_l^{\natural} in [MN24, 5.21].

46

The rest is the same as [MN24, § 11.1]. By Theorem 5.23 and Definition 6.8, let $\eta_{\min} := \eta_{\min}(\zeta) = \eta^e$. By Remark 6.3, $k(\eta_{\min}(\zeta_m)) = k(\eta_{\min}(\zeta))$ ($\forall m \in \mathbf{N}$). Let $k(\eta_{\text{spl}})$ be the minimal unramified Galois extension of $k(\eta_{\text{in}})$ in $k(\eta_{\min})$ such that $G_{0_{\text{spl}}}$ is a $k(0_{\text{spl}})$ -split torus, where R_{spl} is the integral closure of R_{in} in $k(\eta_{\text{spl}})$, $S_{\text{spl}} := \text{Spec } R_{\text{spl}}$ and 0_{spl} is the closed point of S_{spl} . Hence we have a sequence of extensions:

(86)
$$k(\eta_{\min}) \supset k(\eta') \supset k(\eta_{\rm spl}) \supset k(\eta_{\rm in}).$$

Theorem 7.10 constructs a quadruple $(P_l, \mathcal{L}_l, G_l, \mathcal{G}_l)$ over R^e . To prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to descend the quadruple to R_{in} . Therefore we suffice to consider the following two cases:

> Case 1. descent from $S_{\min} = S^e$ to S_{spl} (§ 8.2); Case 2. descent from S_{spl} to S_{in} (§ 8.3).

8.2. Descent from S_{\min} to S_{spl} . We follow [MN24, § 11.4]. We set $k(\eta) = K_{\min}(\zeta)$, $R = R_{\min}(\zeta)$ and $S = S_{\min}(\zeta) = S^e$.

Step 1. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a semiabelian S_{spl} -scheme with \mathcal{L} symmetric ample cubical invertible and \mathcal{G} the Néron model of $G_{\eta_{\text{spl}}}$ with $G = \mathcal{G}^0$. By $\zeta = \zeta_1 = \text{FC}(G, \mathcal{L})$, we are given a split S_{spl} -torus T and a T-bundle $\pi : \tilde{G} \to A$ over an abelian S_{spl} -scheme A with $\tilde{G}^{\wedge} \simeq G^{\wedge}$. By Eq. (17)

(87)
$$(\pi^{\wedge})_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m, \wedge} = \left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m})_x\right)^{I \text{-adic}}$$

By Lemma 5.2, there exist an NéFC pair $(\zeta_{4N^2l}^e, \xi_l^{\dagger})$ over $S = S_{\min} = S^e$, a finite commutative split multiplicative group S-scheme μ and a finite abelian group Γ such that $\mu \oplus \Gamma_S$ is a commutative group S-scheme acting on S with $S/\mu \oplus \Gamma_S = S_{\text{spl}}$ and $(|\Gamma|, \text{char } k(\eta)) = 1, \ |\mu| = \text{a power of char } k(\eta)$. By Definition 6.8, the *l*-th NéFC kit ξ_l^{\dagger} of $\zeta_{4N^2l}^e$ is given by:

$$\xi_l^\dagger = (X, Y, \epsilon_l^\dagger, \tau_l^e, E_l^\dagger, \Sigma_l^\dagger), \quad \xi^\dagger := \xi_l^\dagger.$$

By [MN24, 6.5], there exists $l_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

(88) $\Sigma_{lol}^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral for any integer $l \in \mathbf{N}$.

By Theorems 7.10/7.15, we obtain

Claim 8.1. Let $S = S_{\min}(\xi)$ and $(P_{l_0l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}) := (P_{l_0l}(\xi^{\dagger}), \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}(\xi^{\dagger}))$. Then

- (i') P_{lol} is an irreducible flat projective Cohen-Macaulay S-scheme;
- (ii) there exist open S-subschemes G_{l_0l} and \mathcal{G}_{l_0l} of P_{l_0l} such that $(G_{l_0l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}) \simeq (G_S, \mathcal{L}_S^{4N^2l_0l}), \ \mathcal{G}_{l_0l} \simeq \mathcal{G}_S$ and $(\mathcal{G}_{l_0l,0}/G_{l_0l,0})(\overline{k(0)}) \simeq X^{\vee}/\beta(Y);$
- (iii') $P_{l_0l} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{l_0l}$ is of codimension two in P_{l_0l} ;
- (iv') \mathcal{G}_{l_0l} acts on P_{l_0l} extending multiplication of \mathcal{G}_{l_0l} ;
- (v') $(\mathcal{L}_{l_0l})_{|\mathcal{G}_{l_0l}|}$ is ample cubical with $\mathcal{L}_{l_0l,\eta} = \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{4N^2l_0l}$.

Step 2. We shall descend Claim 8.1 to S_{spl} . Let s (resp. t) be a uniformizer of R (resp. R_{spl}) and $I := tR_{spl}$. Since A is an S_{spl} -scheme, $\mu \oplus \Gamma_S$ acts on \mathcal{O}_A , \mathcal{O}_x ($x \in X$) and \mathcal{M} trivially. Hence by [MN24, 11.9], $\mu \oplus \Gamma_S$ acts on $M_m := R \otimes_{R_{spl}} (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m})_x$ by:

$$\rho_{M_m}(cf_x) = \rho_R(c) \cdot (1 \otimes f_x) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_\mu) \otimes_R M_m, \ \sigma(cf_x) = \sigma(c)f_x$$
$$(\forall \sigma \in \Gamma, \forall c \in R, \forall f_x \in (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m})_x, \forall x \in X)$$

where ρ_{M_m} (resp. ρ_R) is the coaction of μ on M_m (resp. R) [MN24, 11.8]. Let $V_{m,\text{spl}} := \Gamma(G_{\eta_{\text{spl}}}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta_{\text{spl}}}^{\otimes m})$ and $V_m := \Gamma(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes m}) = V_{m,\text{spl}} \otimes_{R_{\text{spl}}} R$

 $(m \geq 1)$. Then $\mu \oplus \Gamma$ acts on V_m , so that $V_m^{\mu \oplus \Gamma} = V_{m, \text{spl}}$ by Lemma 3.8. Next we define the (co)action $\rho_{\mathcal{S}_{l_0l,2}}$ of $\mu \oplus \Gamma$ on $\mathcal{S}_{l_0l,2} = \mathcal{S}_{l_0l,2}(\xi^{\dagger})$ by

$$\rho_{\mathcal{S}_{l_0l,2}}(cm_x\theta_{l_0l}) = \rho_{M_{4N^2l_0l}}(cm_x)(1\otimes\theta_{l_0l}),$$

$$\psi_{\sigma}(cm_x\theta_{l_0l}) = \sigma(c)m_x\theta_{l_0l}$$

$$(\forall c \in R, \forall x \in X, \forall m_x \in (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2l_0l})_x).$$

Now we recall

$$\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger}}(\xi^{\dagger}) = \mathcal{O}_{A_S} \begin{bmatrix} \left(J_{l_0l,v} \cdot J_{v,\beta} \cdot \left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l_0l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_{\beta+4N l_0l\mu(v)} \right) \right) \theta_{l_0l} \\ v \in X^{\vee}, \beta \in \Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $J_{l_0l,v} = s^{e(\zeta)E_{l_0l}^{\dagger}(v)}R$ and $J_{v,\beta} = s^{e(\zeta)v(\beta)}R$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger}}(\xi^{\dagger})$ is an R-subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}_{l_0l_2}$ stable under $\mu \oplus \Gamma$ because $v_s \circ \psi_{\sigma} = v_s$ on R.

Let $J_{l_0l,v,\mathrm{spl}} := t^{E_{l_0l}^{\dagger}(v)} R_{\mathrm{spl}}, \ J_{v,\beta,\mathrm{spl}} := t^{v(\beta)} R_{\mathrm{spl}}$ and

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{l_0l,2,\mathrm{spl}} &:= \left(\bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \left(\bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l_0 ln} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_x \right) \theta_{l_0l}^n \right) \otimes_R k(\eta_{\mathrm{spl}}), \\ \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger},\mathrm{spl}}(\xi^{\dagger}) &:= \mathcal{O}_A \left[\begin{pmatrix} J_{l_0l,v,\mathrm{spl}} \cdot J_{v,\beta,\mathrm{spl}} \cdot (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l_0l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_{\beta+4Nl_0l\mu(v)}) \end{pmatrix} \theta_{l_0l} \\ v \in X^{\vee}, \beta \in \Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger} \end{split} \right]$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{lol}^{\dagger},\mathrm{spl}}(\xi^{\dagger})$ is an R_{spl} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}_{l_0l,2,\mathrm{spl}}$ such that

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger}}(\xi^{\dagger}) &= \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger},\mathrm{spl}}(\xi^{\dagger}) \otimes_{R_{\mathrm{spl}}} R, \\ \left(\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger}}(\xi^{\dagger}) \right)^{\mu \oplus \Gamma} &= \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger},\mathrm{spl}}(\xi^{\dagger}). \end{split}$$

We see that S_y^* acts on $\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger},\mathrm{spl}}(\xi^{\dagger})$ by Definition 6.11, while δ_u^* does not keep $\mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger},\mathrm{spl}}(\xi^{\dagger})$ stable in general because $\tau^e(u,x) \not\in k(\eta_{\mathrm{spl}})$ can happen. Let $\tilde{Q}_{l_0l}^* := \operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger},\mathrm{spl}}(\xi^{\dagger})$ and $(\tilde{P}_{l_0l}^*, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l_0l}^*)$ be the normalization of $\tilde{Q}_{l_0l}^*$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l_0l}^*$ the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Q}_{l_0l}^*}(1)$ to $\tilde{P}_{l_0l}^*$. Let $(P_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*)$ be the algebraization of the (formal) quotient $(\tilde{P}_{l_0l}^{*\wedge}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l_0l}^{*\wedge})/Y$. By our construction we have

48

a natural finite surjective S_{spl} -morphism $f: (P_{l_0l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}) \to (P_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*)$. Let $C_{l_0l}^* = f(C_{l_0l})_{\text{red}}, \ D_{l_0l}^* = f(D_{l_0l})_{\text{red}}, \ \mathcal{G}_{l_0l}^* = P_{l_0l}^* \setminus C_{l_0l}^* \text{ and } G_{l_0l}^* = P_{l_0l}^* \setminus D_{l_0l}^*.$

Claim 8.2. The following are true over S_{spl} :

- (i^{*}) P_{lol}^* is an irreducible flat projective Cohen-Macaulay S_{spl} -scheme;
- (ii*) $(G_{l_{ol}}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_{ol}}^*) \simeq (G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4N^2 l_0 l})$ and $\mathcal{G}_{l_ol}^* \simeq \mathcal{G}$;
- (iii*) $P_{l_0l}^* \setminus \mathcal{G}_{l_0l}^*$ is of codimension two;
- $\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{iv}^*) \quad \mathcal{G}_{l_0l}^{*} \quad \text{acts on } P_{l_0l}^* \quad \text{extending multiplication of } \mathcal{G}_{l_0l}^*; \\ (\mathrm{v}^*) \quad (\mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*)_{|\mathcal{G}_{l_0l}^*} \quad \text{is ample cubical with } \mathcal{L}_{l_0l,\eta_{\mathrm{spl}}}^* = \mathcal{L}_{\eta_{\mathrm{spl}}}^{4N^2l_0l} \end{array}$

Proof. This is proved in the same manner as [MN24, 11.14] here by using Claim 8.1, Theorem 7.10 (3)/(6)/(8)/(9) and Theorem 7.15.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Case 1.

8.3. Descent from S_{spl} to S_{in} . The descent of $(P_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{G}_{l_0l}^*, G_{l_0l}^*)$ from $S_{\rm spl}$ to $S_{\rm in}$ is a standard finite Galois descent, which is carried out in the same manner as [MN24, § 12]. To do so, we prove Lemmas 8.4-8.5, which substitute [MN24, 12.2-12.3]

We have started in § 8.1 with a semiabelian S-scheme (G, \mathcal{L}) such that $G_{0_{in}}$ is a torus $T_{0_{in}}$ -bundle over an abelian $k(0_{in})$ -variety. Then there exists a finite étale Galois cover $\varpi: S_{\rm spl} \to S_{\rm in}$ of $S_{\rm in}$ such that $T_{0_{\rm spl}}$ is a split torus. For $Z \in \{S, R, \eta, 0\}$, we denote Z_{spl} and Z_{in} by Z^* and Z respectively *e.g.*, $S^* = S_{\text{spl}}$ and $S = S_{\text{in}}$. Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(S^*/S)$ and s a uniformizer of $R = R_{\text{in}}$. By Hensel's lemma,

(89)
$$\operatorname{Gal}(k(0^*)/k(0)) = \operatorname{Gal}(S^*/S) = \operatorname{Gal}(k(\eta^*)/k(\eta))$$

Definition 8.3. Let $\sigma \in \Gamma$. We define an *R*-homomorphism $s_{\sigma}^* : R^* \to$ R^* by $s^*_{\sigma}(a) = \sigma(a)$ ($\forall a \in R^*$), and let $s_{\sigma} : S^* \to S^*$ the S-morphism induced from s_{σ}^* . Since σ acts on S^* , so does σ on G_{S^*} and the I^* -adic completion $G_{S^*}^{\wedge}$ of G_{S^*} where $I^* = IR^*$. We define $\psi_{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Aut}_R(\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{G_{S^*}}))$ by $\psi_{\sigma}(z \otimes a) = z \otimes \sigma(a) \; (\forall a \in R^*, \forall z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{G^{\wedge}}))$ via the identification $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{G^{\wedge}_{S^*}}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{G^{\wedge}}) \otimes_R R^* \text{ [MN24, 11.1]. Then } \psi_{\sigma\tau} = \psi_{\sigma} \circ \psi_{\tau} \ (\forall \sigma, \tau \in \Gamma).$ Thus $(\psi_{\sigma}; \sigma \in \Gamma)$ is a Γ -structure of $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{G_{c^*}^{\wedge}})$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{G_{c^*}^{\wedge}})^{\Gamma} = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{G^{\wedge}})$ ([MN24, 1.2]). Let \mathcal{L}_{S^*} be the pullback of \mathcal{L} to G_{S^*} . Then Γ acts on $\Gamma(G_{S^*}, \mathcal{L}_{S^*}^{\otimes m})$ $(m \ge 1)$, where Γ acts on $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$ trivially because (G, \mathcal{L}) is defined over S. By [MN24, 11.3],

$$\Gamma(G_{S^*}, \mathcal{L}_{S^*}^{\otimes m}) = \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \otimes_R R^*, \quad \Gamma(G_{S^*}, \mathcal{L}_{S^*}^{\otimes m})^{\Gamma} = \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}).$$

Lemma 8.4. The following are true:

- (1) there exists $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X))$ such that $\lambda_{\sigma}(Y) = Y$ and $\psi_{\sigma}(w^x) =$ $w^{\lambda_{\sigma}(x)} \ (\forall x \in X, \forall \sigma \in \Gamma) \ where \ \lambda_{\sigma} := \lambda(\sigma);$
- (2) Γ acts effectively on X via $(\lambda_{\sigma}; \sigma \in \Gamma)$.

Proof. Let $0 \to T \to \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} A \to 0$ be the Raynaud extension Eq. (3) associated to G. There exists an open affine covering \mathcal{F}^0 of A such that

 $\tilde{G}_U \simeq T \times_S U \ (\forall U \in \mathcal{F}^0).$ Since T_{0^*} is a split $k(0^*)$ -torus, by § 2.2, $\tilde{G}_{U_{S^*}} \simeq$ Spec $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_U)[w_U^x; x \in X] \otimes_R R^*.$ Let $\mathcal{B}_U := \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{G_U})^{\wedge}$ and $\mathcal{B}_U^* := \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{G_{U_{S^*}}})^{\wedge} =$ $\mathcal{B}_U \otimes_R R^*.$ By the proof of [MN24, 12.2], there exists $\lambda_\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X) \ (\forall \sigma \in \Gamma)$ such that $\psi_\sigma(w^x) = w^{\lambda_\sigma(x)} \ (\forall x \in X)$ and $\lambda_{\sigma\tau} = \lambda_\sigma \lambda_\tau \ (\forall \sigma, \tau \in \Gamma).$ Hence $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X)).$ Since the natural morphism $G \times_U S^* \to G^t \times_U S^*$ is Γ -equivariant, $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{G_U^t})$ is a Γ -submodule of $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{G_{U_{S^*}}})$, so that $\lambda_\sigma(Y) = Y.$ This proves (1). It is obvious that λ_σ is independent of the choice of $U \in \mathcal{F}^0$. (2) is proved in the same manner as [MN24, 12.2 (2)].

Lemma 8.5. Under the notation in Lemma 8.4, we have

$$\sigma(\psi(y)) = \psi(\lambda_{\sigma}(y)), \ \sigma(\tau(y,x)) = \tau(\lambda_{\sigma}(y), \lambda_{\sigma}(x))$$
$$(\forall y \in Y, \forall x \in X, \forall \sigma \in \Gamma).$$

Proof. We follow the proof of [MN24, 12.3]. By using Lemma 3.8 with m = 1, we obtain $\psi(\lambda_{\sigma}(y))\tau(\lambda_{\sigma}(y),\lambda_{\sigma}(x)) = \psi(y)\tau(y,x) \; (\forall y \in Y, \forall x \in X)$, from which Lemma follows.

Since G_{0^*} is a split $k(0^*)$ -torus, we obtain a split object ζ and NéFC pairs $(\zeta_{4N^2l}^2, \xi_l^{\dagger})$. Let $\xi^{\dagger} = \xi_1^{\dagger}$. By § 8.2, by choosing $l_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\Sigma_{l_0}(0)$ is integral, $(P_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*)$ $(l \in \mathbf{N})$ is the twisted Mumford family obtained from

$$\mathcal{R}_{l_0l}^{\dagger}(\xi^{\dagger}) := \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_{l_0l}^{\dagger}, \text{spl}}(\xi^{\dagger}).$$

Let $(U; U \in \mathcal{F}^0)$ be an affine covering of A. Recall that $D_{l_0l}^{\dagger}(x)$ is welldefined for $x \in X$ by Definition 6.19. For $U \in \mathcal{F}^0$, we define

$$\mathcal{R}_{l_0l,U}^{\dagger}(\xi^{\dagger}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{U_S^*}) \left[\zeta_{l_0l,x,U} \theta_{l_0l,U}; x \in X \right], \quad \zeta_{l_0l,x,U} = t^{D_{l_0l}^{\dagger}(x)} w_U^x.$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_{l_0l,U}^{\dagger}(\xi^{\dagger}) = \mathcal{R}_{l_0l}^{\dagger}(\xi^{\dagger}) \otimes_R \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_U)$, which will play the same role as $R_{l_0l}^*(\xi^{\natural})$ in [MN24, 12.3]. We can descend $(P_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{G}_{l_0l}^*, G_{l_0l}^*)$ from S^* to S in the same manner as [MN24, 12.5-12.9]. Now we define

(90)
$$P_{l_0l}^{\flat} := P_{l_0l}^{\ast}/\Gamma, \quad \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^{\flat} := \text{the descent of } \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^{\ast} \text{ to } P_{l_0l}^{\flat},$$
$$G_{l_0l}^{\flat} := G_{l_0l}^{\ast}/\Gamma, \quad \mathcal{G}_{l_0l}^{\flat} := \mathcal{G}_{l_0l}^{\ast}/\Gamma.$$

Thus we obtain

Claim 8.6. The group S^* -scheme G^* (resp. \mathcal{G}^*) descends to a group S-scheme G^{\flat} (resp. \mathcal{G}^{\flat}), and the following are true:

- (i^{\flat}) P^{\flat} is an irreducible flat projective Cohen-Macaulay S-scheme;
- (ii^b) G^{\flat} (resp. \mathcal{G}^{\flat}) is a group S-scheme such that $G^{\flat} \simeq G$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^{\flat} \simeq \mathcal{G}$);
- (iii^b) $P^{\flat} \setminus \mathcal{G}^{\flat}$ is of codimension two;
- (iv^b) there exists a morphism $G^{\flat} \times P^{\flat} \to P^{\flat}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^{\flat} \times P^{\flat} \to P^{\flat}$) extending multiplication of G^{\flat} (resp. \mathcal{G}^{\flat});
- $(\mathbf{v}^{\flat}) \ (\mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^{\flat})_{|\mathcal{G}^{\flat}} \ is \ ample \ cubical \ with \ \mathcal{L}_{l_0l,\eta}^{\flat} = \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{4N^2l_0l}.$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Case 2, and hence in any case.

9. The totally degenerate case revisited

In this section, we revisit the totally degenerate case [MN24, § 8], where G is a semiabelian S-scheme such that $G_0 = T_0$ is a split k(0)-torus and $A_0 = \{0\}$. We use the notation of [MN24, § 8] freely. We assume that $\Sigma_l(0)$ is integral. We prove a projective embedding theorem of toric closed subschemes of the closed fiber $P_{l,0}$ [MN24, § 9].

9.1. The Voronoi polytopes $\Sigma_l(v)$. Let $\xi := \text{FC}(G, \mathcal{L}) = (X, Y, a, b, A, B)$, ξ^{\natural} an NéFC kit over S extending ξ_{2N}^e , and ξ_l^{\natural} $(l \in \mathbf{N})$ the *l*-th NéFC kit over S induced from ξ^{\natural} in [MN24, 5.21] with $\xi_l^{\natural} = \xi^{\natural}$:

$$\xi_l^{\natural} = (X, Y, \epsilon_l, b^e, E_l, \Sigma_l).$$

To ξ^{\natural} , we associate a fan Fan (ξ_l^{\natural}) [MN24, 5.18/5.21] and a decomposition $\operatorname{Vor}_l := \operatorname{Vor}_l(\xi^{\natural})$ of $X_{\mathbf{R}}$ [MN24, 6.1/6.2]:

$$\operatorname{Vor}_{l} = \{ \Sigma_{l}(v) \ (v \in X^{\vee}) \text{ and their faces} \},\$$
$$\Sigma_{l}(v) = \{ x \in X_{\mathbf{R}}; E_{l}(u) + u(x - 2l\mu(v)) \ge 0 \ (\forall u \in X^{\vee}) \}$$

where $E_l(u) = lu(\mu(u))$. For $\alpha \in \Sigma_l(0)$, let $\operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha) := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_l(v)} \Sigma_l(v)$.

Lemma 9.1. Let $\alpha \in Sk^0(\Sigma_l(0))$. Then

(1)
$$\operatorname{Cone}(\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\alpha,0})) = \{ u \in X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}; u(\beta) \ge u(\alpha) \ (\forall \beta \in \Sigma_l) \} = \operatorname{Cone}(C_l^{\alpha})^{\vee};$$

(2)
$$X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Sigma_l(0))} \operatorname{Cone}(\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\alpha,0}));$$

(3)
$$\Sigma_l(0) = \left\{ x \in X_{\mathbf{R}}; u(x) \ge u(\beta) \ \begin{pmatrix} \forall \beta \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Sigma_l(0)) \\ \forall u \in X^{\vee} \cap \operatorname{Cone}(\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\beta,0})) \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Proof. Lemma is [MN24, 8.28].

Definition 9.2. We define a finite fan in X^{\vee} by

$$\operatorname{Fan}(\Sigma_l(0)) = \left\{ \operatorname{Cone}(\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta})); \Delta \in \operatorname{Sk}(\Sigma_l(0)) \right\}.$$

Recall that $Z_l(\Sigma_l(0)) = (W_{l,\Sigma_l(0)})_0 \simeq G_0$ by [MN24, 8.20] and the closure $\tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(0))$ of $Z_l(\Sigma_l(0))$ is an irreducible component of $\tilde{P}_{l,0}$. By Lemma 9.1, $\tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(0))$ is a torus embedding associated with $\operatorname{Fan}(\Sigma_l(0))$. It is covered with affine open subsets $\tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(0)) \cap W_{l,\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Sigma_l(0))$). Since $\tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(v)) \simeq \tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(0))$ ($\forall v \in X^{\vee}$), $\tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(v))$ is covered with $\tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(v)) \cap W_{l,\alpha}$ ($a \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Sigma_l(v))$) and $Z_l(\Sigma_l(v)) = (W_{l,\Sigma_l(v)})_0 = \operatorname{Spec} k(0)[t^{v(x)}w^x; x \in X] \simeq G_0$.

Lemma 9.3. Let $w \in X^{\vee}$, $\alpha \in \Sigma_l(0)$ and $\mu^* := 2l\mu$. Then

(1) if
$$\Sigma_l(w) \cap \Sigma_l(0) \neq \emptyset$$
, then $\mu^*(w) \in 2\Sigma_l(0)$;

- (2) Star_l(α) := $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_l(v)} \Sigma_l(v) \subset 3\Sigma_l(0);$
- (3) if $\Sigma_l(w) \cap \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mu^*(w) \in 4\Sigma_l(0)$;
- (4) if $(\mu^*(w) + \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha)) \cap \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mu^*(w) \in 4\Sigma_l(0)$;
- (5) $(\mu^*(mw) + \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha)) \cap \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for some $m \ge 4$, then w = 0.

Proof. Recall $\Sigma_l(w) = \Sigma_l(0) + \mu^*(w)$. We set $\Delta := \Sigma_l(0) \cap \Sigma_l(w) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\Delta' := \Delta - \mu^*(w)$ is a face of $\Sigma_l(0)$, there exists $\beta \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta)$ such that $\beta' := \beta - \mu^*(w) \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta')$. This implies $\mu^*(w) = \beta - \beta' \in \Sigma_l(0) - \Sigma_l(0) = 2\Sigma_l(0)$. This proves (1). If $\Sigma_l(w) \cap \Sigma_l(0) \neq \emptyset$, then $\Sigma_l(w) \subset 3\Sigma_l(0)$ because $\Sigma_l(w) = \Sigma_l(0) + \mu^*(w) \subset \Sigma_l(0) + 2\Sigma_l(0) = 3\Sigma_l(0)$ by (1). Hence (2) follows.

Next we shall prove (3). If $\Sigma_l(w) \cap \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then $\Sigma_l(w) \cap \Sigma_l(w') \neq \emptyset$ for some $w' \in X^{\vee}$ such that $\alpha \in \Sigma_l(w')$, whence $\mu^*(w') \in 2\Sigma_l(0)$ by (1). Meanwhile $\Sigma_l(w-w') \cap \Sigma_l(0) \neq \emptyset$ by $\Sigma_l(w) \cap \Sigma_l(w') \neq \emptyset$. By (1) $\mu^*(w-w') \in 2\Sigma_l(0)$, so that $\mu^*(w) \in \mu^*(w') + 2\Sigma_l(0) \subset 4\Sigma_l(0)$. This proves (3).

Next we shall prove (4). If $(\mu^*(w) + \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha)) \cap \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then $\Sigma_l(w + w') \cap \Sigma_l(w'') \neq \emptyset$ for some $w', w'' \in X^{\vee}$ such that $\alpha \in \Sigma_l(w') \cap \Sigma_l(w'')$. Hence $\Sigma_l(w' - w'') \cap \Sigma_l(0) \neq \emptyset$, whence $\mu^*(w' - w'') \in 2\Sigma_l(0)$ by (1). Meanwhile $\Sigma_l(w + w' - w'') \cap \Sigma_l(0) \neq \emptyset$, so that $\mu^*(w + w' - w'') \in 2\Sigma_l(0)$ by (1). It follows that $\mu^*(w) = \mu^*(-w' + w'') + \mu^*(w + w' - w'') \in 2\Sigma_l(0) + 2\Sigma_l(0) \subset 4\Sigma_l(0)$. This proves (4). Finally we shall prove (5). If $(\mu^*(mw) + \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha)) \cap \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then we have $\mu^*(mw) \in 4\Sigma_l(0)$ by (4), whence $\mu^*(mw/4) \in \Sigma_l(0)$. If $m \geq 4$, then $\mu^*(w) \in \Sigma_l(0)$ because $\Sigma_l(0)$ is convex with $0 \in \Sigma_l(0)$. By $\mu^*(w) \in \Sigma_l(w)^0$, we have $\Sigma_l(w) = \Sigma_l(0)$ and w = 0. This proves (5). \Box

Corollary 9.4. Let $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $m \ge 4n$. If $x \in n \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha)$ and $x + \mu^{*}(mw) \in n \operatorname{Star}_{l}(\alpha)$, then w = 0.

Proof. Let $z = x + \mu^*(mw) \in n \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha)$. Then $z = x + \mu^*(mw) \in (\mu^*(mw) + n \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha)) \cap n \operatorname{Star}_l(\alpha)$. By Lemma 9.3 (5), w = 0.

9.2. The closed subscheme $Z_l(\Delta)$.

Definition 9.5. For any $\Delta \in \text{Vor}_l$, we define following [MN24, 8.17]

$$\tau_{l,\Delta} = \bigcap_{a \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta)} \tau_{l,a}, \quad B_{l,\Delta} = R[\tau_{l,\Delta}^{\vee} \cap \tilde{X}], \quad W_{l,\Delta} = \operatorname{Spec} B_{l,\Delta}.$$

Definition 9.6. For $\Delta \in \text{Vor}_l$, let $Z_l(\Delta)$ be the unique reduced closed \tilde{G}_0 orbit of $(W_{l,\Delta})_0$, $\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta)$ the closure of $Z_l(\Delta)$ in $\tilde{P}_{l,0}$ and $\bar{Z}_l(\Delta)$ the closure of $\pi_l(Z_l(\Delta))$ in $P_{l,0}$ with reduced structure.

Lemma 9.7. For $\Delta, \Delta' \in \operatorname{Vor}_l$,

(1) $\dim_k \tilde{Z}_l(\Delta) = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta;$ (2) $\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta) \subset \tilde{Z}_l(\Delta')$ iff $\Delta \subset \Delta';$ (3) $\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta \cap \Delta') = \tilde{Z}_l(\Delta) \cap \tilde{Z}_l(\Delta').$

Proof. (1)-(3) are standard in torus embedding. See [O85, 1.6, p. 10].

Definition 9.8. Let $\Delta \in \text{Sk}(\Sigma_l(0))$ and let $X(\Delta)$ be the saturation in X of the **Z**-submodule of X generated by $\Delta - \Delta$. There is a natural epimorphism $j_{\Delta} : X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee} \to X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}$. For $\Delta' \in \text{Sk}(\Delta)$, let $D_{\Delta}(\Delta') := j_{\Delta}(\text{Cone}(\text{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta'})))$ and let $\text{Fan}_l(\Delta)$ be a fan in $X(\Delta)^{\vee}$ consisting of $D_{\Delta}(\Delta')$ ($\Delta' \in \text{Sk}(\Delta)$). See also [D78, § 5.7]. **Lemma 9.9.** Let $\Delta \in \text{Sk}(\Sigma_l(0))$ and $\Delta' \in \text{Sk}(\Delta)$. Then

- (1) $X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}} = \{x \in X_{\mathbf{R}}; F(x) = 0 \ (\forall F \in \tau_{l,\Delta})\};$
- (2) $D_{\Delta}(\Delta) = 0;$
- (3) $D_{\Delta}(\Delta') = \operatorname{Cone}(j_{\Delta}(e(\xi)v); e(\xi)v \in \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta'}) \setminus \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta}));$
- (4) $\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta) \simeq \tilde{Z}_l(2l\mu(v) + \Delta) \; (\forall v \in X^{\vee}).$

Proof. By applying [MN24, 8.21 (3)] for $f = \operatorname{id}_{X_{\mathbf{R}}}, X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the maximal linear subspace of $\operatorname{Cone}(B_{l,\Delta}) = \tau_{l,\Delta}^{\vee}$ Definition 9.5. It follows $X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}} = \{x \in X_{\mathbf{R}}; F(x) = 0 \ (\forall F \in \tau_{l,\Delta})\}$. This proves (1). (2) follows from (1). (3) follows from $\operatorname{Sk}^{0}\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta'}) = \{e(\xi)v; \Delta' \subset \Sigma_{l}(-v), v \in X^{\vee}\}$ [MN24, 8.27 (2)]. (4) is clear.

Now we describe $\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta)$ as a toric variety through the polytope Δ .

Definition 9.10. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l$ and $\Delta' \in \operatorname{Sk}(\Delta)$. We define a cone $\sigma_{\Delta'}$ by

(91)
$$\sigma_{\Delta'} = \operatorname{Cone}(\Delta - a; a \in \Delta') \subset X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}$$

and set $\operatorname{Fan}_{l}^{*}(\Delta) := \{\sigma_{\Delta'}^{\vee}; \Delta' \in \operatorname{Sk}(\Delta)\}.$

Lemma 9.11. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l$ and $\Delta' \in \operatorname{Sk}(\Delta)$, Then $D_{\Delta}(\Delta') = \sigma_{\Delta'}^{\vee}$ and $\operatorname{Fan}_l^*(\Delta) = \operatorname{Fan}_l(\Delta)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\Delta \subset \Sigma_l(0)$. Let $\Delta' := \alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta)$. Then $D_{\Delta}(\Delta') = \operatorname{Cone}(e(\xi)v_{|X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}}; \alpha \in \Sigma_l(-v), v \in X^{\vee})$. Let $e(\xi)v \in D_{\Delta}(\Delta')$. We shall prove $e(\xi)v \in \sigma_{\alpha}^{\vee}$. Let $x \in \Delta$. Since $\Delta \subset \Sigma_l(0)$, we have $E_l(v) + v(x) \geq 0$. Since $\alpha \in \Sigma_l(-v)$, we have $E_l(-v) - v(\alpha + 2l\mu(v)) \geq 0$. It follows $0 \leq 2E_l(v) + v(x - \alpha) - 2lv\mu(v) = v(x - \alpha)$. Hence $v(x - \alpha) \geq 0 \; (\forall x \in \Delta), \; i.e., \; v \in \sigma_{\alpha}^{\vee}$. Since $\tau_{l,\Delta'} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta')} \tau_{l,\alpha}$, we have

$$D_{\Delta}(\Delta') = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Delta')} D_{\Delta}(\alpha) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^{0}(\Delta')} \sigma_{\alpha}^{\vee} = \sigma_{\Delta'}^{\vee}.$$

Since $|\operatorname{Fan}_{l}^{*}(\Delta)| = |\operatorname{Fan}_{l}(\Delta)| = X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}$, we have $D_{\Delta}(\Delta') = \sigma_{\Delta'}^{\vee} \ (\forall \Delta' \in \operatorname{Sk}(\Delta))$. Hence $\operatorname{Fan}_{l}^{*}(\Delta) = \operatorname{Fan}_{l}(\Delta)$.

Lemma 9.12. The closed subscheme $Z_l(\Delta)$ of $P_{l,0}$ is a complete torus embedding associated with $\operatorname{Fan}_l(\Delta)$ and hence $\operatorname{Fan}_l^*(\Delta)$.

Proof. This is standard in torus embedding. See $[D78, \S\S 5.7-5.8]$.

Corollary 9.13. Let Δ and $\Delta' \in \operatorname{Vor}_l$. Then $\Delta' \subset \Delta \Leftrightarrow W_{l,\Delta'} \supset W_{l,\Delta} \Leftrightarrow Z_l(\Delta') \subset \tilde{Z}_l(\Delta) \cap W_{l,\Delta'} \Leftrightarrow Z_l(\Delta) \subset (W_{l,\Delta'})_0$.

Proof. By Lemma 9.7 (2), $\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta') \subset \tilde{Z}_l(\Delta) \Rightarrow \Delta' \subset \Delta \Rightarrow W_{l,\Delta'} \supset W_{l,\Delta} \Rightarrow Z_l(\Delta) \ (\subset W_{l,\Delta}) \subset W_{l,\Delta'} \Rightarrow Z_l(\Delta') \subset \tilde{Z}_l(\Delta) \cap W_{l,\Delta'} \Rightarrow Z_l(\Delta') \subset \tilde{Z}_l(\Delta) \Rightarrow \tilde{Z}_l(\Delta') \subset \tilde{Z}_l(\Delta).$ Meanwhile $Z_l(\Delta) \subset W_{l,\Delta'} \Leftrightarrow Z_l(\Delta) \subset (W_{l,\Delta'})_0$ by $Z_l(\Delta) \subset (\tilde{P}_l)_0$. This proves Corollary.

9.3. Support functions.

Definition 9.14. By Lemma 9.1 (2), $X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}$ is covered with $\operatorname{Cone}(\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\alpha,0}))$ $(\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Sigma_l(0)))$. For each $v \in X^{\vee}$, we define a function h_v on $X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}$ by

(92)
$$h_v(u) = u(\alpha + 2l\mu(v)) \quad \text{if } u \in \text{Cone}(\text{Cut}(\tau_{l,\alpha,0})).$$

Then h_v is a Fan $(\Sigma_l(0))$ -linear support function in the sense of [O85, § 2.1], *i.e.*, h_v is **Z**-valued strictly upper convex on $X \cap |\operatorname{Fan}(\Sigma_l(0))|$ and linear on each $\sigma \in \operatorname{Fan}(\Sigma_l(0))$.

Lemma 9.15. The function h_v ($v \in X^{\vee}$) is continuous strictly upper convex on $X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}$ with respect to $\operatorname{Fan}(\Sigma_l(0))$.

Proof. Let $\operatorname{Star}^{\vee}(0) := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Sigma_l(0))} \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\alpha,0})$. First we prove that h_v is continuous on $\operatorname{Star}^{\vee}(0)$. Let $\beta \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Sigma_l(0))$. Any one-codimensional face σ of the cone $\tau_{l,\beta,0}$ is, in view of [MN24, 8.23 (5)], of the form $\sigma = \tau_{l,\beta,0} \cap \tau_{l,\gamma,0}$ where $\gamma \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Sigma_l(0))$ with $\operatorname{Conv}(\beta,\gamma) \in \operatorname{Sk}^1(\Sigma_l(0))$. In other words, $\sigma = \{u \in \operatorname{Star}^{\vee}(0); u(\beta) = u(\gamma)\}$, which shows that h_v is continuous on $\operatorname{Star}^{\vee}(0)$ and hence so is h_v on $X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee}$ by Lemma 9.1 (2). It follows from Lemma 9.1 (1) and Definition 9.14 that h_v is strictly upper convex.

Lemma 9.16. Let $v \in X^{\vee}$, $\overline{Z}_l(v) := \overline{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(v))$ and $W_{l,a}(v) := W_{l,a} \cap \overline{Z}_l(v)$. We define an $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z}_l(v)}$ -module $\mathcal{D}_l(v)$ by $\mathcal{D}_l(v)_{|W_{l,a}(v)} := t^{v(a)} w^a \mathcal{O}_{W_{l,a}(v)}$. Then

- (1) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_l}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}_l(v)} \simeq \mathcal{D}_l(v)$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}_l(v)}$ -modules;
- (2) h_v is the support function of $\mathcal{D}_l(v)$ in the sense of [O85, § 2.1, p. 65].

Proof. A one cocycle associated with the sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l \otimes_{\tilde{P}_l} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_l(v)}$ is given by $\left(f_{a,b}^* = \xi_{l,b}/\xi_{l,a}; a, b \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Sigma_l(v))\right)$. By [MN24, § 4.1/6.7], wt $_{\tilde{X}}(\xi_{l,c}) = (D_l(c) + v(c))m_0 + v(c)$ if $c \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Sigma_l(v))$. Since $\tilde{Z}_l(v)$ is a complete torus embedding over k(0), we have $H^1(\tilde{Z}_l(v), k(0)^*) = \{1\}$. Hence we may replace $f_{a,b}^*$ by

$$f_{a,b} = t^{D_l(b) + v(b)} w^b / t^{D_l(a) + v(a)} w^b$$

by [MN24, 6.7], that is, the one cocycle $(f_{a,b}^*/f_{a,b}; a, b \in \text{Sk}^0(\Sigma_l(v))) \in H^1(\tilde{Z}_l(v), k(0)^*)$ is trivial. Since $a, b \in \Sigma_l(v)$, we have $D_l(a) = D_l(b)$ by [MN24, 6.7], so that $f_{a,b} = t^{v(b)} w^b / t^{v(a)} w^a$, which proves (1). Since $Z_l(v) \simeq \text{Spec } k(0)[t^{v(x)} w^x; x \in X]$ by Definition 9.2, by [O85, 2.1, pp. 68-69], h_v is the support function of the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_l(v)$, that is, $h_v(u) = u(a)$ if $u \in \text{Cone}(\text{Cut}(\tau_{l,\alpha,0}))$ where $a = \alpha + 2l\mu(v) \in \Sigma_l(v)$. This proves (2).

9.4. Projective embedding of $\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta)$.

Definition 9.17. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l$, $\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta)$ and $\operatorname{Cone}(\Delta - \alpha)$ the convex cone in $X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}$ generated by $\beta - \alpha$ ($\forall \beta \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta)$). Let T be a closed subset of $X_{\mathbf{R}}$, T^0 the relative interior of T and $mT := \{\sum_{i=1}^m v_i; v_i \in T\}$.

Definition 9.18. Let C be a convex cone in $X_{\mathbf{R}}$ generated by finitely many integral vectors. We say that $w \in C \cap X$ is a *primitive element of* $C \cap X$ or w is *primitive in* $C \cap X$ if $w - v \notin C \cap X$ for any $v \in C \cap X \setminus \{0, w\}$.

Lemma 9.19. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l$, $r = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta$, $\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta)$ and $S_{m,\Delta-\alpha} := \operatorname{Semi}(m(\Delta - \alpha) \cap X)$. Then

- (1) $(m\Delta)^0 \cap X \neq \emptyset$ if $m \ge r+1$;
- (2) any primitive element belongs to $S_{r,\Delta-\alpha}$; any element of $S_{m,\Delta-\alpha} \setminus S_{r,\Delta-\alpha}$ is a sum of two or more primitive elements if $m \ge r+1$;
- (3) $S_{m,\Delta-\alpha} = \operatorname{Cone}(\Delta \alpha) \cap X$ if $m \ge r$.

Proof. Since $\Sigma_l(0)$ is integral by assumption, Δ is the convex closure of $\operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta)$. Since $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta = r$, there exist $\alpha_i \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta)$ $(i \in [0, r])$ such that $D := \operatorname{Conv}(\alpha_i, i \in [0, r])$ is a subset of Δ with $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} D = r$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^r \alpha_i \in ((r+1)D)^0 \cap X \subset ((r+1)\Delta)^0 \cap X$. Hence there exist $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^r a_i \alpha_i \in (r+1)D$ if $|a_i-1| < \epsilon$ ($\forall i \in [0, r]$). Therefore $(m-r-1+a_0)\alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \alpha_i \in mD$, whence $(m-r)\alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \in (mD)^0 \cap X \subset (m\Delta)^0 \cap X$. This proves (1). Let $C := \operatorname{Cone}(\Delta - \alpha)$. Then C is a cone of dimension r with 0 vertex. Let $w \in C \cap X$ be any primitive element of $C \cap X$. Let $v_i \in (\Delta - \alpha) \cap X$ ($i \in [1, r]$) such that w is contained in $\operatorname{Cone}(v_i; i \in [1, r])$. Since w is primitive, we can write $w = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i v_i$ for some $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$. Hence $w \in r(\Delta - \alpha) \cap X$, so that $w \in S_{r,\Delta-\alpha}$. This proves (2). Since any $w' \in C \cap X$ is written as $w = \sum_{i=1}^s w_i$ with w_i primitive in $C \cap X$ and $s \in \mathbf{N}$, we have $C \cap X \subset S_{r,\Delta-\alpha} \subset S_{m,\Delta-\alpha}$ ($\forall m \geq r$). This proves (3) because $S_{m,\Delta-\alpha} \subset C$.

Theorem 9.20. Let $m \ge 1$, $\Delta \in \text{Sk}(\Sigma_l(v))$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta) := \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l,0}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta)}$ and $\Box_{h_v}(\Delta) := \{x \in \Delta + X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}; u(x) \ge h_v(u) \ (\forall u \in X_{\mathbf{R}}^{\vee})\}.$ Then

- (1) $\Box_{h_v}(\Delta) = \Delta;$
- (2) $H^q(\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m}) = 0 \ (q > 0);$
- (3) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m}$ is generated by global sections;
- (4) $(t^{v(x)}w^x; x \in m\Delta \cap X)$ is a k(0)-basis of $H^0(\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m});$
- (5) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m}$ is very ample if $m \geq \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta$.

Proof. Let $r = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta$. By Lemma 9.1 (3), $\Box_{h_0}(\Sigma_l(0)) = \Sigma_l(0)$ and $\Box_{h_v}(\Sigma_l(v)) = \Sigma_l(v)$. By [MN24, 8.21 (1)], $\Box_{h_v}(\Delta) = (\Delta + X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}) \cap$ $\Box_{h_v}(\Sigma_l(v)) = (\Delta + X(\Delta)_{\mathbf{R}}) \cap \Sigma_l(v) = \Delta$. This proves (1). (2) and (3) follow from Lemma 9.15 and [O85, 2.7/2.9]. Since mh_v is the support function of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_l}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_l(v)}$ by Lemma 9.16 and $\operatorname{vor}_{l,ml}(\Delta) = m\Delta$ by [MN24, 8.15], we have $\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta) = \tilde{Z}_{lm}(m\Delta)$ and $H^0(\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m}) =$ $H^0(\tilde{Z}_{lm}(m\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{lm}(m\Delta))$. Hence (4) follows from (1) and [O85, 2.3]. The k(0)-scheme $\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta)$ is naturally a closed subscheme of not only $\tilde{P}_{l,0}$ but also $\tilde{P}_{l,0}/nY \simeq (\tilde{P}_l^{\wedge}/nY)_0$ for a very large $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $(n(Y \setminus \{0\}) + \tilde{Z}_l(\Delta)) \cap$ $\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta) = \emptyset$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\wedge}/nY$ is ample on \tilde{P}_l^{\wedge}/nY , so is $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l,0}/nY$ on $\tilde{P}_{l,0}/nY$. Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)$ is ample. Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\Delta)$ and $D_{\Delta}(\alpha) := j_{\Delta}(\operatorname{Cone}(\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\alpha})))$.

Then $D_{\Delta}(\alpha) = \sigma_{\alpha}^{\vee} = \operatorname{Cone}(\Delta - \alpha)^{\vee}$. by Lemma 9.11. By Lemma 9.19 (3), we obtain $S_{m,\Delta-\alpha} = \operatorname{Cone}(\Delta - \alpha) \cap X = D_{\Delta}(\alpha)^{\vee} \cap X$ if $m \geq r$. This proves (5) by [O85, 2.13 (b)].

Remark 9.21. Here is another proof of Theorem 9.20 (5). Let $\alpha \in \Delta \subset \Sigma_l(v)$. The equality $D(\Sigma_l(v)) = \operatorname{Cone}(\Sigma_l(v) - \alpha)^{\vee}$ follows from Lemma 9.1 (1). By Lemma 9.19 (3), $S_{m,\Sigma_l(v)-\alpha} = \operatorname{Cone}(\Sigma_l(v) - \alpha) \cap X$ if $m \geq r$. Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Sigma_l(v))^{\otimes m}$ is very ample. Since the restriction map $\Gamma(\tilde{Z}_l(v), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Sigma_l(v))^{\otimes m}) \to \Gamma(\tilde{Z}_l(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m})$ is surjective by Theorem 9.20 (4), $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m}$ is very ample. This proves Theorem 9.20 (5).

9.5. The subscheme $Z_l(\Delta)$.

Definition 9.22. Let Z be a subset of $P_{l,0}$. We define

$$\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(Z) = \{ e(\xi)v \in X^{\vee}; Z \subset \overline{(W_{l,\Sigma_l}(-v))_0}, v \in X^{\vee} \}, \\ \operatorname{Sup}(Z) = \{ a \in X; Z \subset (W_{l,a})_0 \}.$$

Lemma 9.23. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l$. If $q \in Z_l(\Delta)$, then

(1) $\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(q) = \operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(Z_l(\Delta)) = \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta}) \cap e(\xi) X^{\vee};$

(2) $\operatorname{Sup}(q) = \operatorname{Sup}(Z_l(\Delta)) = \Delta \cap X.$

Proof. For $v \in X^{\vee}$, $Z_l(\Delta) \subset \tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(-v))$ iff $\Delta \subset \Sigma_l(-v)$. Since $\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta}) = \operatorname{Conv}(e(\xi)v \in X^{\vee}; \Delta \subset \Sigma_l(-v), v \in X^{\vee})$ by [MN24, 8.27 (2)], we have $\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta}) \cap e(\xi)X^{\vee} = \operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(Z_l(\Delta))$. Let $q \in Z_l(\Delta)$. Then $\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(Z_l(\Delta)) \subset \operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(q)$. If $e(\xi)v \in \operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(q)$, then $q \in Z_l(\Delta) \cap \tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(-v)) \neq \emptyset$ because $(W_{l,\Sigma_l(-v)})_0 = \tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(-v))$. Since $\tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(-v))$ is a torus embedding, $Z_l(\Delta)$ is a stratum of $\tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(-v))$, so that $Z_l(\Delta) \subset \tilde{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(-v))$. Hence $e(\xi)v \in \operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(Z_l(\Delta))$, so that $\operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(q) \subset \operatorname{Sup}^{\vee}(Z_l(\Delta))$. This proves (1).

Let $q \in Z_l(\Delta)$. For $a \in X$, there exists $\Delta' \in \text{Vor}_l$ such that $a \in (\Delta')^0 =$ the relative interior of Δ' .⁷ Then $W_{l,a} = W_{l,\Delta'}$ by [MN24, 8.22 (3)]. Since $W_{l,\Delta'}$ is a torus embedding, we see that $q \in (W_{l,\Delta'})_0$ iff $Z_l(\Delta) \subset (W_{l,\Delta'})_0$ iff $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ by Corollary 9.13. In particular, $a \in \text{Sup}(q)$ iff $q \in (W_{l,a})_0$ iff $Z_l(\Delta) \subset (W_{l,a})_0$ ($\Leftrightarrow a \in \text{Sup}(Z_l(\Delta))$) iff $a \in \Delta$. This proves (2).

9.6. Specialization of points of $\tilde{P}_l(\Omega)$.

Definition 9.24. Let $Q \in \tilde{P}_l(\Omega)$. By [MN24, 7.6], $\tilde{P}_l(\Omega) = \tilde{P}_l(R_{\Omega})$, so that $\tilde{P}_l(R_{\Omega}) \ni Q$, which is an *R*-flat finite formal *R*-scheme.⁸ The closed fiber Q_0 of Q is the specialization sp(Q) of Q in the sense of [Bo14, p. 200].

Let $Q \in \tilde{P}_{l}(\Omega)$ and $\log |w^{x}(Q)| := \log |Q^{*}(w^{x})| \in \mathbf{Q} \ (x \in \tilde{X})$. Since $w^{m_{0}}(Q) = s$, $\log(Q) := -\sum_{i=0}^{g} (\log |w^{m_{i}}(Q)|) f_{i} \in \tilde{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\vee}$ and $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) := -f_{0} + \log(Q) = -\sum_{i=1}^{g} (\log |w^{m_{i}}(Q)|) f_{i} \in X_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\vee}$. See [MN24, 10.14]. We also recall from [MN24, 10.15]:

⁷by taking for l its suitable multiple ll' if necessary.

⁸As is equivalent, it is an admissible finite formal R-scheme. See [MN24, 10.8].

Lemma 9.25. Let $a \in Sk^0(Vor_l)$, $u \in X^{\vee}$ and $Q \in \tilde{P}_l(R_{\Omega})$. Then

- (1) if $Q_0 \in (W_{l,a})_0$, then $Q \in W_{l,a}(R_\Omega)$; (2) $Q \in W_{l,a}(R_\Omega) \Leftrightarrow \log(Q) \in \tau_{l,a} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,a})$.

Theorem 9.26. Let $\Delta \in \text{Vor}_l$ and $Q \in P_l(R_\Omega)$. Then $Q_0 \in Z_l(\Delta)$ iff $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta})^0.$

Proof. First we prove the if-part. Recall that $Z_l(\Delta)$ is the unique reduced closed G_0 -orbit of $W_{l,\Delta}$, and $W_{l,\Delta}$ is an affine open subscheme of P_l with $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{W_{l,\Delta}}) = B_{l,\Delta} = R[(\tau_{l,\Delta})^{\vee} \cap \tilde{X}] = R[w^{\tilde{x}}; \tilde{x} \in (\tau_{l,\Delta})^{\vee} \cap \tilde{X}]. \text{ Let } X(\Delta) \text{ be the}$ saturation in X of the **Z**-submodule of X generated by $\Delta - \Delta$, and $\mathcal{I}_{l,\Delta}$ the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{W_{l,\Delta}}$ generated by all $w^{\tilde{x}} \in B_{l,\Delta}$ such that $\tilde{x} = x_0 m_0 + x \in \tau_{l,\Delta}^{\vee} \cap \tilde{X}$ with $x \in X \setminus X(\Delta)$. Then $Z_l(\Delta)$ is a closed subscheme of $W_{l,\Delta}$ defined by $\mathcal{I}_{l,\Delta}$. Since $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta})^0$, we have $v_s w^{\tilde{x}}(Q) = \langle \log(Q), x \rangle =$ $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q)(x) > 0$ by Lemma 9.9 (1) if $\tilde{x} = x_0 m_0 + x \in \tau_{l,\Delta}^{\vee} \cap \tilde{X}$ with $x \in X \setminus X(\Delta)$. Hence $w^{\tilde{x}}(Q) \in sR$, $w^{\tilde{x}} \in \mathcal{I}_{l,\Delta}$ and $Q_0 \in Z_l(\Delta)$.

Next we prove the only-if-part. Let $Q_0 \in Z_l(\Delta)$. Then $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in$ $\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta})$ by Lemmas 9.25/9.23 (2). Assume $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \notin \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta})^0$. Then there exists a face Δ' of Δ such that $Q \in \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta'})^0$. By what we have proved first, $Q_0 \in Z_l(\Delta')$, which contradicts $Q_0 \in Z_l(\Delta)$ because $Z_l(\Delta) \cap$ $Z_l(\Delta') = \emptyset$. It follows $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta})^0$. This completes the proof. \Box

Example 9.27. We recall the scheme $\tilde{P}^{\natural} = \operatorname{Proj} R^{\natural}$ from [MN24, § 13.3]. Let R be a CDVR with s uniformizer, I = sR, k := k(0), $e(\xi) = 1$, l = 1, $X = \mathbf{Z}^2 = \mathbf{Z}m_1 + \mathbf{Z}m_2, \ X^{\vee} = \mathbf{Z}^2 = \mathbf{Z}f_1 + \mathbf{Z}f_2 \text{ with } f_i(m_i) = \delta_{i,j} \ (i,j \in \mathbf{Z})$ [1,2], $m_3 := -(m_1 + m_2)$ and $f_3 := -(f_1 + f_2)$. Let $u = u_1 f_1 + u_2 f_2$ and $y = y_1 m_1 + y_2 m_2$. Let θ_+ be an indeterminate, and we define R^{\natural} , $\Sigma(0)$ and Σ as follows:

$$R^{\natural} = R[\epsilon_{+}(u)b^{e}(u,\alpha)w^{\alpha+\mu(u)}\theta_{+}; u \in X^{\vee}, \alpha \in \Sigma],$$

$$\Sigma(0) = \{x \in X_{\mathbf{R}}; E_{+}(u) + u(x) \ge 0 \; (\forall u \in X^{\vee})\}, \; \Sigma = \Sigma((0) \cap X)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_+(u) &:= s^{u_1^2 + u_1 u_2 + u_2^2}, \ b^e(u, y) = s^{u_1 y_1 + u_2 y_2}, \\ \mu(u) &:= (2u_1 + u_2)m_1 + (u_1 + 2u_2)m_2, \\ E_+(u) &:= v_s \epsilon_+(u) = u(\mu(u))/2 = u_1^2 + u_1 u_2 + u_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

We see

$$\Sigma(0) = \text{Conv}(\pm m_i, i \in [1,3]), \text{ Sk}^0(\Sigma(0)) = \{\pm m_i \ (i \in [1,3])\},\$$

Sk¹($\Sigma(0)$) = {±[$m_i, -m_j$], ($i, j \in [1,3]$ }, Sk²(($\Sigma(0)$)) = {($\Sigma(0)$)}.

Let $\Delta = [m_1, -m_3]$. Then $Sk(\Delta) = \{\Delta, \Delta', \Delta''\}$ and $X(\Delta) = \mathbf{R}f_2$, where $\Delta' := \{m_1\} \text{ and } \Delta'' = \{-m_3\}.$ By [MN24, 8.27], $\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{\Delta}) = \operatorname{Conv}(0, -f_1),$ $\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{\Delta'}) = \operatorname{Conv}(0, -f_1, -f_2)$ and $\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{\Delta''}) = \operatorname{Conv}(0, -f_1, -f_1 + f_2),$ Hence $\operatorname{Fan}(\Delta) = \{0, \mathbb{R} \ge 0 f_2, \mathbb{R}_{>0}(-f_2)\}$, which is a complete fan.



FIGURE 1. Σ and $\Sigma(0)$

Example 9.28. Let $W_{-m_3} := \operatorname{Spec} R[w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}, sw_3^{-1}]$. Then W_{-m_3} is an open affine subset of \tilde{P}^{\natural} and all the strata $Z(\Delta)$ of $(W_{-m_3})_0$ are listed with relevant data in Table 1, where we denote Conv(A) by $\langle A \rangle$.

Now let $\alpha, \beta \in R_{\Omega}^{\times}$ and $\gamma = (\alpha\beta)^{-1}$. Let $\Delta = \langle m_1, -m_3 \rangle^0$. Let us choose $Q \in W_{-m_3}(R_{\Omega})$ with $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) = -\epsilon f_1 \ (0 < \epsilon < 1)$ (Table 1-sp₂):

$$Q:(w_1^{-1},w_2^{-1},sw_3^{-1})=(s^\epsilon\alpha,\beta,s^{1-\epsilon}\gamma),$$

then $Q_0: (w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}, sw_3^{-1}) = (0, \beta \mod I, 0) \in Z(\Delta)$ as s approaches 0. By Theorem 9.26, if we assume $Q_0 \in Z(\Delta)$, then $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta})^0$, *i.e.*, Q is of the above form.

If $\Delta' = \langle -m_3, -2m_3 \rangle^0$ and $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) = -(\epsilon f_1 + (1 - \epsilon)f_2) \ (0 < \epsilon < 1)$ (Table 1-sp₃), then $Q : (w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}, sw_3^{-1}) = (s^{\epsilon}\alpha, s^{1-\epsilon}\beta, \gamma)$, so that $Q_0 : (w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}, sw_3^{-1}) = (0, 0, \gamma \mod I) \in Z(\Delta')$. Finally if $\Delta'' = \langle -m_3 \rangle$ and $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) = -\epsilon_1 f_1 - \epsilon_2 f_2$ (Table 1-sp₁), then $Q : (w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}, sw_3^{-1}) = (s^{\epsilon_1}\alpha, s^{\epsilon_2}\beta, s^{1-\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2}\gamma)$, so that $Q_0 \in Z(\Delta'')$.

| | Δ | $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{Z(\Delta)})$ | $\operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta})$ | $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q)$ |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| sn1 | $\langle -m_2 \rangle$ | k | $\langle 0 - f_1 - f_2 \rangle$ | $-\epsilon_1 f_1 - \epsilon_2 f_2$ |
| SPI | \ | | (°, J1, J2/ | $(0 < \epsilon_i, 0 < \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 < 1)$ |
| sp_2 | $\langle m_1, -m_3 \rangle^0$ | $k[w_2^{\pm 1}]$ | $\langle 0, -f_1 \rangle$ | $-\epsilon f_1 \ (0 < \epsilon < 1)$ |
| sp_3 | $\langle -m_3, -2m_3 \rangle^0$ | $k[(sw_3^{-1})^{\pm 1}]$ | $\langle -f_1, -f_2 \rangle$ | $-\epsilon f_1 - (1-\epsilon)f_2$ |
| | | | | $(0 < \epsilon < 1)$ |
| sp_4 | $\langle -m_3, m_2 \rangle^0$ | $k[w_1^{\pm 1}]$ | $\langle 0, -f_2 \rangle$ | $-\epsilon f_2 \ (0 < \epsilon < 1)$ |
| sp_5 | $\Sigma(0)$ | $k[w_1^{\pm 1}, w_2^{\pm 1}]$ | $\langle 0 \rangle$ | 0 |
| sp_6 | $\Sigma(f_1)$ | $k[w_2^{\pm 1}, (sw_3^{-1})^{\pm 1}]$ | $\langle -f_1 \rangle$ | $-f_1$ |
| sp_7 | $\Sigma(f_2)$ | $k[w_1^{\pm 1}, (sw_3^{-1})^{\pm 1}]$ | $\langle -f_2 \rangle$ | $-f_2$ |

TABLE 1. $Q_0 \in Z(\Delta)$ and $\operatorname{cutlog}(Q) \in \operatorname{Cut}(\tau_{l,\Delta})^0$

10. The cohomology groups of P

Let $R_{\rm in}$ be a CDVR and $S_{\rm in} := \operatorname{Spec} R_{\rm in}$. We have $(P_{l,l_0l}, \mathcal{L}_{l,l_0l})$ or (P_l, \mathcal{L}_l) over $S_{\rm min} = \operatorname{Spec} R_{\rm min}$ in Theorem 7.10 by assuming that $\Sigma_{l_0}^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral. Then (P, \mathcal{N}) is the descent of $(P_{l_0l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l})$ to $S_{\rm in}$. In this section we study $H^q(P_l, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes m})$ and $H^q(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m})$ $(m \ge 0)$.

10.1. $H^q(P, \mathcal{O}_P)$. First we consider the case m = 0.

Theorem 10.1. Let G be a semiabelian S-scheme, \mathcal{G} the Néron model of G_{η} and (P, i, \mathcal{N}) be a cubical compactification of \mathcal{G} over S. Then

- (1) P_0 is Gorenstein with dualizing sheaf ω_{P_0} trivial:
- (2) P is Gorenstein with dualizing sheaf ω_P trivial:
- (3) $h^q(P_0, \mathcal{O}_{P_0}) = \binom{g}{q};$
- (4) $H^q(P, \mathcal{O}_P)$ is an *R*-free module of rank $\binom{g}{a}$.

Proof. First we assume $P = P_l$. We can prove $\omega_{P_{l,0}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_{l,0}}$ in the same manner as [AN99, 4.2], which is (1). Since P_l is Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 7.10 (1), we have a dualizing sheaf ω_{P_l} of P_l , so that $\omega_{P_l}(P_{l,0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P_l}}$ $\mathcal{O}_{P_{l,0}} \simeq \omega_{P_{l,0}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_{l,0}}$. Since $P_{l,0}$ is a Cartier divisor defined by the ideal $s\mathcal{O}_{P_l}$, we have $\mathcal{O}_{P_l}(P_{l,0}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_l}$. Hence $\omega_{P_l} \simeq \omega_{P_l}(P_{l,0}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_l}$ on a Zariski open subset U of P_l containing $P_{l,0}$. Meanwhile $\omega_{P_{l,\eta}} = \omega_{G_{\eta}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{G_{\eta}} = \mathcal{O}_{P_{l,\eta}}$. Therefore $\pi_*(\omega_{P_l})$ is a torsion free \mathcal{O}_S -module of rank one. It follows that $\Gamma(\pi_*(\omega_{P_l})) = R \ni 1$, which gives, by the isomorphism $\omega_{P_l} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P_l}} \mathcal{O}_{P_{l,0}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_{l,0}}$, a nonvanishing section of ω_{P_l} and hence an isomorphism $\omega_{P_l} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P_l}$. This proves (2). (3) is proved in the same manner as [AN99, 4.3]. By [M12, Cor. 2, p. 121], $H^q(P_{l,\eta}, \mathcal{O}_{P_{l,\eta}}) = H^q(G_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{G_\eta})$ is a $k(\eta)$ -module of rank $\binom{g}{q}$. Therefore (4) follows from (3) and [M12, Cor. 2, p. 48].

Now we consider the general case. The process of constructing P in [MN24, §§ 11-12] and § 8 of this article is as follows. First we construct the descent of $(P_{l_0l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l})$ by the action of μ in [MN24, § 11.3], which is $(P_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*)$ over $S^* = S_{\text{spl}}$. See [MN24, 11.13]. Next we construct the Galois descent of $(P_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*)$ by $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(k(\eta^*)/k(\eta))$ where $\eta^* = \eta_{\text{spl}}$, which is $(P_{l_0l}^{\flat}, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^{\flat})$ denoted by (P, \mathcal{N}) here. See [MN24, 12.9].

For (P, \mathcal{N}) there exists an open immersion $i : \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow P$ such that $i^*\mathcal{N}$ is ample cubical and $\mathcal{N}_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes 4N^2 l_0 l}$ by Theorem 7.10/[MN24, 12.9]. Thus (P, i, \mathcal{N}) is a cubical compactification of \mathcal{G} . Moreover

- (α) $(P_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*)$ is the quotient of $(P_{l_0l}, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l})$ (over R_{\min} with uniformizer s) by the group scheme μ . $(P_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*)$ is obtained from the relatively complete model $(\tilde{P}_{l_0l}^*, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l_0l}^*)$ over S^* with uniformizer t, whence Theorem 10.1 is true as well for $(P_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*)$;
- (β) $(P_{l_0l}^{\flat}, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^{\flat})$ is obtained from $(P_{l_0l}^*, \mathcal{L}_{l_0l}^*)$ by Galois descent, where $\Gamma := \operatorname{Gal}(S^*/S)$ and S^* is finite étale (hence flat) over S,

where we can set $l_0 = 1$ under the assumption (84).

It remains to consider the case (β) . Let $Z = P_l^*$. Note that by (α) , Theorem 10.1 is true for (P_l^*, \mathcal{L}_l^*) . By Theorem 7.10/[MN24, 12.9], (2) and $(\alpha), \omega_Z \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z, Z = P \times_S S^*$ and $P = Z^{\Gamma}$. Since S^* is flat over $S, \omega_Z (\simeq \mathcal{O}_S)$ is *R*-torsion free. Since *P* is projective over *S*, there is a closed immersion $\iota : P \to \mathbf{P}_S$ into some projective space \mathbf{P}_S over *S*. The descent (β) from *Z* to *P* shows that $\iota \times_S S^* : Z = P \times_S S^* \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{S^*}$ is a closed immersion.

Since $\omega_S = \mathcal{O}_S$ and P is Cohen-Macaulay, the dualizing sheaf of P is given by $\omega_P := \mathcal{E}xt^r_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_S}}(\mathcal{O}_P, \omega_{\mathbf{P}_S})$ (by identifying P with $\iota(P)$) in view of [H66, 7.2] where $r = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbf{P}_S} P$. Since S^* is flat over $S, \omega_Z := \mathcal{E}xt^r_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S^*}}}(\mathcal{O}_{P_{S^*}}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}_{S^*}}) = \omega_P \otimes_R R^*$ and $\omega_P = (\omega_P \otimes_R R^*)^{\Gamma} = (\omega_Z)^{\Gamma}$. It follows that $\Gamma(P, \omega_P)$ is Rtorsion free, and hence R-free. Since P_η is an abelian variety, $\Gamma(P_\eta, \omega_{P_\eta}) \simeq k(\eta)$. Hence $\Gamma(P, \omega_P) \simeq R$, whose generator we denote by τ . Since $\omega_Z = \omega_P \otimes_R R^*$, $R^* \simeq \Gamma(Z, \omega_Z) = \Gamma(P, \omega_P) \otimes_R R^*$ is generated by the pullback of τ , which is nonvanishing everywhere on Z, and hence τ is nonvanishing everywhere on P. Hence $\omega_P = \mathcal{O}_P$. This proves (2). Since P_0 is a Cartier divisor defined by t = 0, we have $\omega_{P_0} = \omega_P(P_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_P} \mathcal{O}_{P_0} = \mathcal{O}_{P_0}$. This proves (1). Since S^* is finite flat over S, by [M12, Cor. 5, p. 51],

$$H^{q}(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}) = H^{q}(P \times_{S} S^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{P \times_{S} S^{*}}) = H^{q}(P, \mathcal{O}_{P}) \otimes_{R} R^{*}.$$

Hence $H^q(P, \mathcal{O}_P) = H^q(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)^{\Gamma}$ is a free *R*-submodule of a free *R*-module $H^q(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. Hence $\operatorname{rank}_R H^q(P, \mathcal{O}_P) = \operatorname{rank}_{k(\eta)} H^q(P_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{P_\eta}) = \binom{g}{q}$. This proves (4), from which (3) follows.

10.2. The closed subscheme $\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ of $\tilde{P}_{l,0}$. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger}$ and let $W_{l,\Delta}$ be as in Definition 9.5. Let $Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ be the unique reduced closed G_0 -orbit of $(W_{l,\Delta})_0$ and $\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ the closure of $Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ in $\tilde{P}_{l,0}$ (with reduced structure) and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta) := \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l,0}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)$ is ample on $\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ by Theorem 9.20 (5). We denote the restriction of the natural morphism $\tilde{\pi}_l : \tilde{P}_{l,0} \to A_0$ to $\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ by $\tilde{\pi}_{l,\Delta} : \tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta) \to A_0$. Each $\tilde{\pi}_{l,\Delta}$ is a fiber bundle over A_0 by Theorem 7.3, whose fiber is a torus embedding associated with $\operatorname{Fan}_{2Nl}(\Delta)$ by Remark 6.6 and Lemma 9.12. See Definition 9.8. The G_0 -orbit $Z_l^{\dagger}(\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0))$ is a T_0 -bundle over A_0 associated with extension class $c \times_S 0$ by § 2.2. Since $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger} = \operatorname{Vor}_{2Nl}, Z_{2Nl}(\Delta)$ is the pushforward of the T_0 -bundle G_0 over A_0 by the inclusion $T_{X(\Delta),k(0)} \hookrightarrow T_0 = T_{X,k(0)}$, which is compactified by $\tilde{Z}_{2Nl}(\Delta)$. Therefore $\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ is a $\tilde{Z}_{2Nl}(\Delta)$ -bundle over A_0 , which compactifies $Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta) G_0$ -equivariantly. By Definition 3.4 (4), let $\pi : \tilde{G} \to A$ be the natural morphism of the Raynaud extension, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \pi^*\mathcal{M}$ and $\pi_*(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x$. We denote $\mathcal{M}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_{A_0}$ by $\mathcal{M}_{x,0}$.

Lemma 10.2. Let $m \ge 1$, $\Delta \in \text{Sk}(\Sigma_I^{\dagger}(v))$ and $x \in m\Delta \cap X$. Then

(1)
$$H^p(Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta), \mathcal{L}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m}) = 0 \ (p > 0);$$

60

(2) let
$$(\theta_{x,\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda_x)$$
 be a $k(0)$ -basis of $\Gamma(A_0, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2lm})_{x,0})$; then
 $(\theta_{x,\lambda}; x \in m\Delta \cap X, \lambda \in \Lambda_x)$ is a $k(0)$ -basis of $\Gamma(\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m})$,
where $|\Lambda_x| = (4N^2lm)^g \dim_{k(0)} \Gamma(A_0, \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_{A_0})$.

Proof. Let $\pi := \pi_{l,\Delta}$. Then $\pi : \tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta) \to A_0$ is a fiber bundle with $\tilde{Z}_{2Nl}(\Delta)$ fiber. By Theorem 9.20 (2)/(4), $R^q \pi_* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m} = 0$ (q > 0) and

$$R^{0}(\pi_{A_{0}\cap U})_{*}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}(\Delta)^{\otimes m} = \bigoplus_{x \in m\Delta \cap X} (t^{v(x)} w^{x}_{A_{0}\cap U}) \mathcal{M}_{A_{0}\cap U}^{\otimes 4N^{2}lm} \quad (U \in \mathcal{F}_{B}),$$

whence globally over A_0 we have an isomorphism by Eqs. (15)-(16)

(93)
$$R^0 \pi_* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m} \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in m \Delta \cap X} (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{x,0}.$$

Since the following spectral sequence is degenerate:

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(A_0, R^q \pi_* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m}) \quad (m \ge 1),$$

we obtain $H^p(A_0, R^0 \pi_* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m}) \simeq H^p(\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m})$. By Eq. (93) and [M12, § 16], $H^p(\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m}) = 0$ (p > 0) because $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{A_0}} \mathcal{O}_x$ is ample ($\forall x \in X$). Moreover by Eq. (93),

$$\Gamma(\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(\Delta)^{\otimes m}) \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in m \Delta \cap X} \Gamma(A_0, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{x,0}).$$

10.3. The cohomology groups of P_l and $P_{l,0}$.

Definition 10.3. Let Y be a lattice, and $\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))$ the k(0)-module of of all k(0)-valued functions on Y, $y \in Y$ and δ_y an element of $\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))$ such that $\delta_y(y) = 1$ and $\delta_y(z) = 0$ ($\forall z \in Y \setminus \{y\}$). Any $\theta \in \operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))$ is a formal sum $\sum_{y \in Y} \theta(y) \delta_y$. We define an action $\rho : Y \times \operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0)) \to$ $\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))$ of Y on V by $\rho(y, \theta)(z) = \theta(z - y)$ ($y, z \in Y, \theta \in \operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))$). Let Z be a subgroup of Y with $[Y : Z] < \infty$, and $\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))^Z$ the submodule of $\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))$ of all Z-invariants.

Lemma 10.4. $H^p(Y, \operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0))) = 0 \ (\forall p > 0).$

Proof. This is proved in the same manner as [NSW99, 1.3.7].

Definition 10.5. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma_{lm}^{\dagger}$, $u \in X^{\vee}$ and $x = \alpha + 4Nlm\mu(u) \in X$. Then we define $\xi_{l,\alpha,u}^{(m)} := \epsilon_l^{\dagger}(u)^m \tau^e(u,\alpha) w^{\alpha+4Nlm\mu(u)}$, which we also denote by $\xi_{l,x}^{(m)}$ if no confusion is possible. We note $\xi_{l,\alpha_i,u} = \xi_{l,\alpha_i,u}^{(1)}$.

Lemma 10.6. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma_{lm}^{\dagger}$, $u \in X^{\vee}$, $x = \alpha + 4Nlm\mu(u) \in X$ and $\vartheta_x \in \Gamma(A, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2lm})_x)$. We define

(94)
$$\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x} = \sum_{y \in Y} \epsilon_l^{\dagger} (u + \beta(y))^m \tau^e (u + \beta(y), \alpha) T_{c^t(y)}^* (\vartheta_x) w^{\alpha + 4Nlm\mu(u + \beta(y))} \theta_l^m.$$

Then $\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x} \in \Gamma(P_l^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes lm, \wedge})$ and $\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x} \otimes_R k(0) \neq 0$ if $\vartheta_x \otimes_R k(0) \neq 0$.

Proof. Since $\alpha \in \Sigma_{lm}^{\dagger}$, there exist α_i $(i \in [1, m])$ such that $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}$ $(\forall i \in [1, m])$ such that $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$. Let $x_i := \alpha_i + 4Nl\mu(u)$. By Definition 6.25 and Notation 6.27, we have $\xi_{l,\alpha_i,u} = \epsilon_l^{\dagger}(u)\tau^e(u,\alpha_i)w^{\alpha_i+4Nl\mu(u)}$, so that

$$\tilde{S}_{z}S_{z}^{*}(\vartheta_{x}\prod_{i=1}^{m}\xi_{l,\alpha_{i},u}\theta_{l}) = T_{c^{t}(z)}^{*}(\vartheta_{x})\prod_{i=1}^{m}\xi_{l,\alpha_{i},u+\beta(z)}\theta_{l} = T_{c^{t}(z)}^{*}(\vartheta_{x})\xi_{l,\alpha,u+\beta(z)}^{(m)}\theta_{l}^{m}$$
$$= T_{c^{t}(z)}^{*}(\vartheta_{x})\epsilon_{l}^{\dagger}(u+\beta(z))^{m}\tau^{e}(u+\beta(z),\alpha)w^{\alpha+4Nlm\mu(u+\beta(z))}\theta_{l}^{m}.$$

Hence $\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x} = \sum_{z \in Y} \tilde{S}_z S_z^*(\vartheta_x \prod_{i=1}^m \xi_{l,\alpha_i,u} \theta_l)$. It follows $\tilde{S}_y S_y^*(\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x}) =$ $\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x} \ (\forall y \in Y). \text{ It is clear that } \Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x} / \xi^m_{l,\gamma,v} \in A^{\wedge}_{l,\gamma,v} \ (\forall \gamma \in \Sigma^{\dagger}_l, \forall v \in X^{\vee}),$ whence $\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x} \in \Gamma(P_l^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes lm, \wedge}) = \Gamma(P_l, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes lm})$. Since $v_t(\tau^e(u, x)) = u(x)$,

$$(W_{l,0,u})_0 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{A_0}[t^{u(x)}w^x; x \in X] \simeq \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{A_0}[\tau^e(u, x)w^x; x \in X].$$

The restriction of Θ_{l,x,ϑ_x} to $(W_{l,0,u})_0$ is given by:

$$\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x}/\xi_{l,0,u}^m \theta_l^m = \sum_{z \in Y} T_{c^t(z)}^*(\vartheta_x)\xi_{l,\alpha,u+\beta(z)}^{(m)}/\xi_{l,0,u}^m$$
$$= \sum_{y \in Y} \epsilon_l^{\dagger}(u+\beta(y))^m \tau^e(u+\beta(y),\alpha)T_{c^t(y)}^*(\vartheta_x)w^{\alpha+4Nlm\mu(u+\beta(y))}\theta_l^m.$$

Its initial term is $\vartheta_x \tau^e(u, \alpha) w^{\alpha} \neq 0$ (for y = 0). This proves Lemma.

Theorem 10.7. Let $g = \dim_{k(0)} P_{l,0}$. Then

- (1) $H^q(P_l, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes m}) = H^q(P_{l,0}, \mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}) = 0 \ (\forall q > 0, \forall m > 0);$ (2) $\Gamma(P_{l,0}, \mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}) = \Gamma(P_l, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes m}) \otimes_R k(0);$
- (3) $\Gamma(P_l, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes m})$ is a free *R*-module of rank $(4N^2lm)^g |X/Y| \operatorname{rank}_R \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M});$
- (4) $\Gamma(P_l, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes m})$ is identified via *I*-adic completion with the finite free *R*-module spanned by Θ_{l,x,ϑ_x} $(x = \alpha + 4Nlm\mu(u), \alpha \in \Sigma_{lm}^{\dagger}, u \in \Sigma_{lm}^{\dagger})$ $X^{\vee}, \vartheta_r \in \Gamma(A, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_r)).$

Proof. See [AN99, 4.4]/[N99, 3.9/4.10]. To explicitly know the k(0)-generators of $\Gamma(P_{l,0}, \mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m})$ $(m \ge 1)$, we basically follow the proof of [N99, 3.9/4.10]. Let $\varpi_l: \tilde{P}_{l,0} \to P_{l,0}$ be the natural morphism. Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l,0} = \varpi_l^* \mathcal{L}_{l,0}$. It is the quotient of $P_{l,0}$ by a free and proper action of Y.⁹

First we prove $H^q(\tilde{P}_{l,0}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}) = 0$ (q > 0). Let $r = \operatorname{rank} X$. Let $\operatorname{Sk}^i(\operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger})$ be the set of all *i*-dimensional closed polytopes of $\operatorname{Vor}_{I}^{\dagger}$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Vor}_{I}^{\dagger}$. Let $\varpi_{l,\sigma} := (\varpi_l)_{|\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma)}$. We define

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &:= \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l,0}},\\ \mathcal{S}(\sigma) &:= \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P_{l,0}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\sigma)}, \ \mathcal{S}^{q}(\sigma) := R^{q}(\varpi_{l,\sigma})_{*}\mathcal{S}(\sigma). \end{split}$$

⁹In contrast with [N99], here we do not assume that $S_y(\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma)) \cap \tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma)) = \emptyset \; (\forall y \neq 0).$

By Theorem 7.3, there is an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{P_{l,0}}$ -modules:

$$(95) \quad 0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_{l,0}} \to \bigoplus_{\sigma_r} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma_r)} \xrightarrow{\partial_r} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \bigoplus_{\sigma_1} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma_1)} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \bigoplus_{\sigma_0} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma_0)} \to 0$$

where the direct sum is taken over all $\sigma_i \in \operatorname{Sk}^i(\operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger})$. The homomorphism $\partial_i : \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma_i)} \to \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma_{i-1})}$ is defined by $\partial_i(\bigoplus_{\sigma} \phi_{\sigma}) = \bigoplus_{\tau} \sum_{\tau \subset \sigma} [\sigma : \tau] \phi_{\sigma}$ where the sum $\sum_{\tau \subset \sigma}$ is taken over the set of all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger}$ containing τ as a face of codimension one, while the direct sum \bigoplus_{τ} is taken over the set $\operatorname{Sk}^{i-1}(\operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger})$. By tensoring Eq. (95) with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\otimes m}$, we have an exact sequence with Y-action $(S_y; y \in Y)$:

(96)
$$0 \to \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l,0}^{\otimes m} \to \bigoplus_{\sigma_r} \mathcal{S}(\sigma_r) \xrightarrow{\partial_r} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \bigoplus_{\sigma_1} \mathcal{S}(\sigma_1) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \bigoplus_{\sigma_0} \mathcal{S}(\sigma_0) \to 0.$$

by abuse of the notation ∂_{\bullet} .

Let $\widetilde{W}_i := \bigoplus_{\sigma_i \in \operatorname{Sk}^i(\operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger})} \Gamma(\widetilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma_i), \mathcal{S}(\sigma_i))$. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}$ be a complex:

 $0 \to \widetilde{W}_r \stackrel{\partial_{r-1}}{\to} \widetilde{W}_{r-1} \stackrel{\partial_{r-2}}{\to} \cdots \stackrel{\partial_1}{\to} \widetilde{W}_1 \stackrel{\partial_0}{\to} \widetilde{W}_0 \to 0.$

By Eq. (96), we have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\bigoplus_{\sigma_{\bullet}} H^q(\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma_{\bullet}), \mathcal{S}(\sigma_{\bullet})), \partial_{\bullet}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\tilde{P}_{l,0}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}).$$

This spectral sequence degenerates because $H^q(\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma), \mathcal{S}(\sigma)) = 0 \quad (\forall \sigma \in \text{Vor}_l^{\dagger}, \forall q \geq 1)$ by Lemma 10.2 (1). Hence $H^p(\tilde{P}_{l,0}, \mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}) \simeq H^p(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}) \quad (\forall p).$

Let $\operatorname{Star}(x)$ be the union of all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger}$ such that $x \in \sigma$ and $\operatorname{Star}(x)^0$ the relative interior of $\operatorname{Star}(x)$. Then $H^p(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}})$ is given by

$$\bigoplus_{x\in X} H^p(\operatorname{Star}(\frac{x}{m})^0, k(0))) \otimes_{k(0)} H^0((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2lm})_{x,0}).$$

Since $\operatorname{Star}(\frac{x}{m})^0$ is contractible and connected, $H^p(\operatorname{Star}(\frac{x}{m})^0, k(0))) = 0$ $(\forall p > 0, \forall x \in X)$, so that $H^p(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}) = 0$ $(\forall p > 0)$. By [M12, Appendix to § 2, pp. 21-23], we obtain

(97)
$$H^p(P_{l,0}, \mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}) \simeq H^p(Y, \Gamma(\tilde{P}_{l,0}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l,0}^{\otimes m})) \simeq H^p(Y, \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}))$$

where $\Gamma(\widetilde{P}_{l,0}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}) = \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}) = \ker(\partial_{r-1} : \widetilde{W}_r \to \widetilde{W}_{r-1}) \subset \widetilde{W}_r.$

Let $\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(v) := \tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Sigma_l(v))$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\dagger}(v) := \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\dagger}(\Sigma_l(v))$. By Lemma 10.2, $\Gamma(\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(v), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\dagger}(v))$ is spanned by $(\theta_{x,\lambda_x}; x \in m\Sigma_l(v), \lambda_x \in \Lambda_x)$. Hence we have

$$\Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X} \Gamma(A_0, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{x,0}).$$

Let Δ be a subset of X of all representatives of $X/4N^2 lm\phi(Y)$. We set

$$\Gamma(\widetilde{W}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \Gamma(\widetilde{W})(\alpha),$$

$$\Gamma(\widetilde{W})(\alpha) := \bigoplus_{x \in \alpha + 4N^2 lm\phi(Y)} \Gamma(A_0, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{x,0}).$$

For each $\alpha \in \Delta$, there is a Y-isomorphism:

$$\operatorname{Map}(Y, k(0)) \otimes_{k(0)} \Gamma(A_0, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_{A_0}) \simeq \Gamma(\widetilde{W})(\alpha)$$

sending $\delta_y \otimes \lambda_{\alpha} \mapsto \theta_{\alpha+4N^2 lm\phi(y), T^*_{c^t(y)}\lambda_{\alpha}}\theta_l^m$. By Lemma 10.4 we obtain

$$H^{p}(P_{l,0}, \mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}) = H^{p}(Y, \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}})) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} H^{p}(Y, \Gamma(\widetilde{W})(\alpha)) = 0 \ (\forall p > 0),$$

$$\Gamma(P_{l,0}, \mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}) = \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}})^{Y - \mathrm{inv}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\alpha))^{Y - \mathrm{inv}}.$$

This proves (1). By (1) and [M12, Cor. 3, p. 50], we have

$$\Gamma(P_{l,0},\mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m})=\Gamma(P_{l},\mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m})\otimes_{R}k(0).$$

This proves (2). Since P_l is flat and proper over S, $h^0(P_{l,0}, \mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m})$ equals

(98)
$$\chi(P_{l,0}, \mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}) = \chi(P_{l,\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{l,\eta}^{\otimes m}) = (4N^2 lm)^g |X/Y| \operatorname{rank} \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M}).$$

Since $\Gamma(P_l, \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes m})$ is *R*-torsion free, it is *R*-free, which proves (3).

Let $\alpha \in \Delta$. If $\vartheta_{\alpha} \otimes_R k(0) \neq 0$, $\Theta_{l,\alpha,\vartheta_{\alpha}} \otimes_R k(0)$ is *nonzero* by Lemma 10.6, which is a Y-invariant element of $\Gamma(\widetilde{W}(\alpha))$. This proves (4) by (2) and Nakayama's lemma.

10.4. The cohomology of $\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger}$ and let $\varpi_l : \tilde{P}_{l,0} \to P_{l,0}$ be the natural morphism. Then $\varpi_l(Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)) \simeq Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$. Let $\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$ be the closure of $\varpi_l(Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta))$ in $P_{l,0}$ and $\mathcal{L}_l(\Delta) := \mathcal{L}_l \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{P_l}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)}$.

Lemma 10.8. Let $r = \dim_{k(0)} \overline{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$. Then

(1) $H^{q}(\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}(\Delta)) = 0 \ (\forall q > 0, \forall m > 0);$ (2) $\Gamma(\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}(\Delta))$ is spanned by the restriction $\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_{x}}^{\Delta}$ of $\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_{x}}$ to $\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta) \ (x \in m\Delta \cap X, \vartheta_{x} \in \Gamma(A_{0}, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^{2}lm})_{x,0})), \ where$

$$\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x}^{\Delta} := \sum_{\substack{y \in Y \\ x + 4N^2 lm\phi(y) \in m\Delta \cap X}} T^*_{c^t(y)}(\vartheta_x) \xi^{(m)}_{l,x+4N^2 lm\phi(y)} \theta^m_l;$$

(3)
$$\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x}^{\Delta} = \vartheta_x \xi_{l,x}^{(m)} \theta_l^m \text{ if } x \in m\Delta^0 \cap X.$$

Proof. Let $\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger,\mathrm{su}} := \bar{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta) \times_{P_{l,0}} \tilde{P}_{l,0}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\mathrm{su},\otimes m} := \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{P}_l}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger,\mathrm{su}}}$. Note that Y acts freely on $\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger,\mathrm{su}}$, so that $\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta) \simeq \bar{Z}_l^{\dagger,\mathrm{su}}/Y$. We have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\mathrm{su},\otimes m} \to \bigoplus_{\sigma_r \subset \Delta} \mathcal{S}(\sigma_r) \xrightarrow{\partial_r} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \bigoplus_{\sigma_1 \subset \Delta} \mathcal{S}(\sigma_1) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \bigoplus_{\sigma_0 \subset \Delta} \mathcal{S}(\sigma_0) \to 0.$$

which is obtained in the same manner as Eq. (96).

By Lemma 10.2 (1), $H^q(\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\otimes m}(\Delta)) = 0$, so that $H^p(\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger, \mathrm{su}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^{\mathrm{su}, \otimes m}) \simeq H^p(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\Delta))$ ($\forall p$) where $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\Delta)$ is the complex

$$0 \to \widetilde{W}_r(\Delta) \stackrel{\partial_{r-1}}{\to} \widetilde{W}_{r-1}(\Delta) \stackrel{\partial_{r-2}}{\to} \cdots \stackrel{\partial_1}{\to} \widetilde{W}_1(\Delta) \stackrel{\partial_0}{\to} \widetilde{W}_0(\Delta) \to 0$$

with $\widetilde{W}_i(\Delta) := \bigoplus_{\sigma_i \in \operatorname{Sk}^i(\Delta + 4N^2 lm\phi(Y))} \Gamma(\widetilde{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\sigma_i), \mathcal{S}(\sigma_i))$. In the same manner as Theorem 10.7, $H^p(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\Delta))$ is given by

$$\bigoplus_{x \in m\Delta \cap X + 4N^2 lm\phi(Y)} H^p(\operatorname{Star}(\frac{x}{m})^0, k(0))) \otimes_{k(0)} H^0((\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{x,0})$$

Hence $H^p(\tilde{Z}_l^{\dagger,\mathrm{su}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{su},\otimes m}) \simeq H^p(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\Delta)) = 0 \ (p > 0)$. By [M12, Appendix to § 2, pp. 21-23], we obtain in the same manner as Theorem 10.7,

$$H^{p}(\bar{Z}_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta), \mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}(\Delta)) \simeq H^{p}(Y, \Gamma(\tilde{Z}_{l}^{\dagger, \mathrm{su}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{l}^{\mathrm{su}, \otimes m})) \simeq H^{p}(Y, \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(\Delta))).$$

This proves (1) because $H^p(Y, \Gamma(\mathbf{W}(\Delta))) = 0$ (p > 0) by Lemma 10.4. Moreover

$$\Gamma(\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta), \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes m}(\Delta)) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{y \in m\Delta + 4N^2 lm\phi(Y)} \Gamma(A_0, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{y,0})\right)^{Y - \mathrm{inv}}.$$

This proves (2) by Theorem 9.20 (4). If $x \in m\Delta^0 \cap X$, (3) follows from $(x + 4N^2 lm\phi(Y)) \cap m\Delta^0 = \{x\}$.

Remark 10.9. Let $\alpha_0 \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}$ and $x_0 := \alpha_0 + 4Nlm\mu(u) \in m\Delta^0 \cap X$. Then there exists $\alpha_{0,i}$ $(i \in [1,m])$ such that $\alpha_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{0,i}$. For $U \in \mathcal{F}_B$, we define $W_{l,\alpha_0,u,U} := \bigcap_{i=1}^m W_{l,\alpha_{0,i},u,U}$ by Definition 6.24. If $\alpha \in \Sigma_{lm}^{\dagger}$ and $x = \alpha + 4Nlm\mu(u) \in m\Delta^0 \cap X$ then by (applying the proof of) Theorem 7.3, we see that $\tau^e(u, x)w^x$ is the x-th coordinate of an irreducible component $\overline{Z}_l(\Sigma_l(u))$ of $(W_{l,\alpha_0,u,U})_0$ containing $\overline{Z}_l(\Delta)$. By Lemma 10.8 (3),

$$\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x}^{\Delta}/\Theta_{l,x_0,\vartheta_{x_0}}^{\Delta} = \tau^e(u,x)w^x/\tau^e(u,x_0)w^{x_0} = \tau^e(u,\alpha)w^{\alpha}/\tau^e(u,\alpha_0)w^{\alpha_0}.$$

10.5. Projective embedding of P_l by $\mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes m}$.

Theorem 10.10. $\mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}$ is very ample on $P_{l,0}$ if $m \geq 4g$.

Proof. Since $\varpi_l(Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)) \simeq Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)$, we identify them for brevity. Let $r = \operatorname{rank} X$ ($\leq g$). $\Gamma(P_{l,0}, \mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m})$ is spanned by $\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x} \otimes_R k(0)$ ($x \in X$) by Theorem 10.7 (4) when ϑ_x ranges over $\Gamma(A_0, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{x,0})$. First we

prove that the linear system $|\mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}|$ is base point free if $m \geq r+1$. Let p be any closed point of $P_{l,0}$. Then there exists $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger}$ such that $p \in Z_{l}^{\dagger}(\Delta)(\overline{k(0)})$. Since $4N^{2}lm \geq 3$, there exists $\vartheta_{x} \in \Gamma(A_{0}, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^{2}lm})_{x,0})$ such that $\vartheta_{x}(\pi_{l,\Delta}(p)) \neq 0$. If $m \geq r+1$, then by Lemma 9.19 (1), there exists $x \in (m\Delta)^{0} \cap X$, which is written as $x = \alpha + 4Nlm\mu(u)$ for some $\alpha \in \Sigma_{lm}^{\dagger}$ and $u \in X^{\vee}$. Hence by Lemma 10.8 (3),

(99)
$$\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x}^{\Delta} = \vartheta_x \xi_{l,x}^{(m)} \theta_l^m \in \Gamma(\bar{Z}_l^{\dagger}(\Delta), \mathcal{L}_l^{\otimes m}(\Delta))$$

is nonvanishing at p. Hence $|\mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}|$ is base point free if $m \geq r+1$.

Let $\phi: P_{l,0} \to \mathbf{P}(\Gamma(\mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}))$ be the morphism associated with $|\mathcal{L}_{l,0}^{\otimes m}|$. We shall prove that ϕ separates two distinct closed points of $P_{l,0}$. Let $p \in Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)(\overline{k(0)})$ and $p' \in Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta')(\overline{k(0)})$. If $\Delta \neq \Delta'$, then $(m\Delta)^0 \neq (m\Delta')^0$, and by Eq. (99), $\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x}(p) = 0$ and $\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x}(p')$ is nonvanishing for $x \in (m\Delta')^0 \cap X$. It follows $\phi(Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta)) \cap \phi(Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta')) = \emptyset$. Hence $\phi(p) \neq \phi(p')$. If $\Delta = \Delta'$ and $\pi_{l,\Delta}(p) \neq \pi_{l,\Delta}(p')$, then there exists $\vartheta_x \in \Gamma(A_0, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{x,0})$ such that $x \in m\Delta \cap X, \ \vartheta_x(\pi_{l,\Delta}(p)) = 0$ and $\vartheta_x(\pi_{l,\Delta}(p')) \neq 0$ because $4N^2 lm \geq 3$. Hence ϕ separates p and p'. Finally if $p \neq p', \ \Delta = \Delta'$ and $\pi_{l,\Delta}(p) = \pi_{l,\Delta}(p')$, then $p \neq p'$ in the fiber $Z_{2Nl}(\Delta)$ of $\pi_{l,\Delta}: Z_l^{\dagger}(\Delta) \to A_0$. Therefore there exists $x \in X(\Delta)$ such that the x-th coordinates w^x of the fiber $Z_{2Nl}(\Delta)$ at p and p' are not equal. This means by Remark 10.9 that $\tau^e(u, x)w^x(p) \neq \tau^e(u, x)w^x(p')$. By Lemma 9.19 (3), we can find $y_i, z_i \in (m\Delta)^0 \cap X$ ($i \in [1, n]$) for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - z_i)$. Then there exists at least one i such that $\tau^e(u, y_i - z_i)w^{y_i - z_i}(p) \neq \tau^e(u, y_i - z_i)w^{y_i - z_i}(p')$. Since $y_i, z_i \in (m\Delta)^0 \cap X$, by Lemma 10.8 (3), $\Theta_{l,y_i,\vartheta_{y_i}}^{\Delta}(p)/\Theta_{l,z_i,\vartheta_{z_i}}^{\Delta}(p) \neq \Theta_{l,y_i,\vartheta_{y_i}}^{A}(p')/\Theta_{l,z_i,\vartheta_{z_i}}^{A}(p')$. It follows that ϕ separates p and p'.

It remains to prove that ϕ is a closed immersion. Since $P_{l,0}$ is the union of G_0 -orbits, it is enough to prove it along (g - r)-dimensional G_0 -orbits of $p_{l,0}$ where $r = \operatorname{rank} X$. The (g - r)-dimensional G_0 -orbits are $Z_l^{\dagger}(\alpha) \simeq p_{\alpha} \times_{k(0)} A_0$ ($\alpha \in \operatorname{Sk}^0(\operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger})$) where $p_{\alpha} = Z_{2Nl}(\alpha)$. Let $p \in Z_l^{\dagger}(\alpha)$ and \mathfrak{m} the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{P_{l,0},p}$. Let $\operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger}(\alpha)$ be the set of all $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger}(\alpha)$ containing α , and $\operatorname{Star}(\alpha) := \bigcup_{\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger}(\alpha)} \Delta = \bigcup_{w \in X^{\vee}, \alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(w)} \Sigma_l^{\dagger}(w)$. Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger}(\alpha)$. By Lemma 9.19, if $m \geq r$, then $m(\Delta - \alpha) \cap X$ generates $\operatorname{Cone}(\Delta - \alpha) \cap X$. Hence $m(\operatorname{Star}(\alpha) - \alpha)$ generates $\operatorname{Cone}(\Delta - \alpha) \cap X$. $(\forall \Delta \in \operatorname{Vor}_l^{\dagger}(\alpha))$. By Lemma 10.2, if $x \in \operatorname{Star}(\alpha) \cap X$, then the restriction Θ_{l,x,ϑ_x} to $P_{l,0}$ is a finite sum of terms with X-weights in $x + 4N^2 lm\phi(Y)$. Now assume $m \geq 4r$. If $x \in r \operatorname{Star}(\alpha)$, then by Corollary 9.4, ¹⁰ we have $(x + 4Nlm\mu(X^{\vee})) \cap r \operatorname{Star}(\alpha) = \{x\}$. Therefore every nonzero term in $(\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x}/\xi_{l,\alpha}^{(m)}\theta_l^m)|_{P_{l,0}}$ with X-weight in $x + 4N^2 lm\phi(Y \setminus \{0\})$ is contained in \mathfrak{m}^2 (up to units in $\mathcal{O}_{P_{l,0,v}}$) by Lemma 9.19 (2). Since $4N^2 lm \geq 2$, there exists

¹⁰In this case, $\operatorname{Vor}_{l}^{\dagger} = \operatorname{Vor}_{4Nl}$, that is, 2*l* in Corollary 9.4 is replaced by 4*Nl*.

 $\vartheta_{\alpha} \in \Gamma(A_0, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{\alpha,0})$ nonvanishing at $\pi_l(p_{\alpha})$. Then $\Theta_{l,\alpha,\vartheta_{\alpha}}/\theta_l^m$ is nonvanishing at p by Lemma 10.8 (3). It follows $\Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x}/\Theta_{l,\alpha,\vartheta_\alpha} \equiv \vartheta_x \xi_{l,x}^{(m)}/\vartheta_\alpha \xi_{l,\alpha}^{(m)}$ mod \mathfrak{m}^2 if $x \in r \operatorname{Star}(\alpha)$. Since $(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{x,0}$ is very ample on A_0 by $4N^2 lm \geq 3, \ \Theta_{l,x,\vartheta_x}/\Theta_{l,\alpha,\vartheta_\alpha}$ generate $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ when x (resp. ϑ_x) ranges over $r \operatorname{Star}(\alpha)$ (resp. $\Gamma(A_0, (\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 lm})_{x,0})$). It follows that ϕ is a closed immersion if $m \ge 4r$, a fortiori, if $m \ge 4g$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 10.11. $\mathcal{L}_{l}^{\otimes m}$ is very ample for $m \geq 4g$.

10.6. The general case.

Theorem 10.12. Let G be a semiabelian S-scheme, \mathcal{G} the Néron model of G_n and (P, i, \mathcal{N}) be a cubical compactification of \mathcal{G} over S. Then

- (1) $H^q(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}) = H^q(P_0, \mathcal{N}_0^{\otimes m}) = 0 \ (\forall q > 0, \forall m > 0);$ (2) $\Gamma(P_0, \mathcal{N}_0^{\otimes m}) = \Gamma(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}) \otimes_R k(0);$ (3) $\Gamma(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m})$ is a free *R*-module of rank $(4N^2lm)^g |X/Y| \operatorname{rank} \Gamma(A, \mathcal{M});$
- (4) $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ is very ample for $m \geq 4g$.

Proof. By § 10.1 (α), (P_l^*, \mathcal{L}_l^*) is constructed from the relatively complete model $(\tilde{P}_l^*, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l^*)$ over S_{\min} with uniformizer t. Hence Theorem 10.7 (1)-(3) are true for (P_l^*, \mathcal{L}_l^*) . Meanwhile by § 10.1 (α)-(β), $(P, \mathcal{N}) = (P_l^{\flat}, \mathcal{L}_l^{\flat})$ is the Galois descent of (P_l^*, \mathcal{L}_l^*) by $\Gamma = \operatorname{Aut}(k(\eta^*)/k(\eta))$. Hence $H^q(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}) =$ $H^q(P_l^*, (\mathcal{L}_l^*)^{\otimes m})^{\Gamma}$. This proves (1) for q > 0 by Theorem 10.7. For q = 0, $\Gamma(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m})$ is an *R*-submodule of a free *R*-module $\Gamma(P_l^*, (\mathcal{L}_l^*)^{\otimes m})$ such that

$$\operatorname{rank}_{R^*} \Gamma(P_l^*, (\mathcal{L}_l^*)^{\otimes m}) = \operatorname{rank}_R \Gamma(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m})$$

which proves (3). Let Λ be an *R*-basis of $\Gamma(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m})$. Then Λ is also an R^* -basis of $\Gamma(P_l^*, (\mathcal{L}_l^*)^{\otimes m})$. If $m \geq 4g$, then $(\mathcal{L}_l^*)^{\otimes m}$ is very ample. Hence the morphism ϕ_{Λ} defined by Λ from P_l to $\mathbf{P}(\Gamma(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m})) \times_S S^*$ embeds P_l^* , so that ϕ_{Λ} embeds $(P_l^*)^{\Gamma} = P$ into $\mathbf{P}(\Gamma(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}))$. This proves (4).

11. Uniqueness of P

11.1. Codimension two compactifications.

Lemma 11.1. Let S be a scheme and (U, \mathcal{L}) an S-scheme with \mathcal{L} invertible. Let $\pi: (P, i, \mathcal{N}) \to S$ be an integral proper flat S-scheme such that

- (c1) $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_P) = \mathcal{O}_S;$
- (c2) $i: U \hookrightarrow P$ is an open immersion with $\operatorname{codim}_P(P \setminus i(U)) \ge 2$;
- (c3) P is Cohen-Macaulay with \mathcal{N} ample invertible such that $i^*\mathcal{N}\simeq\mathcal{L}$.

Let (P', ι, \mathcal{M}) be another integral proper flat S-scheme satisfying (c1)-(c3). Then any S-isomorphism g: $(U, i^*\mathcal{N}) \simeq (U, \iota^*\mathcal{M})$ extends to an S-isomorphism $\phi(g) : (P, \mathcal{N}) \simeq (P', \mathcal{M}).$

Proof. Let $Z := P \setminus i(U)$, $V_k := \Gamma(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes k})$ and $W_k := \Gamma(P', \mathcal{M}^{\otimes k})$. Since P is Cohen-Macaulay by (c3), $\mathcal{H}^q_Z(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}) = 0$ ($\forall q \in [0, 1], \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$) by (c2) and [G67, 2.2/3.8]. Hence $H^q_Z(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}) = 0$ ($\forall q \in [0, 1]$) by the spectral sequence

 $E_2^{pq} = H^p(P, \mathcal{H}^q_Z(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}))$ with abutment $H^q_Z(P, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m})$ in [G67, 2.8, p. 35]. Let $g_k^* : \Gamma(U, \iota^*\mathcal{M}^{\otimes k}) \to \Gamma(U, i^*\mathcal{N}^{\otimes k})$ be the isomorphism induced from g. It follows that there are isomorphisms $f_k := (i^*)^{-1} \circ g_k^* \circ \iota^* : W_k \to V_k$ $(k \ge 0)$ of R-modules such that $f_k(a) \cdot f_l(b) = f_{k+l}(a \cdot b) \; (\forall a \in W_k, \forall b \in W_l).$ Moreover $f_0 = \operatorname{id}_R$ because $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_P) = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{P'}) = R$ by (c1). Hence g induces an isomorphism of graded R-algebras :

$$A(P', \mathcal{M}) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} W_k \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k =: A(P, \mathcal{N}),$$

each of which is isomorphic to $A(U, i^*\mathcal{N}) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(U, i^*\mathcal{N}^{\otimes k})$. Since \mathcal{N} is ample, $P \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(P, \mathcal{N})$. Similarly $P' \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(P', \mathcal{M})$, so that we have an S-isomorphism $\phi(g) : P \simeq P'$ via

(100)
$$P \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(P, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(U, i^* \mathcal{N}) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(P', \mathcal{M}) \simeq P'.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} := \phi(g)^* \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_P} \mathcal{N}^{\otimes (-1)}$. Since $\phi(g) \circ i = \iota \circ g$, we have $i^* \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_U \simeq i^* \mathcal{O}_P$. Since $\mathcal{H}_Z^q(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ ($\forall q \in [0,1]$) by [G67, 2.2/3.8], we have $\mathcal{F} \simeq i_* i^* \mathcal{F} \simeq i_* i^* \mathcal{O}_P \simeq \mathcal{O}_P$. Hence $\phi(g)^* \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$. It follows that any isomorphism $g : (U, i^* \mathcal{N}) \simeq (U, \iota^* \mathcal{M})$ extends to an isomorphism $\phi(g) : (P, \mathcal{N}) \simeq (P', \mathcal{M})$. This completes the proof. \Box

11.2. Uniqueness of *P*. We return to the situation of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 11.2. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a semi-abelian S-scheme, \mathcal{G} its Néron model and (P, i, \mathcal{N}) a cubical compactification of \mathcal{G} extending $(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta})$. Then

- (1) $P \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(\mathcal{G}, i^* \mathcal{N}) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ with $i_* i^* \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$;
- (2) P is uniquely determined by $(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta})$;
- (3) \mathcal{N} is uniquely determined by $(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta})$ up to positive multiples;
- (4) any $k(\eta)$ -automorphism h_{η} of G_{η} with $h_{\eta}^* \mathcal{L}_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}$ extends to an S-automorphism g of P with $g(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$ and $g^* \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$.

Proof. (P, i, \mathcal{N}) satsifies (rc1)-(rc3) and hence (c2)-(c3), while (c1) is true for (P, i, \mathcal{N}) by [M12, Cor. 2, p. 48]/Theorem 10.1 (4). By (rc3) and Eq. (100), $P \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(\mathcal{G}, i^*\mathcal{N}) = \operatorname{Proj} A(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Since P is Cohen-Macaulay with $\operatorname{codim}_P(P \setminus i(\mathcal{G})) \ge 2$ and \mathcal{N} is invertible, we have $\mathcal{N} = i_* i^*\mathcal{N}$. This proves (1). Suppose that \mathcal{M} is an ample invertible \mathcal{O}_P -module such that $i^*\mathcal{M}$ is cubical and $\mathcal{M}_\eta \simeq \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\otimes l'}$ for some $l' \in \mathbf{N}$. Then there exist $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_\eta^{\otimes n} \simeq \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\otimes m}$. Let $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{N}^{\otimes (-m)}$. Since $i^*\mathcal{M}$ and $i^*\mathcal{N}$ are cubical, so is $i^*\mathcal{F}$. Since $\mathcal{F}_\eta \simeq \mathcal{O}_{G_\eta}$, we have $i^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ by [MN24, 2.13 (3)]. Therefore $i^*\mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \simeq i^*\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}$, so that $P \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(\mathcal{G}, i^*\mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} A(\mathcal{G}, i^*\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m})$ by (1). Since $i^*\mathcal{N}$ is, by [MN24, 2.13 (3)], a unique cubical invertible sheaf on \mathcal{G} with $(i^*\mathcal{N})_\eta \simeq \mathcal{L}_\eta^{\otimes l}, P$ is uniquely determined by $(G_\eta, \mathcal{L}_\eta)$. This proves (2).

Since P is Cohen-Macaulay with $\operatorname{codim}_P(P \setminus i(\mathcal{G})) \geq 2$ and \mathcal{F} is invertible, we have $\mathcal{F} \simeq i_* i^* \mathcal{F} \simeq i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_P$ by [G67, 2.2/3.8]. It follows $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \simeq \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}$. This proves (3). Next we prove (4). Since \mathcal{G} is the Néron model of G_η , h_η extends to an S-automorphism h of \mathcal{G} . By Theorem 1.1, \mathcal{N} is an invertible sheaf of P such that $i^*\mathcal{N}$ is cubical on \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{N}_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes l}$ by (rc3). Since both $h^*i^*\mathcal{N}$ and $i^*\mathcal{N}$ are cubical on \mathcal{G} with $(h^*\mathcal{N})_{\eta} \simeq h_{\eta}^*\mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes l} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes l} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\eta}$, we have $h^*i^*\mathcal{N} \simeq i^*\mathcal{N}$ by [MN24, 2.13 (3)]. By Lemma 11.1, h extends to an S-automorphism $g := \phi(h)$ of P such that $g^*\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$. This proves (4). \Box

Corollary 11.3. Let (P, i, \mathcal{N}) and (P', ι, \mathcal{M}) be cubical compactifications of \mathcal{G} extending $(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta})$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\phi : P \to P'$ such that $\phi \circ i = \iota$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m} \simeq \phi^* \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}$ for some $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$;

Proof. Let $U = \mathcal{G}$ and apply Lemma 11.1. By assumption, we can choose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{N}_{\eta}^{\otimes m} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\eta}^{\otimes n}$. By applying Lemma 11.1 to $g = \mathrm{id}_{U}$, we obtain an S-automorphism $\phi := \phi(\mathrm{id}_{U}) : P \to P'$ such that $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m} \simeq \phi^* \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}$ and $\phi_{|U} = \mathrm{id}_{U}$, so that $\phi \circ i = \iota$. This completes the proof.

12. Relative compactification over a Dedekind domain

12.1. **Goal.** The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 12.1 which is the version over a Dedekind domain of Theorem 1.1. We note that the same type of uniqueness as Theorem 11.2 is true over a Dedekind domain. We assume that $\Sigma_{l}^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral.

Theorem 12.1. Let D be a Dedekind domain, K the fraction field of D and S = Spec D. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a semiabelian S-scheme over S, \mathcal{L} a symmetric ample cubical invertible sheaf on G and \mathcal{G} a Néron model of G. Then there exists a relative compactification (P, i, \mathcal{N}) of \mathcal{G} extending (G_K, \mathcal{L}_K) such that

- (a) *P* is Cohen-Macaulay;
- (b) $i(\mathcal{G}) = P \setminus \operatorname{Sing}(P/S)$ with $\operatorname{codim}_P \operatorname{Sing}(P_S) \ge 2$ where $\operatorname{Sing}(P/S)$ denotes the union of the singular loci of closed fibers of P over S;
- (c) $i^*\mathcal{N}$ is ample cubical invertible;
- (d) \mathcal{G} acts on P so that i is \mathcal{G} -equivariant.

Moreover the relative compactification (P, i, \mathcal{N}) satisfying (a)-(c) is unique up to isomorphism in the sense of Theorem 1.2.

The rest of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 12.1.

Let s be a closed point of S, D_s the localization of D at (the prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_s of D corresponding to) s, I_s the maximal ideal of D_s and $k(s) := D_s/I_s$. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of closed points s of S such that \mathcal{G}_s is not an abelian variety over k(s). Let $D_s^{\wedge} := (D_s)^{\wedge}$ be the I_s -adic completion of D_s , K_s the fraction field of D_s^{\wedge} , $S_s := \operatorname{Spec} D_s$, $S_s^{\wedge} := \operatorname{Spec} D_s^{\wedge}$ and η_s the generic point of S_s^{\wedge} . Since D is a Dedekind domain, D_s^{\wedge} is a CDVR. Let $\mathcal{G}_{S_s} := \mathcal{G} \times_S S_s$, $\mathcal{G}^s := \mathcal{G} \times_S S_s^{\wedge}$, $G_{S_s} := G \times_S S_s$, $G^s := G \times_S S_s^{\wedge}$, $\mathcal{L}^s := \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_G} \mathcal{O}_{G^s}$, $\Phi^s := \mathcal{G}^s/G^s$ and $N := \operatorname{LCM}(|\Phi^s|; s \in \mathcal{B})$. Then \mathcal{G}_{S_s} (resp. \mathcal{G}^s) is the Néron model over D_s (resp. D_s^{\wedge}) of the abelian variety G_K (resp. $G_{\eta_s} := G_K \otimes_K K_s$).

12.2. **Proof of Theorem 12.1 - start.** In what follows, we choose and fix any $s \in \mathcal{B}$ and set $R := D_s$ and $U := S_s = \operatorname{Spec} R$.

Definition 12.2. Let Q be an S-scheme and \mathcal{F} an ample invertible sheaf on Q and $\operatorname{Im}(S^n\Gamma(Q,\mathcal{F}))$ the image of the homomorphism $S^k\Gamma(Q,\mathcal{F}) \to$ $\Gamma(Q,\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k})$. Then we define

$$A(Q,\mathcal{F}) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(Q,\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}), \quad S(Q,\mathcal{F}) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im} \left(S^k \Gamma(Q,\mathcal{F}) \right).$$

Lemma 12.3. Let Z be a projective S-scheme and \mathcal{L} a (relatively) very ample invertible sheaf on Z. Then there exists n_1 such that $S^n\Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_1}) \to \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_1})$ is surjective $(\forall n \geq 1)$.

Proof. We regard Z as a closed S-subscheme of \mathbf{P}_{S}^{M} with \mathcal{I} its defining ideal and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{M}}(1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{M}}} \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ for some $M \in \mathbf{N}$. There is an exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{N}}(n) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{N}}(n) \to \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \to 0 \ (\forall n \in \mathbf{N})$. There exists n_{1} such that $H^{1}(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{N}}(n)) = 0$ for any $n \geq n_{1}$, so that $S^{n}\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{N}}(1)) = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{S}^{N}}(n)) \to$ $\Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \to 0$ is surjective. It follows that $S^{n}\Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}) \to \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$ is surjective $(\forall n \geq n_{1})$. If $n \geq 1$, then as *R*-submodules of $\Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes nn_{1}})$,

$$\operatorname{Im} \left(S^n \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_1}) \right) = \operatorname{Im} \left(S^n \left(S^{n_1} \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}) \right) \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{Im} \left(S^{nn_1} \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}) \right) = \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes nn_1}),$$

which proves Lemma.

By [MB85, II, 1.2.1]/[MN24, 2.13], there exists a unique ample cubical invertible sheaf \mathcal{M} on \mathcal{G} with $\mathcal{M}_K := \mathcal{M} \otimes_R K \simeq \mathcal{L}_K^{\otimes 2N}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_U := \mathcal{M} \otimes_D R$ and let \mathcal{M}_U^{\wedge} be the I_s -adic completion of \mathcal{M}_U .

Let $l \geq 1$. By Raynaud [MB85, VI, 1.4.2], $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l})$ is a finite *D*-module. Since \mathcal{M} is locally $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -free and \mathcal{G} is smooth over S, $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l})$ is *D*-torsion free. Hence $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l})$ is locally \mathcal{O}_S -free because D_s is a DVR for any closed point s of S. It follows that for any $l, n \geq 1$,

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{G}_{U},\mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes l}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}) \otimes_{D} R, \quad \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}},\mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes l}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}) \otimes_{D} R^{\wedge}, \\ A(\mathcal{G}_{U},\mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes l}) = A(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}) \otimes_{D} R, \quad A(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}},\mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes l}) = A(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}) \otimes_{D} R^{\wedge}, \\ S(\mathcal{G}_{U},\mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes l}) = S(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}) \otimes_{D} R, \quad S(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}},\mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes l}) = S(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{M}^{\otimes l}) \otimes_{D} R^{\wedge}.$$

12.3. **Proof continued.** By Theorem 1.1, there exists a relative compactification $(P, i^s, \mathcal{M}^{\dagger})$ of $\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}}$ such that (a)-(d) are true where $i^s : \mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}} \to P$ is an open immersion, P is an irreducible Cohen-Macaulay U^{\wedge} -scheme and $((i^s)^*\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})_{k(\eta_s)} = \mathcal{L}_{k(\eta_s)}^{\otimes n_0}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$. Since P is Cohen-Macaulay, $\Gamma(P, (\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes n}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}}, ((i^s)^*(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}))^{\otimes n}) \ (\forall n \geq 0)$. By Lemma 12.3, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $A(P, (\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes n_1}) = S(P, (\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes n_1})$.

Since \mathcal{M}_U is ample, there exists $m_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes m}$ is very ample $(\forall m \geq m_2)$. In view of [MN24, 2.13 (3)], by choosing an integral multiple of \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} for \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} if necessary, we may assume $(i^s)^* \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes n_2}$ for some $n_2 \in \mathbf{N}$

with $n_2 \ge m_2$. It follows from Theorem 11.2

$$P = \operatorname{Proj} A(P, (\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes n_1}) = \operatorname{Proj} S(P, (\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes n_1})$$

$$\simeq \operatorname{Proj} S(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}}, ((i^s)^* \mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes n_1}) \simeq \operatorname{Proj} S(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}}, \mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes n_1 n_2})$$

By Theorem 10.12 (1)-(2), for any $l \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$H^{q}(P_{s}, (\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes l} \otimes_{R} k(s)) = 0 \quad (q \geq 1),$$

$$\Gamma(P_{s}, (\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes l} \otimes_{R} k(s)) = \Gamma(P, (\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes l}) \otimes_{R^{\wedge}} k(s)$$

$$= \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}}, \mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes n_{2}l}) \otimes_{R^{\wedge}} k(s)$$

$$= \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_{U}, \mathcal{M}_{U}^{\otimes n_{2}l}) \otimes_{R} k(s).$$

By Lemma 12.3, there exists $n_3 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(S^{l}\Gamma(P_{s},(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes n_{3}}\otimes_{R^{\wedge}}k(s))\right)=\Gamma(P_{s},(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes n_{3}l}\otimes_{R^{\wedge}}k(s)) \quad (\forall l \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Let $N_0 := n_1 n_2 n_3$. Then $\operatorname{Im} \left(S^l \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0}) \right) = \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0 l}) \ (\forall l \in \mathbf{N})$ by Nakayama's lemma,. It follows that $A(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0}) = S(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0})$, which is an *R*-algebra generated by a finite free *R*-module $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0})$.

Let $Q := \operatorname{Proj} S(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0})$. By definition, Q is a projective R-scheme (of finite type), so that Q is excellent, *i.e.*, the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Q,x}$ is excellent for any closed point x of Q by [MN24, 2.3]. See [EGA, IV₂, 7.8.3]. Since $P \simeq Q_{U^{\wedge}}$ and P is Cohen-Macaulay, so is $Q_{U^{\wedge}}^{\wedge} = Q_U^{\wedge}$, so that $Q = Q_U$ is Cohen-Macaulay by [MN24, 2.1/2.4]. Moreover Q is S-flat by [H77, III, 9.9] because $\chi(Q_s, \mathcal{M}_s) = \chi(P_s, \mathcal{M}_s) = \chi(P_{K_s}, \mathcal{M}_{K_s}) = \chi(Q_{K_s}, \mathcal{M}_{K_s}) = \chi(Q_K, \mathcal{M}_K)$. Let $V_{N_0} := \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0})$. Since $S(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0})$ is generated by V_{N_0}, Q is a

Let $V_{N_0} := \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0})$. Since $S(\mathcal{G}_U, \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0})$ is generated by V_{N_0}, Q is a closed S-subscheme of $\mathbf{P}(V_{N_0})$. Associated with the linear system $|V_{N_0}|$, we have a natural morphism $i: \mathcal{G}_U \to \mathbf{P}(V_{N_0})$, which factors through Q. Then $\mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0}$ is very ample by $N_0 \geq n_2 \geq m_2$. Hence i is an injective immersion which is an isomorphim over K, while $i_{U^{\wedge}} = i^s: \mathcal{G}_{U^{\wedge}} \to Q_{U^{\wedge}} = P$ is an open immersion over U^{\wedge} . It follows that i is an open immersion of \mathcal{G}_U into Q. Now we define $\mathcal{N} := i_* \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0}$. Since P is Cohen-Macaulay and \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} is locally \mathcal{O}_P -free, we obtain $\mathcal{N}_{U^{\wedge}} = (i_{U^{\wedge}})_* \mathcal{M}_{U^{\wedge}}^{\otimes N_0} = (i^s)_* (i^s)^* ((\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes n_1 n_3}) = (\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes n_1 n_3}$. Since $(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger})^{\otimes n_1 n_3} = \mathcal{N}_{U^{\wedge}}$ is an invertible \mathcal{O}_P -module. Moreover $i^*\mathcal{N} = i^* i_* \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0} = \mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes N_0}$. Since \mathcal{M} is cubical on \mathcal{G} , $i^*\mathcal{N}$ is cubical with $(i^*\mathcal{N})_K = \mathcal{M}_K^{\otimes N_0} = \mathcal{L}_K^{\otimes 2NN_0}$. This proves that (Q, i, \mathcal{N}) is a relative compactification of \mathcal{G}_U such that (a)-(c) are true. Uniqueness of (Q, i, \mathcal{N}) in the sense of Theorem 1.2 is clear. Now we denote (Q, i, \mathcal{N}) by $(Q^s, j^s, \mathcal{N}^s)$. Since $(Q^s, j^s, \mathcal{N}^s)$ is unique over S_s , by gluing together all $(Q^s, j^s, \mathcal{N}^s)$ in the sense of Theorem 1.2. Thereby uniqueness of (P, i, \mathcal{N}) is clear.

12.4. **Proof of (d).** The proof of (d) is very formal. We set as follows:

$$P_{12} := P \times_S P, \ P_{123} := P \times_S P \times_S P,$$

$$L_{12} := G \times_S P, \ L_{123} := G \times_S P \times_S P.$$

Since $\mathcal{G}_K = P_K$ is an abelian variety over K, we have an action $\rho_K : G_K \times P_K \to P_K$, which defines a graph, *i.e.*, a K-flat closed subscheme Γ^K of $P_{123,K}$ such that the restriction of the projection to the first two components $p_{12,K}: \Gamma^K \to P_{12,K}$ is an isomorphism. Similarly by Theorem 1.1 (d), we have an action $\rho^s: \mathcal{G}^s \otimes_{D_s^\wedge} P_{S_s^\wedge}$ with graph Γ^s which is a closed S_s^\wedge -flat subscheme of P_{123,S_s^\wedge} . Since P is projective over S, there is a S-flat closed subscheme Γ of P_{123} by [EGA, IV₂, 2.8.5] such that $\Gamma_K := \Gamma \times_S \operatorname{Spec} K = \Gamma^K$ and $\Gamma \times_S S_s^\wedge = \Gamma^s$. The projection $p_{12}: \Gamma (\subset P_{123}) \to P_{12}$ is an S-morphism which is an isomorphism over K and $S_s^\wedge (\forall s \in \mathcal{B})$. Hence p_{12} is an isomorphism everywhere over S. Hence Γ defines an action of G on P (denoted by $\rho: L_{12} \to L_{123}$) extending ρ^K and ρ^s . This proves (d).

12.5. Relative compactifications in the general case. Let R be a CDVR with $k(\eta)$ its fraction field. Let $(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta})$ be a polarized abelian variety over $k(\eta)$, \mathcal{G} the Néron model of G_{η} , $G := \mathcal{G}^0$ the identity component of \mathcal{G} and $N := |\mathcal{G}_0/G_0|$. By [MB85, II, 1.1], there exists an ample *cubical* invertible sheaf \mathcal{N} on \mathcal{G} with $\mathcal{N}_{\eta} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\eta}^{\otimes 2N}$. By Raynaud [MB85, VI, 1.4.2] $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes k})$ is a finite free R-module for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Conjecture 12.4. Let $P_n := \operatorname{Proj} A(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes n})$. Then

- (i) P_{n_0} is a relative compactification of \mathcal{G} for some $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{G} \simeq P_{n_0} \setminus \operatorname{Sing}(P_{n_0}/S)$ with $\operatorname{codim}_{P_{n_0}}\operatorname{Sing}(P_{n_0}/S) \ge 2$.

Remark 12.5. Conjecture 12.4 is true for elliptic curves over $k(\eta)$ by Kodaira-Néron's classification of singular fibers of elliptic fibrations.

13. The complex analytic case

13.1. Notation and Terminology. In this section we consider a complex analogue to §§ 6-7. Let P, P' be groups, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(P, P')$ the set of homomorphisms from P to P'. Let Q a ring and Q^{\times} the group of *invertible* elements in Q. Let t be a complex parameter, $\mathbf{D} := \{t \in \mathbf{C}; |t| < 1\}$ the unit disc with center $0, D^{\times} := \mathbf{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $t^{\mathbf{Z}} := \{t^n; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Let Z be a complex space and $Z(\mathbf{D}) := \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{D}, Z)$ be the set of complex analytic maps from \mathbf{D} to Z. Let $\varpi : G \to \mathbf{D}$ be a relative complex Lie group over \mathbf{D} . If moreover any fiber (G_t, \mathcal{L}_t) of it is a complex semiabelian scheme, then we call $\varpi : G \to \mathbf{D}$ a (complex) semiabelian \mathbf{D} -scheme.

13.2. Theta functions. In the complex case, we will see that Eq. (76) is a consequence of transformation equations of theta functions. We start with quoting from [Si62, Chap. 12, p. 77] by slightly modifying. Let $g = g_1 + g_2$ with $g_1, g_2 \ge 0$, $X = Y = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{g_1} \mathbf{Z}m_i \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{g_1}$ and define an injective homomorphism $\phi : Y \to X$ by $\phi(m_i) = d_i m_i$ $(i \in [1, g_1])$. Let $Z_1 := X^{\vee} =$

72
$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{g_1} \mathbf{Z} f_i, Z_2 := \bigoplus_{i=g_1+1}^{g} \mathbf{Z} f_i \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{g_2} \text{ and } Z = Z_1 \oplus Z_2. \text{ Let } V := Z \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}$ and $V_k := Z_k \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C} \ (k \in [1, 2]).$ Let $t_i \in V$ be a column vector $(i \in [1, g])$ and define

$$T_{1} = (t_{1}, \cdots, t_{g_{1}}), \ T_{2} = (t_{g_{1}+1}, \cdots, t_{g_{1}+g_{2}}),$$
$$T = (T_{1}, T_{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \ C = (I_{g}, T), \ C_{i} = (I_{g_{i}}, T_{ii}),$$
$$D = \operatorname{diag}(d_{1}, \cdots, d_{g}) = \begin{pmatrix} D_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \ W = DT, \ W_{1} = D_{1}T_{11}$$

where $T_{ij} \in M_{g_i \times g_j}(\mathbf{C})$, $D_i \in M_{g_i,g_i}(\mathbf{Z})$ and W is symmetric with $\operatorname{Im}(W) > 0$. Let $W_k(u_k, v_k) = u_k^t W_k v_k$ and $W_k[v_k] = W_k(v_k, v_k)$ $(u_k, v_k \in \mathbf{C}^{g_i})$.

Let $\Delta := \{a = (a_i) \in Z; 0 \leq a_i < d_i \ (\forall i \in [1,g]), a \in \Delta \text{ and } s_a \in \mathbb{C}.$ Then we define θ_a , θ and $\sigma_{r_1}(\theta)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_a(z) &= \sum_{m \in Y} \mathbf{e}(W[m + D^{-1}a]/2 + (Dm + a)^t z) \\ &= \sum_{m \in Z} \mathbf{e}(W[D^{-1}(\phi(m) + a)]/2 + (\phi(m) + a)^t z), \\ \theta(z) &= \sum_{a \in \Delta} s_a \theta_a(z) = \sum_{r_1 \in X} \mathbf{e}(r_1^t z_1) \sigma_{r_1}(\theta)(z_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $z := (z_1, z_2)$ and $z_i \in Z_i \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}$. For $b, c \in Z$, we have

(101)
$$\theta_a(z + D^{-1}b + TD^{-1}c) = \mathbf{e}(a^t D^{-1}b - W[D^{-1}c]/2 - c^t z)\theta_{a+c}(z), \\ \theta(z + b + Tc) = \mathbf{e}(-W[c]/2 - (Dc)^t z)\theta(z).$$

Let G := V/Z + TZ and $A_2 = V_2/Z_2 + T_{22}Z_2$. Then G and A are abelian varieties. Eq. (101) shows that if θ is not identically zero, the divisor $\theta = 0$ defines an ample line bundle on G independent of $a \in \Delta$, so that the data (C, D) determines a unique ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{C,D}$ of G. By [Si62, Th. 12A], $(\theta_a; a \in \Delta)$ is a **C**-basis of $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}_{C,D})$. Let $K(\mathcal{L}_{C,D}) := \{a \in$ $G(\mathbf{C}); T_a^* \mathcal{L}_{C,D} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{C,D}\}$ be the Heisenberg group scheme of $\mathcal{L}_{C,D}$. Then $K(\mathcal{L}_{C,D}) = (D^{-1}Z + TD^{-1}Z)/(Z + TZ), |K(\mathcal{L}_{C,D})| = |D|^2$ and $G^t :=$ $\operatorname{Pic}_{G/\mathbf{C}} = G/K(\mathcal{L}_{C,D}) \simeq \mathbf{C}^g/D^{-1}Z + TD^{-1}Z$.

Let $c_1 \in Z_1$ and $c' := (c_1, 0_{g_2})^t \in Z$. Then by Eq. (101), we obtain

$$\theta(z+Tc') = \mathbf{e}(-W_1[c_1]/2) \sum_{r_1 \in X} \mathbf{e}(r_1^t z_1) \sigma_{r_1 + \phi(c_1)}(\theta)(z_2).$$

From this we derive a relation :

(102)
$$\sigma_{r_1+\phi(c_1)}(\theta)(z_2) = \mathbf{e}(W_1[c_1]/2)\mathbf{e}(r_1^t T_{11}c_1)\sigma_{r_1}(\theta)(z_2 + T_{21}c_1).$$

This is Theorem 3.1 (7)/Eq. (21) if we set $\psi(y) := \mathbf{e}(W_1[y]/2)$ and $\tau(y, x) := \mathbf{e}(y^t T_{11}^t x) \ (y \in Y, x \in X)$. Note $\psi(y) = \tau(y, \phi(y))^{1/2}$. Thus Eq. (102) is a classical equation behind Eqs. (21)/(27)/(75)/(76).

Let $c_2 \in Z_2$ and $c'' = (0_{a_1}, c_2)^t \in Z$. By computing $\theta(z + Tc'')$, we obtain $\sigma_{r_1}(\theta)(z_2 + T_{22}c_2) = \mathbf{e}(-W_2[c_2]/2 - (D_2c_2)^t z_2)\mathbf{e}(-r_1^t T_{12}c_2)\sigma_{r_1}(\theta)(z_2).$

Hence the divisor $\sigma_{r_1}(\theta) = 0$ defines a unique line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{C_2,D_2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_{r_1}$ where $\mathcal{O}_{r_1} := c(r_1) = \mathbf{F}_{-r_1}$ with the notation of § 13.3. Note $K(\mathcal{L}_{C_2,D_2}) =$ $(D_2^{-1}Z_2 + T_{22}D_2^{-1}Z_2)/(Z_2 + T_{22}Z_2), |K(\mathcal{L}_{C_2,D_2})| = |D_2|^2 \text{ and } A^t := \operatorname{Pic}_{A/\mathbb{C}} = C_{A/\mathbb{C}}$ $A/K(\mathcal{L}_{C_2,D_2}) \simeq V_2/D_2^{-1}Z_2 + T_{22}D_2^{-1}Z_2. \text{ If } D = mI_g, \text{ then we set } \mathcal{L}^0 := \mathcal{L}_{C,I_g} \text{ and } \mathcal{M}^0 := \mathcal{L}_{C_2,I_{g_2}}. \text{ Then } \mathcal{L}_{C,D} = (\mathcal{L}^0) \otimes m \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{C_2,D_2} = (\mathcal{M}^0) \otimes m.$ Moreover $(\sigma_{r_1}(\theta_a); a \in \tilde{\Delta} \cap Z_2)$ is a **C**-basis of $\Gamma(A, (\mathcal{M}^0) \otimes m \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_A} \mathcal{O}_{r_1})$.

13.3. Complex analytic split obejcts. Let X, Y, Z_k, V, V_k and $\phi: Y \to X$ be the same as in § 13.2. Replacing **C** by $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}^{\times}})$, we set $T = (T_{i,j}) \in$ $M_{q,q}(\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}^{\times}}))$ as in § 13.2. Assume moreover

- (i) $\omega := T_{11} = B \log t + T_{11}^0(t), \ \omega^0 =: T_{11}^0(t);$ (ii) T_{11}^0 and T_{ij} are holomorphic over **D** for $(i, j) \neq (1, 1);$
- (iii) $B \in M_{g_1,g_1}(\mathbf{Z})$ is symmetric positive definite.

Then we define complex quotient manifolds over **D**:

(103)

$$G := \mathbf{D} \times V/Z \oplus TZ,$$

$$\tilde{G} := \mathbf{D} \times V/Z \oplus T(0 \oplus Z_2),$$

$$A := \mathbf{D} \times V_2/Z_2 \oplus T_{22}Z_2,$$

$$T := \mathbf{D} \times V_1/Z_1,$$

$$G^t := \mathbf{D} \times V/D^{-1}Z \oplus TD^{-1}Z,$$

$$\tilde{G}^t := \mathbf{D} \times V/D^{-1}Z \oplus T(0 \oplus D_2^{-1}Z_2),$$

$$A^t := \mathbf{D} \times V_2/D_2^{-1}Z_2 \oplus T_{22}D_2^{-1}Z_2,$$

$$T^t := \mathbf{D} \times V_1/D_1^{-1}Z_1.$$

Let $\mathbf{C}^* := \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}$. Let $T_X := \operatorname{Hom}(X, \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathbf{Z}})$ be a split torus over \mathbf{Z} . Then by Eq. (103), we have a natural isomorphism $T \simeq T_{X,\mathbf{D}} := \mathbf{D} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} T_X \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)_D^{g_1}$. It is clear that A is an abelian **D**-scheme. Since any fiber (G_t, \mathcal{L}_t) $(t \neq 0)$ is a complex abelian variety, and $G_0 \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)^{g_1} \times A_0$, G is a semiabelian **D**-scheme. Meanwhile G is a semiabelian **D**-scheme, which we call the (complex) Raynaud extension of G:

(104)
$$0 \to T \to \tilde{G} \to A \to 0.$$

Each $x \in X(T)$ is a homomorphism $x : T \to \mathbf{G}_m$. Then we obtain a holomorphic extension \mathbf{F}_x^{\times} of A_t by \mathbf{G}_m from Eq. (104) as the pushout of G by x. Let \mathbf{F}_x be the holomorphic line bundle on A associated with \mathbf{F}_x^{\times} . Since \mathbf{F}_x^{\times} is a group **D**-scheme, $\mathbf{F}_x \in \operatorname{Pic}^0_{A/\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{D}) = A^t(\mathbf{D})$. So we define $c \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X, A^t(\mathbf{D}))$ by setting $c(x) := \mathbf{F}_x^{\otimes (-1)}$ with the sign change of cand c^t in § 2.3 taken into account. This c is a complex analogue of the classifying morphism of G in § 2.2.

Let $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{L}_{C_2,D_2}$ and let $\mathbf{M} \in \operatorname{Pic}(A)$ be a holomorphic line bundle on A with $\mathcal{O}_A(\mathbf{M}) = \mathcal{M}, \lambda : A \to A^t$ the polarization morphism by \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P} the Poincarè bundle over $A, \tilde{\mathbf{L}} := \pi^* \mathbf{M}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}})$. Note that $\lambda : A \to A^t$ is the natural quotient morphism induced from Eq. (103). Similarly we set $\lambda_T : T \to T^t$ and $\lambda_G : \tilde{G} \to \tilde{G}^t$ be the natural quotient morphisms induced from Eq. (103).

(105)
$$1 \to T^t \to \tilde{G}^t \to A^t \to 0,$$

which defines the classifying morphism $c^t \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Y, A(\mathbf{D}))$ by the pushouts via $X(T^t) = \text{Hom}(T^t, \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathbf{D}})$. It is therefore clear that $\lambda_A \circ c^t = c \circ \phi$.

Then we define

$$\tau \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Y \times X, t^{\mathbf{Z}} \left(\mathbf{P}^{\otimes (-1)}(\mathbf{D}) \right)^{\times}),$$

$$\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}(Y, t^{\mathbf{Z}} \left(\mathbf{M}^{\otimes -1}(\mathbf{D}) \right)^{\times}), \quad \iota \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Y, \tilde{G}(\mathbf{D})),$$

$$\zeta := (\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t}, \iota, \lambda, \phi, \tau, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \psi, \mathcal{M})$$

by

$$\tau(y,x) := \mathbf{e}(y^t T_{11}^t x), \quad \psi(y) := \mathbf{e}(W_1[y]/2),$$
$$\iota(y) := \bigoplus_{v \in X} \tau(y,v) \quad (y \in Y, x \in X).$$

Definition 13.1. Let $R := \mathbf{C}[[t]]$, I = tR and $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$. We say that ζ defined above is a *symmetric* split object over **D** if the pullback ζ_S of ζ to S is a *symmetric* split object over S in DD_{ample}.

13.4. Relation with period matrices. Let ζ be a symmetric split object over **D**. Let $\mathbf{e}(x) := \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}x)$, $B := v_t(\tau)$, $\tau^0 := t^{-B}\tau$ and $\psi^0 := t^{-v_t(\psi)}\psi$. Let N, β and μ be the same as in Definition 5.1. By (the over-**D**-analogue of) Lemma 5.2, we have extensions $c^{t,e}$ (resp. ι^e, τ^e, ψ^e) of c^t (resp. ι, τ, ψ) from Y to X^{\vee} or from $Y \times X$ to $X^{\vee} \times X$. By Lemma 5.2 (ii), $v_t(\tau^e(u,x)) = u(x)$ ($\forall u \in X^{\vee}, \forall x \in X$). The proof of Lemma 5.2 shows that the values of $c^{t,e}$ and ι^e (resp. τ^e and ψ^e) are single-valued holomorphic (resp. single-valued meromorphic) over **D** without taking a finite cover of **D**. By $v_t(\tau^e(u,x)) = u(x)$, there exists a $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})$ -valued bilinear form $\omega^{e,0}$ on $X^{\vee} \times X$ such that

(106)
$$\tau^{e}(u,x) = \mathbf{e}(\omega^{e}(u,x)), \ \omega^{e}(u,x) = \omega^{e,0}(u,x) + u(x)\log t.$$

Let $\omega := \omega^e \circ (\beta \times \operatorname{id}_X)$ and $\omega^0 := \omega^{e,0} \circ (\beta \times \operatorname{id}_X)$. Then

$$\omega(y,x) = \omega^0(y,x) + B(y,x)\log t \ (\forall y \in Y, \forall x \in X),$$

where B is even symmetric on $Y \times Y$ by [MN24, 3.5/3.7] because ζ_S is symmetric. See Definition 3.5. Hence we have

$$\psi^e(u) = \mathbf{e}(\omega^e(u,\mu(u))), \ \tau^e(u,x) = \mathbf{e}(\omega^e(u,x)),$$

$$\tau(y,x) = \tau^e(\beta(y),x) = \mathbf{e}(\omega(y,x)), \ \psi(y) = \mathbf{e}(\omega(y,\phi(y))/2).$$

We define $\zeta_{4N^2l}^e$ (resp. ξ_l^{\dagger}) to be the $4N^2l$ -th extension of ζ over **D** as in Definition 6.4 (resp. the NéFC *l*-th kit of $\zeta_{4N^2l}^e$).

13.5. Twisted Mumford families over **D**. Let $\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger})$ be a fan in \tilde{X}^{\vee} with $e(\zeta) = 1$ in § 6.3, $U(\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger}))$ the torus embedding over **D** associated with $\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger})$ and $\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0)$ the Voronoi polytope in Definition 6.5. In what follows, we assume that $\Sigma_l^{\dagger}(0)$ is integral. We define the contraction product of $U(\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger}))$ and \tilde{G} by T over **D** via Eqs. (78)/(104):

(107)
$$\tilde{P}_l := U(\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger})) \times_{\mathbf{D}}^T \tilde{G}.$$

The **D**-scheme \tilde{P}_l is the normalization of an over-**D**-analogue of $\operatorname{Proj} \mathcal{R}_{2,\Sigma_l^{\dagger}}$ in Eq. (73). Then $\mathcal{W} := (W_{l,\alpha,u}; \alpha \in \Sigma_l^{\dagger}, u \in X^{\vee})$ is an open covering of \tilde{P}_l , where $W_{l,\alpha,u} := U(\tau_{l,\alpha,u}) \times_{\mathbf{D}}^T \tilde{G}$. Let $(w^x; x \in X)$ be the torus coordinates of $T \simeq T_{X,\mathbf{D}}$ global over D. Let $\xi_{l,\alpha,v} := \epsilon_l^{\dagger}(v)\tau^e(v,\alpha)w^{\alpha+4Nl\mu(v)}$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}'_l$ be the holomorphic line bundle on $U(\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger}))$ defined by a one cocyle $f_{l,\alpha,u;\beta,v} := \xi_{l,\alpha,u}/\xi_{l,\beta,v}$ with respect to \mathcal{W} . We define

(108)
$$\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_l := \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_l' \boxtimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}} \pi^* \mathbf{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l}$$

To be more precise,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_l}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_l) := p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{U(\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger}))}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_l) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}} p_2^* \pi^* \mathcal{O}_A(\mathbf{M}^{\otimes 4N^2 l})$$

where p_i is the *i*-th projection of $U(\operatorname{Fan}(\xi_l^{\dagger})) \times_{\mathbf{D}}^T \tilde{G}$.

We define an action of X^{\vee} and Y on \tilde{P}_l and $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_l$ as follows: Let $u \in X^{\vee}$ and let $\tilde{c}^{t,e} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^{\vee}, \tilde{A}(D))$ be a lifting of $c^{t,e}$. Then we define a **D**automorphism $\delta_u : \tilde{P}_l \to \tilde{P}_l$ and $\tilde{\delta}_u : \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_l \to \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_l$ via Eqs. (107)-(108):

$$\begin{split} \delta_{u}^{*}(t^{a}w^{x}) &= t^{a}\tau^{e}(u,x)w^{x}, \ \delta_{u}^{*}(z) = T^{*}_{\tilde{A},\tilde{c}^{t,e}(u)}(z) := z + \tilde{c}^{t,e}(u), \\ \delta_{u}^{*}(f) &= T^{*}_{\tilde{A},\tilde{c}^{t,e}(u)}(f), \ \tilde{\delta}_{u}^{*}(\xi) = \psi^{e}(u)^{-2Nl}w^{-4Nl\mu(u)}\xi, \end{split}$$

the last of which follows from $\tilde{\delta}_{l,u} \tilde{\delta}^*_u(\theta_l) = \epsilon^{\dagger}_l(u) w^{4Nl\mu(u)} \theta_l$ by Eq. (77). where $(a, x) \in \mathbf{Z} \times X$ with $t^a w^x \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}_l}$, $f \in \mathcal{O}_A$, $(t, z) \in \tilde{A} \simeq \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{A}^{g''}$ (as global coordinates) and ξ is a fiber coordinate of \mathbf{L}_l . The action of Y on \tilde{P}_l (via $\beta(Y) \subset X^{\vee}$) is are properly discontinuous and fixed point free. Hence we have the quotient complex space $(P_l, \mathbf{L}_l) := (\tilde{P}_l, \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_l)/Y$ on which $X^{\vee}/\beta(Y)$ acts freely. Set $\mathcal{L}_l := \mathcal{O}_{P_l}(\mathbf{L}_l)$.

Let Fan⁰ := {(0), $\sigma_{l,0,u}$:= $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(f_0 + u)$ ($u \in X^{\vee}$)} be a fan in $\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and Fan⁰⁰ := {(0), $\sigma_{l,0,\beta(y)}$:= $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(f_0 + \beta(y))$ ($y \in Y$)}. Let $U(\text{Fan}^0)$ (resp. $U(\text{Fan}^{00})$) be the torus embedding over \mathbf{D} associated with Fan⁰ (resp. Fan⁰⁰). Let \tilde{U}^0 := $U(\text{Fan}^0) \times_{\mathbf{D}} \tilde{G}$ and \tilde{U}^{00} := $U(\text{Fan}^{00}) \times_{\mathbf{D}} \tilde{G}$. The action of X^{\vee} (and hence of Y) on \tilde{P}_l keeps \tilde{U}^0 and \tilde{U}^{00} stable. Let

$$\mathcal{G} := \tilde{U}^0 / Y, \quad G := \tilde{U}^{00} / Y.$$

The quotients \mathcal{G} and G are open submanifolds of P_l . Thus we obtain

Theorem 13.2. Let $S := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}[[t]]$. Over \mathbf{D} , the following are true:

- (1) $(\mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{L}_l)_{|\mathcal{G}})$ and $(\mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{L}_l)_{|\mathcal{G}})$ are polarized semi-abelian **D**-schemes;
- (2) $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{D}^{\times}} = G_{\mathbf{D}^{\times}}$; G_0 is connected and $\mathcal{G}_0/G_0 \simeq X^{\vee}/\beta(Y)$;
- (3) \mathcal{G}_S is a Néron model of G_K where K is the fraction field of $\mathbf{C}[[t]]$;
- (4) $(P_l, \mathcal{L}_l) \times_{\mathbf{D}} S$ is a cubical compactification of \mathcal{G}_S in § 6.

We call (P_l, \mathcal{L}_l) a cubical compactification of \mathcal{G} .

Remark 13.3. Let t be a uniformizer of **D**. Then ω^e in Eq. (106) is recovered from \mathcal{G} and G as follows: First we can identify as follows:

$$Y = \pi_1(\mathcal{G})/\pi_1(A) \simeq H_1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{Z})/H_1(A, \mathbf{Z}),$$

$$X^{\wedge} = \pi_1(G)/\pi_1(A) \simeq H_1(G, \mathbf{Z})/H_1(A, \mathbf{Z}).$$

Since \mathcal{G} is a smooth group **D**-scheme, the relative cotangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{G}/\mathbf{D}}^{\vee}$ of \mathcal{G} over **D** is trivial. Hence X is identified with the **Z**-module V_{\log} consisting of holomorphic one forms dw^x/w^x ($x \in X$) on \tilde{G} . Any element of V_{\log} descends to a holomorphic one form on \mathcal{G} and G. Then we can define a multi-valued period ω^e of V_{\log} on X^{\wedge} by integration over one cycles of a general fiber of π and hence a single-valued pairing $\tau^e : X^{\wedge} \times X \to \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})[1/t]$ such that $\tau^e(u, x) = \mathbf{e}(\omega^e(u, x))$. This recovers Eq. (106). See [N77, §§ 2-3]. See [BLR90, 9.6] for the case of Jacobian varieties.

Appendix A. Algebraizability of \hat{A}

In Appendix A, we shall prove § 2.1 Eq. (1), that is, \hat{A} is algebraizable.

A.1. Galois descent. We basically follow the notation and the notions from [MN24, 11.1-11.5]. Let T_0 be the torus part of G_0 in § 2.1. Then there exists an S-torus T of \tilde{G} such that $(T^{\wedge})_0 = T_0$. If T is not a split S-torus, then there exists a finite étale Galois cover $\varpi : S^* \to S$ of S such that T_{S^*} is a split S^* -torus. Let $\Gamma := \operatorname{Aut}(S^*/S)$.

Now let $K := k(\eta)$, and let $L := k(S^*)$ be the function field of S^* , and $A := R_L = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{S^*})$ the integral closure of R in L. Then $\Gamma \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(L/K) = \operatorname{Aut}(A/R)$. For $\sigma \in \Gamma$, we define $s_{\sigma}^* \in \operatorname{End}_R(A)$ by $s_{\sigma}^*(a) = \sigma(a)$ ($\forall a \in A$), and let $s_{\sigma} : S^* \to S^*$ be the S-morphism induced from s_{σ}^* . Set $t_{\sigma} := s_{\sigma}^{-1} = s_{\sigma^{-1}}$. Then $s_{\tau} \circ s_{\sigma} = s_{\sigma\tau}$ and $t_{\sigma} \circ t_{\tau} = t_{\sigma\tau}$. Let V be an R_L -module. Let $t_{\sigma}^*V := V \otimes_{\sigma} A := V \otimes_{A_2;A_2 \to A_1} A_1$ where $A_i := A$ (i = 1, 2). The A ($= 1 \otimes A_1$)-action on t_{σ}^*V is given by $a(v \otimes 1) := (1 \otimes a)(v \otimes 1) = v \otimes a = \sigma(a)v \otimes 1$ ($\forall a \in A, \forall v \in V$). Meanwhile we define an A-module ($V_{\sigma}, \cdot_{\sigma}$) by $V_{\sigma} := V$ and $a \cdot_{\sigma} v := \sigma(a)v$ ($\forall a \in A_1, \forall v \in V$). For brevity we denote ($V_{\sigma}, \cdot_{\sigma}$) by V_{σ} . Then we define an isomorphism of A-modules $\kappa_{\sigma} : t_{\sigma}^*V \simeq V_{\sigma} (=V)$ by $\kappa_{\sigma}(\sigma(a)v \otimes 1) = \kappa_{\sigma}(v \otimes a) = a \cdot_{\sigma} v = \sigma(a)v$ ($\forall a \in A, \forall v \in V$).

Lemma A.1. Let $\varpi : T_{S^*} \to T$ be the natural morphism, $\Gamma := \operatorname{Gal}(S^*/S)$, $C := \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_T)$, L a projective C-module of rank one and $V := \varpi^*L := L \otimes_R A$. Then $V_{\Gamma} := \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Gamma} t^*_{\gamma} V$ descends to a trivial C-module.

Proof. Let $D := \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{T_{S^*}})$. Then $D = A[w^x; x \in X]$ for a lattice X. Since T is affine and hence quasi-projective, there exist effective divisors D_i of T (i = 1, 2) such that $\widetilde{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_T(D_1 - D_2)$. Let \mathcal{I}_{D_i} be the ideal of \mathcal{O}_T defining D_i . Since $\operatorname{Pic}(T_{S^*}) = \{1\}$ by [N16, 6.1.2], $\varpi^* \widetilde{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T_{S^*}}$ and there exists $f_i \in D$ such that $\varpi^* \mathcal{I}_{D_i} = f_i \mathcal{O}_{T_{S^*}}$. Let $I_i := f_i D$ and $V = \varpi^* L$. Then as D-modules, $V \simeq D = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{T_{S^*}})$ and $V \simeq I_1^{\otimes (-1)} \otimes_D I_2$.

Next we shall prove that V_{Γ} descends to a *C*-module. Let $(\phi_{\sigma}; \sigma \in \Gamma)$ be a descent datum of *V* with respect to Γ . By definition $\phi_{\sigma} : V \to t_{\sigma}^* V$ is an *A*-isomorphism of *A*-modules such that $\phi_{\sigma\tau} = t_{\tau}^* \phi_{\sigma} \circ \phi_{\tau}$. Hence $\sigma : A \to t_{\sigma}^* A$ is lifted to an *A*-isomorphism $\phi_{\sigma} : V \to t_{\sigma}^* V \simeq V_{\sigma}$. Hence σ is lifted to an σ -semilinear *R*-automorphism ψ_{σ} of $V = A[w^x; x \in X]$ such that $\phi_{\sigma}(w^x) = \psi_{\sigma}(w^x) \otimes_{\sigma} 1 \ (\forall x \in X)$. See [MN24, 12.2].

We define $V_{\Gamma} := \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Gamma} t_{\sigma}^* V$ and $F_i = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \psi_{\gamma}(f_i) \in D$. Since $\sigma : A \to t_{\sigma}^* A$ is lifted to $\psi_{\sigma} : D \to t_{\sigma}^* D$, we have $\psi_{\sigma}(F_i) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \psi_{\sigma\gamma}(f_i) = F_i \in D^{\Gamma} = C$. Let $\phi_{\Gamma,\sigma} := \bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_{\gamma}^* \phi_{\sigma}$. Since $\gamma \tau = \tau \gamma'$ for some $\gamma' \in \Gamma$, γ' ranges over Γ iff γ ranges over Γ when τ is fixed. Since $t_{\tau\gamma'}^* = t_{\tau}^* t_{\gamma'}^*$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\Gamma,\sigma\tau} &= \bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t^*_{\gamma} (t^*_{\tau} \phi_{\sigma} \circ \phi_{\tau}) = \bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t^*_{\tau\gamma} \phi_{\sigma} \circ t^*_{\gamma} \phi_{\tau} = (\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t^*_{\tau\gamma} \phi_{\sigma}) \circ (\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t^*_{\gamma} \phi_{\tau}) \\ &= (\bigotimes_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} t^*_{\gamma'\tau} \phi_{\sigma}) \circ (\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t^*_{\gamma} \phi_{\tau}) = t^*_{\tau} \phi_{\Gamma,\sigma} \circ \phi_{\Gamma,\tau}. \end{split}$$

Hence $(V_{\Gamma}, (\phi_{\sigma} : \sigma \in \Gamma))$ is a descent datum of V_{Γ} with respect to Γ . By [MN24, 11.3], we have a descent W_{Γ} of V_{Γ} . Since $F_i \in C$, F_i determines an effective divisor E_i of T such that $\mathcal{O}_T(-E_i) = F_i \mathcal{O}_T \simeq \mathcal{O}_T$. Hence $\widetilde{V}_{\Gamma} \simeq \varpi^*(\mathcal{O}_T(E_1 - E_2))$, so that

$$\widetilde{W}_{\Gamma} = (\widetilde{V}_{\Gamma})^{\Gamma} \simeq (\varpi^* (\mathcal{O}_T(E_1 - E_2)))^{\Gamma} = \mathcal{O}_T(E_1 - E_2) \simeq \mathcal{O}_T \simeq \widetilde{C}.$$

It follows $W_{\Gamma} \simeq C$. This completes the proof.

Corollary A.2. Let L be a projective C^{\wedge} -module of rank one and $V := L \otimes_{R^{\wedge}} A^{\wedge}$. Then $V_{\Gamma} := \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Gamma} t^*_{\gamma} V$ descends to a trivial C^{\wedge} -module.

Proof. Similar to Lemma A.1.

A.2. Algebraizability of A. Now we return to § 2.1. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a semiabelian S-scheme with T_0 the torus part of G_0 , and $0 \to T \to \tilde{G} \to A \to 0$ the Raynaud extension of G with T an S-torus of \tilde{G} such that the closed fiber of T equal to the above T_0 . Let $S_n = \operatorname{Spec} R/I^{n+1}$, $G_n := G \times_S S_n \simeq \tilde{G} \times_S S_n =: \tilde{G}_n, T_n := T \times_S S_n, A_n := \tilde{G}_n/T_n, \pi_n : G_n \to A_n$ the natural projection and $\mathcal{L}_n := \mathcal{L} \times_S S_n$. Thus $G^{\wedge} \simeq \tilde{G}^{\wedge}$. Since A_0 is an abelian k(0)-scheme, A_n is a proper smooth group S_n -scheme ($\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$), so that it is an abelian S_n -scheme. Let $\hat{A} := \operatorname{proj} \lim A_n, \pi^{\wedge} = \operatorname{proj} \lim \pi_n$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\wedge} := \operatorname{proj} \lim \mathcal{L}_n$. Then $\pi^{\wedge} : \tilde{G}^{\wedge} \to \hat{A}$ is the natural morphism in Eq. (2) and \mathcal{L}^{\wedge} is an invertible sheaf on \tilde{G}^{\wedge} . Lemma A.3. A is algebraizable.

Proof. See [FC90, II, p. 34, lines 2-3]. Let $B := \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{S^*})$ for now. Let $L = \Gamma(T^{\wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}^{\wedge}}} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\wedge}}), V = L \otimes_{R^{\wedge}} B^{\wedge}$ and $V_{\Gamma} := \prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} t_{\sigma}^* V$. Let $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{L}_{S^{*,\wedge}}^{\wedge}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma} := \prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} t_{\sigma}^* \mathcal{N}$. By Corollary A.2, the B^{\wedge} -module V_{Γ} descends to a trivial R^{\wedge} -module. Since $\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma} = \widetilde{V}_{\Gamma}, \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma}$ descends to a trivial $\mathcal{O}_{T^{\wedge}}$ -module. Let $i: T^{\wedge} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{G}^{\wedge}$ (resp. $j: (T_{S^{*,\wedge}})^{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{G}_{S^{*,\wedge}}^{\wedge})$ be the closed immersion of T^{\wedge} into \widetilde{G}^{\wedge} (resp. $(T_{S^{*,\wedge}})^{\Gamma}$ into $\widetilde{G}_{S^{*,\wedge}}^{\wedge}$) as S^{\wedge} -subschemes. Since $(T_{S^*})^{\Gamma} = T$, we have $(t_{\sigma} \circ j)^* \mathcal{N} = j^* \mathcal{N} \simeq i^* \mathcal{L}^{\wedge}$. Hence we have

$$j^*(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma}) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} j^*(t^*_{\sigma}\mathcal{N}) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} (t_{\sigma} \circ j)^*\mathcal{N} \simeq (i^*\mathcal{L}^{\wedge})^{\otimes N_0}$$

where $N_0 = |\Gamma|$. It follows $(i^*\mathcal{L}^{\wedge})^{\otimes N_0} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T^{\wedge}}$. By [MB85, I, 7.2.2] there exists an ample invertible sheaf $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ on \hat{A} such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes N_0, \wedge} \simeq (\pi^{\wedge})^* \hat{\mathcal{M}}$. Since \mathcal{L} is ample on G in the sense of [EGA, II, 4.5.2]), so are $\mathcal{L}_n^{\otimes N_0}$ on G_n and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_n$ on A_n with $\mathcal{L}_n^{\otimes N_0} \simeq \pi_n^* \hat{\mathcal{M}}_n$. It follows from [EGA, III, 5.4.5] that there exist an abelian S-scheme A and an ample invertible sheaf \mathcal{M} on Asuch that $(A^{\wedge}, \mathcal{M}^{\wedge}) \simeq (\hat{A}, \hat{\mathcal{M}})$. This completes the proof. \Box

Example A.4. Let k be a field of char $k \neq 2$, k' a Galois extension of k with $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(k'/k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Gamma = \{1, \sigma\}, k' = k(\epsilon)$ with $\sigma(\epsilon) = -\epsilon$ and $a := -\epsilon^2 \in k$. Let H = Spec A, H' = Spec A' and A' := k'[w, 1/w]. Suppose that H is not a split k-torus. Then

(109)
$$A = (A')^{\Gamma} = k[x, y]/(x^2 + ay^2 - 1), \ A' = A \otimes_k k',$$

where x := (1/2)(w + 1/w) and $y := (1/2\epsilon)(w - 1/w)$. The product of H is given by $(x, y) \cdot (x', y') = (xx' - ayy', x'y + xy')$. In other words, $H' = \mathbf{G}_{m,k'}$ and H is the Weil restriction $\operatorname{Res}_{k'/k} H'$ of H'.

Appendix B. Extension of the degeneration data of (G, \mathcal{L})

The purpose of Appendix B is to extend the degeneration data $FC(G, \mathcal{L})$ of a semiabelian scheme (G, \mathcal{L}) from the lattices (X, Y) to (X, X).

B.1. **Fppf sheaves.** Let S be a scheme, Sch/S the category of schemes over S, and Sch_S the category Sch/S equipped with the fppf topology. For an S-scheme W, we define a presheaf \underline{W} by $\underline{W}(U) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Sch}_S}(U,W)$ $(U \in \operatorname{Sch}_S)$. We denote $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Sch}_S}(U,W)$ by $\operatorname{Hom}(U,W)$ or W(U) if no confusion is possible. This presheaf \underline{W} is an fpqc sheaf by descent theory [SGA1, VIII, 5.1 b), pp. 210-211]. See also [SP, Descent, 023Q].

To be more precise, \underline{W} satisfies the *sheaf condition* for the fpqc topology: for any fpqc covering $(U_i \to U; i \in I)$ of U, the following is exact:

$$\operatorname{Hom}(U,W) \to \prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{Hom}(U_i,W) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j \in I} \operatorname{Hom}(U_i \times_U U_j,W).$$

Since any fppf covering is an fpqc covering, \underline{W} satisfies the sheaf condition for the fppf topology, *i.e.*, \underline{W} is an *fppf sheaf*. We say that an fppf sheaf \mathcal{F} is *representable by a scheme* W if $\mathcal{F} \simeq \underline{W}$.

For a morphism $f: W \to Z$ of S-schemes, we define an *fppf sheaf homo*morphism $f: \underline{W} \to \underline{Z}$ by $f(U) := f(U) : W(U) \to Z(U)$ $(U \in \operatorname{Sch}_S)$.

B.2. Extension of a split object. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a symmetric semiabelian S-scheme with the torus part of G_0 split. Then we have a split object:

(110)
$$\zeta := \operatorname{FC}(G, \mathcal{L}) = (\tilde{G}, A, T, X, Y, c, c^{t}, \iota, \lambda, \phi, \tau, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \psi, \mathcal{M})$$

in Eq. (23) associated with (G, \mathcal{L}) . In §§ B.3-B.4, we shall prove:

Lemma B.1. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a symmetric semiabelian S-scheme with $\zeta = FC(G, \mathcal{L})$ and T_0 split. By taking a finite radical normal extension K of $k(\eta)$ possibly ramified over the maximal ideal I of R, we can find

- (i) $c^{t,\text{ex}} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X, A(R_K))$ with $c^t = c^{t,\text{ex}} \circ \phi$ and $c = \lambda \circ c^{t,\text{ex}}$;
- (ii) a trivialization of biextension $\tau^{\text{ex}} : 1_{X \times X} \simeq (c^{t, \text{ex}} \times c)^* \mathcal{P}_K^{\otimes (-1)}$, which is a symmetric blinear form $\tau^{\text{ex}} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X \times X, K^{\times})$ such that $\tau^{\text{ex}}(\phi(y), x) = \tau(y, x) \; (\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y);$
- (iii) $\iota^{\text{ex}}(x) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X, \tilde{G}(K))$ such that $\iota = \iota^{\text{ex}} \circ \phi$ and $c^{t, \text{ex}} = \pi \circ \iota^{\text{ex}}$;
- (iv) a cubical trivialization $\psi^{\text{ex}} : 1_X \simeq (c^{t,\text{ex}})^* \mathcal{M}_K^{\otimes (-1)}$, which is a K^{\times} -valued function compatible with τ^{ex} in the sense that

$$\psi^{\text{ex}}(x+z) = \psi^{\text{ex}}(x)\psi^{\text{ex}}(z)\tau^{\text{ex}}(x,z), \ \psi^{\text{ex}}(\phi(y)) = \psi(y)$$
$$(\forall x, z \in X, \forall y \in Y);$$

Lemma B.1 is proved by Lemmas B.6/B.8 and Eq. (112). By Lemma B.1, we can construct a split object ζ^{ex} extended from from Y (resp. $Y \times X$) to X (resp. $X \times X$). This fact was used in [N99, 4.10] without proof.

B.3. Construction of $c^{t,\text{ex}}$. Let $A_i = A$ and $B_i = B$ be abelian S-schemes (i = 1, 2). Let $f_i : A_i \to B_i$ (i = 1, 2), $g_1 : A_1 \to A_2$ and $g_2 : B_1 \to B_2$ be finite flat surjective S-morphisms. Let $H := \text{ker}(f_1)$ and $K := \text{ker}(g_1)$. Then $A_2 \simeq A_1/K$ and $B_1 \simeq A_1/H$. Let $B_2 := A_1/(H + K)$ and let $f_2 : A_2 \to B_2$ (resp. $g_2 : B_1 \to B_2$) be the natural morphism.

Notation B.2. In what follows for a finite closed subgroup S-scheme N of A_1 , U an S-scheme and $X, Y \in A_1(U)$, we denote $X - Y \in N(U)$ by $X \equiv Y \in (A_1/N)(U)$. We denote the class of X in $(A_1/N)(U)$ by X+N(U).

Lemma B.3. $A_2 \times_{B_2} B_1 \simeq A_1/H \cap K$.

Proof. Let $V = A_2 \times_{B_2} B_1$ and $W = A_1/H \cap K$. We shall prove an isomorphism $V \simeq W$. Since there is a natural morphism $J : W \to V$, we shall construct its inverse $G : V \to W$. Let T be any S-scheme and we shall define a map $G(T) : V(T) \to W(T)$ functorial in T. Let $P := (Q^0, R^0) \in V(T)$.

Namely $Q^0 \in A_2(T)$ and $R^0 \in B_1(T)$ with $f_2(Q^0) = g_2(R^0)$. Hence there exist a scheme U fppf over T, and $Q^1, R^1 \in A_1(U)$ such that

$$Q^1 \equiv Q_U^0 \in A_2(U), \ R^1 \equiv R_U^0 \in B_1(U), \ Q^1 \equiv R^1 \in B_2(U)$$

Therefore there exist $Q^2 \in K(U)$ and $R^2 \in H(U)$ such that $Q^1 = R^1 + R^2 - Q^2 \in A_1(U)$. Then we define

$$P^{1} := Q^{1} + Q^{2} = R^{1} + R^{2} \in A^{1}(U).$$

Suppose that there exist $E^1, F^1 \in A_1(U)$ such that

$$E^1 \equiv Q_U^0 \in A_2(U), \ F^1 \equiv R_U^0 \in B_1(U), \ E^1 \equiv F^1 \in B_2(U).$$

Then there exist $E^2 \in K(U)$ and $F^2 \in H(U)$ such that $E_U^1 = F_U^1 + F^2 - E^2 \in A_1(U)$. Then we define $P^2 := E^1 + E^2 = F^1 + F^2 \in A^1(U)$.

Now we compare P^1 and P^2 :

$$P^{1} - P^{2} = (Q^{1} - E^{1}) + (Q^{2} - E^{2}) \in K(U),$$

$$P^{1} - P^{2} = (R^{1} - F^{1}) + (R^{2} - F^{2}) \in H(U),$$

whence $P^1 - P^2 \in H(U) \cap K(U)$. Thus we have:

Claim B.4. Let T be an S-scheme and $P = (Q^0, R^0) \in V(T)$. Then

- (a) there exist a T-scheme U fppf over T and $P^1 \in A_1(U)$ such that $P^1 \equiv Q_U^0 \in A_2(U)$ and $P^1 \equiv R_U^0 \in B_1(U)$. Moreover the class $P^1 + H(U) \cap K(U) \in W(U)$ is uniquely determined by $P \in V(T)$;
- (b) suppose that U' is a T-scheme fppf over T with $P' \in A_1(U')$ such that $P' \equiv Q_{U'}^0 \in A_2(U)$ and $P' \equiv R_{U'}^0 \in B_1(U')$; then the class $P' + H(U') \cap K(U') \in W(U')$ is uniquely determined by $P \in V(T)$.

Proof. (a) follows from the above argument. (b) is proved by applying the proof of (a) to U' and $P' \in A_1(U')$.

Let U be a T-scheme fppf over T and $P^1 \in A_1(U)$ in Claim B.4 (a). Since the scheme W is an fppf sheaf over Sch_S , there is an exact sequence

(111)
$$0 \to W(T) \to W(U) \stackrel{p_1}{\underset{p_2^*}{\Longrightarrow}} W(U \times_T U).$$

Let $U^{(2)} := U \times_T U$ and let $p_i : U^{(2)} \to U$ be the *i*-th projection. Then we have $S^i := p_i^* P^1 \in A_1(U^{(2)})$. Then $S^i + K(U^{(2)}) \in A_2(U^{(2)})$ and $S^i + H(U^{(2)}) \in B_1(U^{(2)})$. Note that $U^{(2)}$ is a *T*-scheme fppf over *T*, and $S^i \equiv p_i^* Q_U^0 \in A_2(U^{(2)})$ and $S^i \equiv p_i^* R_U^0 \in B_1(U^{(2)})$. Hence we apply Claim B.4 (b) to $(U', P') = (U^{(2)}, S^i)$ (i = 1, 2). It follows that $S^i + H(U^{(2)}) \cap K(U^{(2)})$ is uniquely determined by $P \in V(T)$, and therfore, $S^1 \equiv S^2 \in W(U^{(2)})$. Hence $p_1^* P^1 \equiv p_2^* P^1 \in W(U^{(2)})$ in Eq. (111). Therefore there exists $P' \in W(T)$ such that $P'_U \equiv P^1 \in W(U)$.

Now we define a morphism of functors $G: V \to W$ by

$$G(T)(P) := P' \in W(T)$$

Since $J(T) : W(T) \to V(T)$ is given by defining $J(T)(P') = (P' + K(T), P' + H(T)) \in V(T)$ for $P' \in W(T)$, it is easy to prove $J(T) \circ G(T) = \mathrm{id}_{V(T)}$ and $G(T) \circ J(T) = \mathrm{id}_{W(T)}$, so that $V(T) \simeq W(T)$ and $V \simeq W$ as S-schemes. This completes the proof of Lemma B.3.

Corollary B.5. The natural morphism $J : A_1 \to A_2 \times_{B_2} B_1$ is surjective.

Let $\Omega = \overline{k(\eta)}$ and R_{Ω} the integral closure of R in Ω .

Lemma B.6. There exists a homomorphism $c^{t,e} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X, A_1(R_{\Omega}))$ such that $\lambda \circ c^{t,\text{ex}} = c \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X, A^t(R_{\Omega}))$ and $c^{t,\text{ex}} \circ \phi = c^t \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Y, A^t(R_{\Omega}))$.

Proof. Let $X/\phi(Y) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\mathbf{Z}/e_i \mathbf{Z})$ with $e_i|e_{i+1}$ $(i \in [1, r-1])$. Then there exists a **Z**-basis $(x_i; i \in [1, r])$ of X (resp. $(y_i; i \in [1, r])$ of Y) such that $e_i x_i = \phi(y_i)$ $(\forall i \in [1, r])$. For each *i*, we have a commutative diagram



where $A_i = A$ and $A_i^t = A^t$. Since $\lambda \circ c^t(y_i) = c\phi(y_i) = e_ic(x_i)$, by Corollary B.5, there exists $\alpha_i \in A_1(R_\Omega)$ such that $J(\alpha_i) = (c^t(y_i), c(x_i))$, that is, $c^t(y_i) = e_i\alpha_i$ and $c(x_i) = \lambda(\alpha_i)$. Now we define $c^{t,\text{ex}}(x_i) = \alpha_i \ (\forall i \in [1, r])$ and extend $c^{t,\text{ex}}$ to X additively. Hence $\lambda \circ c^{t,\text{ex}} = c$ and $c^{t,\text{ex}}\phi = c^t$. \Box

B.4. Construction of $(\tau^{ex}, \psi^{ex}, \iota^{ex})$. We can define ι^{ex} by Lemma B.1 (iii), equivalently, by a variant of Eq. (26):

(112)
$$\iota^{\text{ex}}(x)^* := \bigoplus_{z \in X} \tau^{\text{ex}}(x, z) \in (c^{t, \text{ex}}(x) \times c)^* \mathcal{P}_{\eta}^{\otimes (-1)} \simeq x \times X \times \Omega^{\times}.$$

Therefore we suffice to construct τ^{ex} and ψ^{ex} . In what follows, we use the same notation e_i, x_i and y_i as in the proof of Lemma B.6.

Lemma B.7. If \mathcal{L} is symmetric, then $\psi(-y) = \psi(y)$ and $\psi(ey) = \psi(y)^{e^2}$ $(\forall e \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall y \in Y).$

Proof. This is proved in the same manner as [MN24, 3.5]. Let $\theta \in \Gamma(G_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta})$ and $\theta' := [-\mathrm{id}_G]^*\theta$. Then the Fourier expansion of θ is uniquely given by $\theta = \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_x(\theta)$, while $\theta' = \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_x(\theta') = \sum_{x \in X} \sigma_{-x}(\theta)$ with wt $\sigma_{-x} = x$, whence $\sigma_x(\theta') = \sigma_{-x}(\theta)$. For any $y \in Y$, $\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(\theta) = \psi(y)\tau(y,x)\sigma_x(\theta)$ and $\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(\theta') = \psi(y)\tau(y,x)\sigma_x(\theta')$. Since $\sigma_{x+\phi(y)}(\theta) = \sigma_{-x-\phi(y)}(\theta')$, we obtain $\psi(-y) = \psi(y)$. The rest is easy. \Box

Lemma B.8. By taking a finite radical normal extension K of $k(\eta)$ possibly ramified over the maximal ideal I, we can find

- (1) a K^{\times} -valued symmetric bilinear form $\tau^{\text{ex}} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X \times X, K^{\times})$;
- (2) a K^{\times} -valued function ψ^{ex} on X such that

$$\psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(x+z) = \psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(x)\psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(z)\tau^{\mathrm{ex}}(x,z),$$

$$\tau^{\mathrm{ex}}(\phi(y),x) = \tau(y,x), \ \psi^{\mathrm{ex}}(\phi(y)) = \psi(y) \ (\forall x,z \in X, \forall y \in Y).$$

Proof. To simplify the argument, we identify Y with the subgroup $\phi(Y)$ of X. We first prove Lemma when $K = \Omega$. We choose Z-bases $(x_i; i \in [1, r])$ of X and $(y_i; i \in [1, r])$ of Y as before. Let $s \ge 0$ and $X_s := \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z}x_i + Y$. Hence $X_0 = Y$ and $X_r = X$. First we shall construct τ^{ex} on $X \times X$ by the induction on s. We set $\tau_0 = \tau$. Suppose that τ_{s-1} is defined and bilinear on $X_{s-1} \times X$ with $\tau_{s-1} = \tau$ on $Y \times X$. Then we define $\tau_s = \tau_{s-1}$ on $X_{s-1} \times X$ and $\tau_s(x_s, x_i) = \tau_s(x_i, x_s)$ if i < s. If $s \le i \le r$, we define $\tau_s(x_s, x_i)$ to be an e_s -th root of $\tau(y_s, x_i)$. And then we extend τ_s additively to $X_s \times X$. It is clear that τ_s is bilinear on $X_s \times X$ and $\tau_s = \tau_{s-1}$ on $X_{s-1} \times X$. Finally we set $\tau^{\text{ex}} := \tau_r$.

Next we define ψ_s on X_s by the induction on s. We set $\psi_0 = \psi$. Suppose that ψ_{s-1} is defined on X_{s-1} so that $\psi_{s-1}(x+z) = \psi_{s-1}(x)\psi_{s-1}(z)\tau_{s-1}(x,z)$ $(\forall x \in X_{s-1}, \forall z \in X)$. Then we define $\psi_s(x_s)$ to be a common solution of the equations $\psi_s(x_s)^2 = \tau_s(x_s, x_s)$ and $\psi_s(x_s)^{e_s^2} = \psi_s(e_s x_s) = \psi_{s-1}(y_s)$. If e_s is odd, then $\psi_s(x_s)$ is uniquely determined by these conditions. If e_s is even, we can choose any of the square roots of $\tau_s(x_s, x_s)$ as $\psi_s(x_s)$. Then we define ψ_s on X_s by

$$\psi_s(a_s x_s + x') = \psi_s(x_s)^{a_s^2} \psi_s(x') \tau_s(a_s x_s, x') \ (a_s \in \mathbf{Z}, x' \in X_s).$$

It is easy to check $\psi_s(ax_s + y + bx_s + z) = \psi_s(ax_s + y)\psi_s(bx_s + z)\tau_s(ax_s + y, bx_s + z)$ ($\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall y, z \in X_{s-1}$). Finally we set $\psi^{\text{ex}} := \psi_r$. The proof of Lemma is over by choosing a finite radical normal extension K of $k(\eta)$ which is a subfield of Ω containing $\tau^{\text{ex}}(x, y)$ and $\psi^{\text{ex}}(z)$ ($\forall x, y, z \in X$). \Box

This completes the proof of Lemma B.1.

B.5. A standard K-basis of $\Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{L}_K)$. Let M be a positive integer, ζ_M a primitive M-th root of unity and R an integral domain, K its fraction field and $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$. Assume $R \supset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_M, 1/M]$. Let H be a finite abelian group such that $M = e_{\max}(H)$, the maximal order of elements in H. Let H^{\vee} be the character group of H and $K(H) := H \oplus H^{\vee}$. We define a *bilinear* form $e_H : K(H) \times K(H) \to \mathbf{G}_m$ by

(113)
$$e_H(z \oplus \alpha, w \oplus \beta) = \beta(z)\alpha(w)^{-1}$$

where $z, w \in H$, $\alpha, \beta \in H^{\vee}$. Let V(H) := R[H] be the group algebra of Hover R. Let H_S be the constant finite abelian group S-scheme associated with $H, H_S^{\vee} := D(H_S)$ the Cartier dual of H_S over S and e_{H_S} the bilinear form on $K(H)_S$ induced from e_H . Let $\mathcal{G}(H)$ be the central extension of K(H) by $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ associated with e_H , that is, the group S-scheme structure of $\mathcal{G}(H)_S$ is defined by

(114)
$$(a, z, \alpha) \cdot (b, w, \beta) = (ab\beta(z), z + w, \alpha + \beta)$$

where $a, b \in \mathbf{G}_{m,S}, z, w \in H_S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in H_S^{\vee}$ are functorial points. Then e_{H_S} is the commutator form of $\mathcal{G}(H)_S$.

We define $\rho_H \in \operatorname{End}_R(V(H))$ by

(115)
$$\rho_H(a, x, \chi)(z) = a\chi(z)(x+z)$$

where $z \in H$ and $(a, x, \chi) \in \mathcal{G}(H)$. Hence V(H) is a $\mathcal{G}(H)_S$ -module of weight one: $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ acts on V(H) by scalar multiplication. By [MB85, V, 2.4.2], ρ_H is irreducible in the sense of Footnote 7. The following condition (S_D) is true over any integral domain D over R:

 (S_D) $(\rho_H)_D$ is irreducible and any $\mathcal{G}(H)_D$ -module W of weight one is isomorphic to $V(H)_D^{\oplus n}$ for some $n \ge 0$,

where $Z_T := Z_D := Z \otimes_R D$ for $Z \in \{\rho(H), \mathcal{G}(H), V(H)\}$ and $T := \operatorname{Spec} D$.

Definition B.9. Let V be any irreducible $\mathcal{G}(H)_K$ -module. A K-basis $(\theta_z; z \in H)$ of V is called a *standard K-basis* of V if

$$\rho_H(a, x, \chi)(\theta_z) = a\chi(z)(\theta_{x+z}) \ (\forall z \in H, \forall (a, x, \chi) \in \mathcal{G}(H).$$

We call the isomorphism $\psi: V(H) \otimes_D K \to V_K$ sending $z \mapsto \theta_z$ a standard *K*-isomorphism, which is unique up to K^{\times} -multiples by Schur's lemma.

Corollary B.10. Suppose that R is a CDVR. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a symmetric semi-abelian S-scheme, and (A, \mathcal{M}) the abelian part of the Raynaud extension \tilde{G} . If (A, \mathcal{M}) is a polarized abelian S-scheme with \mathcal{M} a separable polarization, then by taking a finite radical normal extension K of $k(\eta)$ if necessary, there exists a standard K-basis of $\Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{L}_K)$.

Proof. Since (A, \mathcal{M}) is a polarized abelian S-scheme with \mathcal{M} a separable polarization, by [M66, Cor. of Th. 1, p. 294] there exists a finite abelian group H_2 such that $\mathcal{G}(A_{\Omega}, \mathcal{M}_{\Omega})) \simeq \mathcal{G}(H_2)$ and $\Gamma(A_{\Omega}, \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}) (\simeq V(H)_{\Omega})$ has a standard Ω -basis $(\vartheta_{z_2}; z_2 \in H_2)$. By Lemma B.1, we define:

$$\theta_{z_1, z_2} = \sum_{y \in Y} \psi^{\text{ex}}(\tilde{z}_1 + \phi(y)) T^*_{c^t(y)}(\vartheta_{z_2}),$$

where $H_1 = X/\phi(Y)$, $z_i \in H_i$ (i = 1, 2) and we choose $\tilde{z}_1 \in X$ such that $\tilde{z}_1 \mod Y = z_1$. Moreover $\psi^{\sharp}(\tilde{z}_1 + \phi(y))$ is considered to have weight $\tilde{z}_1 + \phi(y)$, in other words, in a down-to-earth manner (§ 6.4), it is written as $\psi^{\sharp}(\tilde{z}_1 + \phi(y))w_U^{\tilde{z}_1 + \phi(y)}$ $(U \in \mathcal{F}_0)$. Then $(\theta_{z_1, z_2}; z_i \in H_i)$ is a standard K-basis of $\Gamma(G_K, \mathcal{L}_K)$. Indeed, let $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$ and identify $\mathcal{G}(G_\Omega, \mathcal{L}_\Omega) \simeq \mathcal{G}(H_1 \oplus H_2)_{\Omega}$. By an easy computation, we obtain

$$\rho_{H_1 \oplus H_2}(a, x_1 \oplus x_2, \chi_1 \oplus \chi_2)(\theta_{z_1, z_2}) = a\chi_1(z_1)\chi_2(z_2)\theta_{z_1 + x_1, z_2 + x_2}$$

where $\chi_i \in D(H_i)$ (i = 1, 2). Then by choosing any finite radical normal extension field K of $k(\eta)$ which is a subfield of Ω containing all $\tau^{\text{ex}}(x, z)$ and $\psi^{\text{ex}}(x)$ $(x, z \in X)$ and $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_M, 1/M]$, we obtain Lemma.

B.6. *K*-rationality of K_s^{\wedge} -points. Let *D* be a Dedekind domain of *char*acteristic zero, *K* its fraction field and $S = \operatorname{Spec} D$. Let (G, \mathcal{L}) be a semiabelian *S*-scheme over *S* with \mathcal{L} symmetric ample cubical and $\mathcal{G}(G, \mathcal{L})$ the theta group of (G, \mathcal{L}) . By Corollary B.10, there exists a finite Galois extension *K'* of *K* and a finite abelian group *H* such that $(\mathcal{G}(G, \mathcal{L}), \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})) \otimes_K$ $K' \simeq (\mathcal{G}_H, V_H) \otimes_K K'$. We call the isomorphism $(\phi, \psi) : (\mathcal{G}_H, V_H) \otimes_K K' \simeq$ $(\mathcal{G}(G, \mathcal{L}), \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})) \otimes_K K'$ a standard isomorphism over *K'*. Once we fix ϕ , then ψ is uniquely determined up to constant multiples. For simplicity we take *K'* as *K* (and then take the integral closure of *D* in *K'* for *D*).

Let $s \in \mathcal{B}$, D_s , D_s^{\wedge} , K_s , K_s^{\wedge} and $G^s := G \times_S D_s$ and \mathcal{L}^s be the same as those in § 12.1. Let $\Omega^{(s)}$ be an algebraic closure of K_s^{\wedge} and $\phi^{s,\wedge} := \phi \otimes_D \Omega^{(s)}$. The following is now obvious:

Corollary B.11. Let (ϕ, ψ) and $(\phi^{s, \wedge}, \psi')$ be standard isomorphisms:

$$(\phi,\psi): (\mathcal{G}(H),V(H)) \simeq (\mathcal{G}(G,\mathcal{L}),\Gamma(G,\mathcal{L})),$$

 $(\phi^{s,\wedge},\psi'):(\mathcal{G}(H),V(H))\otimes_D\Omega^{(s)}\simeq(\mathcal{G}(G^{s,\wedge},\mathcal{L}^{s,\wedge}),\Gamma(G^{s,\wedge},\mathcal{L}^{s,\wedge}))\otimes_{D_s^{\wedge}}\Omega^{(s)}.$

Let $\theta_z := \psi(z)$ and $\theta'_z := \psi'(z)$. Then

- (1) $\theta_z/\theta_w = \theta'_z/\theta'_w$ on $G^{s,\wedge}_{\Omega^{(s)}}$ $(\forall z, w \in H);$
- (2) if \mathcal{L}_K is very ample, then the following are equivalent: (2a) $P \in G(K)$;
 - (2b) $P \in G(\Omega^{(s)})$ and $\theta'_z(P)/\theta'_w(P) \in K \ (\forall z, w \in H) \ when \ \theta'_w(P) \neq 0,$

where $G(L) := \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Spec} L, G)$ for a field L.

Proof. Since $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{L})$ is *D*-free and $\operatorname{rank}_{K} \Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}) = \operatorname{rank}_{\Omega}^{(s)} \Gamma(G^{s,\wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{s,\wedge}) \otimes_{D_{s}^{s}}$ $\Omega^{(s)}$ by Theorem 3.1 (7), we have $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}) \otimes_{D} \Omega^{(s)} = \Gamma(G^{s,\wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{s,\wedge}) \otimes_{D_{s}^{\wedge}}$ $\Omega^{(s)}$. Since $(\theta_{z}; z \in H)$ (resp. $(\theta'_{z}; z \in H))$ is a standard $\Omega^{(s)}$ -basis of $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{L}) \otimes_{K} \Omega^{(s)}$ (resp. $\Gamma(G^{s,\wedge}, \mathcal{L}^{s,\wedge})) \otimes_{D^{s,\wedge}} \Omega^{(s)}$). By Schur's lemma, there exists $c \in (\Omega^{(s)})^{\times}$ such that $\theta_{z} = c\theta'_{z}$ ($\forall z \in H$). This proves (1) and (2). \Box

References

- [An73] S. Anatharaman, Schémas en groupes, espaces homogènes et espaces algébriques sur une base de dimension 1, Mém. Soc. Math. France, 33 (1973), 5-79.
- [AN99] V. Alexeev and I. Nakamura, On Mumford's construction of degenerating abelian varieties, Tôhoku Math. J. 51 (1999), 399-420.
- [Bh12] B. Bhatt, Annihilating the cohomology of group schemes, Algebra Number Theory 6 (7) (2012), 1561-1577, arXiv:1109.2383v1 [math.AG].
- [BL03] C. Birkenhake, H. Lange, Complex abelian varieties, A Series of Comp. Studies in Math., 302, Springer-Verlag (2003), xi + 629 pp.
- [BLR90] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, Néron Models, Ergebnisse der Mathematik Band 21, Springer-Verlag (1990), X + 325 pp.
- [Bo14] S. Bosch, Lectures on Formal and Rigid Geometry, Lecture Notes in Math.
 2105, Springer-Verlag (2014), viii + 254 pp.
- [Br83] L. Breen, Fonctions thêta et théorème du cube, Lecture Notes in Math. **980**, Springer-Verlag (1983), xiii + 115 pp.

K. Conrad, Galois descent (an expository paper), 19 pp. [C][D78] V. I. Danilov, The geometry of toric varieties, Russian Math. Surveys 33 (1978), 99-154.[FC90] G. Faltings, C.-L. Chai, Degenerations of abelian varieties, Ergebnisse der Mathematik Band 22, Springer-Verlag (1990), ix + 280 pp. [EGA] A. Grothendieck, Éléments de géométrie algébrique II, III, IV, Publ. Math. IHES 8 (1961), 11 (1961), 20 (1964), 24 (1965). A. Grothendieck, Local cohomology, Lecture Notes in Math. 41, Springer-[G67]Verlag (1967), iv + 106 pp. A. Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer, III: exemples et compléments, pp. 88-[G68]188 in Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam. 1968. [H66] R. Hartshorne, Residue and Duality, Lecture Notes in Math. 20, Springer-Verlag (1966), 423 pp. R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Math. 52, Springer-[H77] Verlag (1977), viii + 496 pp. [K98] K. Künnemann, Projective regular models for abelian varieties, semistable reduction, and the height pairing, Duke Math. J. 95 (1998), 161-212. [MB85] L. Moret-Bailly, Pinceaux de variétés abéliennes, Astérisque **129** (1985). [M66] D. Mumford, On the equations defining Abelian varieties, I, Invent. Math. 1 (1966), 287-354. [M72] D. Mumford, An analytic construction of degenerating abelian varieties over complete rings, Compositio Math. 24 (1972), 239-272. [M12]D. Mumford, Abelian varieties, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Hindustan Book Agency (2012), viii + 264 pp. [MN24] K. Mitsui, I. Nakamura, Relative compactification of semiabelian Néron model, I, (revised version of arXiv:2021.08113). [N77] I. Nakamura, Relative compactification of Néron model and its application, Complex analysis and algebraic geometry, edited by Shioda and Baily, Iwanami and Cambridge Univ. press (1977), 207-225. [N99] I. Nakamura, Stability of degenerate abelian varieties, Invent. Math. 136 (1999), 659-715.[N10] I. Nakamura, Another canonical compactification of the moduli space of abelian varieties, Adv. Studies in Pure Math., 58 (2010), 69-135; arXivmath 0107158. [N16] I. Nakamura, Compactification by GIT stability of the moduli space of abelian varieties, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. 69 (2016), 207-286; arXiv:1406.0174 [math.AG]. [N24] I. Nakamura, Fppf sheaves and group schemes, in preparation. [NSW99] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, K. Wingberg, Cohomology of number fields, Grundlehren der math. Wiss, **323** (1999), Springer, XV + 825 pp.. [085] T. Oda, Convex bodies and algebraic geometry, Ergebnisse der Mathematik Band 15, Springer-Verlag (1978), viii + 212 pp. [OS79] T. Oda, C. S. Seshadri, Compactifications of the generalized Jacobian variety, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 253 (1979), 1-90. [OT70] F. Oort, J. Tate, Group schemes of prime order, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **3**, (1970) 1-21. M. Raynaud, Variétés abéliennes et géométrie rigide, Actes de Congrès In-[R70]tern. Math. 1970, tome 1, pp. 473-477. S. Saito, Number Theory, Kyoritsu Kouza (Japanese) 20, Kyouritsu Pub-[Sa97] lishing Company, 1997, iv + 236 pp. . [Si62] C. L. Siegel, Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables, University of

Tokyo Press (1962), vii + 201 pp.

- [SGA1] A. Grothendieck, Revetements Etales et Groupes Fondamental (SGA 1), Lecture Notes in Math. 224, Springer-Verlag (1971).
- [SGA3] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, Schémas en Groupes, I-III (SGA 3), Lect. Notes in Math. 151-153, Springer-Verlag (1970).
- [SGA7] A. Grothendieck, Groupes de Monodromie en Géométrie Algébrique, (SGA 7 I), Lecture Notes in Math. 288, Springer-Verlag (1972).
- [SP] Stacks Project, 0238 (Descent), 01X6 (Cohomology of schemes), https://stacks.math.columbia.edu(/tags)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, SAPPORO, 060, JAPAN *Email address*: nakamura@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp