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#### Abstract

We disprove the conjecture of Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu that a length space (or graph) with no $K$-fat $H$ minor is quasi-isometric to a graph with no $H$ minor. Our counterexample is furthermore not quasi-isometric to a graph with no 2-fat $H$ minor or a length space with no $H$ minor. On the other hand, we show that the following weakening holds: any graph with no $K$-fat $H$ minor is quasi-isometric to a graph with no 3 -fat $H$ minor.


## 1 Introduction

Inspired by connections to metric geometry, fat minors were independently introduced by Chepoi, Dragan, Newman, Rabinovich, and Vaxes [CDN ${ }^{+} 12$ ] and Bonamy, Bousquet, Esperet, Groenland, Liu, Pirot, and Scott $\left[\mathrm{BBE}^{+} 23\right]$ as a coarse variant of graph minors. They have been a key tool in resolving open problems at the intersection of structural graph theory and coarse geometry [ $\left.\mathrm{BBE}^{+} 23\right]$. Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu [GP23] recently gave a systematic overview of this blossoming area which can be described as "coarse graph theory". At the heart of their paper, they proposed a natural conjecture that would effectively lift graph minor theory to the coarse setting: for every finite graph $H$, graphs with no fat $H$ minor are quasi-isometric to graphs with no $H$ minor. Quasi-isometry is a fundamental notion from geometric group theory which preserves the large-scale geometry

[^0]of a metric space. So, if true, this conjecture would imply coarse analogues to various results from graph minor theory, such as the Graph Minor Structure Theorem of Robertson and Seymour [RS03].

Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu's conjecture has been settled for particular graphs H. Manning [Man05] characterised quasi-trees which implies the case $H=K_{3}$ (see [GP23]). The case $H=K_{2,3}$ was proved by Chepoi, Dragan, Newman, Rabinovich, and Vaxes [CDN ${ }^{+} 12$ ], and more generally, Fujiwara and Papasoglu [FP23] recently characterised quasi-cacti. The case $H=K_{1, m}$ was recently settled by Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu [GP23].

Our main contribution is a counterexample to the conjecture of Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu.

Theorem 1. There exists a finite graph $H$ such that, for every $q \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a graph $G_{q}$ that does not contain $H$ as a 3 -fat minor and is not q-quasi-isometric to any graph with no (2-fat) $H$ minor.

In fact, Theorem 1 gives a strong counterexample to Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu's conjecture, since we can find $H$ as a 2 -fat minor, rather than just as a minor. One might hope that an analogue of the conjecture might still hold for edge weighted graphs or, more generally, length spaces, but this is not the case.

Theorem 2. There exists a finite graph $H$ such that, for every $q \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a graph $G_{q}$ that does not contain $H$ as a 3-fat minor and is not q-quasi-isometric to any length space with no $\left(2^{-13 q^{2}}\right)$-fat $H$ minor.

It turns out that Theorem 1 is essentially tight; we prove that graphs with no $K$-fat $H$ minor are $K$-quasi-isometric to graphs with no 3 -fat $H$ minor. By scaling, Theorem 2 is also similarly tight up to some positive factor depending on $q$ (see Proposition 10).

Theorem 3. Let $K$ be a positive integer, $\mathcal{H}$ a non-empty collection of graphs, and $G$ a graph that has no $K$-fat $H$ minor for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $G$ is $K$-quasi-isometric to a graph $\widehat{G}$ that does not contain a 3-fat $H$ minor for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$.

Our proof of Theorem 1 is based on a recent construction of Nguyen, Scott, and Seymour [NSS24] which was used to disprove a conjectured coarse version of Menger's theorem. The connection between Menger's theorem and Theorem 1 intuitively comes from the fact that Menger's theorem can be interpreted as a statement about rooted minors.

## 2 Preliminaries

A length space is a metric space $(M, d)$ such that, for every $x, y \in M$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there is an $(x, y)$-arc of length at most $d(x, y)+\varepsilon$ whenever $d(x, y)$ is finite. In particular, every graph (where the edges are arcs of length one) is a length space (in which one can even
take $\varepsilon=0$ ). Graphs with arbitrary non-negative real-valued edge-lengths also induce a length space. See [BH99] for further background on length spaces.

For point sets $X, Y$ in a length space $G$, an $(X, Y)$-path is a path in $G$ with one end-point in $X$ and the other in $Y$. The distance between $X$ and $Y$, denoted $\operatorname{dist}_{G}(X, Y)$ is the infimum of the lengths of the $(X, Y)$-paths in $G$. Note that this corresponds to the usual notion of distance for graphs.

We say that a set of points $Y$ in a length space $G$ is path-connected if, for every $x, y \in Y$, there is an $(x, y)$-path contained in $Y$. Note that this corresponds to the usual notion of connectivity for graphs. For a length space $G$, a non-negative real $r$, and a set of points $Y$, we let $N_{G}^{r}[Y]$ denote the set of points $a$ such that there is an $(a, Y)$-path in $G$ of length at most $r$. In graphs this is just the set of points at distance at most $r$ from $Y$. Note that for any point $y \in G, N_{G}^{r}[\{y\}]$ is always path-connected.

For a positive integer $K$, a $K$-fat minor model of a graph $H$ in a length space $G$ is a collection $\left(B_{v}: v \in V(H)\right) \cup\left(P_{e}: e \in E(H)\right)$ of path-connected sets in $G$ such that

- $V\left(B_{v}\right) \cap V\left(P_{e}\right) \neq \varnothing$ whenever $v$ is an end of $e$ in $H$; and
- for any pair of distinct $X, Y \in\left\{B_{v}: v \in V(H)\right\} \cup\left\{P_{e}: e \in E(H)\right\}$ not covered by the above condition, we have $\operatorname{dist}_{G}(X, Y) \geqslant K$.

If $G$ contains an $K$-fat minor model of $H$, then we say that $H$ is a $K$-fat minor of $G$.
For $q \in \mathbb{N}$, a $q$-quasi-isometry of a length space $G$ into a length space $\widehat{G}$ is a map $\phi: X \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ such that, for every $x, y \in X$,

$$
q^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{dist}_{X}(x, y)-q \leqslant \operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{X}}(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \leqslant q \cdot \operatorname{dist}_{X}(x, y)+q,
$$

and, for every $\widehat{v} \in \widehat{X}$, there is a $v \in X$ with $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{X}}(\widehat{v}, \phi(v)) \leqslant q$. If $\widehat{X}$ is a graph, then we restrict to quasi-isometries $\phi$ with $\phi: X \rightarrow V(\widehat{X})$.

Let $G$ be a graph and $Z \subseteq V(G)$. We write $G[Z]$ for the subgraph of $G$ induced on $Z$. We refer to $Z$ and $G[Z]$ interchangeably, whenever there is no chance of confusion. A graph $J$ is a subdivision of a $G$ if $J$ can be obtained from $G$ by replacing each edge $u v$ of $G$ by a path with end-vertices $u$ and $v$. If each path has exactly $k$ internal vertices, then we say that $J$ is the $k$-subdivision of $G$.

For a graph $G$, a tree-decomposition of $G$ is a collection of bags $\left(B_{x} \subseteq V(G): x \in V(T)\right)$ indexed by a tree $T$ such that for each $v \in V(G), T\left[\left\{x \in V(T): v \in B_{x}\right\}\right]$ is a non-empty subtree of $T$; and for each $u v \in E(G)$, there is a node $x \in V(T)$ such that $u, v \in B_{x}$. The width of $\left(B_{x} \subseteq V(G): x \in V(T)\right)$ is $\max \left\{\left|B_{x}\right|-1: x \in V(T)\right\}$. The treewidth $\operatorname{tw}(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the minimum width of a tree-decomposition of $G$. Treewidth is a fundamental parameter in algorithmic and structural graph theory and is the standard measure of how similar a graph is to a tree.

## 3 Removing coarseness counterexample

### 3.1 The construction

We start with Nguyen, Scott, and Seymour's counterexample to a conjectured coarse version of Menger's theorem [NSS24]. For a positive integer $q$, they defined the graph $N_{q}$ shown in Figure 1 where the complete binary tree has depth $13 q^{2}$ with each solid line being an edge and each dotted line represents a path of length $14 q^{2}$.


Figure 1: The graph $N_{q}$; the dotted lines denote paths of length $14 q^{2}$.

They proved that $N_{q}$ has the following property.
Lemma 4 ([NSS24]). Let $S$ and $T$ be the sets of the three vertices in $N_{q}$ as shown in the figure, and let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ be three $(S, T)$-paths in $N_{q}$. Then there exist distinct $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right) \leqslant 2$.

We prove an additional property of $N_{q}$.
Lemma 5. $N_{q}$ has treewidth at most seven.
Proof. Subdividing an edge does not change the treewidth of a graph and so $N_{q}$ has the same treewidth as the graph $N_{q}^{\prime}$ where each dotted segment has been replaced by an edge. Contract the following edges of the path at the bottom of $N_{q}^{\prime}$ : the edges joining the 1st and 2 nd vertices, 3 rd and 4 th vertices, the 5 th and 6 th vertices, .... The resulting graph $N_{q}^{\prime \prime}$ is a minor of the graph depicted in Figure 2.


Figure 2: Plane binary tree with path through its leaves.

The graph in Figure 2 is a subgraph of a Halin graph ${ }^{1}$ and so has treewidth at most three [Bod88]. Thus $\operatorname{tw}\left(N_{q}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant 3$. When contracting the edges to get from $N_{q}^{\prime}$ to $N_{q}^{\prime \prime}$, the bags drop in size by a factor of at most two and so $\operatorname{tw}\left(N_{q}\right)=\operatorname{tw}\left(N_{q}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 7$, as required.

Now we turn to the construction of $H$ and $G_{q}$ in Theorem 1 .
Let $L$ and $R$ be vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{15}$ with vertex-sets $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{15}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{1} \ldots, y_{15}\right\}$, respectively. Let $H$ be the graph obtained from the union of $L$ and $R$ by adding the edges $x_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} y_{2}, x_{3} y_{3}$ as shown in Figure 3. We will use the following properties of $H$ :
(i) $L$ and $R$ are both 4-connected graphs with treewidth 14; and
(ii) $H$ and $\left(H \backslash\left\{x_{2} y_{2}, x_{3} y_{3}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{x_{2} y_{3}, x_{3} y_{2}\right\}$ are isomorphic.


Figure 3: The graph $H$; both $L$ and $R$ are 4-connected with treewidth 14.

The graph $G_{q}$ is obtained as follows and shown in Figure 4. Let $L^{\star}$ be a $16 q^{2}$-subdivision of $K_{15}$ and let $R^{\star}$ be a $16 q^{2}$-subdivision of $K_{15}$. We let $x_{1}^{\star}, \ldots, x_{15}^{\star}$ and $y_{1}^{\star}, \ldots, y_{15}^{\star}$ denote the high degree vertices of $L^{\star}$ and $R^{\star}$, respectively. Take disjoint copies of each of $L^{\star}, R^{\star}$, and $N_{q}$. Label the $S$ vertices of $N_{q}$ as $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$ and the $T$ vertices of $N_{q}$ as $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}$. For each $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ add a path of length $16 q^{2}$ from $x_{i}^{\star}$ to $S_{i}$ and add a path of length $16 q^{2}$ from $y_{i}^{\star}$ to $T_{i}$.


Figure 4: The graph $G_{q}$.

### 3.2 Avoiding fat minors

We now show that $G_{q}$ does not contain $H$ as a 3 -fat minor.

[^1]Lemma 6. For every $q \in \mathbb{N}, G_{q}$ does not contain $H$ as a 3-fat minor.
Proof. Suppose that $G_{q}$ contains $H$ as a 3-fat minor. Let $\left(B_{v}: v \in V(H)\right)$ and $\left(P_{e}: e \in\right.$ $E(H)$ ) be a 3-fat minor model of $H$ in $G_{q}$. We begin by considering the 3-fat sub-model of $L$ in $G_{q}$.

Claim 1: There exists $z \in V(L)$ such that $B_{z} \subseteq L^{\star}$ or $B_{z} \subseteq R^{\star}$.
Proof. Let $\tilde{N}_{q}=G_{q}-L^{\star}-R^{\star}$. Since $\tilde{N}_{q}$ is obtained from $N_{q}$ by adding paths, each with one end-vertex on $N_{q}$, it follows that $\operatorname{tw}\left(\tilde{N}_{q}\right)=\operatorname{tw}\left(N_{q}\right)$. Now suppose that $V\left(B_{v}\right) \cap V\left(\tilde{N}_{q}\right) \neq \varnothing$ for every $v \in V(L)$. Let $X=\left\{v \in V(L): V\left(B_{v}\right) \nsubseteq V\left(\tilde{N}_{q}\right)\right\}$ and $Y=\{u v \in E(L-$ $\left.X): V\left(P_{u v}\right) \nsubseteq V\left(\tilde{N}_{q}\right)\right\}$. Then $L-X-Y$ is a minor of $\tilde{N}_{q}$. Since every path in $G_{q}$ from $V\left(\tilde{N}_{q}\right)$ to $V\left(L^{\star}\right) \cup V\left(R^{\star}\right)$ contains a vertex from $\left\{x_{1}^{\star}, x_{2}^{\star}, x_{3}^{\star}, y_{1}^{\star}, y_{2}^{\star}, y_{3}^{\star}\right\}$, it follows that $|X \cup Y| \leqslant 6$. Therefore $\operatorname{tw}\left(\tilde{N}_{q}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{tw}(L-X-Y) \geqslant \operatorname{tw}(L)-6 \geqslant 8$, contradicting Lemma 5 . As such, there exists $z \in V(L)$ such that $V\left(B_{z}\right) \cap V\left(\tilde{N}_{q}\right)=\varnothing$. Since $B_{z}$ is connected, it follows that either $B_{z} \subseteq L^{\star}$ or $B_{z} \subseteq R^{\star}$.

By symmetry, we may assume without loss of generality that $B_{z} \subseteq L^{\star}$.
Claim 2: For every $v \in V(L), V\left(B_{v}\right) \cap V\left(L^{\star}\right) \neq \varnothing$.
Proof. Suppose there is some vertex $v \in V(L)$ such that $V\left(B_{v}\right) \cap V\left(L^{\star}\right)=\varnothing$. Then every $\left(B_{z}, B_{v}\right)$-path in $G_{q}$ contains a vertex from $\left\{x_{1}^{\star}, x_{2}^{\star}, x_{3}^{\star}\right\}$. So $G_{q}$ contains at most three vertex-disjoint $\left(B_{z}, B_{v}\right)$-paths, which contradicts $\left(B_{v}: v \in V(L)\right)$ and $\left(P_{e}: e \in E(L)\right)$ being a 3 -fat model of a 4 -connected graph.

Claim 3: Every vertex of degree at least 3 in $L^{\star}$ is contained in $B_{v}$ for some $v \in V(L)$.
Proof. Let $v \in V(L)$. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{L}(v) \geqslant 3, B_{v}$ contains a vertex in $G_{q}$ of degree at least 3. If $V\left(B_{v}\right) \subseteq V\left(L^{\star}\right)$, then $B_{v}$ contains a vertex of degree at least 3 in $L^{\star}$. If $V\left(B_{v}\right) \cap V\left(N_{q}\right) \neq \varnothing$, then Claim 2 implies that $B_{v}$ contains $x_{1}^{\star}, x_{2}^{\star}$, or $x_{3}^{\star}$. So each $B_{v}$ contain a vertex of degree at least 3 in $L^{\star}$. Since $\left|\left\{u \in V\left(L^{\star}\right): \operatorname{deg}_{L^{\star}}(u) \geqslant 3\right\}\right|=|V(L)|$, the claim then follows.

We now consider the 3-fat sub-model of $R$ in $G_{q}$.
Claim 4: For every $u \in V(R), V\left(B_{u}\right) \cap V\left(R^{\star}\right) \neq \varnothing$.
Proof. By symmetry, Claims $1 \& 2$ imply that either $V\left(B_{u}\right) \cap V\left(L^{\star}\right) \neq \varnothing$ for every $u \in V(R)$, or $V\left(B_{u}\right) \cap V\left(R^{\star}\right) \neq \varnothing$ for every $u \in V(R)$. Suppose that $V\left(B_{u}\right) \cap V\left(L^{\star}\right) \neq \varnothing$ for every $u \in V(R)$. Then there is a vertex $u \in V(R)$ such that $B_{u} \subseteq L^{\star}$. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{R}(u) \geqslant 3$, it follows that $B_{u}$ contains a vertex of degree at least 3 from $L^{\star}$. But by Claim 3, this implies that $V\left(B_{u}\right) \cap V\left(B_{v}\right) \neq \varnothing$ for some $v \in V(L)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $V\left(B_{u}\right) \cap V\left(R^{\star}\right) \neq \varnothing$ for every $u \in V(R)$.

To conclude the proof, consider the edges $x_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} y_{2}, x_{3} y_{3} \in E(H)$. For each $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, let $P_{i}$ be the path in our model that corresponds to $x_{i} y_{i}$. Since $B_{x_{i}} \cup P_{i} \cup B_{y_{i}}$ is connected, Claims $2 \& 4$ imply that there is an $\left(L^{\star}, R^{\star}\right)$-path $P_{i}^{\prime}$ in $B_{x_{i}} \cup P_{i} \cup B_{y_{i}}$. By Lemma 4, there are distinct $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}_{G_{q}}\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, P_{j}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 2$. Therefore, there is $X_{i} \in\left\{B_{x_{i}}, P_{i}, B_{y_{i}}\right\}$ and $X_{j} \in\left\{B_{x_{j}}, P_{j}, B_{y_{j}}\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}_{G_{q}}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \leqslant 2$, contradicting $\left(B_{v}: v \in V(H)\right)$ and ( $P_{e}: e \in E(H)$ ) being 3-fat.

### 3.3 Finding fat minors

In this subsection, we shall find $H$ as a fat minor in length spaces (or graphs) that are quasi-isometric to $G_{q}$.

Lemma 7. Let $\widehat{G}$ be a length space that is q-quasi-isometric to $G_{q}$. If $\widehat{G}$ is a graph, then let $K=2$, otherwise let $K=2^{-13 q^{2}}$. Then $\widehat{G}$ contains $H$ as a $K$-fat minor.

Lemmas 6 and 7 immediately implies Theorems 1 and 2. Before proving Lemma 7, we need the following two lemmas to help us build our fat $H$ model in $\widehat{G}$. The first lemma allows us to find path-connected sets in $\widehat{G}$ which spans a set of elements, while the second lemma allows us to find path-connected sets in $\widehat{G}$ that are sufficiently far away from each other.

Lemma 8. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G$ be a graph. Let $\widehat{G}$ be a length space that is $q$-quasi-isometric to $G$ with quasi-isometry $\phi$. For every connected induced subgraph $G[X]$ of $G$, the set $\widehat{X}=N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+1}[\phi(X)]$ in $\widehat{G}$ is path-connected.

Proof. Since $G[X]$ is connected, it is enough to show that if $u v \in E(G)$, then $N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+1}[\phi(u)] \cup$ $N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+1}[\phi(v)]$ is path-connected. Clearly both $N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+1}[\phi(u)]$ and $N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+1}[\phi(v)]$ are path-connected. Observe that $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}(\phi(u), \phi(v)) \leqslant q \operatorname{dist}_{G}(u, v)+q=2 q$. Since $\widehat{G}$ is a length space, there is a path $P$ in $\widehat{G}$ between $\phi(u)$ and $\phi(v)$ of length at most $2 q+1$. Then, for each $p \in P$, $\min \left\{\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}(p, \phi(u)), \operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}(p, \phi(v))\right\} \leqslant q+\frac{1}{2}<q+1$, and so $P \subseteq N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+1}[\phi(u)] \cup N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+1}[\phi(v)]$. Thus $N_{\overparen{G}}^{q+1}[\phi(u)] \cup N_{\overparen{G}}^{q+1}[\phi(v)]$ is path-connected.

Lemma 9. Let $\widehat{G}$ be a length space containing path-connected sets $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists some integer $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and path-connected sets $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}$ pairwise at distance at least $\varepsilon$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} Y_{i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} N^{(n-m) \varepsilon}\left[X_{i}\right]$.

Proof. The lemma is trivially true if $n=1$, so we shall proceed inductively on $n$ assuming that the lemma holds for $n-1$. If $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are pairwise at distance at least $\varepsilon$, then we can simply take $m=n$ and $Y_{i}=X_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. So, without loss of generality, we may assume that the distance between $X_{n-1}$ and $X_{n}$ is strictly less than $\varepsilon$. Now, let $X_{n-1}^{\prime}=N^{\varepsilon}\left[X_{n-1}\right] \cup X_{n}$. Then $X_{n-1}^{\prime}$ is a path-connected set with $X_{n-1} \cup X_{n} \subseteq X_{n-1}^{\prime} \subseteq$
$N^{\varepsilon}\left[X_{n-1}\right] \cup N^{\varepsilon}\left[X_{n}\right]$. Applying the inductive hypothesis to $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-2}, X_{n-1}^{\prime}$, it now follows that there exists some integer $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n-1$ and path-connected sets $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}$ pairwise at distance at least $\varepsilon$ such that
$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-2} X_{i} \cup X_{n-1}^{\prime} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} Y_{i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-2} N^{(n-1-m) \varepsilon}\left[X_{i}\right] \cup N^{(n-1-m) \varepsilon}\left[X_{n-1}^{\prime}\right] \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} N^{(n-m) \varepsilon}\left[X_{i}\right]$,
as desired.
We are now ready to prove Lemma 7 .
Proof of Lemma 7. Let $\phi$ be a $q$-quasi-isometry from $G_{q}$ to $\widehat{G}$. For each connected induced subgraph $G_{q}[A]$ of $G_{q}$, let $\widehat{A}=N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+1}[\phi(A)]$. By Lemma 8 , each such $\widehat{A}$ is a pathconnected set in $\widehat{G}$. Observe that if $G_{q}\left[A_{1}\right], G_{q}\left[A_{2}\right]$ are connected induced subgraphs of $G_{q}$ with $\operatorname{dist}_{G_{q}}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \geqslant 8 q^{2}$, then $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{A}_{1}, \widehat{A}_{2}\right) \geqslant q^{-1} \operatorname{dist}_{G_{q}}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)-q-2(q+1) \geqslant$ $8 q-q-2(q+1) \geqslant 2($ since $q \geqslant 1)$. We shall use this fact repeatedly. Throughout the proof, the reader may wish to refer to Figure 5.

Recall, in the definition of $H$ and $G_{q}$, that the 15 -cliques $L$ and $R$ have vertex-sets $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{15}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{15}\right\}$ and that their $16 q^{2}$-subdivisions $L^{\star}$ and $R^{\star}$ have high degree vertices $x_{1}^{\star}, \ldots, x_{15}^{\star}$ and $y_{1}^{\star}, \ldots, y_{15}^{\star}$, respectively. We being by construction a $K$-fat minor model of $L \cup R=H \backslash\left\{x_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} y_{2}, x_{3} y_{3}\right\}$ in $\widehat{G}$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 15$, let $L_{i}=N_{G_{q}}^{4 q^{2}}\left[x_{i}^{\star}\right]$ and $R_{i}=N_{G_{q}}^{4 q^{2}}\left[y_{i}^{\star}\right]$. For each pair $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 15$, let $L_{i, j}$ be the shortest path in $L^{\star} \subset G_{q}$ between $L_{i}$ and $L_{j}$ and $R_{i, j}$ be the shortest path in $R^{\star} \subset G_{q}$ between $R_{i}$ and $R_{j}$.

For distinct pairs $(i, j)$ and $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$, we have that $\operatorname{dist}_{G_{q}}\left(L_{i, j}, L_{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}\right) \geqslant 8 q^{2}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{L}_{i, j}, \widehat{L}_{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}\right) \geqslant 2$. Similarly, for each pair $i \neq j$, we have that $\operatorname{dist}_{G_{q}}\left(L_{i}, L_{j}\right)=8 q^{2}$, and therefore $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{L}_{i}, \widehat{L}_{j}\right) \geqslant 2$. Furthermore, for each triple $i, j, k$ with $i<j, k \notin\{i, j\}$ we have that $\operatorname{dist}_{G_{q}}\left(L_{i, j}, L_{k}\right) \geqslant 8 q^{2}$, and therefore $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{L}_{i, j}, \widehat{L}_{k}\right) \geqslant 2$. This all holds similarly for the sets $R_{i}, R_{i, j}$. Furthermore, for $X \in\left\{L_{i}, L_{i, j}: 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 15\right\}$ and $Y \in\left\{R_{i}, R_{i, j}: 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 15\right\}$, we clearly have $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}) \geqslant 2$. So ( $\left.\widehat{L}_{i}, \widehat{R}_{i}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 15\right)$ and ( $\widehat{L}_{i, j}, \widehat{R}_{i, j}: 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 15$ ) is a 2 -fat minor model of $H \backslash\left\{x_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} y_{2}, x_{3} y_{3}\right\}$ in $\widehat{G}$.
We now define a path in $\widehat{G}$ which will correspond to $x_{1} y_{1} \in E(H)$ in our model. Recall the vertices $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}$ that appear in the construction of $G_{q}$ (see Figure 4). For each $1 \leqslant a \leqslant 3$, let $L_{a}^{\prime}$ be the shortest path in $G_{q}$ between $L_{a}$ and $S_{a}$. Similarly to before, for each $1 \leqslant a \leqslant 3$, we have that $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{L}_{a}^{\prime}, \widehat{L}_{i}\right) \geqslant 2$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 15$ with $i \neq a$, and $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{L}_{a}^{\prime}, \widehat{L}_{i, j}\right) \geqslant 2$ for all $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 15$. We define $R_{1}^{\prime}, R_{2}^{\prime}, R_{3}^{\prime}$ similarly. For each $1 \leqslant a \leqslant 3$, we have that dist $\widehat{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{R}_{a}^{\prime}, \widehat{R}_{i}\right) \geqslant 2$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 15$ with $i \neq a$, and $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{R}_{a}^{\prime}, \widehat{R}_{i, j}\right) \geqslant 2$ for all $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 15$. Let $Z_{1}=\widehat{L}_{1}^{\prime} \cup \widehat{R}_{1}^{\prime}$. Adding $Z_{1}$ to our fat minor model gives a 2 -fat minor model of $H \backslash\left\{x_{2} y_{2}, x_{3} y_{3}\right\}$.


Figure 5: The length space $\widehat{G}$ (black) on top of the corresponding objects in $G_{q}$ (grey). We have $Z_{1}=\widehat{L}_{1}^{\prime} \cup \widehat{R}_{1}^{\prime}, Z_{2}=\widehat{L}_{2}^{\prime} \cup \widehat{Q}_{1}^{-} \cup Y_{1} \cup \widehat{Q}_{8}^{+} \cup \widehat{Q}_{18,25} \cup \widehat{Q}_{13}^{-} \cup Y_{13} \cup \widehat{Q}_{16}^{+} \cup \widehat{R}_{2}^{\prime}$, and $Z_{3}=\widehat{L}_{3}^{\prime} \cup \widehat{Q}_{2,17} \cup \widehat{Q}_{9}^{-} \cup Y_{9} \cup \widehat{Q}_{12}^{+} \cup \widehat{Q}_{26,33} \cup \widehat{R}_{3}^{\prime}$.

Recall the construction of $N_{q}$ shown in Figure 1. Let $B_{q}$ denote the complete binary tree of height $13 q^{2}$ (this is the graph consisting of solid edges) and let $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{2^{13 q^{2}}}$ be its leaves from left to right. Let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2^{13 q^{2}+1}+2}$ denote the thick vertices on the bottom path from left to right (so $p_{1}, p_{2} \in S$ and $p_{2^{13 q^{2}+1}+1}, p_{2^{13 q^{2}+1}+2} \in T$ ). Let $B_{q}^{\prime}=N_{N_{q}}^{7 q^{2}}\left[V\left(B_{q}\right)\right]$; that is, $B_{q}^{\prime}$ is the graph obtained from a complete binary tree of height $13 q^{2}+1$ by subdividing every edge that is incident to a leaf $7 q^{2}-1$ times. For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, let $\ell_{i}^{-}$be the leaf of $B_{q}^{\prime}$ descended from $\ell_{i}$ to the left, and let $\ell_{i}^{+}$be the leaf of $B_{q}^{\prime}$ descended from $\ell_{i}$ to the right. Let $B_{q}^{*}=\widehat{B_{q}^{\prime}} \backslash N_{\widehat{G}}^{3}\left[Z_{1}\right]$. For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$ and each vertex $w$ on the unique path of $B_{q}^{\prime}$ between $\ell_{i}^{-}$and $\ell_{i}^{+}$, we have $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\phi(w), Z_{1}\right) \geqslant q^{-1} \operatorname{dist}_{G_{q}}\left(w, L_{1}^{\prime} \cup R_{1}^{\prime}\right)-(q+1)-q \geqslant$ $13 q-2 q-1>(q+1)+3$. Therefore, for each such $w$, we have that $N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+1}[\phi(w)] \subseteq B_{q}^{*}$. In particular, for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, we have $\phi\left(\ell_{i}\right) \in B_{q}^{*}$. For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, let $X_{i}$ be the component of $B_{q}^{*}$ that contains $\phi\left(\ell_{i}\right)$. Then for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, by Lemma 8 , we have $\phi\left(\ell_{i}^{-}\right), \phi\left(\ell_{i}^{+}\right) \in X_{i}$.

If $\widehat{G}$ is a graph, then set $Y_{i}=X_{i}$ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$. In this case, if $Y_{i} \neq Y_{j}$, then $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right) \geqslant 2=K$. This is the only place where we need to treat the case that $\widehat{G}$ is a graph separately. When $\widehat{G}$ is not a graph, we must define $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{2^{13 q^{2}}}$ differently, as follows. By Lemma 9, there exist path-connected sets $Y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, Y_{m}^{\prime}$ pairwise at distance at least $2^{-13 q^{2}}$ in $\widehat{G}$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{13 q^{2}} X_{i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} Y_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{13 q^{2}} N_{\widehat{G}}^{1}\left[X_{i}\right] \subseteq N_{\widehat{G}}^{1}\left[N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+1}\left[\phi\left(B_{q}^{\prime}\right)\right] \backslash N_{\widehat{G}}^{3}\left[Z_{1}\right]\right]=$ $N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+2}\left[\phi\left(B_{q}^{\prime}\right)\right] \backslash N_{\widehat{G}}^{2}\left[Z_{1}\right]$. Now, for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, let $Y_{i}=Y_{j}^{\prime}$, where $\phi\left(\ell_{i}\right) \in Y_{j}^{\prime}$. Then
for every pair $Y_{i}, Y_{j}$ with $Y_{i} \neq Y_{j}$, we have that $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right) \geqslant 2^{-13 q^{2}}=K$. Note that for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, we have $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(Y_{i}, Z_{1}\right) \geqslant 2 \geqslant K$. We no longer need to distinguish between the cases of whether or not $\widehat{G}$ is a graph.

Recall that $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2^{13 q^{2}+1}+2}$ are the thick vertices on the bottom path in Figure 1. For each $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}+1}+2$, let $Q_{i, j}$ be the sub-path of the bottom path from $p_{i}$ to $p_{j}$ Observe that, for each tuple $1 \leqslant i<j<i^{\prime}<j^{\prime} \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}+1}+2$, we have $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i, j}, \widehat{Q}_{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}\right) \geqslant 2$. For each $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}+1}+2$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, we also have dist $\widehat{G}^{( }\left(\widehat{Q}_{i, j}, Y_{k}\right) \geqslant$ $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i, j}, N_{\widehat{G}}^{q+2}\left[\phi\left(B_{q}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)=\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i, j}, \phi\left(B_{q}^{\prime}\right)\right)-q-2 \geqslant q^{-1} \operatorname{dist}_{G_{q}}\left(Q_{i, j}, B_{q}^{\prime}\right)-2 q-2 \geqslant$ $5 q-2 \geqslant 2$. For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, let $Q_{i}^{-}$be the path between $\ell_{i}^{-}$and $p_{2 i-1}$ in $N_{q} \backslash B_{q}$ and let $Q_{i}^{+}$be the path between $\ell_{i}^{+}$and $p_{2 i+2}$ in $N_{q} \backslash B_{q}$. Similarly, for $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, $W_{i} \in\left\{Q_{i}^{-}, Q_{i}^{+}\right\}$and $W_{j} \in\left\{Q_{j}^{-}, Q_{j}^{+}\right\}$, we have $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{W}_{i}, \widehat{W}_{j}\right) \geqslant 2$. Furthermore, for $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}+1}+2$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, if either $2 k-1<i$ or $2 k-1>j$, then $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i, j}, \widehat{Q}_{k}^{-}\right) \geqslant 2$, and similarly, if either $2 k+2<i$ or $2 k+2>j$, then $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i, j}, \widehat{Q}_{k}^{+}\right) \geqslant$ 2.

For $1 \leqslant i, k \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, if $Y_{k} \neq Y_{i}$, then we have $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}^{-}, Y_{k}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}^{-}, B_{q}^{*} \backslash Y_{i}\right) \geqslant$ $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}^{-}, \widehat{B}_{q}^{\prime} \backslash Y_{i}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}^{-}, \widehat{B_{q}^{\prime} \backslash J_{i}}\right)$, where $J_{i}$ is the set of vertices of $B_{q}^{\prime}$ on the path between $\ell_{i}$ and $\ell_{i}^{-}$and the last inequality holds since $\widehat{J}_{i} \subseteq Y_{i}$. Expanding on this, we further have that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}^{-}, \widehat{B_{q}^{\prime} \backslash J_{i}}\right) \geqslant q^{-1} \operatorname{dist}_{G_{q}}\left(Q_{i}^{-}, B_{q}^{\prime} \backslash J_{i}\right)-q-2(q+1) \geqslant 7 q-(3 q+2) \geqslant 2
$$

Thus, for $i$ and $k$ with $Y_{i} \neq Y_{k}$, $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}^{-}, Y_{k}\right) \geqslant 2$ and, by symmetry, $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(\widehat{Q}_{i}^{+}, Y_{k}\right) \geqslant 2$.
For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{13 q^{2}}$, let $e(i)$ be maximum such that $\phi\left(\ell_{e(i)}\right) \in Y_{i}$. Choose $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ such that $a_{1}=1, e\left(a_{m}\right)=2^{13 q^{2}}$, and $a_{i+1}=e\left(a_{i}\right)+1$ for each $1 \leqslant i<m$. For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, let $D_{i}=\widehat{Q}_{a_{i}}^{-} \cup Y_{a_{i}} \cup \widehat{Q}_{e\left(a_{i}\right)}^{+}$. Observe that for each pair $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant m$, we have that $\operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}\left(D_{i}, D_{j}\right) \geqslant K$. For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, let $F_{i}=\widehat{Q}_{2 a_{i}, 2 a_{i}+1}$. Observe that $\operatorname{dist}\left(F_{i}, F_{j}\right) \geqslant 2$ for each pair $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant m$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(F_{i}, D_{j}\right) \geqslant 2$ for each pair $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m$ with $|i-j| \neq 1$. For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, both $F_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ are path-connected. Let $D_{m+1}=F_{m+1}=\varnothing$. Notice that $Z=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} D_{i}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}\right)$ has two path-connected components $Z_{2}^{\prime}, Z_{3}^{\prime}$ with $Z_{2}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{[m / 2\rceil}\left(D_{2 i-1} \cup F_{2 i}\right)$ and $Z_{3}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{[m / 2\rceil}\left(F_{2 i-1} \cup D_{2 i}\right)$, and $\operatorname{dist}\left(Z_{2}^{\prime}, Z_{3}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant K$. If $m$ is odd, then $\phi\left(S_{2}\right), \phi\left(T_{2}\right) \in Z_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\phi\left(S_{3}\right), \phi\left(T_{3}\right) \in Z_{3}^{\prime}$, and let $Z_{2}=\widehat{L}_{2}^{\prime} \cup Z_{2}^{\prime} \cup \widehat{R}_{2}^{\prime}$ and $Z_{3}=\widehat{L}_{3}^{\prime} \cup Z_{3}^{\prime} \cup \widehat{R}_{3}^{\prime}$. If $m$ is even, then $\phi\left(S_{2}\right), \phi\left(T_{3}\right) \in Z_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\phi\left(S_{3}\right), \phi\left(T_{2}\right) \in Z_{3}^{\prime}$, and let $Z_{2}=\widehat{L}_{2}^{\prime} \cup Z_{2}^{\prime} \cup \widehat{R}_{3}^{\prime}$ and $Z_{3}=\widehat{L}_{3}^{\prime} \cup Z_{3}^{\prime} \cup \widehat{R}_{2}^{\prime}$. Finally, by adding $Z_{2}$ and $Z_{3}$ to our fat minor model, we obtains a $K$-fat minor model of $H$ if $m$ is odd, and of $\left(H \backslash\left\{x_{2} y_{2}, x_{3} y_{3}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{x_{2} y_{3}, x_{3} y_{2}\right\}$ if $m$ is even. Since $H$ and $\left(H \backslash\left\{x_{2} y_{2}, x_{3} y_{3}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{x_{2} y_{3}, x_{3} y_{2}\right\}$ are isomorphic, $\widehat{G}$ contains $H$ as a $K$-fat minor, as desired.

## 4 Reducing coarseness

In this section we prove Theorem 3. For a graph $G$ and a positive integer $K$, let $G^{K}$ denote the power graph obtained from $G$ by adding an edge between every pair of distinct vertices at distance at most $K$. The importance of power graphs to coarse graph theory was previously observed by Seymour and the fourth author; see [AHJ ${ }^{+} 23$, Theorem 4].

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a graph that does not contain a $K$-fat $H$ minor for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\widehat{G}=G^{K}$. Then the identity map from $V(G) \rightarrow V(\widehat{G})$ is a $K$-quasi-isometry since, for each pair of vertices $x, y \in V(G)$, we have $K^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{dist}_{G}(x, y) \leqslant \operatorname{dist}_{\widehat{G}}(x, y) \leqslant$ $\operatorname{dist}_{G}(x, y)$. It remains to show that $\widehat{G}$ contains no 3 -fat $H$ minor for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$.

Suppose that $\widehat{G}$ contains $H$ as a 3 -fat minor for some $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\left(B_{v}: v \in V(H)\right)$ and $\left(P_{e}: e \in E(H)\right.$ ) be a 3 -fat minor model of $H$ in $\widehat{G}$. We work towards constructing a $K$-fat minor model of $H$ in $G$. Note that, for distinct $u, v \in V(H)$, $\operatorname{dist}_{G^{K}}\left(B_{u}, B_{v}\right) \geqslant 3$ and so $\operatorname{dist}_{G}\left(B_{u}, B_{v}\right) \geqslant 2 K+1$. For each $v \in V(H)$, let $B_{v}^{\prime}=\left\{x \in V(G): \operatorname{dist}_{G}\left(x, B_{v}\right) \leqslant K / 2\right\}$. Then $G\left[B_{v}^{\prime}\right]$ is connected. Furthermore, for distinct $u, v \in V(H)$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{G}\left(B_{u}^{\prime}, B_{v}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dist}_{G}\left(B_{u}, B_{v}\right)-2\lfloor K / 2\rfloor \geqslant K+1
$$

For each $e \in E(H)$, let $X_{e}=\left\{x \in V(G): \operatorname{dist}_{G}\left(x, P_{e}\right) \leqslant K / 2\right\}$. Then, as above, $G\left[X_{e}\right]$ is connected and, for distinct $e, f \in E(H)$, $\operatorname{dist}_{G}\left(X_{e}, X_{f}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dist}_{G}\left(P_{e}, P_{f}\right)-2\lfloor K / 2\rfloor \geqslant K+1$. Similarly, for each $e \in E(H)$ and $v \in V(H)$ that are not incident, $\operatorname{dist}_{G}\left(X_{e}, B_{v}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant$ $\operatorname{dist}_{G}\left(P_{e}, B_{v}\right)-2\lfloor K / 2\rfloor \geqslant K+1$.

Let $e=u v \in E(H)$. By definition of fat minor model, $B_{u}$ and $P_{e}$ intersect and so $B_{u}^{\prime}$ and $X_{e}$ intersect (and by symmetry so do $B_{v}^{\prime}$ and $X_{e}$ ). Let $P_{e}^{\prime}$ be a path in $G\left[X_{e}\right]$ between $B_{u}^{\prime} \cap X_{e}$ and $B_{v}^{\prime} \cap X_{e}$. Then $\left(B_{v}^{\prime}: v \in V(H)\right)$ and $\left(P_{e}^{\prime}: e \in E(H)\right)$ is a $K$-fat minor model of $H$ in $G$, giving the desired contradiction.

Using a simple scaling argument, we now show an analogous tightness result for Theorem 2.
Proposition 10. For any collection $\mathcal{H}$ of graphs, there exists a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that, if $(M, d)$ is a length space with no $K$-fat $H$ minor for any $H \in \mathcal{H}$, then, for every $0<K^{\prime}<K,(M, d)$ is $\left(K / K^{\prime}\right)$-quasi-isometric to a length space that contains no $K^{\prime}$-fat $H$ minor for any $H \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Clearly $(M, d)$ is $\left(K / K^{\prime}\right)$-quasi-isometric to $\left(M, d /\left(K / K^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Furthermore, for $H \in$ $\mathcal{H}$, if $\left\{B_{v}: v \in V(H)\right\} \cup\left\{P_{e}: e \in E(H)\right\}$ is a $K^{\prime}$-fat minor model of $H$ in $\left(M, d /\left(K / K^{\prime}\right)\right)$, then $\left\{B_{v}: v \in V(H)\right\} \cup\left\{P_{e}: e \in E(H)\right\}$ is a $K$-fat minor model of $H$ in $(M, d)$. Since $(M, d)$ contains no $K$-fat minor, it follows that $\left(M, d /\left(K / K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ contains no $K^{\prime}$-fat minor.

## 5 Concluding remarks and conjectures

We conclude with some remarks on our construction of the graphs $H$ and $G_{q}$ in Theorems 1 and 2. First, observe that the graph $G_{q}$ has maximum degree 15 . Now graphs with bounded maximum degree are quasi-isometric to graphs with maximum degree 3 (this can be achieved by replacing each vertex by a bounded height binary tree). Since excluding a graph as a fat minor is an invariant under quasi-isometry (with slight change in parameter), it follows that Theorem 1 implies that Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu's conjecture is false even if we assume that the graph with no 3 -fat $H$ minor has maximum degree 3 .

Second, in our construction of the graph $H$, instead of choosing $L$ and $R$ to be copies of $K_{15}$, we could instead take $L$ and $R$ to be copies of any 4-connected graph with treewidth at least 14 that contains a automorphism that fixes $x_{1}$ (respectively $y_{1}$ ) and swaps $x_{2}$ with $x_{3}$ (respectively $y_{2}$ with $y_{3}$ ). In particular, one can choose $L$ and $R$ to be copies of a planar graph, and thus enabling one to make $H$ planar. So the conjecture of Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu is false even when $H$ is planar.

Let us remark that one particularly interesting case of Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu's [GP23] conjecture that remains open is for graphs that exclude both $K_{5}$ and $K_{3,3}$ as fat minors; it is conjectured that any such graph is quasi-isometric to a planar graph [GP23], which would imply a coarse analogue of Kuratowski's theorem [Kur30]. As $q$ increases, $N_{q}$ contains increasingly fat $K_{5}$ and $K_{3,3}$ minors, and so we currently see no way to adapt our construction to disprove the coarse Kuratowski conjecture.

Now, despite Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu's [GP23] conjecture being false, there are natural weaker statements which would allow some results from graph minor theory to be lifted to the coarse setting. We mention two such results. Firstly, one may weaken the conjecture so that the graph forbidden as a fat minor is different to the graph forbidden as a minor.

Conjecture 11. For any finite graph $H$, there exists a finite graph $H^{\prime}$ and a function $f_{H}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (which depends only on $H$ ) such that if $G$ is a graph or length space with no $K$-fat $H$ minor, then $G$ is $f_{H}(K)$-quasi-isometric to a graph with no $H^{\prime}$ minor.

Secondly, one might hope that Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu's conjecture is true in the special case where a 2 -fat minor is forbidden.

Conjecture 12. Let $G$ be a graph, and let $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k}\right\}$ be a collection of finite graphs. Then $G$ has no 2-fat $H$ minor for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $G$ is q-quasi-isometric to a graph that does not contain an $H$ minor for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$, where $q$ depends on $\mathcal{H}$ only.

A graph $G$ contains another graph $H$ as an induced minor if $H$ can be obtained from $G$ by deleting vertices, contracting edges and then removing all loops and multiple edges. Note that a graph $G$ contains $H$ as a 2 -fat minor if and only if $G$ contains the 3 -subdivision of
$H$ as an induced minor (assuming $H$ has minimum degree at least 2). Thus one could phrase Conjecture 12 in terms of excluded induced minors. A potential counterexample to the conjectured induced Menger's theorem ${ }^{2}$ may be useful for disproving Conjecture 12 by using a similar approach to our disproof of Georgakopoulos and Papasoglu's [GP23] conjecture. We remark that some weakenings of the induced Menger's conjecture do hold [GKL23, HNST23].
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ A Halin graph is a planar graph that can be constructed from a planar embedding of a tree by connecting its leaves with a cycle which passes around the tree's boundary.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The induced Menger's conjecture states that for every graph $G$, for every $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ and positive integer, there exists a positive integer $r$ such that either there exist $k$ pairwise anticomplete $S-T$ paths in $G$, or there exists a set $Z \subseteq V(G)$ of size at most $k-1$ such that $N^{r}[Z]$ intersects every $S-T$ path.

