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#### Abstract

Given a conformal metric with finite total Q-curvature on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $n \geq 4$, we show that the sign of scalar curvature near infinity control not only the upper bound but also the lower bound of Q-curvature integral which is a new phenomenon. Meanwhile, for general complete non-compact four-manifolds with simple ends, we also obtain similar control of the lower bound of Q -curvature integral.


## 1. Introduction

For compact surfaces, the celebrated Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula 7 intricately links the surface's geometry to its topology. Analogously, for complete open surfaces, this connection is elucidated by the works of CohnVossen [8, Huber [12], and Finn [10]. We also suggest interested readers referring to [17] and [23] for additional insights. When it comes to higherdimensional manifolds, is there a similar connection to explore? This is the Problem 11 in chapter 7 of [27] established by Schoen and Yau. Some progress has been made by Poor [26] and Greene-Wu [11] under the assumption of non-negative sectional curvature.

In higher-dimensional manifolds, Q-curvature which is firstly introduced by Branson [2] is a natural generalization of Gaussian curvature. In particular, for a four dimensional manifold $(M, g)$, Q -curvature is defined by

$$
Q_{g}:=-\frac{1}{6}\left(\Delta_{g} R_{g}-R_{g}^{2}+3\left|R i c_{g}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

where $\Delta_{g}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator, Ric $_{g}$ and $R_{g}$ are the Ricci curvature and scalar curvature of $(M, g)$ respectively. Combing with the Paneitz operator [25], for a conformal metric $\tilde{g}=e^{2 u} g$, there holds a conformally invariant equation

$$
P_{g} u+Q_{g}=Q_{\tilde{g}} e^{4 u}
$$

where the Paneitz operator $P_{g}$ is defined by

$$
P_{g}=\Delta_{g}^{2}-\operatorname{div}_{g}\left(\left(\frac{2}{3} R_{g} g-2 R i c_{g}\right) d\right) .
$$

With the assistance of Q-curvature, Chang, Qing, and Yang [3] established an analogous Cohn-Vossen inequality for a complete manifold $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}, e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}\right)$.

[^0]Fang [9] and Ndiaye-Xiao [24] further extended this to higher dimensional cases.

Precisely, for a complete conformal metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer, the Q-curvature satisfies the conformally invariant equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2}} u=Q e^{n u} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

More information about the equation (1.1) can be found in [1, 4, [6, [13, [14], [15], [16], [20], [22], [29], 31], [32] and the references therein. For brevity, we consider the smooth metrics throughout this paper.

We say the metric $g$ has finite total Q-curvature if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|Q| e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty
$$

and "near infinity" means outside a compact set.
Theorem 1.1. (See 3], [9, [24]) Given a complete conformal metric $g=$ $e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer with finite total $Q$-curvature. Suppose that the scalar curvature $R_{g} \geq 0$ near infinity. Then there holds

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}{2}
$$

where $\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|$ denotes the volume of standard $n$-sphere.
Our first result is to show that the integral of Q-curvature under the same assumption of Theorem 1.1 is non-negative.
Theorem 1.2. Given a complete conformal metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer with finite total $Q$-curvature. Suppose that the scalar curvature $R_{g} \geq 0$ near infinity. Then there holds

$$
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}{2}
$$

where $\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|$ denotes the volume of standard $n$-sphere.
Moreover, should the scalar curvature exhibit a strictly positive lower bound near infinity, the inequality in Theorem 1.1 attains equality.
Theorem 1.3. Given a complete conformal metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer with finite total $Q$-curvature. Suppose that the scalar curvature $R_{g} \geq C$ near infinity for some constant $C>0$. Then there holds

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}{2} .
$$

Drawing on the aforementioned findings and the results in [4, we can effectively control the integral of the Q-curvature over four-manifolds with simple ends. A detailed definition of simple ends can be found in Section 4.

Theorem 1.4. Given a complete four dimensional manifold $(M, g)$ with only finitely many conformally flat simple ends and finite total Q-curvature. Suppose that the scalar curvature is non-negative at each end. Then there holds

$$
\chi(M)-\#\{e n d s\} \leq \frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left(|W|^{2}+4 Q_{g}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{g} \leq \chi(M)
$$

where $\chi(M), W$ and $\#\{$ ends $\}$ denote the Euler number, Weyl tensor and the number of ends respectively.

Theorem 1.5. Given a complete four dimensional manifold $(M, g)$ with only finitely many conformally flat simple ends and finite total $Q$-curvature. Suppose that the scalar curvature $R_{g} \geq C$ for some constant $C>0$ at each end. Then there holds

$$
\int_{M}\left(|W|^{2}+4 Q_{g}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{g}=32 \pi^{2} \chi(M)
$$

where $\chi(M)$ and $W$ denote the Euler number and Weyl tensor respectively.
In fact, even without assuming completeness, we still have control over the integral of Q-curvature.
Theorem 1.6. Given a conformal metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer with finite total $Q$-curvature.
(1) If the scalar curvature $R_{g} \geq 0$ near infinity, there holds

$$
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \leq(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right| .
$$

(2) If the scalar curvature $R_{g} \geq C>0$ near infinity, there holds

$$
\frac{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \leq(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right| .
$$

(3) If the scalar curvature $0 \leq R_{g} \leq C<+\infty$ near infinity, there holds

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x=(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right| \quad \text { or } \quad 0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}{2} .
$$

Making use of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.1, we finish the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 ,

Meanwhile, with help of Theorem 1.6 and the classification theorem for $Q=(n-1)!$ in (1.1) established in [5], 20], [22, [31], and [32], we are able to confirm the Conjecture 2 in [16.

Corollary 1.7. Given a conformal metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer with finite total $Q$-curvature. Suppose that the scalar curvature $R_{g} \geq 0$ near infinity and the $Q$-curvature

$$
Q_{g} \geq(n-1)!,
$$

then there holds

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \leq\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|
$$

with equality holds if and only if $u(x)=\log \frac{2 \lambda}{\lambda^{2}+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}}$ for some constant $\lambda>0$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

When imposing a constraint on the integral of scalar curvature, we also obtain similar control of the Q-curvature integral.

Theorem 1.8. Given a conformal metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer with finite total Q-curvature.
(1) Suppose that the negative part of scalar curvature $R_{g}^{-}$satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(R_{g}^{-}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there holds

$$
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \leq(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|
$$

(2) Suppose that scalar curvature $R_{g}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|R_{g}\right|^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there holds

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x=(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|
$$

Moreover, if the volume is finite i.e. $e^{n u} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in addition, then there holds

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x=(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|
$$

Remark 1.9. Part (1) in Theorem 1.8 is a generalization of Part (1) in Theorem 1.6. Some results similar to Part (2) of Theorem 1.8 are also obtained by Lu-Wang [21], Wang-Zhou [30], and Li-Wang [18] with help of different methods.

With help of Theorem 1.8 and the classification theorem for $Q=(n-1)$ ! in (1.1), we are able to partially confirm the Conjecture 1 in [16].

Corollary 1.10. Given a conformal metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer with finite total $Q$-curvature. Suppose that the scalar curvature $R_{g}$ and $Q$-curvature $Q_{g}$ satisfy

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|R_{g}\right|^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty, \quad Q_{g} \leq(n-1)!
$$

Then there holds

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \geq\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|
$$

with equality holds if and only if $u(x)=\log \frac{2 \lambda}{\lambda^{2}+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}}$ for some constant $\lambda>0$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Now, let's briefly outline the structure of this paper. In Section 2, we establish some crucial estimates for normal solutions. Leveraging these estimates, we proceed to prove Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.8 in Section 3 , Finally, in Section 4, we address general manifolds with finitely many simple ends, demonstrating Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5.
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## 2. Normal solutions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

We say the solution $u(x)$ to (1.1) with $Q e^{n u} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is normal if $u(x)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\frac{2}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log \frac{|y|}{|x-y|} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y+C \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|$ denotes the volume of standard sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ and $C$ is a constant which may be different from line to line throughout this paper. In particular, the metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ with finite total Q-curvature said to be normal if $u(x)$ is normal. More details about normal solutions, one may refer to Section 2 of [14].

In order to make the metric $g$ to be normal, Chang, Qing and Yang [3] give a geometric criterion about scalar curvature.

Lemma 2.1. (Theorem 1.4 in [3], Theorem 1.1 in [28]) Given a smooth conformal metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer with finite total $Q$-curvature. If the scalar curvature $R_{g} \geq 0$ near infinity, then the metric $g$ is normal.
S. Wang and Y. Wang [28] established a criterion under the control of the integral of negative part of scalar curvature.

Lemma 2.2. (Theorem 1.1 in [28], Theorem 4.2 in [14]) Given a smooth conformal metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer with finite total $Q$-curvature. If the negative part of scalar curvature $R_{g}^{-}$satisfies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(R_{g}^{-}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty
$$

then the metric $g$ is normal.
Recently, the author [14] introduced a volume entropy defined by

$$
\tau(g):=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log V_{g}\left(B_{R}(0)\right)}{\log \left|B_{R}(0)\right|}
$$

where $B_{R}(0)$ denotes the Euclidean ball with radius $R$ centered at the origin and $V_{g}\left(B_{R}(0)\right),\left|B_{R}(0)\right|$ represent the volume of $B_{R}(0)$ related to the metric $g$ and Euclidean metric respectively. With help of such volume entropy $\tau(g)$, a necessary and sufficient condition of the normal metric is established.

Theorem 2.3. (Theorem 1.1 in [14]) Given a complete metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with finite total $Q$-curvature where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer. The metric $g$ is normal if and only if $\tau(g)$ is finite. Moreover, if $\tau(g)$ is finite, one has

$$
\tau(g)=1-\frac{2}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y
$$

For brevity, set the notation $\alpha_{0}$ as follows

$$
\alpha_{0}:=\frac{2}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y
$$

Given a measurable set $E$, the average integral for function $\varphi$ over $E$ is defined as

$$
f_{E} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x:=\frac{1}{|E|} \int_{E} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x
$$

For $r>0$, the notation $\bar{u}(r)$ is defined by

$$
\bar{u}(r):=\frac{1}{\left|\partial B_{r}(0)\right|} \int_{\partial B_{r}(0)} u(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x)
$$

The following lemma is easy but useful in our following proofs.
Lemma 2.4. For any integer $n \geq 3,0<k \leq n-2$ and $r>0,|y|>0$, there holds

$$
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left(\frac{|y|}{|x-y|}\right)^{k} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \leq 1
$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, one has

$$
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left(\frac{|y|}{|x-y|}\right)^{k} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \leq\left(f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left(\frac{|y|}{|x-y|}\right)^{n-2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)\right)^{\frac{k}{n-2}}
$$

Thus we only need to prove the case $k=n-2$. For $n \geq 3,|x-y|^{n-2}$ is the Green's function. With help of mean value property of harmonic functions, we obtain the formula

$$
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)=\min \left\{r^{2-n},|y|^{2-n}\right\}
$$

which has been established in Page 249 of [19] by Lieb and Loss. With help of such formula, one has

$$
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left(\frac{|y|}{|x-y|}\right)^{n-2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \leq 1
$$

Thus we finish the proof.
Lemma 2.5. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, the normal solutions $u(x)$ to (2.1) satisfies

$$
r^{2} \cdot f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) u \mathrm{~d} \sigma \rightarrow(n-2) \alpha_{0}
$$

Proof. Firstly, since $Q e^{n u} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $R_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)}|Q| e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} y<\epsilon \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With help of Fubini's theorem, a direct computation yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{2} \cdot f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) u \mathrm{~d} \sigma-(n-2) \alpha_{0} \\
= & \frac{2(n-2)}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|x|^{2}}{|x-y|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y \\
& -\frac{2(n-2)}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \\
= & \frac{2(n-2)}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|x|^{2}-|x-y|^{2}}{|x-y|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y \\
= & \frac{2(n-2)}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|y|^{2}-2 y \cdot(y-x)}{|x-y|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y \\
= & \frac{2(n-2)}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|y|^{2}}{|x-y|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y \\
& -\frac{4(n-2)}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \frac{y \cdot(y-x)}{|x-y|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will deal with these two terms one by one. Firstly, we split the second term into two parts as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{y \cdot(y-x)}{|x-y|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
= & f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{y \cdot(y-x)}{|x-y|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
& +f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{y \cdot(y-x)}{|x-y|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
= & I I_{1}+I I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Making use of Fubini's theorem, there holds

$$
\left|I I_{2}\right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|y|}{|x-y|} \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y
$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 and the estimate (2.2), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I I_{2}\right| \leq C \epsilon \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With help of dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then due to arbitrary choice of $\epsilon$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{y \cdot(y-x)}{|x-y|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$.
As for the first term, we also split it into two terms as before

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|y|^{2}}{|x-y|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y \\
= & \int_{B_{R_{\epsilon}(0)}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|y|^{2}}{|x-y|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|y|^{2}}{|x-y|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y \\
= & : I I_{3}+I I_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The dominated convergence theorem yields that

$$
\int_{B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \frac{|y|^{2}}{|x-y|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} y \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus we have

$$
I I_{3} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty .
$$

With help of Lemma 2.4 and (2.2), one has

$$
\left|I I_{4}\right| \leq C \epsilon .
$$

Combing these estimates and the arbitrary choice of $\epsilon$, there holds

$$
r^{2} \cdot f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) u \mathrm{~d} \sigma \rightarrow(n-2) \alpha_{0}, \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty
$$

Lemma 2.6. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, the normal solutions $u(x)$ to (2.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \cdot \bar{u}^{\prime}(r) \rightarrow-\alpha_{0} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. A direct computation yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \cdot \nabla u(x) \\
= & -\frac{2}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{x \cdot(x-y)}{|x-y|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \\
= & -\alpha_{0}+\frac{2}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{y \cdot(y-x)}{|x-y|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, with help of the estimate (2.5), one has

$$
r \cdot \bar{u}^{\prime}(r)=f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} r \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \mathrm{~d} \sigma=f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} x \cdot \nabla u \mathrm{~d} \sigma \rightarrow-\alpha_{0}
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.7. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, the normal solutions $u(x)$ to (2.1) satisfies

$$
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \rightarrow \alpha_{0}^{2}
$$

Proof. With help of Fubini's theorem, a direct computation yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\nabla u|^{2}(x) \\
= & \left(\frac{2}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{(x-y) \cdot(x-z)}{|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} Q(z) e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
= & \left(\frac{2}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|x-y|^{2}+|x-z|^{2}-|y-z|^{2}}{2|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} Q(z) e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
= & \alpha_{0} \frac{1}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{2}} Q(z) e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} z \\
& +\alpha_{0} \frac{1}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \\
& -\left(\frac{2}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|y-z|^{2}}{2|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} Q(z) e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
= & \frac{-\Delta u(x)}{n-2} \alpha_{0}-\left(\frac{2}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|y-z|^{2}}{2|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} Q(z) e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the same strategy as in Lemma 2.5, for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $R_{\epsilon}>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)}|Q| e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x<\epsilon \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A direct computation yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|y-z|^{2}}{} \begin{array}{l}
|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}
\end{array}(y) e^{n u(y)} Q(z) e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z\right| \\
\leq & \int_{B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \int_{B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|y-z|^{2}}{2|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \int_{B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|y-z|^{2}}{2|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
& +\int_{B_{R_{\epsilon}(0)}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}(0)}} \frac{|y-z|^{2}}{2|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|y-z|^{2}}{2|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
= & : I_{1}(x)+I_{2}(x)+I_{3}(x)+I_{4}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We are going to deal these terms one by one. With help of dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $|x| \geq 2 R_{\epsilon},|y| \leq R_{\epsilon}$ and $|z| \geq R_{\epsilon}$, it is not hard to check

$$
\frac{|y-z|^{2}}{2|x-z|^{2}|x-y|^{2}} \leq \frac{8|z|^{2}}{|x|^{2}|x-z|^{2}}
$$

Then making use of above estimate, for $|x| \geq 2 R_{\epsilon} \geq 2$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(x) & \leq \frac{8}{|x|^{2}} \int_{B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|z|^{2}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)}}{|x-z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|z|^{2}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)}}{|x-z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for $|x| \geq 2 R_{\epsilon}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} I_{2}(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) & \leq C f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|z|^{2}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)}}{|x-z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|z|^{2}}{|x-z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

By using Lemma 2.4, for $r \geq 2 R_{\epsilon}$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} I_{2}(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} z \leq C \epsilon \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} I_{3}(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \leq C \epsilon \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the term $I_{4}$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{4}(x) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|x-y|^{2}+|x-z|^{2}-2(x-y) \cdot(x-z)}{2|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{1}{2|x-z|^{2}}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} z \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} z \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}(0)}} \frac{1}{2|x-y|^{2}}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{(x-y) \cdot(x-z)}{|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4}(x) \leq & \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{2}}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} z \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)}}{|x-y||x-z|} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
\leq & \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|z|^{2}}{|x-z|^{2}}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|y| \cdot|z| \cdot|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)}}{|x-y||x-z|} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z
$$

where we have used the fact $R_{\epsilon}>1$. Then similarly as before, one has

$$
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|z|^{2}}{|x-z|^{2}}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \leq C \epsilon .
$$

Using Hölder's inequality and Lemma [2.4, for $|y|>0$ and $|z|>0$, one has

$$
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|y| \cdot|z|}{|x-y| \cdot|x-z|} \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \leq\left(f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|y|^{2}}{|x-y|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left(f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|z|^{2}}{|x-z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1
$$

By using Fubini's theorem, Hölder inequality as well as Lemma 2.4, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{|y| \cdot|z| \cdot|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)}}{|x-y||x-z|} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \frac{|y| \cdot|z|}{|x-y| \cdot|x-z|} \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R_{\epsilon}}(0)}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}|Q(z)| e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
\leq & \epsilon^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} I_{4}(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \leq C \epsilon^{2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combing these estimate (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and the arbitrary choice of $\epsilon$, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|y-z|^{2}}{2|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} Q(z) e^{n u(z)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

With help of (2.12) and Lemma 2.5, one has

$$
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \rightarrow \alpha_{0}^{2}, \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty
$$

Lemma 2.8. The normal solutions $u(x)$ to (2.1) satisfies

$$
\bar{u}(r)=\left(-\alpha_{0}+o(1)\right) \log r
$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Choose $|x| \geq e^{4}$ such that $|x| \geq 2 \log |x|$. We split $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ into three pieces

$$
A_{1}=B_{1}(x), \quad A_{2}=B_{\log |x|}(0), \quad A_{3}=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)
$$

For $y \in A_{2}$ and $|y| \geq 2$, we have $\left|\log \frac{|x| \cdot|y|}{|x-y|}\right| \leq \log (2 \log |x|)$. Respectively, for $|y| \leq 2,\left|\log \frac{|x| \cdot|y|}{|x-y|}\right| \leq|\log | y| |+C$. Thus there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{A_{2}} \log \frac{|y|}{|x-y|} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y+\log \right| x\left|\int_{A_{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y\right| \leq C \log \log |x|+C=o(1) \log |x| \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. For $y \in A_{3}$, it is not hard to check

$$
\frac{1}{|x|+1} \leq \frac{|y|}{|x-y|} \leq|x|+1 .
$$

With help of this estimate, we could control the integral over $A_{3}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{A_{3}} \log \frac{|y|}{|x-y|} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y\right| \leq \log (|x|+1) \int_{A_{3}}|Q| e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} y . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $y \in B_{1}(x)$, one has $1 \leq|y| \leq|x|+1$ and then

$$
\left|\int_{A_{1}} \log \right| y\left|Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y\right| \leq \log (|x|+1) \int_{A_{1}}|Q| e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

Since $Q e^{n u} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, notice that $\int_{A_{3} \cup A_{1}}|Q| e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} y \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\frac{2}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{A_{2}} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y=\alpha_{0}+o(1) .
$$

Thus there holds
$u(x)=\left(-\alpha_{0}+o(1)\right) \log |x|+\frac{2}{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|} \int_{B_{1}(x)}\left(\log \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y$
where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. For $r \gg 1$, with help of Fubini's theorem, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{B_{1}(x)}\left(\log \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)\right| \\
\leq & \int_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{B_{r+1}(0) \backslash B_{r-1}(0)}|\log | x-y| | \cdot|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
\leq & \int_{B_{r+1}(0) \backslash B_{r-1}(0)}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)} \int_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\log | x-y| | \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y \\
\leq & \int_{B_{r+1}(0) \backslash B_{r-1}(0)}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)} \int_{\partial B_{r}(0) \cap B_{1}(y)}|\log | x-y| | \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y \\
& +\int_{B_{r+1}(0) \backslash B_{r-1}(0)}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)} \int_{\partial B_{r}(0) \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{1}(y)\right)}|\log | x-y| | \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} y \\
\leq & \int_{B_{r+1}(0) \backslash B_{r-1}(0)}|Q(y)| e^{n u(y)}\left(C+C r^{n-1} \log (2 r+1)\right) \mathrm{d} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to $Q e^{n u} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, there holds

$$
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \int_{B_{1}(x)}\left(\log \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)=o(1) \log r
$$

With help of (2.15), we finish our proof.
The following lemma which has been established in [3] and [24] is also very useful and we repeat its statement for readers' convenience.

Lemma 2.9. (Lemma 3.2 in [3], Proposition 3.1 in [24])For any number $k>0$, the normal solutions $u(x)$ to (2.1) satisfies

$$
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} e^{k u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma=e^{k \bar{u}(r)} e^{o(1)}
$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.
The following lemma has been established in [14]. For reader's convenience, we repeat the proof briefly.

Lemma 2.10. Given a conformal metric $g=e^{2 u}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with finite total $Q$-curvature where $n \geq 4$ is an even integer. If the metric $g$ is normal and the volume is finite, then there holds

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}{2}
$$

Proof. By direct computation and Fubini's theorem, for $|x| \gg 1$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{|x| / 2}(x)}\left|\int_{B_{1}(z)} \log \frac{1}{|z-y|} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y\right| \mathrm{d} z \\
\leq & \int_{B_{|x| / 2}(x)} \int_{B_{1}(z)} \frac{1}{|z-y|}\left|Q(y) e^{n u(y)}\right| \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
\leq & \int_{B_{|x| / 2}(x)} \int_{B_{|x| / 2+1}(x)} \frac{1}{|z-y|}\left|Q(y) e^{n u(y)}\right| \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z \\
\leq & \int_{B_{|x| / 2+1}(x)}\left|Q(y) e^{n u(y)}\right| \int_{B_{|x| / 2}(x)} \frac{1}{|z-y|} \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} y \\
\leq & \int_{B_{|x| / 2+1}(x)}\left|Q(y) e^{n u(y)}\right| \int_{B_{|x|+1}(0)} \frac{1}{|z|} \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} y \\
\leq & C|x|^{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{|x| / 2}(x)} \int_{B_{1}(z)} \log \frac{1}{|z-y|} Q(y) e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} z=O\left(|x|^{-1}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meanwhile, for $y \in B_{|x| / 2}(x)$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\log \frac{|y|}{|x|}\right| \leq \log 2 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

With help of these estimates (2.16), (2.17) and (2.15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{|x| / 2}(x)} u(y) \mathrm{d} y=\left(-\alpha_{0}+o(1)\right) \log |x| \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.
By using Jensen's inequality and (2.18), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{|x| / 2}(x)} e^{n u(y)} \mathrm{d} y & \geq\left|B_{|x| / 2}(x)\right| \exp \left(f_{B_{|x| / 2}(x)} n u(y) \mathrm{d} y\right) \\
& \geq C|x|^{n-n \alpha_{0}+o(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the assumption of finite volume, the above estimate yield that $\alpha_{0} \geq 1$ i.e.

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}{2}
$$

## 3. Range of Q-Curvature integral

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.8,
Proof of Theorem 1.6:
The scalar curvature $R_{g}$ of $g=e^{2 u}|d x|$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{g}=2(n-1) e^{-2 u}\left(-\Delta u-\frac{n-2}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Firstly, for all of cases, the scalar curvature is supposed to be non-negative near infinity and then Lemma 2.1 yields that $u(x)$ is normal.

Case (1): $R_{g} \geq 0$ near infinity.
With help of (3.1), for $r \gg 1$, there holds

$$
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) u \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \geq \frac{n-2}{2} r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)
$$

By letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 yield that

$$
(n-2) \alpha_{0} \geq \frac{n-2}{2} \alpha_{0}^{2}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \alpha_{0} \leq 2 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus one has

$$
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \leq(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|
$$

Case (2): $R_{g} \geq C>0$ near infinity.
By using (3.1), one has

$$
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta u) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x)-\frac{n-2}{2} r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{r^{2}}{2(n-1)} \int_{\partial B_{r}(0)} R_{g} e^{2 u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
& \geq \frac{C r^{2}}{2(n-1)} \int_{\partial B_{r}(0)} e^{2 u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Making use of Jensen's inequality and Lemma 2.8, there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta u) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x)-\frac{n-2}{2} r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
\geq & \frac{C r^{2}}{2(n-1)} e^{2 \bar{u}(r)}=\frac{C}{2(n-1)} r^{2-2 \alpha_{0}+o(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha_{0}<1$, taking $r \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we obtain a contradiction by using Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7. Thus we must have

$$
\alpha_{0} \geq 1
$$

Combing with (3.2), we finally obtain our desired result

$$
\frac{(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|}{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Q e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} x \leq(n-1)!\left|\mathbb{S}^{n}\right|
$$

Case (3): $0 \leq R_{g} \leq C$ near infinity.
With help of Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.8, for $r \gg 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta u) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x)-\frac{n-2}{2} r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
= & \frac{r^{2}}{2(n-1)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} R_{g} e^{2 u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
\leq & \frac{r^{2} C}{2(n-1)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} e^{2 u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)=\frac{r^{2} C}{2(n-1)} e^{2 \bar{u}(r)} e^{o(1)}=\frac{C}{2(n-1)} r^{2-2 \alpha_{0}+o(1)} e^{o(1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha_{0}>1$, by taking $r \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 yield that

$$
(n-2) \alpha_{0}-\frac{n-2}{2} \alpha_{0}^{2} \leq 0
$$

which deduces that $\alpha_{0} \geq 2$. Combing with (3.2), one finally has

$$
\alpha_{0}=2 \quad \text { or } \quad 0 \leq \alpha_{0} \leq 1
$$

Thus we finish our proof.

## Proof of Theorem 1.8:

Case (1):
Since $\left(R_{g}^{-}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n u} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, Lemma 2.2 yields that $u(x)$ is normal. Recalling (3.1) and applying Hölder's inequality, one has

$$
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta u) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x)-\frac{n-2}{2} r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{r^{2}}{2(n-1)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} R_{g} e^{2 u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
& \geq-\frac{r^{2}}{2(n-1)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} R_{g}^{-} e^{2 u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
& \geq-\frac{r^{2}}{2(n-1)}\left(f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left(R_{g}^{-}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
& =-\frac{\left|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right|-\frac{n}{2}}{2(n-1)}\left(r \int_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left(R_{g}^{-}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the assumption (1.3), there exists a sequence $r_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i} \int_{\partial B_{r_{i}}(0)}\left(R_{g}^{-}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing such sequence $r_{i}$ and making use of Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 as well as (3.3), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-2) \alpha_{0}-\frac{n-2}{2} \alpha_{0}^{2} \geq 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by taking $r_{i} \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we finish our proof.
Case (2):
Firstly, Lemma 2.2 yields that $u$ is normal based on the assumption $R_{g} e^{2 u} \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. With help of similar method as before, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta u) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x)-\frac{n-2}{2} r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
= & \frac{r^{2}}{2(n-1)} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} R_{g} e^{2 u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
\leq & \frac{r^{2}}{2(n-1)} \int_{\partial B_{r}(0)} R_{g}^{+} e^{2 u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
\leq & \frac{r^{2}}{2(n-1)}\left(\int_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left(R_{g}^{+}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
= & \frac{\left|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right|^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{2(n-1)}\left(r \int_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left(R_{g}^{+}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{g}^{+}$is the positive part of scalar curvature. Due to (1.3), there exists a sequence $r_{j} \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
r_{j} \int_{\partial B_{r_{j}}(0)}\left(R_{g}^{+}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \rightarrow 0
$$

By taking $r_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-2) \alpha_{0}-\frac{n-2}{2} \alpha_{0}^{2} \leq 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combing with (3.4), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad 2 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $e^{n u} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in addition, with help of Lemma 2.10, we finally obtain that

$$
\alpha_{0}=2
$$

Thus we finish our proof.

## 4. Complete manifolds With finitely many simple ends

Given a complete non-compact four dimensional manifold $(M, g)$, we say that it has finitely many simple ends if

$$
M=N \cup\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} E_{i}\right\}
$$

where $N$ is a compact manifold with boundary

$$
\partial N=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \partial E_{i}
$$

and each $E_{i}$ is a conformally flat simple end satisfying

$$
\left(E_{i}, g\right)=\left(\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0), e^{2 w_{i}}|d x|^{2}\right)
$$

for some function $w_{i}$.
Consider the conformal metric on the end $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0), e^{2 w}|d x|^{2}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2}} w(x)=Q(x) e^{4 w(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also say that such metric has finite total Q-curvature if $Q e^{4 w} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0)\right)$.
Different from the case on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, we say the solution to (4.1) with finite total Q-curvature is normal if $w(x)$ has the following decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0)} \log \frac{|y|}{|x-y|} Q(y) e^{4 u(y)} \mathrm{d} y+\alpha_{1} \log |x|+h(x) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ is a constant and $h\left(\frac{x}{|x|^{2}}\right)$ is some biharmonic function on $B_{1}(0)$. When $w$ is normal, such conformal metric $e^{2 w}|d x|^{2}$ is said to be normal. For brevity, set the notation $\alpha_{2}$ as follows

$$
\alpha_{2}:=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0)} Q e^{4 u} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

More details about normal metric on $\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0)$ can be found in [4].
Leveraging the definition of $w$ and the lemmas outlined in the preceding section, we are able to establish the following lemmas for future use.

Lemma 4.1. For the normal solution $w(x)$ to (4.2), as $r \rightarrow \infty$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \rightarrow\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) w \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \rightarrow 2\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For brevity, we set

$$
w_{1}(x):=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0)} \log \frac{|y|}{|x-y|} Q(y) e^{4 u(y)} \mathrm{d} y
$$

and $b(x):=h\left(\frac{x}{|x|^{2}}\right)$. Thus $b(x)$ is biharmonic on $B_{1}(0)$. A direct computation yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i} h(x)=\frac{\partial_{i} b}{|x|^{2}}-\sum_{j=1}^{4} \partial_{j} b \frac{2 x_{i} x_{j}}{|x|^{4}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x| \cdot|\nabla h(x)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With help of Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.6, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left|\nabla w_{1}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \rightarrow \alpha_{2}^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} x \cdot \nabla w_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \rightarrow-\alpha_{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$. A direct computation yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
= & r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left|\nabla w_{1}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)+\left.\alpha_{1}^{2} r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla \log | x\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)+r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla h|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
& +2 \alpha_{1} r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \nabla w_{1} \cdot \nabla \log |x| \mathrm{d} \sigma(x)+2 r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \nabla w_{1} \cdot \nabla h \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
& +2 \alpha_{1} r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \nabla h \cdot \nabla \log |x| \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \\
= & r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left|\nabla w_{1}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)+\alpha_{1}^{2}+r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla h|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
& +2 \alpha_{1} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} x \cdot \nabla w_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)+2 r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \nabla w_{1} \cdot \nabla h \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
& +2 \alpha_{1} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} x \cdot \nabla h \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

With help of (4.4), one has

$$
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla h|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} x \cdot \nabla h \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Making use of Hölder's inequality and the estimates (4.4), (4.5), one has
$\left|r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} \nabla w_{1} \cdot \nabla h \mathrm{~d} \sigma\right| \leq\left(r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}\left|\nabla w_{1}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla h|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$
as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Combing these estimates, we have

$$
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \rightarrow\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}, \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty
$$

Using the same argument as (4.3), it is not hard to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|^{2} \Delta h(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

With help of Lemma 2.5 and the fact $n=4$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) w_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \rightarrow 2 \alpha_{2}, \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combing these estimates (4.7) and (4.8), there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) w \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
= & r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) w_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)+r^{2} \alpha_{1} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) \log |x| \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \\
& +r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) h \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
= & r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) w_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)-2 \alpha_{1}+r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) h \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
& \longrightarrow 2 \alpha_{2}-2 \alpha_{1}, \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we finish our proof.
Lemma 4.2. For the normal solution $w(x)$ to (4.2), there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \cdot \bar{w}^{\prime}(r) \rightarrow-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}, \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}(r)=\left(-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}+o(1)\right) \log r \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. A direct computation yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r \cdot \bar{w}^{\prime}(r) & =f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} x \cdot \nabla w_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)+\alpha_{1} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} x \cdot \nabla \log |x| \mathrm{d} \sigma(x)+f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} x \cdot \nabla h \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) \\
& =f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} x \cdot \nabla w_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)+\alpha_{1}+f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} x \cdot \nabla h \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using Lemma 2.6 and the estimate (4.4), one has

$$
r \cdot \bar{w}^{\prime}(r) \rightarrow-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}, \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Since $h\left(\frac{x}{|x|^{2}}\right)$ is biharmonic in $B_{1}(0)$, it is easy to see that

$$
|h(x)| \leq C, \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Using such fact and Lemma [2.8, there holds

$$
\bar{w}(r)=\bar{w}_{1}(r)+\alpha_{1} \log r+f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} h \mathrm{~d} \sigma=\left(-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}+o(1)\right) \log r .
$$

Similar to the case for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, Chang, Qing, and Yang [4] showed that if the scalar curvature is non-negative near infinity, the conformal metric $e^{2 w}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0)$ is also normal. For readers' convenience, we repeat their result as follows.

Proposition 4.3. (See Proposition 1.12 in [4) Given a conformal metric $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0), e^{2 w}|d x|^{2}\right)$ with finite total $Q$-curvature. Supposing that the scalar curvature is non-negative near infinity, then the metric is normal.

Set the isoperimetric ratio for $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0), e^{2 w}|d x|^{2}\right)$ as follows

$$
I_{g}(r):=\frac{\left(\int_{\partial B_{r}(0)} e^{3 w} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(x)\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}}{4\left(2 \pi^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \int_{B_{r}(0) \backslash B_{1}(0)} e^{4 w} \mathrm{~d} x}
$$

Chang, Qing and Yang [4] showed that the limit of such isoperimetric ratio exists as $r$ tends to infinity if the metric is complete and normal.

Proposition 4.4. (Proposition 1.11 in [4]) Suppose that $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}, e^{2 w}|d x|^{2}\right)$ is a complete normal metric. Then there holds

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} I_{g}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+r \cdot \bar{w}^{\prime}(r)\right) \geq 0
$$

With the aid of the aforementioned preparations, we aim to give the control of isoperimetric ratio by constraining the lower bound of scalar curvature.

Lemma 4.5. Given a complete metric $g=e^{2 w}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0)$ with finite total $Q$-curvature. If the scalar curvature $R_{g} \geq 0$ near infinity, then there holds

$$
0 \leq \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} I_{g}(r) \leq 1
$$

Proof. Firstly, due to $R_{g} \geq 0$ near infinity, Proposition 4.3 yields that $w$ is normal. Recall the definition $R_{g}$ for $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0), e^{2 w}|d x|^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{g}=6 e^{-2 w}\left(-\Delta w-|\nabla w|^{2}\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on the assumption that $R_{g} \geq 0$ near infinity, for $r \gg 1$, there holds

$$
r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) w \mathrm{~d} \sigma \geq r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma .
$$

Making use of Lemma 4.1, letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \leq 2 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.4, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} I_{g}(r)=1+\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2} \geq 0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combing with (4.10), we finally obtain that

$$
0 \leq \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} I_{g}(r) \leq 1
$$

Lemma 4.6. Given a complete metric $g=e^{2 w}|d x|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{1}(0)$ with finite total $Q$-curvature. If $R_{g} \geq C$ for some constant $C>0$ near infinity, there holds

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} I_{g}(r)=0
$$

Proof. Due to same reason as before, $w$ is normal. Using Jensen's inequality and Lemma 4.2, for $r \gg 1$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}(-\Delta) w \mathrm{~d} \sigma-r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)}|\nabla w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
= & \frac{1}{6} r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} R_{g} e^{2 u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
\geq & \frac{C}{6} r^{2} f_{\partial B_{r}(0)} R_{g} e^{2 u} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
\geq & \frac{C}{6} r^{2} e^{2 \bar{w}(r)} \\
= & \frac{C}{6} r^{2-2 \alpha_{2}+2 \alpha_{1}+o(1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With help of Lemma 4.1, letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we must have

$$
2-2 \alpha_{2}+2 \alpha_{1} \leq 0 .
$$

Combing with (4.11), we finally obtain that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} I_{g}(r)=0 .
$$

To prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5, we just need to combing the following result which has been established in [4] with Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6 respectively.

Theorem 4.7. (See Corollary in [4]) Given a complete four dimensional manifold $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ with only finitely many conformally flat simple ends and finite total $Q$-curvature. Suppose that the scalar curvature is non-negative at each end. Then there holds

$$
\chi(M)-\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}} \int_{M}\left(|W|^{2}+4 Q\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{g}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \nu_{i}
$$

where in the inverted coordinates centered at each end,

$$
\nu_{i}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\operatorname{vol}\left(\partial B_{r}\right)\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}}{4\left(2 \pi^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \operatorname{vol}\left(B_{r} \backslash B_{1}(0)\right)}
$$
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